

## The Impact of Forensic Auditors in Corporate Governance

Eyisi A. S. and Ezuwore C. N.

Accountancy Department, Faculty of Business Administration, University of Nigeria, Enugu campus. E-mail: <a href="mailto:gabbycomplex@yahoo.com">gabbycomplex@yahoo.com</a>

#### **Abstract**

The incessant financial Fraud resulting to corporate collapse and the failure of the statutory audit to detect and prevent fraudulent activities which had led to the impoverishment of investors had given rise to need for forensic auditor. In view of the above this paper considers the impacts of forensic auditor on corporate governance. The study was a theoretical research which considered the roles of forensic auditors in combating fraudulent activities, distinction of forensic auditor and statutory auditor, characteristic of forensic auditor and impact of forensic auditor on corporate governance. From studies carried out this paper concludes that forensic auditors having improved management accountability, strengthened external auditor's independence and assisting audit committee members in carrying out their oversight function by providing them assurance on internal audit report have impacted positively to corporate governance, thereby reducing corporate failure and impoverishment of investors.

**Keywords:** forensic auditor, external auditor, financial fraud, corporate governance.

#### 1. Introduction

The incessant financial fraud and its impact on corporate performance has led to the need for multi-dimensional relationship (that is inter-relationship between the audit committee, the external auditor and the management) in corporate governance as to protecting the interest of shareholders and other market participants with the common goal of improving oversight function and ensuring good corporate governance (Deloitte and Touch 2006). However, in spite of the multi dimensional relationship between the three major groups in corporate governance.

However, in spite of the multi dimensional relationship between the three major groups in corporate governance, financial fraud which had led to poor performance and most times to corporate collapse resulting to huge lost of investment and impoverishment of many investors has continued to be on increase (Rezae 2005). Ramaswamy (2009) in line with the above states that the failure of the corporate structure has made the financial community realize that there is a great need for skilled professionals that can identify, expose and prevent weaknesses in three key areas :poor corporate governance, flawed internal controls and fraudulent financial statements. Owojori and Asoula (2009) states that the Failure of Statutory audit to prevent and reduce misappropriation of corporate fraud and increase in corporate crime has put pressure on the professional accountant and legal practitioner to find a better way of exposing fraud in business world.

The above problems have posed serious concern to the accounting profession, and users of accounting information, thus giving rise to the call for forensic auditors. In view of the above, this study aims at ascertaining the role of forensic auditor and its impact in corporate governance.

#### 2. Statement of the Problem

The failure of the major corporate governance mechanism to reduce financial fraud and the increasing sophisticated financial fraud has posed serious threat to investors, government, and general public (Eyisi and Agbaeze 2009). More so, the Stakeholders of most companies are worried over the unqualified audit report being certified by external auditors and few weeks after such reports are been certified such companies are found to be in serious financial crisis leading to bankruptcy and most times liquidation, thereby impoverishing the investors and affecting the economy (Aneto 1993). An instance of case of corporate financial statement audit fraud could be drawn from Enron and WorldCom which has capitalized expenses resulting to increased profit which is not in existence by their auditors Andersen and yet such companies audit was unqualified by their auditors resulting to corporate failure of two big companies in USA (Zimbelman 2012 et-al). Another instance could be drawn from a 2007 report from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) estimates that fraud in non-health insurance costs more than \$40 billion per year, or put another way, costs the average U.S. family between \$400 and \$700 per year in increased premium (FBI 2009).

Other, instance of corporate financial fraud could be drawn from recent bank failure in Nigeria where management has fraudulently given loans without board approval and yet such bank annual report has been unqualified. From the above it could be said that the external auditors have continued to certify fraudulent financial statement as unqualified audit report thus, resulting to impoverishment of investors and most times corporate collapse and economic crisis. Since the external auditors has refused to accept the responsibility of detecting financial fraud, rather has claimed to be only responsible of laying credence to financial statement this



has created a gap between the users of accounting information and accounting profession. This gap is known as Audit Expectation gap (Adeniji 2004 and Ekwueme 2000). Hence, the failure of statutory audit to prevent and reduce fraudulent activities in corporate governance had necessitated the need for forensic Accounting.

In view of the above problems, this study examines the role of forensic auditor in combacting fraudulent activities and its impact in corporate governance.

#### 3. Objective of the Study

In view of the above problem this study considered the following,

- 1. Examining the roles of forensic Auditor in combating fraudulent activities in corporate organization.
- 2. Determining the characteristics required by forensic auditors as to combat fraudulent activities .
- 3. Differentiating between Forensic Auditor and Financial Auditor...
- 4. Ascertaining the impact of forensic auditor in corporate governance.

#### 4. Literature Review

#### 4.1 Concepts of forensic Auditor

The term forensic means "relating to the application of scientific knowledge to legal problems or usable in a court of law" (Bolgana and Robert 1985) Webster dictionary defines forensic as belonging to; used in, or suitable to courts of judicature or to public discussions and debate. Thus, from the above definition it could be said that forensic Auditors are experts in financial matters who are trained in detecting, investigating and deterring fraud and white collar crimes which are to be presented to court for legal action or to public discussion and debate. This is in line with (Messier 2000) definition which states that forensic auditors are fraud examiners employed by corporations, government agencies public accounting firm and are trained by Association of certified fraud examiners (ACFE) on areas which covers, fraudulent financial transactions, legal elements of fraud investigation, criminology and ethics.

Singleton and Singleton (2004) states that according to some, Forensic accounting is one of the oldest professions and dates back to the Egyptians. The "eyes and ears" of the king was a person who basically served as a forensic accountant for pharaoh, watchful over inventories of grain, gold and other assets. From the above definitions it can be said that forensic auditor are financial experts which use and applies scientific knowledge to detect fraud and crime in legal matters, which may be criminal or civil disputes.

According to AICPA (2008) forensic Accounting services generally involve application of special skills in auditing, accounting, quantitative methods, finance, specific areas of the law, information and computer technologies research and investigative skills to collate, analyze, and evaluate evidential matter which in the forensic area is called the evidence. From the above it could be said that forensic auditor possess expertise skill and can be called to carry out investigation on financial matter which may be used in law court and also he can be called to act in the law court to give evidence on issues relating to financial fraud.

#### 5. Activities being carried out by Forensic Auditors

Institute of forensic auditors (IFA) defines forensic activity as the activity that consists of gathering, verifying, processing, analyzing of and reporting on data in order to obtain facts and or evidence in a predefined context in the area of legal financial disputes and or regulative (including fraud) and giving preventative advice. From the above one can say that a forensic auditor is an expert in accounting with experiences and special skill in Auditing, Fraud detection and criminology that carries out investigation which is usable in the Law Court. In order to understand activities been carried out by the forensic auditor there is need to know the objective of forensic audit.

#### 6. Objectives of Forensic Audit

The objectives of forensic audit will help us to understand the role of forensic auditor and its impact on corporate governance. These objectives are;

- To improve management accountability.
- To improve corporate governance and the statutory audit function.
- To improving financial reporting system.
- Help in detecting financial fraud.
- Help in strengthening auditors independence
- Providing additional assurance for audit committees.



- Financial statement auditors to take greater responsibility for the detection of fraud and illegal acts when auditing financial statement due to the fact that another set of auditors (forensic auditors) would be critically evaluating their role.
- Forensic audits conceivably could give the audit committees tool to better evaluate the quality of the financial statement audited by the external auditor.

The above objectives could be said to have stronger impact on corporate governance, since the forensic auditor is expected to go beyond normal audit as to search for fraud and investigate deeper using more sophisticated scientific analytical tools and software packages to detect fraudulent activities perpetrated by the management and their employees or fraud which the external auditor has conceivable connived with the management to cover.

# 7. Table 1. Differences between Forensic Auditor and Financial Auditor Forensic Auditor Financial Auditor

Forensic auditor or Non-statutory auditor is employed or appointed by organization to resolve allegations and detect or prevent fraudulent activities suspected or envisaged in the organization. Thus, Forensic auditors carry out investigation as to resolve allegations on fraudulent financial matters through court. Thus, it is not a normal audit but audit which may or may not follow auditing procedures and GAAP in carrying out its function. Zimbeldam etal (2012) states that, such audit is a proactive audit which goes beyond normal audit procedures.

Financial auditor or statutory auditor is usually appointed to carryout statutory audit. The financial audit is usually carried out to satisfy statutory requirements, and ensure that accounts prepared/maintained are in line with GAAP. Millichamp (1990) states that the statutory audit is a compulsory audit which ensures that financial statement are in line with GAAP. From the above, it can be said that statutory auditor may or may not go beyond the procedural audit since he is not compelled by law to search for fraud but rather in carrying out its audit function if fraud is detected he will unveil it.

Forensic audit is directed at specific allegations-Forensic Auditors carries out investigation on specific allegations. Hence he has much time to investigate and analyze thoroughly specific fraudulent financial issue with emphasis of using such as evidence in law court in case of dispute (Albrecht and Albrecht 2009). Financial audit carried out by the financial auditor is general in nature. According to Millucky and Mac (2013) Financial audit is carried out on general financial matters (that means the auditor considers all issues which concerns all relating to accounting).

Forensic Auditor is not apt to accept explanations and documents at face value – Forensic Auditors is not apt to accept explanations and documents at face value. (Cole 2009). From the above the forensic auditor is expected to always go beyond the normal audit and search for fraud which are to be reported to who so ever have appointed the forensic auditor or to be used as expert evidence in the law courts

Financial auditors usually do not burrow deeper into documents and explanations – This means that financial auditor usually do not carry out detailed analytical study of financial documents and explanations rather the try to lay credence that accounts prepared by management and their employees are in line with GAAP and statutory regulation. (Cole 2009)

#### 8. Responsibilities of Forensic Auditors in combating Fraudulent Activities.

The forensic auditors or fraud auditors have the responsibilities that are listed below: **1.Conducting Investigation:** The forensic auditor does not carry out procedural audit, but carries an audit which conducts investigation as to detect fraud or crime using computer programs or scientific knowledge. This means the forensic auditor should have the capability to use computer forensic tools that could be both software and hardware in carrying out its function as to detect or prevent fraudulent activities. Thus by using the computer forensic tools in carrying out his responsibilities, sophisticated fraudulent activities can be combated. Owojori and Asaolu (2009) states that the failure of the statutory audit and the sophisticated financial fraud had led to the need for forensic audit. Thus, the forensic auditor could be said to have special tool for conducting investigation as to detect and prevent fraudulent activities thereby combating financial fraud. An example of software packages used to combat fraud is caseware software. Zimbelman( 1997) states that being a fraud investigator is very much like being a physician, it requires significant amount of diagnostic and explanatory work to discover what is really happening. In view of the above it can be said that the forensic auditor goes beyond the normal



audit as to unveil fraudulent activities using forensic software tools in conducting and investigating transactions and events

- **8.1 Analyzing Financial Transaction:** Forensic auditor in carrying out his function analyzes financial transaction involving unauthorized transfers of cash between companies. (Owojori and Asolu 2009). Cole (2009) states that the forensic auditors are required to have special skills in inspecting documents for authenticity, alteration, forgery or counterfeiting. Hence, by possessing such skills, the forensic auditor in carrying out his duties can easily detect errors, fraudulent activities and omissions thereby preventing and reducing fraudulent activities. zimbleman et-al (2012) states that the forensic auditor is responsible for analyzing, identifying the kinds of fraud that could occur and their symptoms. Thus, since forensic auditor is a fraud detector that has the capabilities to analyze financial transaction which will help him to easily detect errors, fraudulent activities and omissions that may be presented for litigation or sent to the audit committee as to enable audit committee to evaluate the quality of financial statement audit, this will help in combating fraudulent activities.
- **8.2 Reconstruction of incomplete accounting records:** The forensic auditor in carrying out his function reconstructs incomplete accounting records as to settle insurance claims, over inventory valuation, proving money laundering activities by reconstructing cash transactions. (Owojori and Asaolu 2009)

In order to combat fraudulent activities, the forensic auditor with his skills (Technological, communication and expertise skills) in accounting knowledge can reconstruct incomplete accounting records, hence helping to detect and prevent fraud and ensuring good internal control system and good corporate governance.

- **8.3 Embezzlement investigation:** In carrying out embezzlement investigation and providing documentation, and negotiation of insurance settlements the forensic auditor uses his special skill and experience, thus helping to detect the culprit and amount embezzled. Cabole (2009) states that what forensic auditors do includes:
- i. Fraud detection, documentation and presentation in criminal trials and claims.
- ii. Calculate economic damages; trace income and assets, often in an attempt to find hidden assets or income
- iii. Reconstruction of financial statement that may have been destroyed or manipulated.
- iv. Expert witness.

The above responsibilities listed by Cabole (2009) and Wallace (2009) shows that the forensic auditors must be specialist (experts) in financial matters and must have legal knowledge which could enable him detect fraudulent activities which are to be presented in the law suit. This is in line with Owojori and Asaolu (2009) which states the major contribution of the forensic accountant is to translate complex financial transactions and numerical data into terms that ordinary lay persons can understand. The above responsibilities carried out by the forensic auditor requires that the forensic auditor being a fraud fighting professional possesses certain characteristic which enables him to carry out his responsibilities effectively.

#### 9. Characteristics of Forensic Auditors

The forensic auditors should possess the following characteristics as to enable him detect fraudulent activities which have been perpetrated.

- **9.1 High level of competence:** The forensic auditors since his task is to detect financial fraud, he is expected to be an expert in accounting and also in detecting financial crimes and criminal issues using specialized computer programs of which he must be well competent. Thus, the forensic Auditors is expected to have undergone specialist training in Accounting, Auditing, Criminology, sociology and fraud investigation (civil and criminal) (Association of certified fraud examiners 2008). From the above it can be said that the forensic auditor is not only a professional in accounting but also have knowledge in other fields of study which enables him to carry his function effectively. Wallace (2009) listed the minimum requirement a forensic Accountant must process to include:
  - An ability to review a large volume of documentation ranging from the more usual accounting records and management information system to memos, correspondence and other less obviously financial data and to extract the key issues quickly.
  - ❖ A sound understanding of peculiarity of various business methods.
  - A sense of urgency and commitment which will ensure prompt response when required however slow civil litigation may appear to the on looker or even to the participant's in a dispute.
  - Adherence of strict time table even when needs arises to work for more hours to meet targeted time.
  - ❖ Most essentially, an ability to appear objectives and professional even when taking part in the inherently partisan process of a court case.



From the above it could be said that forensic auditors are expected to possess analytical skill, communication skill and technological skill. These skills are special skills which enable the forensic auditor to combat fraudulent activities.

#### 10. Special Skills Possessed by the Forensic Auditors

The forensic auditor requires special skills in auditing, accounting quantitative methods, finance specific areas of the law, information and computer technologies, research and investigative skills as to be able to collate analyze and evaluate evidential matter which in the forensic area is called evidence. (Forensic and compliance institute 2009).

**Communications skills:**- forensic auditor should have a good interactive skill. According to Nathan (2009) the forensic auditor to detect fraudulent activities requires special skills which includes;

- Be good interviewer
- Having Ability to observe behavior especially during interviews
- Ability to Reconstruct financial transaction through information obtained from the parties, Know the legal implications of evidence obtained.

**Technical/financial skill**: - The most important skill required by forensic auditor as to detect fraudulent activities should have Solid technical accounting and financial skills (Nathan 2009).

The basis of your "expertise", ability to quickly prioritize issues and map out a "game plan" – good judgment: ability to communicate well both verbally and in writing – is necessary to obtaining information, directing your staff. Presenting your findings, and achieving your desired results. Even the best planned and executed assignment can fall if you are unable to clearly and concisely present your findings.

**Analytical skill**: - A forensic auditor needs to be precise, pay attention to detail, and be a broad thinker: that is, not suffer from tunnel vision. (Singleton and singleton 2004)

**Investigating skill**: - When looking at a given forensic accounting engagement, there are two major areas that come to mind in the completion of a given case. First, there is the investigative aspect, and second, the communication aspect. Investigative skills would include areas such as the ability to assimilate to large volumes of information, general organization and administration skills, use the microcomputer or understand the abilities of the microcomputer, and interpersonal skills. Communication skills would include the ability to write a comprehensive report understandably.

**Honesty and High integrity:-** Since the forensic auditor is expected to detect fraud, he is expected to be of high integrity and honesty in carrying out his functions. According to CPA (2009) the forensic accountants are vital allies in the fight against fraud and provides assistant to the Audit committee hence he is expected to show high integrity and honesty in carrying out his function.

#### 11. Impact of Forensic Auditor in Corporate Governance

11.1 Improve Management Accountability: - Management is accountable to shareholder, and other stakeholders. Management of companies are said to be solely responsible for preparing Accounts and maintaining adequate proper financial records (Millichamp 2002). More so, they are responsible for detecting and preventing fraud in their organization, while the external auditor responsibility is to ensure that account prepared by companies management are in line with general accepted accounting principle (GAAP) and statute. However the external auditors in carrying out their duties may unveil fraud if such is discovered. The external auditor has refused to accept responsibility to detect fraud. Although the SAS 55 has required the external auditor to report material misstatement and errors arisen due to fraudulent activities.

Nevertheless, the above responsibility functions have not been accepted by auditors; thus resulting to corporate fraud and most times corporate failure and poor corporate governance. In order to ensure proper accountability and prevent fraud by the management the forensic auditor been an expert in financial fraud matters with special skills in scientific knowledge and legal matters have helped management to improve their role by providing soft ware packages which enables management to easily detect and prevent fraudulent activities. Also, since management are aware that the forensic auditor may be called upon by shareholders/External auditors and audit committee at any time to investigate and detect financial fraud, the management will be more diligent in carrying out its function effectively knowing full well that the forensic auditor is a crime detector. The directors being aware that the forensic auditors may be invited to detect and prevent fraudulent activities, they will most time ensure that their organization has a good internal control system, checks and balances which are transparent, thereby positively influencing corporate governance.

Ramaswamy (2009) states that the failure of the corporate communication structure has made the financial community realize that there is a great need for skilled professionals that can identify, expose, and prevent



weaknesses in three key areas: poor corporate governance, flawed internal controls, and fraudulent financial statement. Forensic accounting skills are becoming increasingly relied upon within a corporate reporting system that emphasizes its accountability and responsibility to stakeholders. From the statement Forensic Auditor with his expert knowledge in crime will help to improve corporate reporting system designed by management which will expose and prevent poor governance, flawed internal control and fraudulent financial statement.

#### 11.2 Improve corporate governance and statutory Audit Function:

Statutory Audit is an audit required by law as to lay credence to financial statement and ensure that adequate and proper financial records have been maintained as required by statutes and GAAP and regulations.

However, the external auditor in carrying out audit function has not been able to carry his function effectively due to its mode of appointment. The external auditor by law is required to be appointed by shareholders, most times the management on behalf of the shareholders appoint the external auditor whose book of account is being examined (audited). Thus, auditors most times, may not report cases of fraud perpetrated by the management to the shareholders (owners). In view of the above it can be said that the mode of appointment of the external auditor has negatively affected external auditors performances in carrying out its corporate governance function effectively thereby making investors to lose confidence in annual corporate reports of companies (Lee and walker 2001). An instance of this could be draw from Arthur Andersen of Enron and world Com. auditor who were indicted for obvious fraudulent financial statement which affected investors and economy of U.S. (Zimbleman et al 2012). Nevertheless, the issue of fraud in corporate governance can be reduced due to use of The forensic auditor with his special skills (that is Analytical, Communication and Technological skill) can reduce fraud and improve corporate governance by in stilling fear both to the management and their employees when carrying out his audit function. More so, the external auditor been appointed by management will no more have fear in reporting fraudulent activities performed by management and their employees, since he has the right to assign material misstatement due to fraud to the forensic specialist. According to SAS 99 external auditors are provided guidance that has the potential to improve audit quality in detecting material financial misstatements, whether caused by fraud or error. SAS No. 99 includes the suggestion that an "auditor may respond to an identified risk of material misstatement due to fraud by assigning forensic specialist(AICPA 2002). From the above, the forensic auditor may improve corporate governance and statutory audit function by using specialist skill to help statutory auditor to detect fraudulent activities which the external auditor may not discover as he has no expertise skill and knowledge in detecting modern sophisticated fraudulent activities in organizations.

11.3 Strengthening Auditors Independence: Most times external auditors are afraid to report case of fraud committed by management to the shareholders even when such fraud is obvious. Thus this impairs auditors independence as they are usually afraid to lose their job since most times they are been appointed by the management who prepares accounts that is also being audited (Albrecht and Dunn 2001). According to Albrecht (2001) Improving financial reporting system with expertise knowledge and skill in both financial matters, the forensic financial reporting system through use of proactive method of fraud detection have strengthened external auditors independence, hence improving corporate governance. From the above it could be said that the use of forensic auditor tools acts as guide to the external auditor in carrying out statutory audit functions and thus this has strengthened auditor independence. From the above it could be seen that through use of practice fraud detection method.

#### 11.4 Assist Audit Committee Member

Forensic auditors assist audit committee members in carrying out oversight functions by providing better tools to evaluate the quality of the normal financial statement audit produced by the external auditors. According to Zimbelman etal (2012) the forensic auditor in carrying out his responsibilities of controlling fraudulent activities use data driven fraud detection tool which is proactive unlike the traditional approach which is reactive and is been adopted by the external auditor in carrying statutory audit functions. Some of the data analysis softwares used by forensic auditor are ACL Audit Analytics, Case ware ideas and Picalo. These software analytical tools are detectlect which not only detect fraud but also tries to search symptoms of fraud (Albrecht and Dunn 2001)). The above tools conceivably enable the audit committees members to ensure that quality assurance audit report have been produced by the external auditor (who lay credence to financial statement) and management who are charge with the responsibilities of preparing accounts, detection and prevention of fraudulent activities thereby creating good accounting system controls(internal control)

.Moreso, since the external auditor and internal auditor are aware that organization may at anytime appoint or employ a forensic auditor to help to investigate and detect financial fraud there is greater responsibility to financial statement auditors to diligently and



effectively carry out his functions and report on fraudulent activities observed this thus, will enhance good governance.

#### 11.5 Helping in Detecting Financial Fraud

With the use of analytical and technological skill, the forensic auditor can easily detect financial fraud perpetrated by management thereby preventing corporate failure and ensuring good corporate governance. Cleary and Thibodean (2005) states that the forensic auditor knows how to detect and prevent business fraud using Benford law (a fraud analytical digital tool). Thus, from the above, it could be said that forensic auditors in helping to detect and prevent fraudulent activities could be seen to have helped organizations in reducing financial fraud which most time had led to corporate collapse.

11.6 Providing Financial Statement Auditors To Take Great Responsibility For The Detection Of Fraud And Illegal Acts When Auditing Financial Statement:- The external auditors are given greater responsibility for detection of fraudulent activities and illegal acts when auditing financial statement. This greater responsibility will help to improve corporate governance which ensures that corporate objective is been achieved or improved. Previously, the external auditor in carrying out his audit functions has refused to accept responsibility for fraudulent activities. However, the recent pronouncement of SAS 99 requires external auditors to provide guidance that has potential to improve audit quality in detecting material financial misstatement whether caused by fraud or error. More so, greater responsibility have been assigned to external auditor according SAS 99 suggest that auditors can assign forensic specialist where identified risk of material misstatement has arisen due to fraud. Hence, the forensic auditors are expected to use their expertise skill to help external auditors detect fraudulent activities which has arisen due to material misstatement.

#### 12. Methodology

This study is a theoretical study of the impact of forensic auditor in corporate governance which employed the secondary source of data collection by making use of available literature on forensic auditors and its impact on corporate governance'.

#### 13. Conclusion

Since the forensic auditors possess special skills and knowledge which can easily detect and prevent fraudulent activities, this definitely will enable the corporate governance mechanism (external auditor, audit committee and management) in carrying out their responsibility of achieving corporate goals and reducing financial fraud. Thus, the forensic auditor could be said to have impacted effectively to the corporate governance of the organization by improving the management accountability, and financial reporting system, strengthening independence of the external auditor, assisting audit committee members in their oversight functions by providing additional assurance and giving greater responsibility to the external auditor in carry out their statutory audit functions. Thus, this will definitely help to reduce corporate collapse and impoverishment of investors thereby influencing corporate growth and achievement of overall corporate objectives.

#### References

Adeniji, A. A. (2004), Audit and investigation, EL TOD ventures Ltd, Lagos, Nigeria.

Adewumi, B. and Toluyemi, T. (2000), Auditing and Corporate Transparency, Evans publishing Nigeria, NIG

Albrecht, C.C. (2001), "Proactively detecting fraud", Financial post, 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2001, 13-15

Albrecht, C.C. and Albrecht, C.O. (2009), "Data driven fraud detection using detectless", Journal of forensic and Investigation accounting vol.1 No.1, pp. 1-24.

Albrecht, W. S, and Willingham, J.J. (1993), "An Evaluation of SAS No 53: The Auditor's responsibility to

and Report Errors and Irregularities", The Expectation gap Standards: Progress, Implementation Issues, research

Opportunities (New York: AICPA, 1993).

Albrecht, C.C. and Dunn, J.G (2001), "Can Auditors detect fraud?", Journal of Forensic Accounting. Vol.1,pp. 213-



219

American Institute of certified Public Accountants, (AICPA) (2002), Consideration of fraud in a financial statement

audit. Statement on auditing standards No 99 (New York: AICPA, 2000

Anaeto, E. (1993), "Distress Bungles Refund of Investor monies", Business Times Daily Times Plc Lagos, 10 May, 1993, P.20

Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (2008), Report on the nation occupational fraud and abuse Austin Texas:

Association of Certified Fraud Examiner (ACFE) (2004), "Report to the Nation. The number one method of detection is tip and the number three method is accident", Austin Texas,

Beasley, M.S.(1996), "An Empirical Analysis of the Relation Between the Board of Director Composition and Financial Statement Fraud", The Accounting Review (October 1996): pp 443-465.

Bolgana, G. J. and Robert, J. L. (1985), Fraud Auditing and forensic Accounting ,John Willey and Sons, New York,

NY.

Cabole, N. (2009), Forensic Accounting: A paper presented in the Hilton Hotel Lagos, 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2009

Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Journal New York. Available at <a href="http://c:/user/document">http://c:/user/document</a>, accessed on 1st June, 2013.

Cleary, R. and Thibodeous, J.C. (2005), Applying Digital Analysis using Benfords law to detect fraud, Auditing:
A
Journal of practice and theory. pp 77-81.

Cole, C. (2009), Forensic Audit, paper presented Hilton Hotel Lagos 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2009. Unpublished

Deloittee and Touche (2006), "Integrity and Quality corporate Governance", available at https://www.google.com.ng/search?q=Deloittee+and+Touche, accessed on 10<sup>th</sup> November,2006.

Ekwueme, S.C. (2000), "Auditing Bridging the Expectation Gap", ICAN News, April/June 2000.

Eyisi, A.S.and Agbaeze, E.K.(2007), The role of auditors in corporate governance. Nigeria journal of banking and finance UNN, vol 7(1), March 19-28

Federal Bureau Investigation (FBI) *Insurance Fraud Report*, (2009). Available at <a href="https://www.fbi.gov/publications/fraud/insurance fraud.html">https://www.fbi.gov/publications/fraud/insurance fraud.html</a>, accessed on 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2009.

Lee, C. and Welker, R. B (2010), "Does Familiarity with an interviewees while lying make it easier to detect the interviewees deception?", Journal of forensic and investigation Accounting, vol 4 (1) 319-368

Messier, W.F. (2000) Auditing and Assurance services. A systematic Approach McGraw Hill United States of America Pg 15

Millichamp, A.H (1990), Auditing an Instructional Manual for Accounting Students 4<sup>th</sup> edition DP Publications, London

Millichamp, A.H. (2002), Auditing, Pg. 158. London Bath Press



Milucky, J. J. and Mac, .G. Forensic Accounting Specialist Available at <a href="https://www.servicesofforensicauditor.html">https://www.servicesofforensicauditor.html</a>, accessed on 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2013.

Neuman, K. and Oconor, .M. (2008), *The forensic Accountants evolving Role*. Available at Mile/C./Users/User/Documents/RGL Forensic, accessed on 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2013.

Ngini, .M. (2011), Forensic Analytics methods and Technology for forensic Accounting Investigation Hoboke NJ

John Willey and Sons Inc. New York, NY books

Owoyemi, L. (2003), "Bridging the Audit Expectation gap". The Guardian, 18<sup>th</sup> July, pp 2-4

Owojori, A.A. and Asaolu, T.O. (2009), "The Role of forensic Accounting in solving the vexed problem of corporate

world". European Journal of scientific Research 29 No (2) P183-187.

Ramaswany, .V. (2009), *Corporate Governance and the Forensic Accountant*, The Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Journal New York accessed online 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2013.

Random House Webster College Dictionary. Available at <a href="https://housewebstercollegedictionary?//ask.com">https://housewebstercollegedictionary?//ask.com</a>, accessed on 6<sup>th</sup> January, 2013.

Rezae, .Z. (2009), "Forensic evidence gathering procedures for employee stock options" Journal of forensic and Investigative Accounting vol (2) pp 1-20

Rezae, Z. (2005), "Causes, Consequence and Deterrence of financial statement fraud". Critical perspectives on Accounting (April 2005) 277-298

Singleton, T.W. and Singleton, A.J. (2004), Fraud Auditing and Forensic Accounting the 4<sup>th</sup> edition John Wiley and sons Inc New Jersey U.S.A.

Unyimadu, S.O. (2000), Bank Management Issue in Money, Bank Lending and credit Administration. Mindex Publishing Company, Benin Nigeria.

Wallace, .A. (1991), The role of Forensic Nigerian Accountant. November 1991

Webster's New World Dictionary (1964), "Forensic Accountant", College Edition (Cleveland and World publishing,

New York),pp 380

Wikipedia Encyclopedia. Forensic Accountant. Available at <a href="https://c//forensicaccountant/wikipedia.html">https://c//forensicaccountant/wikipedia.html</a>, accessed on 3<sup>rd</sup> January, 2013.

Zimbleman, M. F., Albrecht, C.C., Albrecht, S.W. and Albrecht, C.O. (2012), Forensic Accounting, Cengage Learning International, Canada, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition pp 429

Zimbleman, M.K. (1997), "The Effects of SAS No. 82 on Auditors' Attention to Fraud Risk Factors and Audit Planning", Journal of Accounting Research (Supplement 1997): pp 75-97.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: <a href="http://www.iiste.org">http://www.iiste.org</a>

#### CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <a href="http://www.iiste.org/journals/">http://www.iiste.org/journals/</a> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

#### MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <a href="http://www.iiste.org/book/">http://www.iiste.org/book/</a>

Recent conferences: <a href="http://www.iiste.org/conference/">http://www.iiste.org/conference/</a>

### **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

























