Studies on Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient for Yield and Its Component Traits in Wheat "(Triticum aestivum L.em.Thell.)"

Anurag Kumar^{1*} Lokendra Singh¹ Kanhaiya Lal² Ashvani Kumar² Kuladeep Yadav² 1.Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur - 208002 (U.P.), India 2 Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology

2.Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad - 224229 (U.P.), India

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out taking collection of fifty wheat genotype from different eco-geographic origin. Data on eight quantitative characters *viz*; Plant height, reproductive tillers/plant, length of spike, spikelets/spike, days to maturity, grains/spike, test weight and grain yield/plant were recorded. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed significant differences among all the genotypes for almost all the traits under study. The genotypes showed moderate to high level of genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV). The magnitudes of phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) for all the characters were slightly higher than their corresponding genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV), indicated very less environmental influence on the expression of the characters. Higher estimate of GCV (15.55) was recorded for Length of spike followed by grain yield per plant (14.91). Analysis of correlation revealed that in general, the magnitude of genotypic correlation coefficients was higher than the phenotypic correlation coefficients, suggesting the existence of inherent association among the traits studied. Plant height, reproductive tillers per plant and also having maximum direct positive effect on it. The studies suggest that the selection pressure should be exercised simultaneously on plant height, reproductive tillers per plant, spikelets per spike, grains per spike and test weight to obtain maximum yield.

Keywords: Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), Spikelets, variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis.

Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L. em. Thell.; 2n=42), a member of graminae (Poaceae) family belongs to the genus Triticum, is the main cereal crop. It has unique place among the cereals. Bread wheat is an allohexaploid species with 2n=42 chromosome having genome AABBDD. Wheat is the most important food crop of the world. Globally the area under wheat is 220.41 million hectares with a production of 729.01 million tonnes and productivity of 3307.4 kg/ha (FAO, 2014).

Wheat has versatile nature because it has high yield potential and can be grown easily in different agroecological conditions but almost all the wheat varieties are low in protein content as well as in essential amino acids such as lysine and tryptophan. Having such a yielding ability and nutritional value there is an imperative need to improve the quality of grains as a sizeable protein as well as quantity of wheat grains to cater the ever increasing demand of the population. Yield being a complex character is a function of several component characters and their interaction with environment. Proving of structure of yields involves assessment of mutual relationship among various characters contributing to the yield. In this regard genotypic and phenotypic correlation reveals the degree of association between different characters and thus aid in selection to improve the yield and its contributing characters simultaneously. Further path coefficient analysis help in partitioning of correlation coefficients into direct and indirect effects and in the assessment of relative contribution of each components character to the yield. Keeping all these problems in the consideration the present investigation was done to assess the extent of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient for yield and different yield contributing traits.

Material and Method

The present investigation was carried out during Rabi 2016-17 at crop research farm of Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) using Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications to work out the status of genetic variability, association of different seed yield traits and direct & indirect effects of these traits on seed yield in fifty genotypes/lines of wheat. These lines were taken from the germplasm maintained, in the Genetics and Plant breeding department of the university. Each genotype was sown in two lines of 5.0 m long with 23 cm wide plot and 5 cm plant to plant distance. The observations were recorded on five randomly taken plants for eight quantitative characters *viz.*, Plant height (cm), Number of reproductive tillers per plant, Length of spike (cm), Number of spikelets per spike, Days to maturity, Number of

grains per spike, Test weight (g), Grain yield per plant (g). Crop research farm is situated between 26.4607^{0} N latitude, 80.3334^{0} E longitude and at a altitude of 126 m above the mean sea level, near company bag, Kanpur. Kanpur district has humid sub tropical climate and low temperature in winter season and high temperature in summer season. The experimental data collected in respect of eight characters on 50 wheat genotypes were compiled by taking the mean values of selected plants in each plot and subjected for Analysis of variance, Estimation of correlation coefficients (**Searle, 1961**) and Path coefficient analysis (**Dewey and Lu, 1959**).

Table 1. A		i eignt en	al acters in wi	icat – (m	call sull of squ	aresj				Table 1. Three viries eight characters in wheat (incan sum of squares)											
Source	Degree	Plant	Reproducti	Lengt	Spikelets/spi	Days to	Grai	Test	Grain												
of	of	height	ve	h of	ke	maturi	ns	weight(yield/pla												
variation	freedo	(cm)	tillers/plan	spike		ty	/spik	g)	nt												
	m		t	(cm)			e		(g)	ļ											
			(cm)																		
Replicati	2	2.51	0.000	0.111	0.380	0.607	0.541	0.0450	0.128												
on																					
Treatmen	49	194.52	2.24**	6.64**	5.55**	25.54**	37.03	17.40**	9.76**	1											
t		**					**														
Error	98	2.45	0.073	0.203	0.241	2.04	1.04	0.048	0.334												

Table 1: ANOVA for eight characters in wheat – (mean sum of squares)

*Significant at 5 % level of significance

**Significant at 1% level of significance

Table 2: Mean, range, standard deviation and coefficient of variation (%) for 8 characters in wheat:

S.	Characters	Range		Grand	Standard	Coefficient of	
No.		Minimum	Maximum	mean	deviation	variation	
1	Plant height(cm)	61.54	99.60	78.00	1.57	2.01	
2	Productive tillers/plant	5.80	9.60	7.92	0.27	3.40	
	Length of spike	6.47	13.60	9.42	0.45	4.79	
3	(cm)						
4	Spikelets/spike	13.60	20.33	17.86	0.49	2.75	
5	Days to maturity	113.00	125.00	119.31	1.42	1.19	
6	Grains/spike	34.40	48.27	41.60	1.02	2.45	
7	Test weight(gm)	31.60	40.60	36.76	0.22	0.60	
8	Grain yield/Plant	8.33	15.47	11.90	0.58	4.86	

Table 3: Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation for 8 characters in wheat:

S. No.	Characters	PCV	GCV
1	Plant height(cm)	10.45	10.26
2	Productive tillers/plant	11.27	10.74
3	Length of spike	16.28	15.55
4	Spikelets/spike	7.95	7.46
5	Days to maturity	2.63	2.35
6	Grains/spike	8.68	8.33
7	Test weight	6.57	6.54
8	Grain yield/ plant	15.68	14.91



Table 4: Phenotypic (above diagonal) and genotypic (below diagonal) correlation coefficients in wheat:

Characters	Plant Reproductiv		Lengt	Spikelets/spik	Days to	Grain	Test	Grain
	heigh	e	h of	e	maturit	S	weight	yield/plan
	t	tillers/plant	spike		У	/spike		t
	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)					
Plant	Rp	0.280**	0.095	0.212**	-0.035	0.041	0.230*	0.233**
height(cm)	rg						*	
Reproductive	0.302	rp	0.179*	0.354**	0.028	0.023	0.132	0.595**
tillers/plant(c		rg						
m)								
Length of	0.095	0.191	rp	0.321**	-0.157	0.125	-0.043	0.112
spike (cm)			rg					
Spikelets/spike	0.236	0.402	0.356	rp	0.092	-0.021	0.127	0.249**
				rg				
Days to	-	0.039	-0.187	0.110	rp	-0.070	0.117	-0.063
maturity	0.051				rg			
Grains/ spike	0.040	0.011	0.142	-0.012	-0.058	rp	-0.096	0.225**
						rg		
Test Weight	0.236	0.140	-0.043	0.137	0.137	-0.137	rp	0.346**
							rg	
Grain	0.252	0.672	0.121	0.286	-0.094	0.241	0.364	rp
yield/plant								rg

* and ** represent significant values at 5% and 1% level of significance

Table 5: Phenotypic direct and indirect effects of various traits on grain yield / Plant in wheat

	Characters	Plant	Reproductive	Length	Spikelets	Days	Grains /	Test
S.No.		height	tillers/plant	of	/spike	to	spike	weight
		(cm)		spike		maturity		
1	Plant	-0.001	-0.003	-0.000	-0.002	0.000	-0.000	-0.002
	height(cm)							
	Reproductive	0.153	0.545	0.097	0.193	0.015	0.012	0.072
2	tillers/plant							
	Length of	-0.003	-0.006	-0.329	-0.011	0.005	-0.004	0.001
3	Spike							
	Spikelets/spik	0.010	0.016	0.015	0.046	0.004	-0.001	0.006
4	e							
	Days to	0.004	-0.003	0.017	-0.010	-0.106	0.007	-0.012
5	maturity							
6	Grains / spike	0.010	0.005	0.030	-0.005	-0.017	0.239	-0.023
7	Test weight	0.070	0.040	-0.013	0.039	0.036	-0.029	0.304
	Correlation	0.233**	0.595**	0.112	0.250**	-0.063	0.225**	0.346**
	with yield							

3

GN	Glassian et al.								
S.No.	Characters	Plant	Reproductive	Length	Spikelets/spike	Days to	Grains	Test	
		height	tillers/plant	of		maturity	/ spike	weight	
		(cm)	1	snike		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		8	
	Dlant		0.012	0.004	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.000	
	Plant	-0.040	-0.012	-0.004	-0.009	0.002	-0.001	-0.009	
1	height(cm)								
	Reproductive	0.193	0.639	0.122	0.257	0.025	0.007	0.090	
2	tillers/plant								
	Length of	-0.006	-0.013	-0.67	-0.024	0.012	-0.009	0.003	
3	Spike								
	Spikelets/Spike	0.009	0.016	0.014	0.039	0.004	-0.000	0.005	
4									
	Days to	0.008	-0.007	0.031	-0.018	-0.167	0.010	-0.023	
5	Maturity								
	Grains / spike	0.011	0.003	0.038	-0.003	-0.016	0.269	-0.027	
6	_								
	Test weight	0.077	0.046	-0.014	0.045	0.045	-0.032	0.325	
7									
	Correlation	0.253	0.672	0.121	0.286	-0.094	0.242	0.364	
	with yield								

Table 6: Genotypic direct and indirect effects of various traits on grain yield / plant in wheat

Result and discussion

Analysis of variance was done for different traits and it is given in **Table 1**. Mean, range, SD and coefficient of variance is given in **Table 2**. GCV and PCV is given in **Table 3**. Bar graph for GCV and PCV is given in **Figure 3A**.

Magnitude and nature of variability present in a population is a pre- requisite for any crop improvement programme. Variation in population is a result of its genotype, environment and genotype x environment interactions. Only heritable component of variation is of prime importance from breeding point of view. So it is necessary to divide the total variability into its heritable and non-heritable component of variation.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed significant differences among all the genotypes for almost all the traits under study. Similar results were also reported by Ali *et al.* (2016), Kallim Ullah *et al.* (2012) and Lal *et al.* (2009). The magnitudes of phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) for all the characters were slightly higher than their corresponding genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV), indicated very less environmental influence on the expression of the characters. Same results were also reported by Kumar *et al.* (2013) and Singh and Sharma (2007).

Present study revealed that maximum phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation was observed for length of spike (16.28) and (15.55), respectively. It indicated that simple selection for length of spike may be more advantageous as compared to other yield contributing characters under study. However, magnitude of others viz., grain yield per plant exhibited phenotypic coefficient of variation (15.68) and genotypic coefficient of variation (14.91), productive tillers per plant phenotypic coefficient of variation (11.27) and genotypic coefficient of variation (10.26), grains per spikes phenotypic coefficient of variation (10.45) and genotypic coefficient of variation (10.26), grains per spikes phenotypic coefficient of variation (8.68) and genotypic coefficient of variation (8.33), spikelets per spike showed phenotypic coefficient of variation(7.95) and genotypic coefficient of variation (7.46), test weight phenotypic coefficient of variation (6.57) and genotypic coefficient of variation (2.35) were found in diversity order respectively. High degree of phenotypic coefficient of variation providing sufficient scope for improvement of those characters. Genotypic coefficient of variation is more important than that of phenotypic coefficient of variation because higher amount of genotypic variation helps in formulation of effective breeding program for crop improvement.

The characters, length of spike, grain yield/plant, productive tillers/plant, plant height, grains/spike, spikelets/spike, test weight, days to maturity exhibited low environmental influence on the expression of the traits. This indicated availability of more chances of improvement through selection breeding programme. This result is in agreement of findings of **Dutamo** *et al.* (2015).

The efficiency of selection determines the success of any breeding programme. It is necessary to study the nature of association of the characters in relation to other relevant traits. The knowledge of correlation among yield and its contributing traits may help the plant breeder to determine the degree of association between them which help in improving the efficiency of selection under the force of favorable combinations.

In the present study correlation coefficient on genotypic and phenotypic levels between yield and its

component characters have been worked out and the results obtained are presented in **Table 4**. It revealed that there is a strong inherent association between the various characters. The plant height, reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spike, grains/spike and test weight significantly and positively correlated to yield. The results suggest that the number of spikes per plant, grains per spike and harvest index must be given preference in selection along with optimum plant height and days to flowering to select the superior wheat genotypes. **Subhani (2000)**, **Bergale** *et al.* (2002), Lad *et al.* (2003), Muhammad and Ehsan (2004) and Abdul *et al.* (2014) also reported similar results.

Phenotypic correlation coefficients:

Plant height had positive significant correlation with reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spike, test weight and grain yield. Reproductive tillers/plant had positive significant correlation with plant height, length of spike, spikelets/spike, and grain yield. Length of spike had positive significant correlation with reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spike. Spikelets/spike had positive significant correlation with plant height, reproductive tillers/plant, length of spike and grain yield. Grains/spike had positive significant correlation with grain yield. Test weight had positive significant correlation with plant height, reproductive and significant correlation with plant height, reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spike, test weight. The positive associations of these characters were show significant value with yield.

Genotypic correlation coefficient:

Plant height had positive high correlation value with reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spike, grain yield/plant and test weight. Reproductive tillers/plant has positive high correlation value with plant height, spikelets/spike and grain yield. Length of spikelet positive high correlation value with reproductive tillers/plant and spikelets/spike. Spikelets/spike had positive high correlation value with plant height, reproductive tillers/plant, length of spike and grain yield. Days to maturity had positive and low correlation value with reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spike and test weight. Grains/spike has positive high correlation value with grain yield. Test weight had positive high correlation value with plant height and grain yield. Grain yield had positive high value correlation with plant height, reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spike, grains/spike and test weight. These characters showed positive significance with yield. These characters showed that if these characters are increased then yield will also increase.

Path coefficient analysis:

Coefficient of correlation measures the degree and association between two characters. However, this may not give true picture under complex situation. Under such conditions, path coefficient analysis provides a means of measuring the direct as well as indirect effect via other variables on the end product by partitioning correlation coefficients. The direct and indirect effects on grain yield were estimated for all characters under study, which provided a better index for selection rather than correlation coefficient.

The result obtained presented in **table 5** and **Table 6** which indicated that at both phenotype and genotype levels reproductive tillers/plant, spikelets/spikes, grains/spike and test weight had high positive direct effect on grain yield. Similar findings were also reported earlier by Lad *et al.* (2003), Saktipada *et al.* (2008).

REFERENCES

Abdul B., Shahla K. B., Siraj A.C., Abdul M. B., Ali M., Masood A. J. and Gul M. B. (2014) Character association and heritability analysis in elite bread wheat cultivars *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*,5(2) 216-233.

Ali T., Bhardwaj D.N., Singh L. (2016) Environment and Ecology 35(3) 2081-2083.

- Bergale S., Holkar A. S., Ruwali K.N. and Prasad V. S. (2002). Pattern of variability, character association and path analysis in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest*. 22 (4): 258-260.
- Dutamo D., Sentayehu A., Firdisa E. and Gezahegn F. (2015). Genetic Variability in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) *Journal of Biology*, 5 (13). 130-145.
- Dewey et al. (1959). Correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron. J., 51: 515-518.

FAO, 2014. Statistical database. www.fao.org

- Kallim U. K., Irfaq M,Rahman H.U. (2012). Genetic Variability, correlation and diversity studies in bread wheat (*Triticum. aestivum* L.). *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 22 (2)330-333.
- Kumar N., Markar S., Kumar V. (2013). Studies on heritability and genetic advance estimates in timely sown bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Biosci. Disc.*, **5**(1):64-69.
- Lad D.B., Bangar N.D., Bhor T. J., and Biradar A.B. (2003). Correlation and path-coefficient analysis in wheat. *Journal of Maharashtra Agric. Universities.* 28 (1): 23-25.
- Lal B.K., Ruchig M. and Upadhyay A. (2009). Genetic variability, diversity and association of quantitative

traits with grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. **1** (1): 4-6.

- Muhammad K. and Ihsan K. (2004). Heritability, correlation and path-coefficient analysis for some metric traits in wheat. *International Journal of Agric. and Biology*, 6 (1): 138-142.
- Saktipada R., Mandal H., Ashis P., Bakshi K., Barrai Y. and Murmy K. (2008). Variability, character association for grain yield components in wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *Environment and Ecology*. 26 (1): 145-147.
- Singh T. and Sharma R.K. (2007). Genetic variability, character association and path analysis of yield and its component characters in durum wheat. *Progressive Agriculture*. 7 (1/2): 15-18.

Searle S.R. (1961). Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlations. *Biometrics*, 17: 474-480.

Subhani G.M. (2000). Correlation and path-coefficient analysis in bread wheat under drought stress and normal conditions. *Pakistan J. Biological Sci.* **3** (1): 72-77.