3D Magneto Hydrodynamic Slip Flow of Al$_{50}$Cu$_{50}$-Water and Cu-Water Nanofluids over a Variable Thickness Stretched Surface

M. Bharath Kumar$^1$  B. Shanmukha$^2$
1. Lecturer in Science, Govt. Polytechnic, Arakere Srirangapatna, Mandya-571401, India.
2. Department of Mathematics, P.E.S College of Engineering, Mandya-571401, India

Abstract
The current paper covers the examination of 3D magneto hydrodynamic nanofluid motion past a slendering (variable thickness) stretching surface under the influence of MHD, Soret and Dufour effects, thermophoresis, Brownian motion and slip impact. For this study, we considered the Cu-water and Al$_{50}$Cu$_{50}$-water nanofluids past a non-uniform thickness stretching surface. With the help of similarity transformations, we transformed the derived governed equations as ordinary differential equations. The mathematical outcomes determined by employing Runge-Kutta and Newton’s methods. We reveal and interpretation the graphs for different parameters like magnetic number, volumetric fraction, Soret number, Dufour number, Brownian motion, thermophoresis parameter, stretching parameter, slip parameters $h_1$ and $h_2$. We discussed the skin friction coefficient, reduced Nusselt and reduced Sherwood numbers for the influence of the pertinent parameters with the assistance of tables separately for two nanofluids (Cu-water and Al$_{50}$Cu$_{50}$-water nanofluids). Results are validated by comparing with the published results and found a favorable agreement.
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1. Introduction
The study regarding 3D slip flow of MHD heat and mass transfer over stretched sheet has charming the reflection of many researchers owing to its wide demands in industrial and engineering processes. These demands include wire drawing, glass blowing, quick spray cooling, polymer extrusion, metal molding and ice cooler frameworks etc. Nanofluids are deferral of nanoparticles, that illustrate important augmentation of their properties at different nanoparticle concentrations. Nanofluid is the mainly desire subject of modern research because of its stunning prospective as far as enhanced heat transfer potential. Nanofluids are fluids with overhanging tinny particles having sizes 1-100nm. The idea of nanofluid is first initiated by Choi [1]. Wang and Leon [2] presented the application of nanoparticle. The influence of diffusion thermo and thermo diffusion on MHD boundary layer flow towards a stretching surface was studied by Makinde and Aziz [3]. Makinde et al. [4] discussed the effect of convecting heating and buoyancy force on MHD heat transfer flow over stretching surface. The feature of extension in thermal conductivity of the nanofluids was investigated by Masuda et al. [5]. Buongiorno and Hu [6] and Buongiorno[7] discussed the nanofluid application in higher nuclear system and pioneering design of nanofluid flow in the presence of thermophoresis and Brownian motion effects, the boundary layer flow nanofluid flow over astretching surface was explained numerically by Makinde and Aziz [8].

The theoretical study nanofluid flow over a horizontal sheet of a paraboloid revolution with thermal radiation and Lorentz forces was presented by Animasaun and Sandeep [9]. Makinde et al. [10] studied the MHD heat and mass transfer stagnation point flow of a nanofluid towards a stretching surface and solved numerically by Runge-Kutta with shooting method. The influence of magnetic field effect on MHD nanofluids flow over a stretching surface was analyzed by Sheikholeslami et al. [11] and concluded that increasing values of Hartmann number decrease the local Nusselt number. The unsteady MHD mass and heat transfer flow over a vertical cone in the account of cross diffusion effects was presented by Chamkha and El-Kabeir [12]. The study of the heat transfer non-Newtonian nanofluid flow towards a stretching surface with velocity slip condition was studied by Abolbashari et al. [13] and solved analytically by Homotopy Analysis technique.

The dual solution of MHD nanofluid flow towards stretching surface in the presence of thermophoresis effects was investigated by Sandeep and Sulochana [14] and found that rising values of magnetic field parameter enhances the friction factor. Venkateswarlu and SatyaNarayana [15] studied the cross diffusion effects on MHD non-Newtonian fluid flow past a stretching surface. JayachandraBabu and Sandeep [16] explained the heat transfer UCM flow across a melting surface with cross-diffusion and double stratification effects. Soret and Dufour effects on MHD convective flow over a sphere was discussed by Chamkha et al.[17]. Sathish Kumar et al. [18] investigated by free convective MHD Carreau fluid flow past a stretching surface and found that aligned angle parameter have tendency to enhances the reduced Nusselt number. The theoretical study of mass and heat transfer MHD non-Newtonian fluid flow towards a stretching sheet with thermophoresis effect was studied by Sathish Kumar et al. [19]. Kumaran and Sandeep [20] explained the nature of mass and heat transfer MHD fluid flow over a upper paraboloid revolution in the presence of Brownian moment and cross diffusion effects. Recently Sulochana
et al [21-22] have studied about the transpiration effect on stagnation-point flow of a carreau nanofluid in the influence of Brownian motion and thermophoresis also authors have investigated about similarity solution of 3D casson nanofluid flow over a stretching sheet with convective boundary conditions. Very recently Sulochana et al [23-25] explained the effect of joule heating on a continuously moving thin needle in MHD Sakiadis flow with thermophoresis and Brownian moment, they explained the effect of thermophoresis and Brownian moment on 2D MHD nanofluid flow over an elongated sheet and also they investigated about carreau model for liquid thin film flow of dissipative magnetic-nanofluids over a stretching sheet.

In this investigation, we discussed the effect of Cross diffusion on MHD flow over a stretching surface. Appropriate transformations are used to reduce the governing PDE equations into ODE equations. The influence various pertinent parameters on velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are discussed with the help of graphs.

2. Mathematical formulation

Consider an electrically conducting, incompressible three dimensional flow of nanofluids across a stretching sheet with varying thickness bearing slip effects. The variable thickness of the sheet can be described as 

\[ z = A \left( x + y + c \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \, . \]  

For the sheet become sufficiently thin, we have chosen \( A \) is small. We also assumed that the stretched velocity of the sheet is \( U_w = a \left( x + y + c \right)^n \) and this is valid for \( n \neq 1 \) since \( n = 1 \) refers the flat sheet case. We considered the magnetic Reynolds number as low as possible to neglect the induced magnetic field. In this work, we have chosen water as a based fluid comprising of two nanoparticles, namely, Copper (Cu) and Copper Oxide (CuO). The thermo physical attributes of the nanofluids are taken as described in Table 1. Thermophoresis and Brownian motion effects are taken into account. A magnetic field of strength \( B_0 \) is applied to the flow as displayed in Fig.1.

As per the above assumptions, the governing equations are given as follows

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, 
\]

\[
\rho_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) = \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \sigma B^2 u, 
\]

\[
\rho_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) = \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} - \sigma B^2 v, 
\]

\[
(\rho c_p)_{nf} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = k_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} + \tau \left( D_B \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} + \frac{D_B}{T_c} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{D_a K_T}{c_p c_c} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} 
\]

![Physical model of the problem](image_url)
\[ u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = D_b \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} + D_T \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} + \frac{D_T K_f}{T_m} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \]  
(5)

and the representing boundary considerations are

\[ u(x, y, z) = U_w(x) + h_1^* \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right), v(x, y, z) = V_w(x) + h_1^* \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right), \]

\[ T(x, y, z) = T_w(x) + h_1^* \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right), C(x, y, z) = C_w(x) + h_1^* \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \right), \]  
(6)

and

\[ u = 0, \ v = 0, \ T = T_w, \ C = C_w \text{ at } z \to \infty \]

where

\[ \xi_1 = \frac{k_s T}{\sqrt{2 \pi d^2 p}}, h_1^* = \left[ \frac{2 - f_1}{f_1} \right] \xi_1 (x + y + c)^{\frac{1-n}{2}}, \xi_2 = \left( \frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma + 1} \right) \frac{\xi_1}{Pr}, \]  
(7)

\[ h_2^* = \left[ \frac{2 - b}{b} \right] \xi_2 (x + y + c)^{\frac{1-n}{2}}, \xi_3 = \left( \frac{2 \gamma}{\gamma + 1} \right) \frac{\xi_2}{Pr}, \]  
(8)

\[ h_3^* = \left[ \frac{2 - d}{d} \right] \xi_3 (x + y + c)^{\frac{1-n}{2}}, B(x) = B_0 (x + y + c)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \]  
(9)

\[ U_w = a(x + y + c)^n, V_w = a(x + y + c)^n, \tau = \left( \frac{\rho C_p}{\rho C_p} \right)_f \]  
(10)

\[ T_w = T_o + T_o (x + y + c)^{\frac{1-n}{2}}, C_w = C_o + C_o (x + y + c)^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \]

The nanofluid parameters are given by

\[ \rho_{nf} = (1 - \varphi) \rho_f + \varphi \rho_p, \mu_{nf} = \mu_f / (1 - \varphi)^2, \]

\[ \left( \rho C_p \right)_{nf} = (1 - \varphi) \left( \rho C_p \right)_f + \varphi \left( \rho C_p \right)_s, \]

\[ k_{nf} / k_f = \left( k_s + 2k_f - 2\varphi(k_f - k_s) \right) \left( k_s + 2k_f + 2\varphi(k_f - k_s) \right)^{-1}, \]  
(11)

with the utilization of the following similarity transmutations, we changed the governing equations as ordinary differential equations.

\[ \eta = z \left[ \frac{(n+1)a}{2(n+1)} (x + y + c)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \right] \]  
(12)

\[ T = T_o + (T_w(x) - T_o) \theta, \ C = C_o + (C_w(x) - C_o) \varphi, \]  
(13)

\[ u = a(x + y + c)^n f'(\eta), v = a(x + y + c)^n g'(\eta), \]

\[ w = -\frac{2av}{n+1} (x + y + c)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left[ \frac{n+1}{2} (f + g) + \xi \left( \frac{n-1}{2} \right) (f' + g') \right] \]  
(14)

By using eqs. (11)–(14), the equations (2)–(5) changed as the below differential equations:

\[ A \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right) f'' - B \left( nf'^2 + nf' g' - \frac{n+1}{2} (f + g) f'' \right) - Mf' = 0, \]  
(15)

\[ A \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right) g'' - B \left( ng'^2 + ng' g' - \frac{n+1}{2} (f + g) g'' \right) - Mg' = 0, \]  
(16)
\[
\frac{k_f}{k_v} \theta^\prime + Nb_0 \phi^\prime + Nt \theta + Du \phi^\prime - \frac{2}{n+1} \Pr C \left( \frac{1-n}{2} f'g' \theta - \frac{n+1}{2} (f+g) \theta \right) = 0, \quad (17)
\]

\[
\frac{\phi^*}{Nb} \theta^* + Sr \theta^* - Le \left( \frac{1-n}{1+n} f'g' \phi - (f+g) \phi \right) = 0 \quad (18)
\]

where the constants \( A, B, \) and \( C \) can be given as

\[
A = \frac{1}{(1-\phi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}, \quad B = \left( (1-\phi) + \phi \frac{\rho_C}{\rho_f} \right), \quad C = \left( 1-\phi + \phi \frac{\rho_C}{\rho_f} \right)
\]

and the representing boundary considerations are

\[
f(0) = \lambda_0 \left( \frac{1-n}{n+1} \right) \left[ 1 + h_x f''(0) \right], \quad f'(0) = \left[ 1 + h_x f''(0) \right],
\]

\[
g(0) = \lambda_0 \left( \frac{1-n}{n+1} \right) \left[ 1 + h_y g''(0) \right], \quad g'(0) = \left[ 1 + h_y g''(0) \right],
\]

\[
\theta(0) = \left[ 1 + h_x \theta'(0) \right], \quad \phi(0) = \left[ 1 + h_y \phi'(0) \right],
\]

\[
f'(\infty) = 0, \quad g'(\infty) = 0, \quad \theta(\infty) = 0, \quad \phi(\infty) = 0,
\]

where \( M, \ \Pr, \ Nb, \ Nt, \ Le, \lambda \) are specified as

\[
M = \frac{\sigma R b^2}{\rho_f a}, \quad \Pr = \frac{\mu C_p}{k}, \quad \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\left( \frac{n+1}{2} a \right)}{D_m K_1}} \left( \frac{T_w - T_0}{T_w D_B (C_w - C_0)} \right),
\]

\[
Du = \frac{D_m K_1}{\rho v C_p (T_w - T_0)}, \quad Nb = \frac{\tau D_B}{\left( \mu C_p \right) f}, \quad Nt = \frac{\tau D_T}{T_0 (\mu C_p) f}, \quad Le = \frac{\nu_f}{D_B}
\]

For engineering concern, the skin-friction coefficient, the local Nusselt and the Sherwood numbers (after non-dimensionalization) are given by

\[
C_f = 2 \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right)^{0.5} \left( \text{Re}_x \right)^{-0.5} f''(0), \quad Nu_x = -\left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right)^{0.5} \left( \text{Re}_x \right)^{0.5} \theta'(0)
\]

\[
Sh_x = -\left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right)^{0.5} \left( \text{Re}_x \right)^{0.5} \phi'(0)
\]

where \( \text{Re}_x \) is the local Reynolds number defined as \( \text{Re}_x = \frac{U_w(x)(x+y+c)}{\nu_f} \)

4. Results and discussion

The mathematical outcomes Eqs. (15)-(18) with the boundary conditions Eq. (19) are solved by employing Runge-Kutta and Newton’s methods. The behavior of magnetic field measured on velocity in x and y directions, temperature and concentration are distributed in Figs. 2 – 5. It is clear that the larger values of magnetic field lesser the flow of velocity in x and y direction and boosting the concentration field but in temperature field the flow is enhances somewhere after that its reverse. Generally, supremacy of magnetic field develops the resistance force. This force diminish the velocity in x and y directions and enlarge the concentration field. As seen, Cu were nanofluid highly upgrades by the resistive flow when comparing with Al\textsubscript{50}Cu\textsubscript{50}-water nanofluid in concentration field.

Growing the values of volumetric fraction on velocity, temperature and concentration is displays in Figs. 6 – 9. It is shown that rising the values of volumetric fraction diminish the velocity flow in x and y directions but enhances the thermal and concentration fields. Usually, rising the volumetric fraction of nanofluids establish the thermal and concentration boundary layers thickness. This enlargement causes to shift the particles over from the surface. From Figs. 10 & 11 shows the enlarging values of soret number grows the thermal and concentration profiles. It is evident that the boosting of soret number increases the thermal and concentration boundary layers.
Fig. 12 represent the temperature field for rising values of Dufour number. It is seen that enhances of Dufour number boost up the thermal boundary layer. Brownian motion effects displayed in temperature and concentration profiles in Figs. 13 and 14. It is observed that higher values of Brownian motion grows the thermal boundary layer but its opposite in concentration field. Figs. 15 and 16 respectively establish the different values of thermophoresis parameter over the temperature and concentration fields. We observe that rising the thermal and concentration boundary layers with growing the values of $N_t$. Generally thermal boundary layer density enlarges the thermophoresis parameter.

Figs. 17 – 22 are elucidates the impact of slip parameters $h_1$ and $h_2$ through velocity, temperature and concentration fields. It is perceivable that an asending in $h_1$, the temperature and concentration boundary layers are also an asending but its reversible in $h_2$. Influence of stretching ratio parameter of velocity in $x$ and $y$ directions, temperature and concentration distributions are displayed in Figs. 23 – 26. Rising the values of stretching parameter shrinking the velocity in $x$ and $y$ directions, thermal and concentration boundary layers. In generally, stretching parameter boosts automatically the flow pressure enhance to this reason.

Tables 1 and 2 depict the variations in the wall friction, local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers at different pertinent parameters for $Al_{50}Cu_{50}$-water and $Cu$-water nanofluids respectively. It is clear that the increasing values of $M$ and $\phi$ declines the heat and mass transfer rate along with wall friction of both fluids. The rising values of Soret and thermophoresis parameters suppress the mass and heat transfer rate. A reverse trend has been observed for boosting values of Dufour number. Increasing values of Brownian moment and slip parameters showed mixed response in heat and mass transfer performance.
Fig. 4 Effect of magnetic field parameter on temperature profile.

Fig. 5 Effect of magnetic field parameter on concentration profile.

Fig. 6 Effect of volumetric fraction on velocity profile.
Fig. 7 Effect of volumetric fraction on velocity profile.

Fig. 8 Effect of volumetric fraction on temperature profile.

Fig. 9 Effect of volumetric fraction on concentration profile.
Fig. 10 Effect of Soret number on temperature profile.

Fig. 11 Effect of Soret number on concentration profile

Fig. 12 Effect of Dufour number on temperature profile.
Fig. 13 Effect of Brownian motion parameter on temperature profile.

Fig. 14 Effect of Brownian motion parameter on concentration profile.

Fig. 15 Effect of thermophoresis parameter on temperature profile.
Fig. 16 Effect of thermophoresis parameter on concentration profile.

Fig. 17 Effect of slip parameter ($h_1$) on velocity profile.

Fig. 18 Effect of slip parameter ($h_1$) on velocity profile.
Fig. 19 Effect of slip parameter ($h_1$) on temperature profile.

Fig. 20 Effect of slip parameter ($h_1$) on concentration profile.

Fig. 21 Effect of slip parameter ($h_2$) on temperature profile.
Fig. 22 Effect of slip parameter ($h_2$) on concentration profile.

Fig. 23 Effect of stretching ratio parameter on velocity profile.

Fig. 24 Effect of stretching ratio parameter on velocity profile.
Fig. 25 Effect of stretching ration parameter on temperature profile.

Fig. 26 Effect of stretching ration parameter on concentration profile.
Table-1: Impact of some flow modifying quantites on friction factors, Nusselt and shearwood number for Al_{50}Cu_{50}-water nanofluid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>φ</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Du</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Nt</th>
<th>h₁</th>
<th>h₂</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>f' '(0)</th>
<th>-θ'(0)</th>
<th>-φ'(0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.093088</td>
<td>0.884880</td>
<td>-0.066199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.093088</td>
<td>0.898059</td>
<td>0.12120</td>
<td>0.091126</td>
<td>0.065714</td>
<td>0.066443</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.093088</td>
<td>0.956584</td>
<td>0.10662</td>
<td>0.935714</td>
<td>0.912305</td>
<td>0.91653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.156323</td>
<td>1.158138</td>
<td>0.146547</td>
<td>0.112305</td>
<td>0.078252</td>
<td>0.070352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2: Impact of some flow modifying quantites on friction factors, Nusselt and shearwood number for Cu-water nanofluid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>φ</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Du</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Nt</th>
<th>h₁</th>
<th>h₂</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>f' '(0)</th>
<th>-θ'(0)</th>
<th>-φ'(0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.093088</td>
<td>0.884880</td>
<td>-0.066199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.093088</td>
<td>0.956584</td>
<td>0.10662</td>
<td>0.935714</td>
<td>0.912305</td>
<td>0.91653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.093088</td>
<td>0.956584</td>
<td>0.10662</td>
<td>0.935714</td>
<td>0.912305</td>
<td>0.91653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.156323</td>
<td>1.158138</td>
<td>0.146547</td>
<td>0.112305</td>
<td>0.078252</td>
<td>0.070352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions
Three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic nanofluid flow past a slandering (variable thickness) stretching surface under the influence of thermophoresis, Brownian motion and cross diffusion effects are studied numerically. The numerical outputs are summarized as follows:
The heat and mass transfer rate is high in Al$_{50}$Cu$_{50}$-water nanofluid when equated with Cu-water nanofluid.

• Cross diffusion, thermophoresis and Brownian moment effects regulates the thermal and species fields.

• Rising the volume fraction of nanoparticles decline the local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers.

• Cu-water is highly influenced by the Lorentz force when compared with the Al$_{50}$Cu$_{50}$-water nanofluid.

• Flow, thermal and concentration fields of Al$_{50}$Cu$_{50}$-water and Cu-water nanofluids are not uniform.
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