Chemistry and Materials Research
ISSN 2224- 3224 (Print) ISSN 2225- 0956 (Online)
Vol.17 No.1, 2025

Design a Treatment Unit to Remove Nitrates from Groundwater Using Waste of Zero Iron

Maan Bitar (Corresponding author)
Postgraduate Student (PhD), Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Aleppo E-mail: mhbittar550@gmail.com

Muhammad Haitham Haboub
Assistant Professor in the Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Aleppo University

Nawzat Al-Nabghli
Professor, Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Aleppo University

Abstract

In this study, a treatment unit was designed to remove nitrate ions from groundwater using zero iron taken from waste. The various conditions for the nitrate return reaction with zero iron were studied and the best conditions were determined to obtain a high removal yield, which was to conduct the treatment in an acidic medium pH = 2 and add. Zero iron, with a granular size of 75µm, at a concentration of 8g/L for 120 min at normal temperature, where the removal rate reached more than 93% when using synthetic water with an initial nitrate concentration of 150ppm.

The treatment unit for removing nitrates in the research gave high effectiveness, as the unit includes a tank for removing nitrates with zero iron within the conditions that were deduced, followed by a tank for removing ammonium ions resulting from the first stage, then a filtration stage using a sand filter, and finally a tank for mixing the treated water with quantities determined from untreated raw water to obtain an appropriate residual level of nitrate ions.

By treating a well water sample with a nitrate concentration of 64 ppm with the proposed unit, drinking water was obtained containing acceptable levels of nitrates of 38 ppm and almost free of ammonium, and without the secondary treatment products affecting the water specifications significantly, according to the Syrian Standard Specifications for Drinking Water No. 45 of 2007.

Keywords Nitrates, Zero iron, water treatment

DOI: 10.7176/CMR/17-1-02

Publication date: January 31st 2025

Introduction

Long-term exposure to high levels of nitrates poses varying degrees of risk to human health. Nitrates may cause the conversion of hemoglobin in the blood into methemoglobinin infants, which is also known as “blue baby syndrome,” because methemoglobin is unable to bind oxygen and causes Lack of cerebral oxygen, and nitrates cause the formation ofN-nitrosamines ,which are considered cancer-causing compounds. For this reason , The International Agency for Research on Cancer(IARC) has classified ingested nitrates or nitrites as potentially It is carcinogenic to humans [1, 2, 3, 4]

been developed to remove nitrate ions from water, as well as from wastewater and waste streams. Nitrate is have removed from water by biological denitrification, ion exchange, nanofiltration(NF) .and reverse osmosis ,5].

Although biological denitrification is widely applied to remove nitrogen from wastewater and is relatively inexpensive, the long treatment time required and the sludge production that requires safe disposal represent .important limitations to its application to drinking water [6]

Ion exchange processes also produce waste in the form of brine that requires subsequent treatment, with additional costs[7]. One of the most problematic features ofNF nanofiltration، as in any membrane separation

process, is the phenomenon of waste, clogging of membranes and the difficulty of cleaning them. Nitrate is partially removed by nanofiltration because it ... A monovalent anion]8[. Reverse osmosis allows the concentration of a pollutant in a brine solution without modifying its molecular structure, and is suitable for drinking water [9].

However, disposal of the concentrated brine poses an important problem and obstacle to the application of this technology. Moreover, reverse osmosis membranes are exposed to problems of contaminant accumulation, pressure anddamage [10] .

Chemical removal Nitrogenation through zero-valent metals has received great interest due to its many advantages, such as simplicity of application and control, relatively low costs, high efficiency, and the possibility of converting pollutants to less hazardous forms. Therefore, many zero-valent substances have been tested Such asiron zero-valent iron ZVIaluminum , ZVA magnesium ZVM, copper ZVC and zinc ‹ZVZ [10].

Zero-valent iron ZVI is of most interest to researchers Among other zero- valent metals , it is cheap, easily . available, and highly abundantZVI has been applied to remove a variety of pollutants, such as heavy metals, dyes, chlorinated organic compounds, and nitrates [11-13].

In general, to enhance the reactivity of iron, nitrate is recovered under acidic conditions [14].However, the addition of acids may result in higher operating costs and increased anion content in the effluent, which may pose a health risk. The following equations show the reaction between zero iron and nitrate ions [15]:

$4Fe^{0} + NO_3^- + 10H^+ \rightarrow 4Fe^{2+} + NH_4^+ + 3H_2O$ (1)

$5Fe^{0} + 2NO_3^- + 6H_2O \rightarrow 5Fe^{2+} + N_2 + 12OH^-$ (2)

$Fe^{0} + NO_3^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + NO_2^- + H_2O$ (3)

$3Fe^{0} + NO_2^- + 8H^+ \rightarrow 3Fe^{2+} + NH_4^+ + 2H_2O$.(4)

is evident in studying the best conditions for designing a treatment unit to remove groundwater pollution with .nitrates by using zero iron resulting from waste and widespread iron workshops

Materials and work methods

Collecting samples of iron waste and purifying them

Samples of iron filings resulting from industrial workshops in the city of Aleppo were collected, then a process of purifying and revitalizing the surface of the granules was carried out with the aim of getting rid of the oxide and rust layers on the surface of the iron granules by treating them with diluted hydrochloric acid with a concentration of 5% for 10 minutes with gentle stirring and heating Less than50 °Cthen washing with , distilled water, followed by washing with acetone to remove water to avoid subsequent oxidation, and finally drying at 50˚CThen, granular sorting of the pure powder was carried out, and the samples were kept in tightly .sealed containers, away from moisture .To perform the necessary chemical analysis

A chemical analysis of pure iron filings was carried out by taking1gr of the iron sample and dissolving it in concentrated hydrochloric acid with heating and stirring until complete dissolution. Then the solution was diluted to100ml using a standard flask and a sample was taken from it and its concentration was determined spectrophotometrically using aLovibond spectrophotometer using standardreagents.The final analysis result indicated that the purity of the iron grains was1.99 %.

Study the effect ofpH on the removal of nitrates with zero iron

The study was carried out by preparing a standard solution of nitrate ions, based on the pure sodium nitrate compound $NaNO_3$, where a solution with a concentration of 150ppm of nitrate ions was prepared (optional value for the study taking ,500ml of nitrate solution 150ppm and the (pH value of the solution was adjusted at different values using diluted hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide solution according to the following :valuespH= 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. Then0.5gr of zero iron was added to each solution the concentration became 1g/L with a granular size of less than 100µm The solution was stirred for60 minutes using a mechanical motor, as in Figure 1,The sample was filtered . then the percentage of remaining nitrate ions and the percentage of removal were determined by the color spectrophotometric method using aLovibond device and standard detectors of nitrate ions for the high range 4-130 ppm.



Figure. 1 Zero iron water treatment

Table 1 shows the results of measuring the concentration of nitrate ions and the treatment efficiency using .different pH levels

It is noted from the results of the analysis that the removal of nitrates with zero iron is greatly affected by the

degree of acidity of the medium, so the degree of removal of nitrate ions decreases with the increase in the initial pH value. Using zero iron for treatment requires an acidic mediumpH = 4 or less, while the moderate or alkaline medium is not suitable for treatment due to its low The removal rate and these results are consistent with the .reference studies mentioned in the introduction to this research

Table 1 Effect of pH on treatment efficiency using zero iron

pH	NO_3^- Final ppm	% Removal rate $100*\{(C_0-C)/C_0\}$
2	41	72.67
3	49	67.33
4	62	58.67
5	85	43.33
6	97	35.33
7	101	32.67
8	121	19.33
9	130	13.33

effect of zero iron concentration on nitrate removal

To study the effect of zero iron concentration on the effectiveness of treatment, increasing amounts of zero iron less than100µm were added. For the prepared nitrate solution prepared to obtain concentrations (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10) g/L the pH was set at , pH=2 and the treatment was carried out for ,60 minutes .

After conducting the necessary analyzes, the results were according to Table 2 . The results of the chemical analysis of the concentration of nitrate ions and the percentage of removal show that the effectiveness of the treatment is related to the zero iron concentration, as the percentage of the contact surface between the iron and the nitrate ions increases with increasing concentration, and thus the intensity of the reaction increases, which leads to an increase in the removal rate, as It gives acceptable results because the final nitrate concentration fell below50ppm which is a value consistent with the Syrian standard for drinking water, but adding a , concentration greater than8g/L does not give significantly higher efficiency under the conditions studied, so it .can be considered the most appropriate concentration under the applied conditions

Table 2 Effect of the amount of zero iron on the treatment efficiency

Iron concentration gr/L	NO_3^- Final ppm	% Removal rate $100*\{(C_0-C)/C_0\}$
1	49	67.33
2	44	70.67
4	40	73.33
6	32	78.67
8	30	80.00
10	29	80.67

effect of treatment time on removing nitrates with zero iron

To study the effect of the added treatment time on the effectiveness of treatment using zero iron, treatment was carried out for increasing periods of time(60, 120, 180, 240)min. With the pH fixed atpH=2 the zero iron , concentration is8g/L and the particle size is less than100µm .

After conducting the necessary analyzes, the results were according to Table 3The results showed that the . effectiveness of the treatment increases significantly with increasing treatment time, but the treatment lasted for 120 minutes. Sufficient, after which the removal rate increases to a small degree, so 120 minutes is sufficient for .treatment

Table 3 Effect of treatment time on nitrate removal efficiency with zero iron

Processing time min	NO_3^- Final ppm	% Removal rate $100*\{(C_0-C)/C_0\}$
60	32	78.67
120	16	89.33
180	15	90.00
240	11	92.66

Study the effect of the grain size of zero iron on nitrate removal

To study the extent to which the particle size of zero iron affects the effectiveness of treatment, zero iron was treated with different granularsizes (300, 200, 100, 75, 25) µm with stabilization and the pH was fixed at pH=2 ,

zero iron concentration8g/L, .treatment time120min.
After conducting the necessary analyzes, the results were according to Table 4. from the previous results that the effectiveness of the treatment is related to the granular size of the zero iron used in the treatment as a result of the change in the contact surface between the iron and the nitrate ions. It can be considered that the best size is 75 µm because the work has a granular size. A smaller dose is associated with a slight increase in treatment .effectiveness

.Table4 Effect of particle size of zero iron on nitrate removal efficiency

Granular size of iron µ	NO_3^- Final ppm	% Removal rate $100*\{(C_0-C)/C_0\}$
300	89	40.67
200	67	55.33
100	32	78.67
75	10	93.33
25	8	94.66

Study the effect of temperature on the removal of nitrates with zero iron
The extent of the effect of temperature on the effectiveness of the treatment was studied by adjusting the temperature by placing the reaction vessel in a water bath and heating with an electronic electric heater until the desired temperature was reached and maintained. The following temperatures were studied
(25, 40, 60, 80)˚C .with fixation. Optimal conditions according to previous experiences
It has been observed that the rate of nitrate removal increases with an increase in temperature as a result of activating the reaction, but this increase is accompanied by several negative aspects, the most prominent of which is the economic cost of heating and the increase in water evaporation as a result of the increase in temperature. Therefore, considering the effect of temperature in general, we conclude that there is no need to raise the temperature of the water sample, but It is sufficient to treat it at the normal temperature, as the increase in treatment effectiveness is rather low and is not compatible with the economic cost, as Table 5 .shows

Table 5 Effect of temperature on nitrate removal efficiency

temperature ^{o}C	NO_3^- Final ppm	% Removal rate $100*\{(C_0-C)/C_0\}$
25	10	93.33
40	7	95.33
60	4	97.33
80	2	98.66

Design a treatment unit to remove nitrates from groundwater
After conducting experiments and determining the best conditions for removing nitrates with zero iron, work was done to design an integrated treatment unit consisting of the stages shown in Figure 2
The raw water is taken to a denitrification tank where the appropriate amount of zero iron is added and the pH is adjusted by adding sulfuric acid 5N pH = 3 with stirring for120 minutes, then the water moves to the ammonium removal tank, where it represents the main product of nitrate recovery under the applicable conditions. ThepH value in this tank is adjusted to pH =8 by calcium oxide, where the ammonium ions are converted to NH_4^+ To ammonia NH_3 Which is expelled by pumping air and stirring for 30 minutes then the , water moves to a sand filter to get rid of the products of the treatment process and then finally to the mixing tank where it is mixed with an equivalent percentage of untreated raw water which is added according to the percentage of nitrates in the raw water and the degree of removal to be achieved



Figure. 2 The proposed groundwater nitrate removal unit

1L sample of well water in the city of Aleppo containing nitrate ions at a concentration of64ppm then ,5N sulfuric acid was added untilpH = 2 , and 8gr of zero iron with a granular size of75µm was added . The sample

was stirred with a mechanical mixer for120 minutes then the sample was left For layering, then transfer it to , another beaker and add calcium oxide to it untilpH=8 with stirring and pumping air bubbles with an air pump. , The process continued for30 minutes The sample was filtered using a column containing fine sand so that the . height of the liquid column was 4 times the height of the sand, which is an experimental value that was adopted. After several experiments, which gave good filtration speed and efficiency, then1L of untreated raw well sample was added to the water after filtration and mixed, and a chemical analysis was performed to determine the characteristics of the water sample before and after treatment. The results were according to Table 6, where the results showed the effectiveness of the applied method, so the nitrate concentration decreased to 8ppm with a , small percentage of ammonia amounting to 0.4ppm in addition to identical values of electrical conductivity , (EC) dissolved solids ,(TDS) .and sulfates With the Syrian standard specification for drinking water No 45 of 2007 the focus was on these indicators because the additives that were used during treatment mainly affect , .these indicators

Some points must be noted:

Sulfuric acid and calcium oxide were chosen to adjustthe pH of the medium because they cause the formation of calcium sulphate as a by-product of treatment, which is a salt with low solubility that precipitates and is removed by filtration using a sand filter in the last stage. It does not significantly affect the value of conductivity and dissolved solids as stated in the analysis of the treated water. While choosing hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide leads to the formation of highly dissolved sodium chloride and thus causes an increase in the value of electrical conductivity and dissolved solids to high values that negatively affect the properties of the treated .water

In the ammonium removal stage, when -the pH is set 8 these conditions lead to the precipitation of iron ions in the form of poorly soluble hydroxides that appear in orange color within the treatment medium. These materials play a positive role as they are among the coagulants that reduce water pollution and are disposed of in the sand filtration stage. Thus, The concentration of residual iron ions in the aqueous sample after treatment is very small Working according to the treatment unit proposed in this research ensures obtaining treated water equivalent to twice the amount of raw water to be treated. When treating a liter of water and adding a liter of raw material to the mixing tank, we ultimately obtain two liters of treated water

When what is required is to provide-2 liters of treated water, working according to the proposed treatment unit requires using only one liter and then mixing with a liter of untreated water to obtain what is required. This .process reduces the proportions of chemicals used in treatment and thus reduces their negative secondary effects

Table 6 Specifications of raw and treated water samples

Amount	code	.M.Q.S45 // 2007	Raw water	After processing
pH	pH	6.5 – 9	7.3	7.7
Nitrates	NO_3^-	50 mg/l	64	38
Ammonia	NH3 - N	0.5 mg/l	1	0.4
Sulfates	SO42-	500 mg/l	122	160
Turbidity	NTU	5	11.3	2
Iron	Fe	1 mg/l	0	0.2
Materials Solid Outstanding	SS	10 mg/l	22	7
Total dissolved salts	TDS	1200 mg/l	355	477
Electrical conductivity	EC	1500 µS/cm	585	781
Chemical need for oxygen	COD	3mg/l	28	1
Biological need for oxygen	BOD_5	2mg/l	17	0.5

Conclusions

After conducting the necessary experiments and tests, it became clear that the best conditions for removing nitrates from groundwater using zero iron are to carry out the treatment in an acidic mediumpH = 2 and add zero iron with a granular size of 75µm at a concentration ofL /8g for 120 minutes at normal temperature, where the removal rate is more than93% when using synthetic water with an initial nitrate concentration of150ppm .

The treatment unit for removing nitrates proposed in the research gave high effectiveness, through which it is possible to obtain drinking water that contains acceptable levels of nitrates according to the standard specifications and is almost free of ammonium, without the secondary treatment products affecting the water .specifications significantly. Drinking water was obtained according to the standards. Syrian

References

1. . Elisante and ANN Muzuka, Occurrence of nitrate in Tanzanian groundwater aquifers: a review, Applied Water Science, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 71–87, 2017.
2. J. Xin, Y. Wang, Z. L. Shen, Y. Liu, H. T. Wang, and . 598, article 126386, 2021.
3. MM Azadegan, MRA Moghaddam, and R. Maknoon, Public awareness and performance regarding nitrate pollution in nitrate-polluted area of Tehran, Iran, Environmental Engineering and Management Journal, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 611–617, 2014.
4. AD van den Brand, M. Beukers, M. Niekerk et al., Assessment of the combined nitrate and nitrite exposure from food and drinking water: application of uncertainty around the nitrate to nitrite conversion factor, Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, vol . 37, no. 4, pp. 568–582, 2020.
5. Van der Bruggen, B.; Vandecasteele, C. Removal of pollutants from surface water and groundwater by nanofiltration: Overview of possible applications in the drinking water industry. Environ. Pollut. 2003, 122, 435–445.
6. Samatya, S.; Kabay, N.; Yüksel, Ü.; Arda, M.; Yüksel, M. Removal of nitrate from aqueous solution by nitrate selective ion exchange resins. React. Funct. Polym. **2006** , 66, 1206–1214.
7. Nadin, J. Are the current methods of remediation to reduce nitrate contamination in groundwater in the developing world effective? A systematic review. Plymouth Stud. Sci. **2014** , 7, 118–150.
8. Van der Bruggen, B.; Vandecasteele, C. Removal of pollutants from surface water and groundwater by nanofiltration: Overview of possible applications in the drinking water industry. Environ. Pollut. **2003** , 122, 435–445.
9. Van der Bruggen, B.; Mänttäri, M.; Nyström, M. Drawbacks of applying nanofiltration and how to avoid them: A review. Sep. Purific. Technol. **2008** , 63, 251–263.
10. Sharma, S.K.; Sobti, R. C. Nitrate removal from ground water: A review. EJ. Chem. **2012** , 9, 1667–1675.
11. Nam, S.; Tratnyek, PG Reduction of azo dyes with zero-valent iron. Water Res. **2000** , 34, 1837–1845.
12. Dominguez, C.M.; Romero, A.; Fernandez, J.; Santos, A. In situ chemical reduction of chlorinated organic compounds from lindane production wastes by zero valent iron microparticles. J.Water Process Eng. **2018** , 26, 146–155.
13. .Huang, C.P.;Wang, H.W.; Chiu, PC Nitrate reduction by metallic iron. Water Res. **1998** , 32, 2257–2264.
14. Siciliano, A.; Curcio, G.M.; Limonti, C. Experimental Analysis and Modeling of Nitrate Removal through Zero-Valent Magnesium Particles. Water 2019, 11, 1276.
15. Kanel, S.R.; Manning, B.; Charlet, L.; Choi, H. Removal of arsenic (III) from groundwater by nanoscale zero-valent iron. Environ.Sci. Technol. **2005** , 39, 1291–1298