Simulation of Ammonia Production using HYSYS Software

Prof. /Nabil Abdel El Moneim Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering

Prof. / Ibrahim Ismail Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering

> Nasser. M.M Egyptian Armed Force.

Abstract

Now-a-days, Because of cost and time consuming in the design of plants chemical engineer used simulators to simulate design and operation of chemical equipment and plant, which spares a great deal of time and cash. Today, there are many number of the simulators are refreshed and utilized in the simulation of chemical equipment and plant such as ChemCad, ProII, UniSim.....etc. Among of these simulators, Aspen Hysys is the most utilized programming in all ventures because of aiding in two noteworthy fields (design & operation). Simulation of ammonia synthesis process is done on Aspen Hysys V8.8 with steady state mode making some assumptions and using hypothetical reactors ammonia. By fluctuating the distinctive parameters in this simulation environment, the impact of these parameters in the generation rate of the procedure are watched.

Keywords: Ammonia, Simulation, Aspen Hysys

DOI: 10.7176/CPER/62-03

Publication date: January 31st 2020

1. Introduction

Ammonia is a compound of nitrogen and hydrogen with the recipe NH₃. It is a drab gas with a trademark sharp smell. [1]

Ammonia is used in the Production of nitrogen fertilizers as the primary element. Ammonia is used in a plenty of application such as used as a fertilizer or used as a feedstock in synthesis of many compounds such as urea, or nitric acid, etc. [2] The demands for ammonia production are increased due to higher world's consumption of ammonia in synthetic fertilizers. [3]

Haber-Bosch process [4] is the main industrial method for ammonia production which created in 1905 by Fritz Haber and developed for industry in 1910 by Carl Bosch. In Haber-Bosch process, the reaction between nitrogen and hydrogen lead to produce ammonia in the presence of iron catalysts and at a high pressure and temperature.

In Haber-Bosch process, 150 million tons of ammonia is produced yearly which is approximately five times higher than produced before Haber-Bosch process. In ammonia synthesis, production of hydrogen is from natural gas and production of nitrogen is from atmospheric air. [2,5]

Simulation is used to simulate the operation of both state steady state (time is ignored) and dynamic state (time isn't ignored). Simulation is also used to display the courses of action and actually effects of other conditions.

Aspen Hysys [6] is generally used for process of oil and gas industry but it's expanded to simulation of various industries such as Oil refinery, Sweetening of Acid Gas with DEA, Industries of Heavy chemical, Industries of Petrochemical, Plant of Natural gas process, Industries of Petroleum,.....etc.

The property package in HYSYS can display exact thermodynamic and physical property forecasts for hydrocarbon, non-hydrocarbon, chemical fluids and petrochemical. The database of Hysys contains many components exactly more than 1500 components and over 16000 fitted binary coefficients and the creation of hypothetical components is performed when the database doesn't contain any components. [7]

Presently a-days, simulators are used for different chemical engineering purposes for example, outline new plants, diminish capital expenses for plant, huge monetary advantages for the procedure, and so on. Though our task was to represent production of ammonia in aspen HYSYS software v 8.8, making a few suppositions and utilizing theoretical reactors ammonia production simulation have been performed with the steady state behavior of the process.

2. Methodology:

In this paper, ammonia production plant was simulated by using one of the best chemical engineering simulators, called ASPEN HYSYS (V8.8) with steady state mode.

www.iiste.org

2.1 Process Description:

The process of ammonia production depended on two basic parts: Production of syngas and Production of ammonia. The production of syngas contains a lot of unit operations as the following: (1) steam reforming (primary reforming) which is responsible for producing hydrogen, (2) air reforming (secondary reforming) which is used to generate nitrogen, (3) High& Low shift conversion which is used to convert all carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide, (4) CO₂ removal and(5) methanation.

2.1.1 Hydrogen Production:

Hydrogen is predominantly generated from the reaction amongst methane and steam. Natural gas is sent to the primary reformer for steam reforming, where superheated steam is fed into the reformer with the methane at 639.7°C in the presence of a nickel catalyst where methane is changed over to hydrogen, carbon dioxide and little amounts of carbon monoxide.

 $\begin{array}{l} CH_4 + 2H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + 4H_2. \end{array} \tag{1}$ $CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow CO + 3H_2. \end{array} \tag{2}$

2.1.2 Nitrogen addition:

The synthesis gas from primary reformer is sent to the secondary reformer where syngas blended with air within the sight of profoundly exothermic reaction amongst oxygen and methane produces more hydrogen. What's more, the important nitrogen is included in the secondary reformer

$2CH_4 + O_2 \rightarrow 2CO + 4H_2 \qquad (3)$	
$CH_4 + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2$ (4)	

2.1.3 Removal of carbon monoxide & carbon dioxide:

It is essential advance to expel carbon dioxide and staying of carbon monoxide with a specific end goal to keep the toxin of ammonia synthesis reaction. At high temperature shift conversion, carbon monoxide is changed over to carbon dioxide at 583^oC and likewise carbon monoxide is expelled and changed over to carbon dioxide at low temperature move change (325^oC).

2.1.4 Ammonia Production:

2.2 Equipment & Software:

The software used in the simulation of ammonia plant design is Aspen Hysys (v.8.8) with steady state mode. The equipments used from aspen Hysys in the simulation of ammonia plant design are shown in Table (1). Table (1) Equipment used in the simulation of ammonia plant design

		F F	0	
Equipment used in the simulation	Coolers & Mixers	CO2 absorber	Compressors	
	Low temperature shift	High temperature shift		
	conversion reactor (LTSC)	conversion reactor (HTSC)	mmonia converter reacte	
	Primary & Secondary reformer	Methanator reactor	separators	

2.3 Simulation of the process:

The main steps for ammonia process simulation by using aspen hysys are the following:

Selection of component list. 2) Selection of fluid package. 3) Defining reactions and formation of reaction sets.
 Installing the feed streams 5) drawing flow sheet.

Fig (15) shows the Process Flow Diagram (PFD) for the production of ammonia process, generated by Aspen HYSYS.

2.3.1 Selection of components list:

In this simulation, the reactant component list contain CH_4 , H_2O , CO, CO_2 , N_2 , H_2 , O_2 ignoring sulfur content as the components for the ammonia production. Figure (01) demonstrates the used component list in aspen hysys programming.

Databank: HYSYS			Select:	Pure Components	•
Component	Туре	Group	Search for:		
со	Pure Component				
CO2	Pure Component		Simu	ulation Name	Full N
H2O	Pure Component		Add	Ethane	
Methane	Pure Component			Propane	
Nitrogen	Pure Component			i-Butane	
Hydrogen	Pure Component		lace	n-Butane	
Oxygen	Pure Component			i-Pentane	
Ammonia	Pure Component			n-Pentane	
			nove	n-Hexane	
				n-Heptane	
				n-Octane	
				n-Nonane	

Figure (01) component list in aspen hysys software

2.3.2 Selection of fluid package:

In this simulation, the used fluid package is Peng- Robinson (PR), which is the most improved model in Aspen HYSYS.

2.3.3 Defining reactions and formation of reaction sets:

In this simulation, the procedure of ammonia production involves sets of reactions; primary reforming, secondary reforming, high and low shift conversion, methanation, ammonia converter. Figures from (02) to (07) give input information to the making of different reactions sets.

Statisticity Bata	Component Mole Weight Stoch Coeff 100	in a proden	h Library				Stoichiometry Info		
Composent Weiler Solid Cell 1.000 100 1835 1.000 1.000 100 1835 1.000 1.000 100 1835 1.000 1.000 100 1835 1.000 1.000 100 1835 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000 1.000 100 1.000 1.000	Composent Mew Weght State Ceff Vegenthe 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 18.015 -1000 100 4488 -1000 100 4488 -1000 1100 0f Figure (02) design the reaction (set-1) of Figure (02) design the reaction (set-1) of Figure (03) design the reaction (set-2) o: 1100 H2O 18.015 -2.000 Methane 16.043 -1.000 1120 18.015 -2.000 Methane 16.043 -1.000 1120 18.015 -2.000 Methane 16.043 -1.000 120 18.015 -2.000	Stoichiometry					Comment	Mala Mainha	Chaileh Calaff
Image: Properties Component Component Mole Weight Stock Coeff Component Balance Error Component Mole Weight Stock Coeff Component Mole W	Image: Note of the section Heat (25 C) Image:	Component	Mole Weight	Stoich Coeff					
ito0 1.000 Imit Temperature 2010 3.000 Wetragen 2.010 3.000 Ito100 10.001 </td <td>Not Note Note</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Not Note								
CO2 4100 1000 How 1000 1000 HO 1001 1000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) -21e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) -21e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance Balance Error 0.00000 HTSC & LTSC reactor. Stoichiometry Info Figure (03) design the reaction (set-2) or Methane 16.043 -1.000 Mole Weight Stoichiometry Info H2O 18.015 -2.000 Mole Weight Stoich Coeff H2O 18.015 -2.000 Mole Weight 30.01 1.000 H2O 18.015 -2.000 Mole Weight	Hoto Hoto <td></td> <td>18.015</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		18.015						
Add Comp **Add Comp** Balance Bestion Heat (25 C) **Add Comp** **Add Comp** ***Add Comp** 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000 **Add Comp**	Balance Balance Error 000000 Reaction Heat (25 C) -2.1e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance Balance Error 000000 Reaction Heat (25 C) -2.1e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance Balance Error 000000 Reaction Heat (25 C) -2.1e+05 kJ/kgmole				max remperature				
Balance Balance <t< td=""><td>Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance 16.043 -1.000 H2O 18.015 -2.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 "*Add Comp** 0.00000 Balance Error Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000</td><td></td><td>2.016</td><td>1.000</td><td>Barie Unite</td><td>atm</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.000</td></t<>	Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance 16.043 -1.000 H2O 18.015 -2.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 "*Add Comp** 0.00000 Balance Error Balance Balance Error 0.00000		2.016	1.000	Barie Unite	atm			1.000
Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Hear (25 C) -2.1e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Tigure (02) design the reaction (set-1) of Figure (03) design the reaction (set-2) on Methane 16.043 -1.000 Methane 16.043 -1.000 Component Methane 16.043 -1.000 Methane 16.043 -1.000 Component Metware 16.043 -1.000 Methane 16.043 -1.000 Component Metware 16.043 -1.000 *Add Comp** *Add Comp** *Add Comp** Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Error 0.00000	Balance Balance Error 000000 Reaction Heat (25 C) 'igure (02) design the reaction (set-1) of HTSC & LTSC reactor. Figure (03) design the reaction (set-2) o Methanator reactor. Component Mole Weight Stoich Coeff H20 18.015 -2.000 H20 18.015 -1.000 C02 44.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 "*Add Comp*" Stoich Coeff Stoich Coeff Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance 1.6e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance Balance Error 0.00000	Balance							
HTSC & LTSC reactor. Methanator reactor. Component Mole Weight Stoich Coeff Methane 16.043 -1.000 H2O 18.015 -2.000 Coord 4.000 H2O Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 **Add Comp**	HTSC & LTSC reactor. Methanator reactor. Component Mole Weight Stoich Coeff Methane 16.043 -1.000 H2O 18.015 -2.000 CO2 44.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 **Add Comp** - Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C)	iguro (02) d	Ready	у				Reaction Heat (25 C)	-2.1e+05 kJ/kgmole
Component Mole Weight Stoich Coeff Methane 16.043 -1.000 H2O 18.015 -2.000 CO2 2.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 **Add Comp**	Component Mole Weight Stolch Coeff Methane 16.043 -1.000 H2O 18.015 -2.000 CO2 44.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 **Add Comp** Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.6e+05 kJ/kgmole	igure (02) de				01	N	-	· /
H20 18.015 -2.000 CO2 44.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 **Add Comp** CO 28.011 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Error 0.00000	H20 18.015 -2.000 CO2 44.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 **Add Comp** CO 28.011 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 **Add Comp** Salance Error 0.00000 Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance 1.6e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance D.16e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance D.100000	Component	Mo	le Weight	Stoich Coeff		Stoichiometry Info		
CO2 44.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 **Add Comp** Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Error 0.00000	CO2 44.010 1.000 Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 CO 28.011 1.000 "*Add Comp*" Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 "*Add Comp*" **Add Comp** Image: Color of the state of t	Me	thane	16.043		-1.000	Component	Mole Weight	Stoich Coeff
Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 CO 28.011 1.000 **Add Comp** Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 **Add Comp** **Add Comp** Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000	Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 CO 28.011 1.000 **Add Comp** Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 **Add Comp** **Add Comp** Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Irror 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.6e+05 kl/kgmole Balance Balance Irror 0.00000		H2O	18.015		-2.000	Methan	16.043	-1.000
Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 CO 28.011 1.000 **Add Comp** Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 **Add Comp** **Add Comp** Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Balance Error 0.00000	Hydrogen 2.016 4.000 CO 28.011 1.000 **Add Comp** Hydrogen 2.016 3.000 **Add Comp** **Add Comp** Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.6e+05 kJ/kgmole Balance Balance Error 0.00000		CO2	44.010		1.000	H20	18.015	-1.000
Add Comp 2.016 3.000 **Add Comp** 2.016 3.000 **Add Comp** 2.016 3.000 Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Error 0.00000	**Add Comp**	114							
Add Comp **Add Comp** Balance Balance Balance Balance	Mid Comp* **Add Comp* **Add Comp* Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C)			2.016		4.000			
Balance Error 0.00000 Balance Error 0.00000	Balance Balance Error 0.00000 Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.6e+05 kl/kgmole	**Add Co	omp**						3.000
Balance Balance	Balance Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.6e+05 kl/kgmole Reaction Heat (25 C) 2.1e+05 kl/kgmole						**Add Comp*	*	
Balance Balance	Balance Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.6e+05 kJ/kgmole Reaction Heat (25 C) 2.1e+05 kJ/kgmole								
Balance Balance	Balance Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.6e+05 kJ/kgmole Reaction Heat (25 C) 2.1e+05 kJ/kgmole								
Reaction Heat (25 C) 1.0e+05 K/kgmole			Balance	: Error		0.00000		Balance Error	0.00000
		Balance					Balance		

Chemical and Process Engineering Research ISSN 2224-7467 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0913 (Online) Vol.62, 2020



Stoteniometry Into			Component	Mole Weight	Stoich Coeff	
Component	Mole Weight	Stoich Coeff	Methane	16.043	-1.000	
Methane	16.043	-1.000	H2O	18.015	-2.000	
CO2	44.010	1.000	CO2	44.010	1.000	
H2O	18.015	2.000	Hydrogen	2.016	4,000	
Oxygen	32.000	-2.000	**Add Comp**			
Add Comp						
	Balance Error	0.00000	Balance	Balance Error Reaction Heat (25 C)	0.00000 1.6e+05 k//kgmole	
	Reaction Heat (25 C)	-8.0e+05 kJ/kgmole				
	Figure (05)) design the reaction	(set-4) of second r			
Stoichiometry Info			Stoichiometry Keq Appro	oach Library		
Storenometry into			- Stoichiometry			
Component	Mole Weight	Stoich Coeff	-			
CO2	44.010	-1.000	Component	Mole Weight	Stoich Coeff	
Hydrogen	2.016	-4.000	Hydroge	2.016	-3.000	
Methane		1.000	Nitroge	en 28.013	-1.000	
H2O	18.015	2.000	Ammon		2.000	
Add Comp	101015	2.000	**Add Comp		21000	
Balance	Balance Error Reaction Heat (25 C)	0.00000 -1.6e+05 kl/kgmole	Balance	Balance Error Reaction Heat (25 C	0.00000 -3.0e+04 kJ/kgmole	
Figure (06) design th	e reaction (set-5)	of methanator (1)) Figure (07) design the reaction (set-6) of ammonia			
0 () 0	. ,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	reactor			converter reacto	r	

2.3.4 Installing the feed streams:

Natural gas & steam are the feed streams for the primary reformer. A stream of air is associated with the secondary reformer. For these streams, it is vital to characterize this properties temperature, pressure, and component mole fraction as appeared in table (2).

	1 4 6	(2) the output		emannen		Shintananon			
Stuciona	Temperature	Pressure	Component mole fraction						
Stream	(°C)	(Kpa)	Ch4	H ₂ O	CO	CO ₂	H_2	N_2	O ₂
R-LNG	371	3346	0.985	0	0	0.0008	0	0.0142	0
Steam	246.1	3445	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Air	30	3445	0	0	0	0	0	0.79	0.21

Table (2) the basic streams conditions for the simulation

3. Result and Discussions:

After performing the simulation, the influence of different processing parameters such as (temperature and pressure of steam, temperature and pressure of natural gas ...etc) on the production rate of the process are observed & by controlling these parameters optimum ammonia production can be obtained. From the simulation result, those effects are described in below.

3.1 Temperature of natural gas:

From the figure (08), it is observed that the influence of temperature of natural gas on ammonia product rate as the increases of the temperature of natural gas cause decrease of ammonia production rate.

3.2 Pressure of natural gas:

From the figure (09), influence of the pressure of natural gas on ammonia production rate is observed where from interval 1000 kpa to 3000 kpa the increases of the pressure cause decrease of ammonia production rate & from higher than 3000 kpa the increase of the pressure cause slightly increase of ammonia production rate.

3.3 Temperature of steam:

From the the figure (10), the influence of temperature of steam on ammonia production rate is very clear in three intervals as from 200-250 0 K the increase of the temperature cause increase of the ammonia production rate & from 250-300 0 K the maximum ammonia production rate is obtained & from higher than 300 0 K the increase of temperature cause decrease of ammonia production rate so the optimum operating temperature of steam is from 250-300 0 K.

www.iiste.org

3.4 Pressure of steam:

From the figure (11), it is observed that the influence of Pressure of steam on ammonia product where the increase of pressure of steam cause increase of the ammonia production rate.

3.5 Temperature of air:

From the figure (12), the influence of the temperature of air is very clear where the increases of temperature of air cause decrease of the production rate.

3.6 Pressure of air:

From the figure (13), it is seen that the increase of the pressure of air cause increase on the production rate.

3.7 temperature of the feed (hydrogen & nitrogen)

From the figure (14), it is seen that the increase of the feed temperature cause decrease of the ammonia production rate.



Figure (15) Hysys Process Flow diagram of ammonia synthesis



Figure 08: temperature of natural gas vs. Mass flow rate of ammonia





Figure 09: pressure of natural gas vs. Mass flow rate of ammonia



Figure 10: temperature of steam vs. Mass flow rate of produced ammonia.



Figure 11: pressure of steam vs. Mass flow rate of produced ammonia.



Figure 12: Temperature of feed of air vs. Mass flow rate of produced ammonia



Figure 13: pressure of feed of air vs. Mass flow rate of produced ammonia



Figure 14: temperature of feed of nitrogen and hydrogen vs. Mass flow rate of produced ammonia

4. Conclusion

Ammonia production is an essential chemical process because of its applications. In this paper, the produced information in light of the recreation performed in HYSYS. This information can enable us to comprehend the procedure in various circumstances in mechanical practice. By changing the distinctive parameters in this recreation condition, the impacts of these parameters on ammonia production are watched and the outcomes are appeared in graphical shape. Utilizing the plots, the ideal conditions for ammonia production can be effortlessly discovered.

www.iiste.org

References

- 1. Amin, M. R., Sharear, S., Siddique, N., & Islam, S. Simulation of Ammonia Synthesis. American Journal of Chemical Engineering, (2013)1(3), 59-64.
- 2. Appl, M. Ammonia. Ullmann's encyclopedia of industrial chemistry (2006).
- 3. Biegler, L. T. Process flow sheet optimization: recent results and future directions (1987).
- 4. Biegler, L. T., Grossmann, I. E., & Westerberg, A. W. Systematic methods for chemical process design (1997).
- 5. Bland. Optimization of an ammonia synthesis loop (2015).
- 6. Erisman, J. W., Sutton, M. A., Galloway, J., Klimont, Z., & Winiwarter, W. (2008). How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world. Nature Geoscience, 1(10), 636.
- 7. Gaines, L. D., & Gaddy, J. L. Process optimization by flow sheet simulation. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Process Design and Development, (1976) 15(1), 206-211.
- 8. Hamid, M. K. A. (2007). HYSYS: An Introduction to Chemical Engineering Simulation. Apostila de Hamid.
- 9. HYSYS, A. (2013). Version 8.0. Aspen Technology Inc.
- 10. Lawrence, S. A. (2004). Amines: synthesis, properties and applications. Cambridge University Press.
- 11. Schlögl, R. (2003). Catalytic Synthesis of Ammonia—A "Never-Ending Story"?. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 42(18), 2004-2008.
- 12. Sotoft, L. F., Pryds, M. B., Nielsen, A. K., & Norddahl, B. Process Simulation of Ammonia Recovery from Biogas Digestate by Air Stripping with Reduced Chemical Consumption. In Computer Aided Chemical Engineering (2015) (Vol. 37, pp. 2465-2470). Elsevier.