

## ON COMMON RANDOM FIXED POINTS OF MAPPINGS IN HILBERT SPACE

**Mr. PIYUSH M. PATEL<sup>(1)</sup>**

Research Scholar of Sainath University, Jarkhand.

Assistant Professor in Hansaba college of Engineering and Technology, Sidhpur

**RAMAKANT BHARDWAJ<sup>(2)</sup>**

Associate Professor in Truba Institute of Engineering & Information Technology, Bhopal

(M.P). [rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com](mailto:rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com)

**Sabhakant Dwivedi<sup>(3)</sup>**

Department of Mathematics, Institute for Excellence in higher education Bhopal

**ABSTRACT:** We find common random fixed point of two random operator in closed convex subset of separable Hilbert space by considering a sequence of measurable function satisfying condition A, B and C.

**Key words:** common fixed point, rational expression, hilbert space random variable

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Random nonlinear analysis has grown into an active research area closely associated with the study of random nonlinear operators and their properties needed in solving nonlinear random operator equations (see [9, 14, 17]). The study of random fixed point theory was initiated by the Prague school of probabilists in the 1950's ([11, 23]). Random fixed point theorems are of tremendous importance in probabilistic functional analysis as they provide a convenient way of modelling many real life problems and random methods have also revolutionized the financial markets. The survey article by Bharucha-Reid [10] in 1976 attracted the attention of several mathematicians and gave wings to this theory. Itoh [13] extended Spacek's and Hans's theorems to random multivalued contraction mappings. In recent years, a lot of efforts have been made ([4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 18, 19], and references therein) to show the existence of random fixed points of certain random single valued and multivalued operators and various applications in diverse area from pure mathematics to applied sciences have been explored.

**Theorem 1.1** (Banach's contraction principle) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $c \in (0, 1)$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(fx, fy) \leq cd(x, y)$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $a \in X$ , such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = a$ .

After the classical result, Kannan [20] gave a subsequently new contractive mapping to prove the fixed point theorem. Since then a number of mathematicians have been worked on fixed point theory dealing with mappings satisfying various type of contractive conditions. The aim of this paper is to generalize some mixed type of contractive conditions to the mapping and then a pair of mappings satisfying general contractive mappings such as Kannan type [20], Zamfirescu type [24], etc.

In recent years, the study of random fixed points has attracted much attention. Some of the recent literatures in random fixed point may be noted in Rhoades [1], and Binayak S. Choudhary [2]. In this paper, we construct a sequence of measurable functions and consider its convergence to the common unique random fixed point of two continuous random operators defined on a non-empty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space. For the purpose of obtaining the random fixed point of the two continuous random operators. We have used a rational inequality (from B. Fisher [3] and S.S. Pagey [21]) and the parallelogram law. Throughout this paper,  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  denotes a measurable space consisting of a set  $\Omega$  and sigma algebra  $\Sigma$  of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $H$  stands for a separable Hilbert space and  $C$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $H$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow C$  is said to be measurable if  $f^{-1}(B \cap C) \in \Sigma$  for every Borel subset  $B$  of  $H$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $F: \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  is said to be a random operator if  $F(., x): \Omega \rightarrow C$  is measurable for every  $x \in C$

**Definition 1.4.** A measurable  $g: \Omega \rightarrow C$  is said to be a random fixed point of the random operator  $F: \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  if  $F(t, g(t)) = g(t)$  for all  $t \in \Omega$

**Definition 1.5.** A random operator  $F: \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  is said to be continuous if for fixed  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $F(t, .): C \rightarrow C$  is continuous.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and  $K$  be non empty bounded closed convex subset of  $X$ .  $T_1, T_2: \Omega \times K \rightarrow K$  be such that

$$A. \quad \|T_1(t, g_n(t)) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \leq \alpha_1 \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha_2 \|g_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 + \alpha_3 \|f_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 + \alpha_4 \max \left\{ \|g_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2, \|f_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \right\}$$

Where  $\alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and  $2\alpha_1 + 11\alpha_2 + 11\alpha_3 + 8\alpha_4 = 2$

B.  $T_1 F \subset F$  iff  $T_2 F \subset F$  for every convex subset  $F$  of  $K$ .

$$C. \quad \text{Either } \sup_{g_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\| < \delta(F) \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_1(t, f_n(t))\| < \delta(F)$$

for every non empty bounded closed convex subset  $F$  of  $K$  which is mapped into itself by  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  have common random fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $Y$  be the family of all non empty bounded closed convex subsets of  $K$  ordered by set inclusion which are mapped into itself by  $T_2$ . Since  $X$  is a Hilbert space, it is a reflexive Banach space and hence by Smulian result [22] every decreasing sequence of non empty bounded closed convex subset of  $X$  has non empty intersection and by Zorn's lemma, it follows that  $X$  possesses a minimal element  $F$ , say. If  $F$  contains only one element then that element becomes a fixed point of  $T_2$ . We shall show that  $F$  contains only one element. We suppose on the contrary that  $F$  contains more than one point, which will show implies a contradiction.

$$\text{Let } A = \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - f_n(t)\| \text{ by the condition C, } A > \delta(F)$$

We now defined the following terms for  $g_n(t) \in F$

$$\gamma_{g_n(t)}(F) = \max \left\{ \sup_{g_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|, A \right\}, \gamma(F) = \inf \left\{ \gamma_{g_n(t)}(F), g_n(t) \in F \right\}$$

$$F_c = \left\{ g_n(t) \in F; \gamma_{g_n(t)}(F) = \gamma(F) \right\}$$

We know that  $F_c$  is non empty closed and convex. For a positive integer  $n$  and for  $g_n(t) \in F$

$$\text{let } F(g_n(t), n) = \left\{ f_n(t) \in F; \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\| \leq \gamma(F) + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \text{ and } C_n = \bigcup_{g_n(t) \in F} F(g_n(t), n)$$

We show first  $C_n$  is non empty. If possible let  $C_n = \emptyset$  than there exist  $g_n(t)$  and  $f_n(t) \in F$  such that  $F(g_n(t), n) \cap F(f_n(t), n) = \emptyset$ .

By contradiction

$$F(g_n(t), n) = \left\{ f_n(t) \in F; \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\| \leq \gamma(F) + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \text{ and similarly}$$

$$F(f_n(t), m) = \left\{ g_n(t) \in F; \|f_n(t) - g_n(t)\| \geq 2\gamma(F) + \frac{2}{n} \right\} \tag{1}$$

Now for  $g_n(t) \in F$ ,  $\sup_{g_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\| \geq \frac{\delta(F)}{2}$  and so  $\gamma_{g_n(t)}(F) \geq \frac{\delta(F)}{2}$  and this implies

$\frac{\delta(F)}{2} \leq \gamma(F)$ .there for  $\delta(F) \leq 2\gamma(F) + \frac{2}{n}$ .So Form (1)  $\|f_n(t) - g_n(t)\| > \delta(F)$  which is contradiction because  $g_n(t)$  and  $f_n(t) \in F$ .

Therefore  $C_n$  is non empty set.

It may further be verified that  $C_n$  is closed ,convex and that  $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ .

We wish to show that  $F_c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$

For this let  $f_n(t) \in F_c$  .then  $\gamma_{f_n(t)}(F) = \gamma(F)$

$$\text{So } \max \left\{ \text{Sup}_{g_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - g_n(t)\|, A \right\} = \gamma(F)$$

$$\text{And so } \text{Sup}_{g_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - g_n(t)\| < \gamma(F) \text{ ----- (2)}$$

We verified that  $f_n(t) \in F(g_n(t), n) \forall g_n(t) \in F$  and for all n.

It possible let  $f_n(t) \in F(g_n(t), n)$  for some  $g_n(t) \in F$  and for some n. then  $\|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\| > \gamma(F) + \frac{1}{n}$  ----- (3) From (2)

we see that  $\|f_n(t) - g_n(t)\| \leq \gamma(F)$  which contradiction to (3),

So  $f_n(t) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  and so  $F_c \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$

Next let  $f_n(t) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  then  $f_n(t) \in F(g_n(t), n) \forall g_n(t) \in F$  and for all n. and this implies that

$\text{Sup}_{g_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\| \leq \gamma(F)$  .also  $A \leq \gamma(F)$  .these two give  $\gamma_{f_n(t)}(F) \leq \gamma(F)$ . But

$\gamma(F) \leq \gamma_{f_n(t)}(F)$  always and then  $\gamma(F) = \gamma_{f_n(t)}(F)$  and this gives  $f_n(t) \in F_c$ . So  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \subset F_c$

.thus  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = F_c$ .

This equality further gives that  $F_c$  is closed convex and by smulian's result[22] non empty.

Next we show that  $\delta(F_c) < \delta(F)$ .

Since  $K$  has normal structure and  $A < \delta(F)$  there exists a point  $g_n(t) \in F$  such that  $\gamma_{g_n(t)}(F) < \delta(F)$ .

If  $g_n(t), f_n(t) \in F_c$ , then  $\|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\| \leq \gamma_{g_n(t)}(F) = \gamma(F)$ .

So

$$\delta(F_c) = \sup \{ \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\| : g_n(t), f_n(t) \in F_c \} \leq \gamma(F) \leq \gamma_{g_n(t)}(F) < \delta(F) \text{-----} (4)$$

If  $g_n(t) \in F_c$  and  $f_n(t)$  is an arbitrary of  $F$  we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq \alpha_1 \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha_2 \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + \alpha_3 \|g_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \max \left\{ \|f_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2, \|g_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\|f_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 > \|g_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2$  then by (A)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq \alpha_1 \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha_2 \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + \alpha_3 \|g_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \|f_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha_2 \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + \alpha_3 \left[ 2\|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + 2 \left\{ \begin{aligned} &2\|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + \\ &2\|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \left\{ 2\|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + 2\|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \right\} \\ \therefore (1 - 2\alpha_4 - 4\alpha_3) \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_3) \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \end{aligned} \text{-----} (*)$$

similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 4\alpha_2) \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (4\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4) \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \end{aligned} \text{-----} (**)$$

adding (\*) and (\*\*), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2 - 4\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4) \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \\ \leq (2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3) \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + (5\alpha_2 + 5\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4) \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (2\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4) \max \left\{ \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2, \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \right\}$$

$$\text{or, } \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \leq \frac{(2\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4)}{(2 - 4\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4)} \max \left\{ \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2, \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \right\}$$

Using the condition  $2\alpha_1 + 11\alpha_2 + 11\alpha_3 + 8\alpha_4 = 2$

We can show that

$$\frac{(2\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4)}{(2 - 4\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4)} \leq 1$$

now If  $\|f_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 < \|g_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2$  then by (A)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq \alpha_1 \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha_2 \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + \alpha_3 \|g_n(t) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \|g_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + \alpha_2 \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + \alpha_3 \left[ 2\|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + 2 \left\{ \begin{aligned} &2\|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 + \\ &2\|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \left\{ 2\|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + 2\|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \right\} \\ \therefore (1 - 4\alpha_3) \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{C}$$

similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 4\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_4) \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (4\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

adding (C) and (D), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2 - 4\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4) \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \\ \leq (2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4) \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2 + (5\alpha_2 + 5\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4) \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \\ \leq (2\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4) \max \left\{ \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2, \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or, } \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 \leq \frac{(2\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4)}{(2 - 4\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4)} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2, \\ \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \end{array} \right\}$$

using the condition  $2\alpha_1 + 11\alpha_2 + 11\alpha_3 + 8\alpha_4 = 2$

$$\text{we can show that } \frac{(2\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 7\alpha_3 + 6\alpha_4)}{(2 - 4\alpha_2 - 4\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4)} \leq 1$$

Taking the positive square root we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2(t, f_n(t)) - T_1(t, g_n(t))\|^2 &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2, \\ \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|g_n(t) - f_n(t)\|^2, A \right\} = \gamma_{g_n(t)}(F) = \gamma(F) \end{aligned}$$

So the set  $T_2(F)$  is contained in a closed sphere with centre at  $T_1(t, g_n(t))$  and radius

$\gamma(F)$ . We denote this sphere by  $\bar{U}$ .

Clearly  $T_2(F \cap \bar{U}) \subset F \cap \bar{U}$  because  $F$  is minimal  $F \subset \bar{U}$

And so

$$\sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2 < \gamma(F). \text{-----(5)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{now } \gamma_{T_1(t, g_n(t))}(F) &= \max \left\{ \sup_{f_n(t) \in F} \|f_n(t) - T_2(t, f_n(t))\|^2, A \right\} \\ &\leq \max \{ \gamma(F), A \} \text{----- From (5)} \\ &= \gamma(F), \text{ because } \gamma(F) \geq A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\gamma_{T_1(t, g_n(t))}(F) \leq \gamma(F)$  but we always have  $\gamma_{T_1(t, g_n(t))}(F) \geq \gamma(F)$ .

$$\text{So } \gamma_{T_1(t, g_n(t))}(F) = \gamma(F)$$

This implies that  $T_1(t, g_n(t)) \in F_c$  and by (B)  $T_2(t, f_n(t)) \in F_c$

There for  $F_c$  is a non-empty, closed and convex subset of  $F$  which is mapped into itself by  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  and because of (4)  $\delta(F_c) < \delta(F)$

Therefore  $F_c$  is proper subset of  $F$ . this contradicts the fact that  $F$  is minimal. Therefore,  $F$  can not contain more than one element, but  $F$  is not empty. Hence  $F$  contains only one element which clearly a random fixed point of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem (2.1)

## References:

- [1] B.E. Rhoades, Iteration to obtain random solutions and fixed points of operators in uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Soochow Journal of mathematics*, 27(4) (2001), 401 – 404
- [2] Binayak S. Choudhary, A common unique fixed point theorem for two random operators in Hilbert space, *IJMMS* 32(3)(2002), 177 - 182.
- [3] B. Fisher, Common fixed point and constant mapping satisfying a rational inequality, *Math. Sem. Kobe Univ*, 6(1978), 29 - 35.
- [4] Beg, I., Random fixed points of random operators satisfying semi contractivity conditions, *Math. Japan.*, 46 (1) (1997), 151–155.
- [5] Beg, I., Random Edelstein theorem, *Bull.Greek Math. Soc.*, 45 (2001), 31–41.
- [6] Beg, I., Minimal displacement of random variables under Lipschitz random maps, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Anal.*, 19 (2002), 391–397.
- [7] Beg, I., and Abbas, M., Iterative procedures for solutions of random operator equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 315(1)(2006), 181–201.
- [8] Beg, I., and Thakur, B.S., Solution of random operator equations using general composite implicit iteration process, *Int. J. Modern Math.*, 4(1)(2009), 19–34.
- [9] Bharucha-Reid, A.T., *Random Integral Equations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
- [10] Bharucha- Reid, A.T., Fixed point theorems in probabilistic analysis, *Bull. Amer.Math. Soc.*, 82 (1976), 641–645.
- [11] Hanš, O., Reduzierende zulliällige transformaten, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 7 (1957), 154–158.
- [12] Hanš, O., Random operator equations, in: *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, Vol. II, Part I, University of California Press, Berkeley, 1961, 185–202.



- [13] Itoh, S., Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 67 (1979), 261–273.
- [14] Kalmoun, E.M., Some deterministic and random vector equilibrium problems, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.*, 267 (2002), 62–75.
- [15] Kampen, J., On fixed points of maps and iterated maps and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 42(3) (2000), 509–532.
- [16] Matsuoka, T., The number of periodic points of smooth maps, *Ergod. Th. And Dynam. Sys.*, 8 (1989), 153–163.
- [17] O'Regan, D., A continuation type result for random operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126, 7(1998), 1963–1971.
- [18] Papageorgiou, N.S., Random fixed point theorems for measurable multifunctions in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 97 (1986), 507–514.
- [19] Papageorgiou, N.S., On measurable multifunctions with stochastic domain, *J.Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A)*, 45 (1988), 204–216.
- [20] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull.Calcutta Math. Soc.* 60(1968), 71-76[8]  
S.K.Chatterjea, Fixed point theorems, *C.R.Acad.Bulgare Sci.* 25(1972), 727- 730.1
- [21] S.S. Pagey, Shalu Srivastava and Smita Nair, Common fixed point theorem for rational inequality in a quasi 2-metric space, *Jour. Pure Math.*, 22(2005), 99 - 104.
- [22] Smulian V., On the principal on inclusion in the space of type (B), *maths, sbornic(N.S.)*, 5, 327-328(1937).
- [23] Spacek, A., Zufällige Gleichungen, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 5 (1955), 462–466.
- [24] T.Zamfrescu, Fixed point theorems in metric spaces, *Arch.Math.(Basel)* 23(1972), 292-298.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:  
<http://www.iiste.org>

## CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

**Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

## IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

