

Usage of Fym and Its Impact on Rice Productivity: Empirical Evidence from Tamil Nadu, India

R. Ravikumar
Research scholar,
Dept. of ARM, TNAU, Coimbatore,
raviagrimba@gmail.com,
9943453075

N. Venkatesa Palanichamy
Professor
Dept. of ARM,
TNAU, Coimbatore,
nvpchamy@gmail.com,
9360389265

G.Muthu Kaarthik,
Ph.D Scholar,
Bharathiar University
Coimbatore
gmk_agri@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

This paper explores the usage of farmyard manure (FYM) and its impact on paddy yield under different soil conditions in Tamil Nadu, using farming households' three-year rotating panel data from 1993 to 2003. Estimated yield functions reveal that, direct impact of FYM application did not exist in paddy cultivation. Meanwhile, an indirect impact through an increase in the marginal product of chemical fertilizer is observed especially under low inherent soil fertility status. Reflecting the existence of the benefit of FYM application, our factor demand estimation showed that farmers react to FYM price change actively. This means that, reduction in FYM price contributed to the productivity improvement.

Key words: Rice, Farm Yard Manure (FYM), Productivity.

Introduction

Attention to organic fertilizer has been increasing. Concern about the sustainability of food production has been leading to a revival in the use of organic inputs in modern agriculture as this is seen as an appropriate way to maintain soil health by providing soil organic matter and micronutrients (Rasmussen et al., 1998). More recently, a steep rise in international prices for inorganic fertilizer has been further turning people's attention to organic fertilizer as a possible substitute. On top of that, growing concern about poverty and hunger in sub-Saharan Africa sheds light on the role of locally produced organic fertilizer for boosting crop productivity because expensive inorganic fertilizer on international markets becomes even more expensive at the farm gate in Africa due to poorly developed internal transportation systems (Otsuka and Yamano, 2005).

Meanwhile, experiments in agronomy show that, for lowland rice cultivation, the use of organic fertilizer has little impact on its productivity, whereas it has a discernible impact on upland cereals (Hati et al., 2007; Dawe et al., 2003; Edmeades, 2003; Rasmussen et al., 1998). They also show that the significance of organic fertilizer use varies considerably under different types of soil property (Dawe et al., 2003). This means that organic fertilizer is effective but not a panacea. Therefore, in order to write a correct prescription for agricultural development, we need a better understanding of the potential and limitations of the use of organic fertilizer. However, evidence from farmers' field is limited.

The purpose of this study is to statistically explore differential impact of the use of FYM for paddy under different soil types, using the data set collected by Tamil Nadu Agricultural University under the Cost of Cultivation of Principal Crops from 1993 to 2003. The contributions of this study include not only the exploration of the potential and limitations but also policy implications on how to incorporate a dairy sector in a development strategy.

Literature Review

Field experiments show that FYM has little direct impact on paddy yield as the release of nitrogen from FYM is slow in flooded conditions and irrigation water helps to maintain soil health (Balwinder et al., 2008; Pampolino et al., 2008; Sahrawat, 2005; Dawe et al., 2003). An exception is the case when soil quality is inherently very poor (Dawe et al., 2003). Meanwhile, in most cases, the impact on upland crops, including upland cereals, is expected to be high, first because the release of nitrogen is fast under aerobic conditions, and second because the degradation of soil organic matter and the deficiency of micronutrients in soil are usually problematic under aerobic conditions (Hati et al., 2007; Rangaraj, et al., 2007; Yadav et al., 2007; Mussnug et al., 2006, Somasundaram et al., 2004). Another group of studies shows that FYM or organic matters in soil indirectly increases yield by making external nutrient more absorbable to crops (Tiessen et al., 1994). This sheds light on the role of FYM as a complement to inorganic fertilizer. At the same time, we should note that, as explained in existing studies in agronomy, the significance of the influence varies considerably under different agroecological conditions (Edmeades, 2003; Yadav, 2003). Therefore, the empirical section of this study starts by confirming these established features by estimating yield functions by crop and by soil type.

Estimation Models

We define a yield function of farming household i in village j at time t as

$$y_{ijt} = f(l_{ijt}, n_{ijt}, m_{ijt}; \phi_{ij})$$

where y is the yield of either paddy per hectare, l is the hours of labor input per ha, n is the amount of NPK fertilizer applied per ha, m is the amount of FYM applied per ha, and ϕ is aggregated influence from technology, access to irrigation, soil condition, and agro-ecological environment, which is time-invariant at least in the short run (a household-level fixed effect). For econometric estimation, we consider a second-order local approximation to this general form. This gives a quadratic yield function defined as

$$y_{ijt} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 l_{ijt} + \alpha_2 l_{ijt}^2 + \alpha_3 n_{ijt} + \alpha_4 n_{ijt}^2 + \alpha_5 m_{ijt} + \alpha_6 m_{ijt}^2 + \alpha_7 l_{ijt} n_{ijt} + \alpha_8 l_{ijt} m_{ijt} + \alpha_9 n_{ijt} m_{ijt} + \phi_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijt} \quad (1)$$

Where ε is the error term for random productivity shocks. Technical interdependencies are captured by the interaction terms, and α_9 becomes positive if FYM and NPK are complementary factors. One advantage of this functional form is that we can include observations with zero input values without any manipulation for log transformation. This is appealing to us as many farmers do not apply FYM at all. Econometrically, a self-selection bias due to the relationship between soil degradation and manure application is expressed as a possible negative correlation between m and ϕ . Estimation with household dummy variables (i.e. the household fixed effects model) purges the influence of ϕ .

Regression Results

Yield Functions

The summary statistics of the variables for paddy yield function was presented in Table 1. Since many farmers do not apply FYM at all to either crop, the means of FYM input are low. However, if we restrict our sample to those who applied FYM, the means become 7.62 and 6.17 t ha⁻¹, respectively.

Table 1: Estimation results of quadratic yield response functions for paddy by soil type using a household fixed effect model

Variables	Paddy yield (t ha ⁻¹)	
	All soil types	Poor soil type ^a
Labor (1000 hrs ha ⁻¹)	2.990 (3.34)***	3.654 (2.30)**
Labor ²	-0.301 (0.52)	-0.931 (0.91)
NPK (t ha ⁻¹)	10.759 (4.34)***	10.176 (2.50)**
NPK ²	-12.442 (2.02)**	-7.726 (0.70)
FYM (t ha ⁻¹)	-0.034 (0.81)	-0.082 (1.11)
FYM ²	-0.001 (0.89)	-0.002 (0.75)
Labor*NPK	-5.935 (2.69)***	-8.303 (2.17)**
Labor*FYM	0.009 (0.32)	-0.020 (0.34)
NPK*FYM	0.237 (1.88)*	0.637 (2.49)**
constant	1.628 (3.62)***	1.697 (2.67)***
Time-varying dummies	Year*Village	Year*Village
Fixed effects	Household	Household
Observations	2445	1142

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%; *** significant at 1%

^a Poor soil type is defined as the soil of non-black/-brown color. The non-black/-brown soils include yellow, red, gray, and mottled.

The results of the first model (all soil types) for paddy show that the coefficients of labor, NPK, and the squared term of each have conventional signs, although only the squared term of labor is not statistically significant. Meanwhile, the coefficients of FYM and FYM squared are not significant at any acceptable level of significance in any models, indicating that no direct impact exists, which is consistent with the findings from field experiments. However, it is worth noting that the interaction term between FYM and NPK ($n*m$) is positive and significant, and the magnitude of the impact becomes 2.7 times greater under the poor soil condition (the second model). Thus, our data indicate that although there is no direct productivity impact of FYM on paddy, FYM application still has an indirect impact, which becomes larger when soil quality is inherently poor. FYM can be effective as a complement to NPK for paddy.

Factor Demand Function

To compute the village average FYM price, at least one farmer in a village must have record of FYM value. Hence, for this analysis, we excluded the observations in the villages where no one uses FYM at all for any purposes. An interesting result is that farmers apply more FYM to paddy than other crops and the reactions to the changes in FYM price is slightly greater for paddy which is contrary to our expectation based on the yield function analysis. Regarding the level of application, one possible reason is the lower real FYM price in paddy producing villages. In addition, FYM in paddy field has a lasting impact as the release of nitrogen is slow. Hence, although an immediate impact captured by yield function is not so large, expecting a long-term impact, farmers seem to actively increase FYM application when its real price goes down.

Table 2: Estimation results of linear FYM demand functions for paddy by soil type using a household fixed effect model

Variables	FYM for paddy (t ha ⁻¹)	
	All soil types	Poor soil type ^a
FYM price (\bar{p}^m / p^y)	-679.697 (-1.89)*	-734.935 (1.17)
Number of ordinary cattle (c^{ord} / p^y)	-54.226 (0.41)	-77.626 (0.33)
Number of improved cattle (c^{imp} / p^y)	-121.245 (0.45)	198.736 (1.02)
NPK price (\bar{p}^n / p^y)	658.315 (1.35)	959.319 (0.90)
Wage rate (\bar{p}^l / p^y)	128.896 (0.27)	-444.148 (0.58)
Constant	2.193 (0.86)	3.620 (0.66)
Time-varying dummies	Year*Village	Year*Village
Fixed effects	Household	Household
Observations	1294	638

* significant at 10%; ** significant at 5%

^a Poor soil type is defined as the soil of non-black/-brown color. The non-black/-brown soils include yellow, red, gray, and mottled.

Although we include the number of ordinary cattle and that of improved cattle, they are not statistically significant in any models. As we have noted in the previous section, this is probably due to small change in the number within a household in three years.

Once we restrict our sample to the poor soil observations (Models (2)), the coefficients of real FYM price become larger, which is consistent with the fact that the benefit of FYM application is larger under poor soil condition. However, they are not highly significant, which may stem from the reduction in sample size as well as from the small variation in price in three years. We leave a further statistical analysis for our future issue when the latest and future rounds of CCPC data set will be merged for larger sample size.

Conclusion

This paper analyzed the potential and limitations of the use of FYM for the improvement of crop productivity, using farming households' three-year rotating panel data from 1993 to 2003 in Tamil Nadu, India. Four main findings emerged from this analysis. First, the direct impact of FYM application did not exist in paddy. Second, an indirect impact through an increase in the marginal product of chemical fertilizer is observed in paddy, particularly when soil quality is inherently poor. Third, reflecting the existence of the benefit of FYM application, farmers react to FYM price changes actively. These findings reveal that moderate potential of FYM application exists in paddy cultivation under poor soil conditions. Since the dairy sector development brings about the price reduction and then more FYM application, it contributes to productivity improvement.

References

- Balwinder Kumar, B. Guputa R. K., Bhandari A. L. (2008). Soil fertility changes after long term application of organic manures and crop residues under rice-wheat system, *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*, 56(1), 80-85.

- Edmeades D. C. (2003). The long-term effects of manures and fertilizers on soil productivity and quality: a review, *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 66, 165-180.
- Hati, K. M., Swarup, A., Dwivedi, A. K., Misra, A. K., and Bandyopadhyay K. K. (2007). Changes in soil physical properties and organic carbon status at the topsoil horizon of a vertisol of central India after 28 years of continuous cropping, fertilization and manuring, *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, 119, 127-134.
- Mussnug, F., Becker, M., Son, T. T., Buresh, R. J., and Vlek, P. L. G., (2006). Yield gaps and nutrient balances in intensive, rice-based cropping systems on degraded soils in the Red River Delta of Vietnam, *Field Crop Research*, 98, 127-140.
- Otsuka, K., and Yamano, T. (2005). The possibility of a Green Revolution in Sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from Kenya, *Journal of Agriculture and Development Economics*, 2(1), 7-19.
- Pampolino, M., Laureles, E. V., Gines H. C., and Buresh, R. J., (2008). Soil carbon and nitrogen changes in long-term continuous lowland rice cropping, *Soil Science Society of American Journal*, 72, 798-807.
- Rangaraj, T. Somasudaram, E., Mohamed Amanullah, M., Thirumurugan, V., Ramesh, S., and Ravi, S. (2007). Effect of agro-industrial wastes on soil properties and yield of irrigated finger millet in coastal soil, *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science*, 3(3), 153-156.
- Sahrawat, K. L. (2005). Fertility and organic matter in submerged rice soils, *Current Science*, 88(5), 735-739.
- Somasundaram E., Sankaran, N., and Thiyagarajan, T. M. (2004). Efficacy of organic sources of nutrients and panchagavya spray on productivity of crops in maize based cropping system, *Journal of Agricultural Resource Management*, 3(1), 46-53.
- Tiessen, H., Cuevas, E., and Chacon, P. (1994). The role of soil organic matter in sustaining soil fertility, *Nature*, 371(27), 783-785.
- Yadav, K. K., Chhipa, B. R. (2007). Effect of FYM, gypsum and iron pyrites on fertility status of soil and yield of wheat irrigated with high RSC water, *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 55(3), 324-329.
- Yadav, R. L. (2003). Assessing on-farm efficiency and economics of fertilizer N, P and K in rice wheat systems in India, *Field Crops Research*, 81, 39-51.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:
<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

