

Entrepreneurial and Market Orientation Relationship to Performance: The Role of Business Environment

Mukhtar Shehu Aliyu, Phd¹ Abdulkadir Aminu Ahmed² Umar Haladu Utai³
1.Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Social and Management
Sciences, Northwest University, Kano – Nigeria
2.Department of Business Administration and Management, School of Management Studies, Kano State
Polytechnic

3. Department of Banking and Finance, School of Management Studies, Kano State Polytechnic

Abstract

The purpose of the study is to examine the moderating effects of business environment on the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation (EO), market orientation (MO) and business performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria. This study seeks to resolve the inconsistencies found in the contemporary literature concerning the relationship between EO, MO and business performance. A planned questionnaire using a 5-point Likert scale was adopted from previous works to determine the respondents' response. A survey research design was adopted and self - administered questionnaires were used to collect the data from 640 owner/managers of SMEs. The analysis was carried using SPSS version 20, the results of the study indicated that entrepreneurial orientation has a significant and positive relationship with business performance; a negative relationship is reported between market orientation and business performance. The study also found that business environment does not moderate the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation, market orientation and business performance of SMEs. Owner/ managers, regulatory agencies, government, and other stakeholders will benefit from the study findings, and future research direction provided. **Keywords:** Entrepreneurial orientation, Market orientation, Business Environment, Business Performance, SMEs.

1. Introduction

There is an increasing significant role played by Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in the economic growth and development of many countries. SMEs are major source of employment which enhances societal upliftment of standard through the provision of goods and services and utilization of local human and material resources (Shehu & Mahmood, 2014a; Idar & Mahmood, 2011). SMEs serve as a source of raw material to large companies. They faces a lot of challenges due to competition, technological advancement in information technology, and customers need and preference changes. SMEs in Nigeria are faced with poor entrepreneurial and market orientations as well as multiplicity of regulatory agencies (Shehu & Mahmood, 2014b). For SMEs to exploit the available opportunities in a dynamic environment opens up, they need to relook at their existing strategies. They need dynamic abilities that will allow them to get and use new opportunities and renew the existing entrepreneurial and market bases. The purpose of this study, therefore, is to examined the relationships between entrepreneurial orientation, market orientation and business performance of SMEs in Nigeria. Specifically, this study aims to (1) determine the significant relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and SME performance, (2) determine the significant relationship between entrepreneurial and market orientation to performance relationship.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Entrepreneurial Orientation and Performance

Several studies conducted have used entrepreneurial orientation in its relationship with firm performance and the possible outcomes includes: Gurbuz and Aykol (2009) inspected two hundred and twenty one independently owned and operated small manufacturing firms that employ less than one hundred and fifty employees in Istanbul as a sample. The findings indicated strong linkage between EO and firm growth. However, Richard, Wu and Chadwick (2009) argued on the impact of entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance of five hundred and seventy nine US banks. They reported a strong and positive relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance. Faizol, Hirobuni and Tanaka (2010) examined entrepreneurial orientation and business performance of small and medium scale enterprises of the Hambantota district of Sri Lanka. The result shows a strong linkage between the two constructs. Al-Swidi and Mahmood (2012) investigated total quality management, entrepreneurial orientation and organizational performance. The findings reported a positive association between TQM, EO and organizational performance. The finding of Ndubisi and Iftikhar (2012) from Pakistan with a sample of hundred and twenty four SMEs is also in line with the previous entrepreneurial orientation studies. Similarly, the finding of Fatoki (2012) indicated that there was a significant positive



relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and performance of SMEs. In (2012) Junaidu investigated entrepreneurial orientation and export performance of SMEs in the Nigerian Leather Industry, using multiple regression and a mail survey questionnaire with resource based view as the theoretical underpinning. The findings of the study posit that tangible resources (financial, operational, communication, human, and intangible resources, knowledge image and marketing resources) are all strongly related to firm export performance.

In (2013) Mahmood and Hanafi, empirically investigated the effect of competitive advantage on entrepreneurial orientation and performance of women-owned SMEs in Malaysia, using survey questionnaire and regression method for the data analysis. The finding of the study indicated significant and positive rapport between EO and performance. This is also similar to Alarape (2013) finding, which established a significant and positive relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance. Shukri Bakar and Mahmood (2014) investigated transformational leadership and corporate entrepreneurship to performance relationship of higher education institutions in Malaysia. The finding indicated a significant and positive relationship between corporate entrepreneurship and performance; corporate entrepreneurship partially mediated transformational leadership and performance.

Despite, the numerous literature that reported a significant and positive relationship, there are other studies with mixed findings as: Runyan, Droge and Swinney (2008) in their study reported a mixed finding. Entrepreneurial orientation predicted the performance of young generation firms; whereas small business orientation was found to predict the performance of the old generation group of firms. Arbaugh, Cox and Camp (2009) results established a mixed findings as entrepreneurial orientation was positively to net worth (financial performance), while entrepreneurial orientation was negatively related to return on sales. Similarly, the study of Frank, Kessler and Fink (2010) study shows a low correlation between business performance and entrepreneurial orientation.

H1: There is a positive association between Entrepreneurial orientation and SME performance

2.2 Market Orientation and Performance

SMEs need to be more customer focused, monitor the competitive trends and strategies of competitor and respond effectively and appropriately to the market intelligence in order to survive successfully (Mahmoud, 2011). A study on the market orientation and performance relationship has extensively been carried over the past two decades with most researchers generally agreeing on its positive outcome, although a mixed finding is established. Slater and Narver (2000) in their empirical investigation using survey which provides a robust backing for the presence of a positive relationship between market orientation and performance. Shoham and Rose (2001) examined market orientation to performance relationship, which was considered as a seminal work of earlier investigation. Subramania and Gopalakrshna (2001) investigated the relationship between market orientation and performance in the context of a developing economy. The result was analyzed using regression method and the finding indicated that market orientation is an important predictor of performance.

Other previous studies that found positive association between the MO and performance (i.e. Jaworski & Kohli, 1993; Pelham, 1997; Pitt *et al.*, 1996; Pulendran *et al.*, 2000; Ruekert, 1992; Kara *et al.*, 2005; Kirca *et al.*, 2005). Whereas, the studies of Au and Tse (1995) reported a negative relationship amid MO and business performance. On the other hand, Mokhtar *et al.*, (2014) and Oztoran *et al.*, (2014) in their study mixed findings amid the relationship between market orientation and business performance.

H2: There is a positive association between Market orientation and SME performance

2.3 Business Environment and Performance

There appear a mixed findings on the business environment studies as either independent or dependent variable with different results, some of these studies are: Lindsay, Tan and Campbell (2009) conducted a study on candidate performance on the business environment and concepts section of the CPA Examination. The business environment was used as an independent variable in the relationship between concept sections of the CPA examination. The study of Song and Parry (2009) finding indicated that desired level of market orientation is a function of market turbulence, competitive intensity, technology turbulence and innovation strategy. Additionally, Nandakurmar, Ghobadian and Regan (2010) empirically investigated four thousand five hundred and eleven US companies and the data were generated from leading commercial database. The findings reported a strong linkage between environment and competitive performance. Fereidouni, Masron, Nikbin and Amir (2010) reported a positive association between the business environment and entrepreneurial motivation.

However, Bruton, Filatotchev and Chahine (2010) examined UK and France initial public offer (IPO) of two hundred and twenty four firms. They reported institutional environment as a good moderator on the association between governance, structure and IPO performance. Aswari (2010) assessed business environment for small and medium enterprises in Lebanon, which specifically focus on assessing the existing legal, regulatory and policy environment for small business growth in the country. The sampling frame made up of sixty four small enterprises using survey interview and descriptive statistics for the data analysis. The business



environment was used here as an independent variable and the study recommended need for creating a conducive environment for smooth operation of small businesses in Lebanon. Cosh, Fu and Hughes (2012) reported that young firms operating in high – technology sector with informal structures have more influence on innovation. Pederson and Sudzina (2012) surveyed two hundred and ninety nine Danish firms, and reported that inadequate number of internal and external factors have a significant association on the adoption of performance measurement systems.

Korunka, Kessler, Frank and Lueger (2010) argued on environment as a predictor of business survival using a survey questionnaire as an instrument and logistic regression for data analysis. The sample was drawn from three hundred and fifty four small business owners observed over eight years using longitudinal study. The environment was used as an independent variable, and the study reported that personal characteristics, resources, and environmental aspects at the start of business activities have a partial association with business survival. Similarly, Shehu and Mahmood (2014c) reported a significant and positive relationship between business environment and performance of SMEs in Nigeria. Based on this the study proposed:

H3: Business environment moderates the association between entrepreneurial orientation and SME performance.

H4: Business environment moderates the association between market orientation and SME performance

3. Methodology

3.1 Sample and Data Collection

The data is collected through a hand delivery survey questionnaire completed by the owner/managers of SMEs systematically selected from the list of registered SMEs in Kano – Nigeria. Although there are limitations in the use of questionnaire based research, however, it has advantage of cost savings; convenience,; anonymity, and reduced interview bias seem to outweigh the shortcomings (Sekaran & Bougie, 2010; Idar & Mahmood, 2011). In order to take care of problems associated with common-method bias using self-report data, hence, the results of this study is only presenting the owner/managers' perceptions which could provide the most precise assessment of the conditions with a firm (Lyon, et. al. 2000). A total of 640 owner/managers from the sampling frame were sent with the questionnaires and 511 usable responses were returned giving a response rate of 79.8 percent, making the response rate to be adequate. There is also an issue of non-response bias which is common to a survey method of data collection. Non response bias exists when there are significant differences between the answers of those who have responded and those who do not respond. This study followed the criteria of comparing the respondents of the first group with those of the second group (Armstrong & Overton 1977). The early group consisted of 321 responses whereas; the final group consisted of 190 responses. The result of the t-tests shows that there is no statistically significant differences on demographic characteristics. Thus, it can be concluded that there is no significant non-response bias in the present study.

3.2 Measures of Variable

3.2.1 Entrepreneurial Orientation

This study used Entrepreneurial orientation as a single construct adapted from Idar and Mahmood (2011), which is supported in the previous studies of Ricard *et al.*, (2009), Clercq *et al.*, (2010), Al – Swidi and Mahmood (2012), Mahmood and Hanafi (2013), Shehu and Mahmood (2014d). There are nine-items measured on a 5 point Likert scale. The EO represent a three sub-dimensions; innovativeness, pro-activeness and risk-taking respectively.

3.2.2 Market Orientation

The study used Market orientation measured as a single construct adapted from Suliyanto and Rahab (2012). There are 12 items representing customer orientation, competitor orientation and inter – functional coordination. The items were measured on a 5 point scale where 1 indicated 'strongly disagree' and 5 indicated 'strongly agree. 3.2.3 Business Environment

The business environment is operationalized to refer to the external factors affecting business organization. The external environment is also used in the previous work of Minai and Lucky (2011), Njaja et al., (2012), Bratnichka and Bratnichki (2013). External environment with the 12 items was adapted from the work of Abd Aziz (2011), which they also adopted from Kaderet (2009).

3.2.3 Performance

The subjective performance measure is adapted from the work of Suliyanto and Rahab (2012). There are six items, measured on a 5 – point likert scale where 1 indicated 'strongly disagree' and 5 indicated 'strongly agree.

4. Analysis

4.1 Reliability and Validity

Table 1 below provides a summary of reliability test based on the Cronbach Alpha values of more than 0.7 which is higher than that suggested by Hair, et. al. (2006); Sekaran and Bougie (2010). Therefore, all the



variables were considered to have adequate reliability.

Table 1: Reliability scores of variables

Variable	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha	
Entrepreneurial orientation	9	0.771	
Market orientation	12	0.811	
Business environment	12	0.923	
Performance	6	0.939	

The study variables were validated through a factor analysis. Prior to performing the analysis, the suitability of the data was assessed through two tests; Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (KMO) and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity. The KMO has to be more than 0.50 and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity has to be significant. The KMO values for EO is 0.623 MO 0.775 and BE is 0.725 respectively, which can be considered as between middling and meritorious (Kaiser, 1974) and Bartlett's Tests of Sphericity, which were significant at p<.001 Bartlett. Hence, the data was adequate for factor analysis.

4.2 Sample Characteristics

Table 2 below provides the profile of respondents. Majority of the owner/managers were male 91 percent compared to only 9 percent of them who are females. Most of them had a senior secondary school certificate qualification and below with 34.2 percent 2 percent and had obtained a Phd degree. More than 58.5 percent of the firms have employees between 10 - 49, and only 5.4 percent have been less than 10 employees. Most of the SMEs have 5 -10 years in operation, with about 37.3 percent, wheras only 2 percent is recorded for 30 years and above.

Table 2: Profile of Respondents

Demographic variables	Categories	Frequency	Percentate	
Gender	Male	91	91	
	Female	9	9	
Education	SSCE	153	34.2	
	Diploma/NCE	96	21.4	
	HND/Degree	140	31.3	
	Master Degree	50	11.2	
	PhD	9	2	
Number of Employees	Less than 10	24	5.4	
	Between 10-49	262	58.5	
	Between 50-199	162	36.1	
Years in Operation	Below 5 years	71	15.8	
•	Between 5-10 years	167	37.3	
	Between 11-15 years	105	23.4	
	Between 16-20 years	66	14.7	
	Between 21-25 years	20	4.5	
	Between 26-30 years	10	2.2	
	30 years and above	9	2	

4.3 Test of Hypotheses

The association between EO and performance was tested using regression analysis (H1) and also MO to performance (H2). The regression analysis result in Table 3 indicated that EO is positively and significantly related to performance. This finding supports H1. Similarly, the finding indicated that MO is negatively related to performance, thus (H2) not supported.

Table 3: Regression of EO and MO

	Beta	t-value	Sig	
EO	.279	5.962	.000*	
MO	014	304	.761	

The moderating effect of BE on the association between EO and performance, and MO and performance was tested based on Baron and Kenny (1986) procedure for moderation test. In the first step, the direct effect of the independent variables was entered, and in the second step, the moderating variable was entered to assess whether the moderator (business environment) has a significant direct effect on the dependent variable (firm performance). Finally, in the third step, the interaction terms (which are the product of the



independent variables and the moderator variable) were entered to find out any additional variance explained.

Table 4: Result of moderation Test

Independent variables	Std. Beta	Std. Beta	Std. Beta	
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	
EO	.279	.276	.273	
MO	014	015	381	
Interaction				
EO* Business environment			.152	
MO* Business environment			.802	
R^2	.076	.076	.081	
R ² Change	.076	.000	.004	
F – Change	18.338	.077	1.029	
Significant Level	***.001	**.50	*0.1	

From the above table 3, Inspection of the individual interaction terms between EO \times BE, MO \times BE, shows that business environment did not moderate the relationship between entrepreneurial and market orientations to SME performance; hence, H3 and H4 are not supported.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The association between EO and performance is found to be significant in the present study, which is in line with the findings of previous research of Baverly *et al.*, (2012); Dauda and Akingbade (2010); Oyedijo *et al.*, (2012) all established significant positive association between market orientation and firm performance. Similarly, Jaiyeoba (2014) established a significant and positive association between market orientation and the overall economic and non – economic business performance of Bostwana's service firms. The finding of Webster *et al.*, (2014) indicated a significant association of market orientation and academic performance of business schools in United State. In the same vein, Kelson (2014) reported a significant association between market orientations and firm performance in Ghana. The finding of Webster (2014) supported the previous findings that established a significant association between market orientation and performance of Canadian medical biotechnology companies.

However, MO to performance relationship is found to be negative, the finding is in concord with the previous findings of Shehu and Mahmood (2014e) which examined the mediating role of organizational culture on the relationship between MO and performance of Nigerian SMEs, MO is found to have a perfect negative association with business performance. Demirbag, Lenny Koh, Tatoglu and Zaim, (2006) found no significant relationship between market orientation and organizational performance. Au and Tse, (1995) in their study which employed hotel as sample with marketing managers as respondents. The results indicated no significant association between market orientations and hotel performance. Additionally, the moderation effect of BE on the relationship between EO, MO and Performance is not supported, this is also in line with the previous studies of Sul (2012); Aziz and Yasin (2010); Abd Aziz (2010) and Ishengoma and Kappel (2011).

In conclusion, this study considered the concurrent association among EO, MO and performance of SMEs, with the moderating effect of business environment. The moderating effect satisfies the suggested procedure by Baron and Kenny (1986). The result established no moderating effect of BE on the association of EO, MO and SME performance. However, a significant and positive relationship established between EO and performance, while, a negative relationship found between MO and performance. Future research should collect data on a longitudinal basis in order to draw causal implications. Second, the study also relies on self-reports of SME owner/managers, employee rating of SME performance be consider. Future studies should investigate the mediating and or moderating role of any suitable variable on strategic orientation to performance relationship. Finally, future research be conducted on specific sector such as education, communication, wholesale and retail respectively.

References

Abd Aziz, S., & Mahmood, R. (2010). The relationship between business model and performance of manufacturing small and medium enterprises in Malaysia. *African Journal of Business and Management*, 5(22), 8919-8938. Retrieve from http://www.academicjournal.org/AJBM.

Alarape, A.A. (2013). Entrepreneurial orientation and the growth performance of small and medium enterprises in Southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship*, 26(6), 553-577.

Al-Swidi, A.K., & Mahmood, R. (2012). Total quality management, entrepreneurial orientation and organizational performance: The role of organizational culture. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(13), 4717-4727.



- Armstrong, J.S., & Overton, T.S. (1977). Estimating non response bias in Mail surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 14, 396-402.
- Arbaugh, J.B., Cox, L.W., & Camp, S.M. (2009). Is entrepreneurial orientation a Global construct? A multi-country study of entrepreneurial orientation, growth strategy, and performance. *The Journal of Business Inquiry*, 8(1), 12-25.
- Asrawi, F. (2010). Assessing the business environment for small and medium size enterprise in Lebanon. *International Journal of Business and Public Administration*, 7(1), 103-116.
- Au, A.K.M., & Tse, A.C.B. (1995). The effects of market orientation on company performance in the Service Sector: a comparative study in Hong Kong and New Zealand. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 8(2), 77-87.
- Aziz, N.A., & Yasin, M.Y. (2010). How will market orientation and external environment influence the performance among SMEs in the Agro –food sector in Malaysia? *International Business Research*, 3(3), 154-164.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D.A. (1986). The moderator- mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic and statistical consideration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173-1182. Doi:10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173.
- Bratnicka, K., & Bratnicki, M. (2013). Linking Two Dimensions of the organizational creativity to firm performance: the mediating role of corporate entrepreneurship and the moderating role of environment. *Advance in Business Related Scientific Research Journal*, 4(2), 153-163
- Bruton, G.D., Filatotchev, I., & Chahine, S. (2010). Governance, ownership structure, and performance of IPO: The impact of different types of private equity investors and institutional environments. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31, 491-509.
- Cosh, A., Fu, X., & Hughes, A. (2012). Organizational structure and innovation performance in different environment. *Small Business and Economics*, 39, 301-317.
- Dauda, Y.D., & Akingbade, W.A. (2010). Employee's Market orientation and Business performance in Nigerai: Analysis of small Business Entreprises in Lagos state. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 2 (2), 134-143.
- Demirbag, M., Lenny Koh, S.C., Tatoglu, K., & Zaim, S. (2006). TQM and Market orientations impact on SME's performance. *Industrial Management and Data System*, 106(8), 1206-1228.
- Faizol, F.M., Haribuni, T., & Tanaka, Y. (2010). Entrepreneurial Orientation and Business performance of Small and Medium Scale Enterprises of Hambantota district Sri Lanka. *Asian Social Science*, 6(3), 34-46.
- Fatoki, O. (2012). The Impact of Entrepreneurial Orientation on Access to Debt Finance and Performance of Small and Medium Enterprises in South Africa. *Journal of Social Science*, 32(2), 121-131.
- Fereidouni, H.G., Masron, T., Nikbin, D., & Amiri, R.E. (2010) .Consequences of external environment on entrepreneurial motivation in Iran. *Asia Academy of Management Journal*, 15(2), 175-196.
- Frank, H., Kessler, A., & Fink, M. (2010). Entrepreneurial orientation and business performance a replication study. *Schmalenback Business Review*, 62, 175-198.
- Gurbuz, G., & Aykol, S. (2009). Entrepreneurial management, entrepreneurial orientation and Turkish small firm growth. *Management Research News*, 32 (4), 321-336.
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L. & Black, W. C. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis with readings*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Idar, R.,& Mahmood, R. (2011). Entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation relationship Performance: the SME perspective. *Interdisciplinary Review of Economics and Management, 1(2), 1-8.*
- Ishengoma, E.K., & Kappel, R. (2011). Business environment and growth potential of micro and small manufacturing enterprises in Uganda. *African Development Review, 23(3), 352-365*.
- Jaiyeoba, O.O. (2014). Performance outcome of market orientation behaviors among Bostwana's small servive firms. *Journal of Management Research*, 6(1), 52-69.
- Jaworski, B., & Kohil, A. (1993). Market orientation: Antecedents and consequences. *Journal of Marketing*, 57, 53-70.
- Junaidu, A. S. (2012). The Moderating Role of Entrepreneurial Orientation and export performance of SMEs in the Nigerian Leather Industry. *International Journal of Business Management and Tomorrow*, 12(10), 872-881.
- Kaiser, H.E. (1974) An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39:31-36.
- Kara, A., Spillan, J.E.,& Deshidields, O.W.(2005). The effect of a market orientation on business performance: A study of small sized service retailers using Markor Scale. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 43(2), 105-118.
- Kelson, S.A. (2014). The moderating role of organizational capabilities and internal marketing in market orientation and business success. *Review of Business and Finance Studies*, 5(1), 1-17.
- Kirca, A.H., Jayachandran, S., & Bearden, W.O. (2005). Market orientation: A meta Analytic Review and



- Assessment of its Antecedents and Impact on Performance. Journal of Marketing, 69, 24-41.
- Koranka, C., Kessler, A., Frank, H., & Lueger, M. (2010). Personal characteristics, resources, and envlronnient as predictors of business survival. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 83, 1025-1051.
- Lindsay, D.H., Tan, B., & Campbell, A. (2009). Candidate performance on the business environment and concepts section of the CPA Exam. *American Journal of Business Education*, 2(7), 35-41.
- Lyon, D. W.,. Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (2000). Enhancing entrepreneurial orientation research: operationalizing and measuring a key strategic decision-making process. *Journal of Management*, 26(5),1055-1085.
- Mahmood, R. & Hanafi, N. (2013). Entrepreneurial orientation and business performance of women-owned small and medium enterprises in Malaysia: Competitive Advantage as a Mediator. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(1),82-90.
- Mahmood, M. A. (2011). Market orientation and business performance among SMEs in Ghana. *International Business Research*, 4(1), 241-251
- Minai, M.S., & Lucky, E.O.I. (2011). The moderating effect of location on small firm performance: Empirical Evidence. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6 (10), 178-192.
- Nandakumar, M. K., Ghobadian, A., & Regan, N.O. (2010). Business-level strategy and performance: The moderating effect of environment and structure. *Management Decision*, 48(6), 907-939.
- Ndubisi, N. O., & Iftikhar, K. (2012).Relationship betweenentrepreneurship, innovation and performanceComparing small and medium-size enterprises. *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship*, 14(2), 214-236.
- Njanja, W. L., Ogutu, M., & Pellisier, R. (2012). The Effects of the External Environment on Internal Management Strategies within Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises; Kenyan Case. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(3), 195-204.
- Oyedijo, A., Idris, A.A., & Aliu, A.A. (2012). Impact of Marketing Practices on the performance of Small Business Enterprises: Empirical Evidence from Nigeria. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 46, 130-146.
- Ozturan, P., Ozsomer, A., & Pieters, R. (2014). The role of market orientation in advertising spending during economic collape: The case of Turkey in 2001. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51, 139-152.
- Pederson, E.R.G., & Sudzina, F. (2012). Which firm use measure? Internal and external factors shaping the adoption of performance measurement system in Danish firms. *International Journal of Operation and production Management*, 32(1), 4-27.
- Pulendran, S., Speed, R., & Widing II, R. E. (2000). The antecedents and consequences of market orientation in Australia. *Australian Journal of Management*, 25(2), 119-143.
- Raju, P.S., Lonial, S.C. & Crum, M.D. (2011). Market orientation in the context of SMEs: a conceptual framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(12), 1320-1326.
- Richard, O.C., Wu, P., & Chadwick, K. (2009). The impact of entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance: The role of CEO position tunure and industry
- tenure. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 20(5), 1078-1095.
- Ruekert, R. W. (1992). Developing a market orientation: An organizational strategy perspective. *International Journal of Marketing*, 9, 225-245.
- Runyan, R., Droge, C., & Swinney, J. (2008). Entrepreneurial orientation versus small business orientation: What are their relationship? *Journal of Small Business Management*, 46(4), 567-588.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2010). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach (5th ed.)*. Chichester: John Willey and Sons Ltd.
- Shukri Bakar, M., & Mahmood, R. (2014). Linking transformational leadership and corporate entrepreneurship to performance in the public higher educations in Malaysia. *Advances in Management and Applied Economics*, 4(3), 109-122.
- Shehu, A.M., & Mahmood, R. (2014a). Determining the effects of organizational culture on small and medium enterprises performance: A SEM Approach. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development,* 5(17), 1-9.
- Shehu, A.M., & Mahmood, R. (2014b). Market orientation and organizational culture's impact on SME performance: A SEM Approach. *International Affairs and Global Strategy*, 24, 1-10.
- Shehu, A.M., & Mahmood, R. (2014c). Influence of Entrepreneurial orientation and Business environment on Small and Medium Enterprises performance: A PLS Approach. *Advances in Management and Applied Economics*, 4(4), 101-114.
- Shehu, A.M., & Mahmood, R. (2014d). Market orientation, knowledge management and entrepreneurial orientation as predictors of SME performance: Data screening and preliminary Analysis. *Information and Knowledge Management*, 4(7), 12-23.



- Shehu, A.M., & Mahmood, R. (2014e). The relationship between market orientation and business performance of Nigerian SMEs: The role of organizational culture. *International of Business and Social Science*, 5(9/1), 159-168.
- Slater, S. F., & Narver, J. C. (2000). The positive effect of a market orientation on business profitability: A balanced replication. *Journal of Business Research*, 48, 69-73.
- Song, M., & Parry, M.E. (2009). The desired level of market orientation and business unit performance. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 37, 144-160.
- Subramaniam, Ram., & Gopalakrshna, P. (2001). The market orientation- performance relationship in the context of a developing economy. An empirical Analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 53, 1-13
- Suliyanto & Rahab(2012). The role of market orientation and learning orientation in improving innovativeness and performance of small and medium enterprises. *Asian Social Sciences*, 8 (1), 134-145.
- Webster, R.L., Hammond, K.L., & Rothwell, J.C. (2014). Market orientation effects on business performance: Views from inside and outside the business school. *American Journal of Business Education*, 7(1), 9-20.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: http://www.iiste.org

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: http://www.iiste.org/journals/ All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

