

Global Talent Management of Multinational Companies

Alfredita Veraque Gundran Sabado , Ph.D.

College of Administrative and Financial Sciences, AMA International University – Bahrain
E-mail of the corresponding author: ditasgsabado@yahoo.com

Abstract

This study probed the global talent management of multinational companies. It described the implementation and effectiveness on the talent sourcing, employee development, talent assessment and employee retention. revealed global talent management of multinational companies findings that are moderately implemented and moderately effective on talent sourcing ,employee development, talent assessment and employee retention. Using the Pearson Correlation on significant relationship on the level of implementation and effectiveness resulted that there is highly significant relationship on talent sourcing, employee development and talent assessment but not on employee retention. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) showed that there is significant differences in the perceptions among the respondents of the multinational companies on the effectiveness on talent assessment but not on talent sourcing, employee development and employee retention. Moreover, the significance was validated in the multiple comparisons displaying that, there is significant differences between and within the groups of respondents on talent assessment. recommended that the multinational companies should fully appraise the process of their global talent management in relations to the human resource value that will create a mark in the global market place. Key words: Global talent management, multinational companies, talent sourcing, employee development, talent assessment and employee retention.

1. Introduction

Talent management in human resource management. In the emergence has now taken a new center stage of many competitors and business sustainability of multinational companies, one of the key initiatives is the need to manage its global talents and retention better. The most sophisticated systems will not succeed, if not matched with skills, knowledge and competencies (Telecom Malaysia Berhand Annual Report, 2008). The dynamic nature of global business is putting an ever-increasing pressure on companies to be constantly on the lookout for exceptional talent in a market where demand far exceeds supply Today, organizations is continuously searching for the right talent. Talent is becoming the key competitive differentiator and countries and companies with access to the right talent are positioning themselves to succeed in the rapidly changing world of work (Porter, 2011). Rothwell (2011) defined talent management has an integrated process of recruiting, selecting, deploying, developing and retaining the best and right people. There is a paradigm shift from the traditional human resource based competitive advantage to managing talent keeping amidst the dynamic competitive environment(Gautam, 2011). In the Manpower Group Survey 2011 of the 40,000 employees worldwide, one in three employees reported difficulties due the lack of available talent as cited by Porter (2011) . As of now, the "War for filling up positions Talents" as created by McKinsey consultants (1997) remains to exist and linger strongly the global era specially in the multinational companies. At the same time, the market for talent is the most competitive it's been in decades. According to a recent survey in China, "88% of the Chinese executives said that their globalization efforts were hindered by the scarcity of the people with real cross-cultural knowledge managing foreign talent (http://www.mckinseyquarterly.com/Howchinesecanabroard). Giving an opportunity to rebalance the workforce: but pressure and opportunity to do more (http://www.mckinseyquarterly.com/Making_talent_a_strategic_priority_2092) The question today is: What can be to solve these several GTCs (Today and Tomorrow)? The answer is: A systematic use of HR policies and practices aka Global talent management. Global Talent Management is about systematically utilizing IHRM activities (complementary HRM policies and practices) to effectively manage an MNE's global talent challenges consistent with the strategic directions of the multinational enterprise in a dynamic, highly competitive, and global environment (Schuller, Jackson and Tarique, 2011). Companies competing in the global marketplace require top-quality people to compete successfully as pointed by Noe, R.(2010). Organizations specially multinational companies with state of the art work environments, talent-friendly and competitive people practices are the sought after companies making them the employer 's choice by the workforce.



This study endeavored to assess the global management of the multinational companies . It investigated on the effectiveness and implementation of talent sourcing ,employee development, talent assessment and employee retention. Likewise, it examined the relationships and differences between and among them. The study utilized the descriptive-correlation research design and employed the quantitative and qualitative research. The Pearson correlation analysis was used to find out the significant relationships of the global talent management of multinational companies. Moreover, the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to test the significant differences between and among group of respondents . The multiple comparisons was used to further investigate the results of the significant differences of the three groups of respondents .

2. Results and Discussion

2.1 Level of Implementation and Effectiveness on Talent Sourcing

Level of Implementation			Level	of Effectiveness
		Talent Sourcing		
Qualitative	Composite	1	Composite	Qualitative
Description	Mean		Mean	Description
Great Extent		The company's Hiring Policies		Moderately Effective
	3.51	for talent sourcing is in place.	3.31	
Moderately		The company have an annual human		Effective
Extent	3.23	resource planning	3.51	
Moderately		The company adopts external		Moderately Effective
Extent	3	talent sourcing	2.94	
Moderately		The company gives priority to		Moderately Effective
Extent		internal sources for talent		
	3.23	acquisition.	3.17	
Moderately		The company utilizes various		Moderately Effective
Extent		selection process in acquiring the		
	3.23	talent to the company.	3.2	
Moderately		Weighted Mean		Moderately
Extent	3.24		3.23	Effective

table presents the level of implementation and level of effectiveness along talent sourcing global talent management was rated to an overall mean of moderately extent (3.24) effective (3.23)respectively. With regards to the level of implementation of global talent management, it shows that all indicators are moderately implemented except indicator one which the highest mean . It implies that the company's hiring policies for talent sourcing is fully implemented by the multinational companies .While, the lowest mean of is indicator 3(3.00), it implies reasonable implementation of the company in adopting external sourcing. It has still to be enhanced. The global talent management is moderately effective on all the indicators except indicator two which also the highest mean of 3.51. It indicates that the company's annual human resource planning contributes to the effectiveness of their talent sourcing. On the effectiveness of which is the lowest mean (2.94) is in minimal operative. Grote (2010) declared talent sourcing acquisition management represents the lead conduit of talent into an organization.

2.2. Level of Implementation and Effectiveness on Employee Development

Level of Implementation		Employee Development	Level	of Effectiveness
Qualitative	Composite		Composite	Qualitative
Description	Mean		Mean	Description



Moderately		The company provides employee		Moderately
Extent		development for the growth and		Effective
		improvements of talents of the		
	3.23	employees.	3.14	
Moderately		The company gives equal opportunities		Moderately
Extent		for development for all employees for		Effective
	2.97	organizational effectiveness.	3.4	
Moderately		The company provides sufficient		Moderately
Extent		employee development budget and		Effective
	3.14	support.	3.14	
Moderately		The company's employee		Moderately
Extent		development goals and objectives is		Effective
	3.2	communicated well	3.37	
Moderately		Employee development given match		Moderately
Extent		with the talent and performance of the		Effective
	3.06	employees.	3.03	
Moderately		Weighted Mean		Moderately
Extent	3.12		3.22	Effective

The table discloses that the employee development of global talent management development is moderately implemented and moderately effective. All the indicators are moderately implemented. .The highest mean is indicator 1 with a mean rating of 3.23. It implies that provides employee development for the growth and improvements of talents of the multinational companies employees. The lowest mean is indicator 2 which is 2.97. It indicates that the company does not fully give equal opportunities for development for all employees for organizational effectiveness. Hence, there is a need to give attention on this area in global talent management to increase employee performance and productivity. Likewise, all the indicators on employee development of global talent management of the multinational companies are moderately effective. Hence, there is a need to beef up their employee development. The highest mean is the 3rd indicator rated at 3.37. It implies the that the company's employee development goals and objectives are communicated has a high value in employee performance . While, the lowest mean indicator 4 which rated as 3.03. It means that employee development given should match with the talent and performance. Therefore, there is a need to have an of the companies 'employee assessment development conducted so that it will be equated with the employee's talent and expected performance. Noe, R.(2009), claims that today's s global and competitive business environment, many companies are finding that it is difficult to determine whether employees have the capabilities needed to success. The necessary capabilities may vary from one business unit to another or even across roles within a business unit.

2.3. Level of Implementation and Effectiveness on Talent Assessment

Level of Imple	ementation	Talent Assessment	Level of	Effectiveness
Qualitative Description	Composite Mean		Composite Mean	Qualitative Description
Moderately Extent	3.06	The company has an effective talent assessment system	3.23	Moderately Effective
Moderately Extent	3.14	The company has an a talent assessment management policy	3.23	Moderately Effective
Moderately Extent	3.09	The company has highly effective tools in talent assessment.	2.91	Moderately Effective
Moderately Extent	3.29	The company establishes fair standards a for talent assessment for the employees.	3.09	Moderately Effective



Moderately		The company informs the employees the		Moderately
Extent		feedback of their talent assessment and		Effective
		helps the employee to improve in his weak		
	3.11	areas of performance.	3.09	
Moderately		Weighted Mean		Moderately
Extent	3.14		3.11	Effective

table reveals that the talent assessment of global talent management is reasonably implemented and moderately effective. All the indicators are moderately implemented. The highest mean is indicator 4 with a mean rating of 3.29. It implies that the multinational companies soberly establishes standards for talent assessment for the employees. Hence, there is a need for the companies to examine and fully implement an effective assessment standards to make it unbiased. The lowest mean is indicator 1 which is not fully implement an effective talent assessment system. 3.06. It pointed out that the company does So that there is a need the companies should appraise and formulate an effective talent assessment system for full implementation . All the indicators for the effectiveness of talent assessment resulted to moderately effective. Both indicators 1 and 2 are registered as the highest mean (3.23). It implies the the effectiveness is moderate on the talent assessment system and management policy of the that is indicator 3 which is 2.91. It indicates that the talent assessment multinational companies. The lowest mean tools utilized by the company are moderately effective. Hence, there is a need that the companies should analyzed and designed a more appropriate global talent assessment tools to a that will pave a way for an enhanced talent assessment. Grobler (2010) viewed that performance management systems are a key element in the use and development of an organizations most vital resource, its employee. Performance management systems are used for a wide range of administrative purposes, such as making decisions about pay, promotion and retention.

2.4. Level of Implementation and Effectiveness on Employee Retention

The table displays that the employee retention on the global talent management is moderately implemented and moderately effective. With regards to the level of implementation, all the indicators are moderately implemented. The highest mean is indicator 4 (3.46). It denotes that employee relations are handled by the companies reasonably well. Hence, it necessitate that the handling well of employee relations of the company should be fully implemented. The lowest mean is indicator 1 which is 3.21. It shows that the company implementation of fair and very good compensation and benefit is not fully implemented. Hence, it is essential for the companies to abundantly implement a very attractive compensation and benefit package to retain the

Employees commitment for the company.

Table 2.4. Level of Implementation and Effectiveness on Employee Retention

Level of Implementation			Level of Effectiveness	
		Employee Retention		
Qualitative	Composite		Composite	Qualitative
Description	Mean		Mean	Description
Moderately		The company pays a fair and		Moderately
Extent		very good compensation and		Effective
		benefits to the employees		
	3.23		3.03	
Moderately		Career development and		Moderately
Extent		establishment of career path for		Effective
	3.31	the employees exist.	3.2	
Moderately	3.37	The company provides a safe and	3.26	Moderately



Extent		functional working environment for		Effective
		the employees,		
Moderately		Level of trust between management		Moderately
Extent	3.31	and employees exist.	3.37	Effective
Moderately		The employee relations are well		Highly Effective
Extent	3.46	handled in the company.	3.51	
Moderately		Weighted Mean		Moderately
Extent	3.34		3.27	Effective

retention of global talent management of the multinational companies Likewise, all the indicators on employee are moderately effective except indicator 5. It implies that employee relations of the companies are handled very well. Hence, the employee relations management should be sustained to maintained the effectiveness in holding the employees' talents for the company. While, the lowest mean is indicator 1 (3.03). It pointed that company compensation and benefits paid to the employee is not reasonable effective. Hence, is important for the company formulate their compensation and benefit package paid to the employee should be equitable and attractive motivating employee retention for the multinational companies. According to Scott (2010) employee retention refers to the techniques employed by the management to help the employees stay with the organization for a longer period of time. Employee retention strategies go a long way in motivating the employees so that they stick to the organization for the maximum time and contribute effectively. Sincere efforts must be taken to ensure growth and learning for the employees in their current assignments and for them to enjoy their work. Employee retention has become a major concern for corporate in the current scenario.

2.5. Relationship between the level of implementation and effectiveness of global talent management

the results of the relationship The results below shows between the level of effectiveness and the extent of implementation among the multinational companies . It resulted that there is highly talent sourcing, employee development, talent assessment except employee significant relationship along retention. It means that that there is a direct relationship on extent of implementation and level of the of global talent management. Hence, the decision is to reject the hypothesis. However, there is and the extent of implementation on no significant relationship between the level of effectiveness employee retention. It means that there is no direct relationship on the implementation and effectiveness on employee retention on global talent management. Therefore, the decision is to reject the hypothesis.

Table 2.5 . Relationship on the Level of Implementation and Level of Effectiveness of Global Talent Management

		Level of Effectiveness
Level of Implementation		
Talana	Pearson Correlation	.714
Talent Sourcing	Sig. (2-tailed)	.003*
	N	35
	Interpretation	Highly significant
	Pearson Correlation	.731
Employee	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002*
Development	N	35
	Interpretation	Highly significant
	Pearson Correlation	.842



m	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000*	
Talent Assessment	Interpretation	Highly significant	
	N	35	
	Pearson Correlation	.248	
Employee	Sig. (2-tailed)	.373	
Retention	N	35	
	Interpretation	Not significant	

^{*} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

2.5. Difference among the respondents on the level of effectiveness of the global talent management

The table demonstrates the outcomes of the test of differences of perceptions on the level of effectiveness between and among the respondents on Global Talent Management . It exposed that there is no significant differences on the perceptions between and within the group of respondents on the employee development practices of the three groups of respondents in terms of talent sourcing , employee development and employee retention . Hence, the decision is to accept the hypothesis.

Likewise, there is significant differences on the perceptions among and within the group of respondents on the global talent management of the multinational companies in terms of talent assessment. Therefore, the decision is to reject the hypothesis.

Table 2.5. Test of Differences on the Level of Effectiveness between and among the Multinational Companies (ANOVA)

Level of Effectiveness		Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Decision
	Between Groups	.052	.026			
Talent Sourcing	Within Groups	1.131	.094	.276	.763	Not significant
	Total	1.183				C
	Between Groups	.253	.127			
Employee Development	Within Groups	.765	.064	1.986	.180	Not significant
_	Total	1.018				· ·
	Between Groups	1.084	.542			
Talent Assessment	Within Groups	.359	.030	18.127	.000	significant
	Total	1.443				
	Between Groups	.056	.028			
Employee Retention	Within Groups	.550	.046	.606	.561	Not significant
Ketchtion	Total	.606				Significant



2.5. Multiple Comparisons

Furthermore, the results of the post hoc analysis on the significant differences of perceptions of the three groups of respondents namely managers, supervisors and employees of the multinational companies on global talent management. The test of groupings revealed that between and among managers, supervisors and employees is the same or almost the same.

Moreover, the managers and employees disclosed that there is significant differences on their perceptions on the level of effectiveness on talent assessment of global talent management. Likewise, there is also a significant differences between supervisors and employees.. While there is significant differences between employees and managers. While , there is a significant differences on the perceptions between employees and supervisors of the multinational along talent assessment of the global talent management.

Dependent Variable	(I) RESPNDNT	(J) RESPNDNT	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Decision
Talent	Managers	Employees	.6224	.10936	.000*	significant
	Supervisors	Employees	.4974	.10936	.001*	significant
Assessment	Employees	Managers	6224	.10936	.000*	significant
		Supervisors	4974	.10936	.001*	significant

^{*} The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

3. Conclusions and Recommendations

global talent management of the multinational companies The are moderately implemented effective but necessities enhancement on talent assessment. So that,, there is a need to completely implement the global talent management of the multinational companies to beef up their competitive edge and sustainability in the market. Furthermore it is recommended that the multinational companies run a full process of evaluation to measure their global talent management strategies and practices specially to retain the best talents in the global competitive market place. In addition, the critical factors be a formulation of a highly effective talent assessment tools that will match the companies should 'aspirations. Multinational companies should create a strong corporate culture of talent posture on all the employees specially their executives. This will way for the multinational companies to gain a pave a leading and winning edge in preserving a stronger and best global talents now and beyond.

References

Briscoe, D. and Schuller Randall (2004) International Human Resource Management. 2nd edition. Routledge.

Byars, L. and Rue, L.(2011). Human Resource Management. 10th edition McGraw Hill Irwin. New York.

Gautam Vinaushi (2006). Digital Imperatives. Hindustan Publishing Corporarion, New Delhi.

Michaels, E., Handfield-Jones and Axelord, B. (2001). The War for Talent, Boston: Harvard Business School Press. Noe, Raymond (2009). Employee Training and Development. 3rd edition. McGrawHill

Noe, Raymond , Hollenbeck, J, Gerhart,,B. and Wright ,P.(2010). Human Resource Management: Gaining a Comptetive Advantage .7thEdition. McGraw Hill

Porter, M.(2011). Global and Cultural Human Resource Management.

Rothwell, W. (2009). Talent Management: What Managers Should Do, Amacom.

Schuller, Jackson and Tarique, 2011Global Talent Management

Telecom Malaysia Berhand Annual Report, 2008.

(http://www.mckinseyquarterly.com/Howchinesecanabroard)

(http://www.mckinseyquarterly.com/Making talent a strategic priority 2092

European Journal of Business and Management ISSN 2222-1905 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2839 (Online) Vol 4, No.21, 2012



ALFREDITA VERAQUE GUNDRAN SABADO, the author, is a member of the Asian Association of School Human Resource Management and Development Practitioners, Philippine Society of Training and Development and Society of Human Resource Management. The author is a graduate of Bachelor Science in Commerce at Saint Louis University, Philippines. She finished her Masters of Business Management at the University of the Philippines and her PhDs in Development and Administration and Supervision at Benguet State University, Philippines. Prior to her joining the academe, she worked with the Development Bank of the Philippines. She was a Graduate School Program Head for MBA and PhD and a Training Officer, Associate Editor of Graduate Studies Journal, Commission on Higher Education Regional Quality Team Member in the Philippines. She was the AMAIUB College of Administrative and Financial Sciences Research Coordinator. Currently, she is the Head of the Human Resource Management Department and member of the AMAIU-Bahrain Business Research Journal Board of Editors.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: http://www.iiste.org

CALL FOR PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** http://www.iiste.org/Journals/

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request from readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

























