# Quality Evaluation of Sachet and Bottled Water in Isuochi Town of Abia State - South East Nigeria

Kuyik Solomon Abasiekong<sup>1</sup> Favour Amarachi Dim<sup>1</sup> Faith Omonigho Azubike-Izah<sup>2</sup> 1.Department of Food Science and Technology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria 2.Department of Biochemistry, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria

# Abstract

Bottled and sachet water were purchased from nine (9) different communities in Isuochi town of Abia state. Physicochemical and microbiological analyses were carried out to ascertain their wholesomeness using standard analytical methods. Appearance, odour, taste, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), pH, conductivity, Total Hardness (TH), alkalinity, chloride, cadmium of all the sachet and bottled water were within the WHO, NAFDAC/SON guideline whereas chemical constituents namely zinc (2.25-41.97 mg/l), copper (1.14-5.03mg/l), calcium (2.02 – 677.4 mg/l), magnesium (0.33 – 131.32 mg/l) and iron (7.99 – 19.35 mg/l) in few samples were above the SON/NAFDAC and WHO recommended limits of 3 - 5 mg/, 1.0 mg/l, 75 mg/l, 0.2 – 0.025 mg/l and 0.3 mg/l respectively. Four out of the whole brands examined had lead levels ranging from 0.19 – 1.67 mg/l. These values were above the SON/NAFDAC and WHO recommended limits of 0.002 - 0.01 mg/l and 0.001 mg/l respectively. Also, eight out of the twenty-five satchet and bottled water were contaminated by coliform bacteria ranging from 1 - 26 cfu/ml. *Escherichia coli* ranging from 1 - 28 cfu/100ml were detected in more than 40% of the water samples. This is contrary to the recommendation by SON/NAFDAC that *E. coli* must not be detected in a 100 ml sof drinking water. However, on the average, both the bottled and sachet water were of good quality. **Keywords**: Quality, evaluation, sachet, bottled, water, physicochemical.

# 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Accessibility and availability of fresh clean water is a key to sustainable development and an essential element in health, food production and poverty reduction (Adekunle et al., 2004). However, an estimated 1.2 billion people around the world lack access to safe water and close to 2.5 billion persons are not provided with adequate sanitation (Third World Forum on water, 2003). Recently, a number of small scale industries are packaging and marketing factory-filled sachet drinking water, popularly called *pure water*, that may also be considered a safe source of potable water (Dodoo et al., 2006). Those who cannot afford the bottled water go for the cheaper sachet water. Rutal (1996) reported that sachet water vending machines may not be free of microorganisms, because bacteria like Streptococcus faecalis have been isolated from sachet water producing machines. Ground water is preferred to surface water because its purification is easier and borehole water is of better quality (Abulade et al., 2007). Recently, records showed that physical and chemical contaminants in water have their own toxic effect, leading to inhibition of various biological and metabolic processes (WHO, 1997; 2001). The abundance of toxic chemicals in drinking water may cause adverse effect on human health such as cancer and other chronic diseases (Ikem et al., 2002). Epidemiological studies have indicated a strong association between the occurrences of several diseases in humans particularly cardiovascular diseases, kidney related disorder, neurocognitive effect, and various forms of cancer and the presence of many metals such as cadmium, mercury, and lead (Al Saleh and Al-Doush, 1998). International standards have been set by WHO and USEPA for maximum allowable levels of these elements in drinking and packaged water (WHO, 2003; EPA, 2003). Generally, water is accepted to be of potable quality when it is clean, clear, odourless and free from microbial contaminants and harmful chemicals.

# **1.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **1.2.1** Sample collection

Sachet and bottled water were purchased at nine different communities in Isouchi town of Abia State, South East Nigeria. The samples numbered 25 each representing a brand of sachet (coded S) or bottled water (coded B).

## 1.2.2 Chemical analysis

The pH of the water samples was determined using the Hanna digital pH meter (AOAC, 1990). The conductivity and the total dissolved solids were also determined using the Hanna instrument. Meanwhile, the EDTA titration method was used for total hardness (AOAC, 1990). Chloride was determined by titrating 100ml of the samples with AgNO<sub>3</sub> solution using  $K_2CrO_4$  as an indicator. Calcium, potassium, zinc, iron, magnesium, lead and copper of the water samples were determined using flame method for atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS model-6800 perkin Elmer) (AOAC, 2006). *E. coli* count, fungi, total coliforms, total heterotrophic count, salmonella and *shigella* organisms were all determined using standard microbiological procedures (Azu, 2004).

# **1.2.3** Statistical analysis

The standard deviation and mean values were statistically obtained using the SPSS programme for personal

computers to determine the accuracy of the data.

#### 1.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the physical and chemical analyses are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The sachet and bottled water were odourless, unobjectionable and tasteless. This is in accordance with the results of Nwosu and Ogueke (2004), and Daniel *et al.* (2007); they observed that poor odour and taste may result from contamination with dusty particles and dissolved solids implying that the waters were free from these elements. Total dissolved solid values ranged between 4.10 and 82.15 mg/l. This is lower than the maximum value allowed by SON, NAFDAC and WHO (500mg/l). Presence of solid particles in water indicates contamination (Goel, 2006) which according to Nwosu and Ogueke (2004) may be as a result of poor filtration method.

The pH values obtained ranged from 6.15 to 8.49. Sachet and bottled water S2, S3, S4, S5, S8, S9, S13, S14, B19, B23, and B24 were more acidic with pH ranging from 6.15 to 6.90. The pH result showed that the ground water of the area was acidic. Jones (1998) reported that acidic water results in corrosion of iron and steel materials (pipe and plumbing fixture), clogging of distribution pipes which could cause objectionable taste of drinks and foods, and may stain clothes and rust cooking utensils; thus the corrosion level of pipes and water storage materials could be high. Saleh *et al.* (2008) reported that at a pH less than 6.5, water is corrosive and dissolves plumbing components. High pH (8.5 or more) can promote hardness scale precipitation and make chlorine disinfectants more effective. The mean pH of the sachet and bottled water (6.15 to 8.49) fell within the permissible limit of 250 to 1000 µs/cm set by SON/NAFDAC (2007) and WHO (2003).

Conductivity is an indicator of water quality and soil salinity. The World Health Organization (WHO) international standard for drinking water (1998) classified water with a total hardness (TH) <150 mg/l as moderately hard water and water hardness above 150 mg/l as hard CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The total hardness (TH) of the water ranging from 2.00 to 26.05 mg/l were far below the permissible limit (150 – 200 mg/l) set by SON/NAFDAC (2007) and WHO (2003), so could be classified as soft water. Soft water has corrosive effect on water pipes (El-Harouny *et al.*, 2010). The most suitable water for domestic use and for drinking purposes in terms of water hardness are moderately hard water and is associated with low death rate from heart diseases (ISO, 1990). The alkalinity values of all the water were outside the stipulated range of 30 - 50 mg/l by WHO (2003) and maximum limit of 100 mg/l by NAFDAC/SON (2007). High alkalinity in water gives unpalatable taste (Goel, 2006).

Chlorides (Cl<sup>-</sup>) are binary compounds of chlorine. A chloride is made of chlorine chemically combined with a metal. The presence of chlorine, where it does not occur naturally indicates possible water pollution; chloride contaminates rivers and ground water giving it a salty taste and can make water unsuitable for humans to drink. High levels of chloride kill plants and

wildlife (Saleh *et al.*, 2008). Chlorine is one of the major anions in water, it is known in maintenance of acidbase balance, and hence excess of it may give rise to detectable taste in water and can cause Oedema (Ekpete, 2002). The values obtained for chloride ranged from 0.00 to 29.22 mg/l. These values obtained were well below the recommended standard values of 250 mg/l by WHO (2003) and 200 mg/l by SON/NAFDAC (2007). The average mean values of chlorides (0.00 to 29.22 mg/l) in all the analyzed water brands indicated the absence of pollutants in the different brands of sachet and bottled water in Isouchi town.

Zinc is an essential metal and plays an important role in enzyme activity (Fleck, 1976). Drinking water contains zinc on very small quantities which may reduce the possibility of its deficiency in the diet. However, its accumulation in the human body causes harmful effect such as acceleration of anaemic conditions (Tayyerb *et al.*, 2004). The values obtained ranged from 2.28 - 41.97 mg/l. The zinc value of sachet and bottled water S2, S3, S4, S6, S8, S14, S15, B16, B17, B18, B19, B20 and B24 were within the stipulated permissible limit of 3.0 - 5.0 mg/l by SON/NAFDAC (2007) while the remaining water analyzed were far above the detectable limit and was in excess in which its accumulation accelerates anaemic conditions. Copper on the other hand was above the maximum limit (1.0 mg/l) stipulated by SON/NAFDAC (2007) in all the brands of sachet and bottled water evaluated while the brands coded B16, B17, B18, B19, B20, B23, B25 were slightly below the maximum limit (2.0 mg/l) stipulated by WHO (2003) which was an indication that the water was unwholesome to consume as it could cause gastrointestinal disorder (SON/NAFDAC, 2007).

Lead analyzed in some of the water brands was below detection limit of 0.002mg/l for SON/NAFDAC (2007) and 0.00 mg/l for WHO (2003). However brands S4, S9, S10 and S12 exceeded the maximum limit of lead which is an indication of unwholesomeness for drinking and other domestic uses. Lead is a cumulative general poison and the most susceptible to its adverse effects, are the foetus and pregnant women, infants and children up to six years of age. It affects the central and peripheral nervous system and mental development in infants; it is also carcinogenic and interferes with vitamin D metabolism (WHO, 2003; SON/NAFDAC, 2007). The contamination of drinking water by lead can be through the use of lead in plumbing fitting, pipes and as soldier in water distribution systems (Quinn and Sherlock, 1990).

Cadmium was below detection limit in all the brands of sachet and bottled water. Its absence is an

indication of the good quality of the water. Contamination of drinking water by cadmium may occur as a result of its presence as impurity of galvanized pipes or cadmium containing solders in fittings, water heaters and taps (El-Harouny *et al.*, 2010). Cadmium is toxic to the kidney and causes disturbance in renal handling of phosphorus and calcium; may cause desorption of minerals from bone, which may lead to development of kidney stones and osteomalaria (NAFDAC/SON, 2007). Cadmium is also associated with hypertension and cause mutations (Saleh *et al.*, 2001).

Calcium salt (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) on the other hand exceeded the maximum limit (75 mg/l) by SON/NAFDAC (2007) for some of the water namely S1, S2, S7, S10, S11, B16, B19, B21, B22 and B24 while the rest of the brands evaluated were below the maximum limit. No evidence of adverse health effects specifically attributable to calcium and magnesium in drinking water has been established. However, excess calcium and magnesium ions make hard water (Tay, 2007). Calcium plays an important role in blood clotting in muscles contraction and in certain enzymes in metabolic processes. People who drink water that is deficient in magnesium and calcium generally are more susceptible to cardiovascular disease.

The magnesium values obtained ranged from 0.33 - 131.32 mg/l except bottled water B25 that was below detectable limit. The magnesium value of all the water brands except B25 were above the SON/NAFDAC (2007) maximum limit of 0.20 to 0.25 mg/l. Hardness in water is caused by dissolved calcium, and to a lesser extent magnesium (WHO, 2003). Water containing less than 60 mg/l of magnesium is considered as soft water (Saleh *et al.*, 2001). Therefore, in this study, two sachet water brands, S4 and S7, were the hardest water. A number of ecological and analytical epidemiological studies have shown a statistically significant inverse relationship between hardness of drinking water and cardiovascular disease (WHO, 2003). On the other hand, water hardness may protect against diseases (Derry *et al.*, 1990). Magnesium functions as an essential constituent for bone structure, for reproduction, normal functioning of the nervous system and also a part of the enzyme system (Shills *et al.*, 1988). The US National Academy of sciences estimated that a nation-wide initiative to add calcium and magnesium to soft water might reduce the annual cardiovascular death rate by 150,000 in the United States (Foster, 1994).

Iron (Fe) values ranged from 7.99 – 19.35 mg/l. This showed that iron concentration in all the 25 sachet and bottled water brands were far above the SON/NAFDAC (2007) and WHO (2003) limit of 0.3 mg/l and 0.03 mg/l respectively. This is an indication of unwholesomeness and is unacceptable to the consumers as it can give rise to iron dependent bacteria which in turn can cause further deterioration in the quality of water by the production of slimes or objectionable colour and turbidity. The results obtained might be due to runoffs and geological formations of the locations of the water sources. Iron is one of the essential components of haemoglobin which is responsible for the transport of oxygen in the body. It also occurs in the prosthetic group of the cytochromes which functions in electron transport and in some enzymes like some dehydrogenases (Wheby, 1974). Iron also facilitates the oxidation of carbohydrates, proteins and fats, therefore contributes significantly to the prevention of anaemia which is widespread in developing countries like Nigeria (Bender, 1992). Some methods of aeration can remove or reduce iron level through simple chemical reaction (Wheby, 1974).

The results of the microbiological analysis are presented in Table 5. Sachet and bottled water S5, S6, S3, B17, B19 and B24 had too numerous growth of mould and yeast, while other 19 brands were free from such fungi growth. This implies that there could be a risk of contamination that can be seen as turbidity if the brands with the fungal growth were to be stored for many days. This means that they may cause water born diseases. The result of the coliform examination on the other hand, shows that seventeen out of the twenty five water brands were free from coliforms while S10 and S4 failed the analysis. This is because coliforms isolated from both water are above the stipulated limit ( $\leq$ 10 cfu/ml) by SON/NAFDAC (2007). Improper handling might be a reason why coliform bacteria were detected in some of the sachet and bottled water and it is an indication of faecal contamination which causes diseases such as diarrhea, typhoid fever, bacillary dysentery (Mead *et al.*, 1999). Sachet water S3, S6, and S13 and bottled water B17, B19, and B24 had insignificant growth of coliforms as the isolates from them fell within the stipulated limit ( $\leq$ 10 cfu/ml) by SON/NAFDAC (2007).

All the water brands analyzed were free from *salmonella* and *shigella* organisms which are the major causes of typhoid fever and shigellosis respectively. Water brands S1, S3, S4, B16, B17, B19 and B24 were positive for *E. coli* organisms which were above the stipulated limit (o cfu/100ml) by SON/NAFDAC (2007). *E. coli* organism causes bacillary dysentery and may pose some potential health hazards if the organism's infective dose were achieved through intake of these substandard water brands. Water brands S6, B17, B19 and B24 were found to contain some confluent growth of heterotrophic bacteria that is more than the stipulated limit (104 cfu/ml) by SON/NAFDAC (2007). Total heterotrophic counts are used as good indicators of the overall quality of production (Ferreira *et al.*, 1994; Obiri-Danso *et al.*, 2003). The remaining fourteen water brands were free from microorganisms implying that they were fit for consumption even though some of them namely S15, S13, S5, S4, S3, S12, S8, S10 and B16 had bacteria isolates that were within safe limits (104 cfu/ml) by SON/NAFDAC (2007).

# 1.4 CONCLUSION

This study revealed the physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of some brands of sachet and bottled water sold in Isuochi town of Abia state, South East Nigeria.

The result has obviously shown that some of the water brands did not comply with the permissible levels of the physicochemical and microbiological elements for packaged drinking water prescribed by WHO (2003) and NAFDAC/SON (2007). Hence to minimize this problem of poor quality of packaged drinking water, it is necessary for the water companies to adopt water treatment standards recommended by the regulatory agencies (Standard Organization of Nigeria, SON, and National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control, NAFDAC); also the regulatory agencies should ensure that packaged water manufacturers comply with good manufacturing practices (GMP) and also ensure that substandard products do not get to the consumers.

## REFERENCES

- Abulude, F. O., Obidiran, G. O. and Orungbemi, S. (2007). Determination of physico-chemical parameter and trace metal contents of drinking water sample in Akure, Nigeria. Electronic J. Environ., Agri., and Food Chem., 8, 2297-2303.
- Adekunle, L. V., Sridhar, M.K.C., Ajayi, A. A., Oluwade, P. A. and Oluwuyi, J. F. (2004). An assessment of the health and socio-economic implication of sachet water in Ibadan, Nigeria: A public health challenge. Afr. J. Biochem. Res., 7, 5-8.
- Al-Saleh, I. and Al-Doush, I. (1998). Survey of trace elements in house hold and bottled drinking water samples, collected in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The Science of the Total Environment, 216, 181-192.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (1990). Official Methods of Analysis (15<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Washington D. C.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (2006). Official Methods of Analysis (18th Ed.). Washington D C. 999.11 chapter 9 pp.19.
- Azu, O. (2004). Laboratory guide for microbiology. Crystal Publishers, Okigwe, 95-11.
- Bender, A. (1992). Meat and meat products in human nutrition in developing countries. FAO Food and nutrition paper 53, FAO, Rome, Italy, 46-47.
- Daniel, E. U., Alamede, I. C., Ibrahim, B. A. (2007). Bacteriological examination of some packaged water produced in Minna metropolis. 31st Annual Conference/General meeting of the Nigeria Institute of Food Science and Technology (Elemo, G. N. Ed.), 8-9.
- Derry, C. W., Bourne, D. E. and Sayed, A. R. (1990). The relationship between the hardness of treated water and cardiovascular disease mortality in South African urban areas. South African Med. J., 77, 522-524.
- Dodoo, D. K., Quagraine, E. K., Okai-sam, F., Kambo, D. J. and Headley, J. V. (2006). Quality of *sachet* waters in the cape cost municipality of Ghana. J. Environ. Sci. Health, 4(1), 329 342.
- Ekpete, O. A. (2002). Determination of physico-chemical parameters in borehole water in Odihologboji community in Rivers State. Afr. J. Interdiscip. Stud., 3(1), 23-27.
- El-Harouny, M. A., El-Dakroory, S. A., Attala, S. M., Hasan, N. A. and Hegazy, R. (2010). Chemical quality of tap water versus bottled water: evaluation of some heavy metals and elements content of drinking water in Dakhlia governorate – Egypt. The Internet Journal of Nutrition and Wellness, 9(2), Doi 10.5580/12ef.
- EPA (2003). Drinking water standard. Office of drinking water, US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D. C., USA.
- Ferreira, A. C., Morais, P. V., Gomes, C., Da Costa, M. S. (1994). Alterations in total bacteria, iodonitrophenyltetrazolium (INT) positive bacteria and heterotrophic plate counts of bottled mineral water. Can. J. Microbiol., 40, 72-77.
- Fleck, H. D. (1976). Introduction to Nutrition (3rd ed.). Macmillan, New York, 207-219.
- Foster, H. D. (1994). Ground Water and Human Health. Groundwater Resource of British Columbia, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Canada, 61-63.
- Goel, P. K. (2006). Water Pollution: Causes, Effects and Control (2nd Ed.). Macmillan Publishers, London, 154-232.
- Ikem, A., Odeyungbo, S., Egiebor, N. O. and Nyavor, K. (2002). Chemical quality of bottled water from three states Alabama. The Sci. of the Total Environ, 285, 165 – 175.
- International Standard Organization (ISO) (1990). Water quality determination of sum of calcium and magnesium; EDTA titrimetric method (ISO, 5815). International Organization for standardization, Geneva.
- Jones, L. W. (1998). Corrosion and water technology. Oil and Gas Consultant International Inc., Tulsa, 47-51.
- Mead, A. M., Helm, G., Callan, P., Atlas, R. M. (1999). A prospective study of drinking water quality and gastrointestinal diseases. New Eng. J. Med., 245(9), 224-248.
- Nwosu, J. N., Ogueke, C. C. (2004). Evaluation of sachet water sample in Owerri metropolis. Nig. Food Journal, 22, 0189-7241.

Obiri-Danso, K., Okere-Hanson, A. and Jones, K. (2003). The microbiological quality of drinking water sold in the streets of Kumasi, Ghana. Lett. Appl. Microbiol, 37, 334-339.

Quiun, M. J. and Sherlock, J. C. (1990). The correspondence between U.K. action levels for lead in blood and in water. Food Additive and Contaminants, 7, 387-424.

Rutz, D. (1996). Pure water vending machines may not be so pure. CNN: Food and Health, May 2.

Saleh, M. A., Abdeb-Rahman, F. H., Woodard, B. B., Clark, S., Wallace, C., Aboaba, A., Zhang, W. and Nance, J. H. (2008). Chemical, microbial and physical evaluation of commercial bottled waters in greater Houston area of Texas. J. Environmental Sci. and Health, Part (A), 43, 335-347.

Saleh, M. A., Ewane, E., Tones, J. and Wilson, B. (2001). Chemical evaluation of commercial bottled drinking water from Egypt. J. of Food Composition and Analysis, 14, 127-152.

Shills, M. E. G. and Young, V. R. (1988). Nutrition (Nieman, D. C., Butter worth, D. E., Nieman, C. N. Eds.). Brown, W. C. Publishers, Dubuque, USA, 276-282.

SON/NAFDAC (2007). The National Guidelines and Standard for Water Quality in Nigeria. Federal Ministry of Environment, Nigeria, 15-20.

Tay, C. K. (2007). Chemical characteristics of ground water in the Akats and ketu districts of the volta region, Ghana. West Afri. J. Appl. E. coli, 11, 1-23.

Tayyeb, Z. A., Farid, S. M. and Otaibi, K. A. (2004). Trace element concentration of commercially available drinking water in Makkah and Jeddon. JKAU Eng. Sci., 15, 149-154.

Third World Water Forum on Water (2003). Blockade, myth, illusions in development and cooperation. Tokyo, Japan, Vol. 30.

United State Environment Protection Agency (USEPA) (1993). Safe Drinking Water Act: Water quality criteria. California State water resource board, 7/78, publication A-3.

Wheby, M. S. (1994). Importance of Iron (Crosby, W. H. Ed.). Midican Inc., New York, 39.

WHO (1997). Guidelines for water quality (2nd Ed.). Surveillance and control of community supplies. Geneva, World Health Organization, 3, 238.

WHO (1998). World Health Organization International Standard for Drinking Water. Geneva, Switzerland.

WHO (2001). Water health and human rights. World Water Day 2001. Available at http://www.worldwaterday.org/thematic/n4.hmnrights.html. as retrieved on 27th may, 2013.

WHO (2003). World Health Organization Guideline for drinking water quality. Geneva, Switzerland.

Sachet & bottled water	Appearance	Odour	Taste	Total Dissolved
				Solids (mg/l)
S1	С	U	U	82.15±0.07
S2	С	U	U	82.15±0.07
S3	С	U	U	$6.15 \pm 0.07$
S4	С	U	U	$14.15 \pm 0.07$
S5	С	U	U	$7.15 \pm 0.07$
S6	С	U	U	19.15±0.07
S7	С	U	U	$6.15 \pm 0.07$
S8	С	U	U	21.15±0.07
S9	С	U	U	59.15±0.07
S10	С	U	U	24.15±0.07
S11	С	U	U	7.15±0.07
S12	С	U	U	48.15±0.07
S13	С	U	U	59.15±0.07
S14	С	U	U	58.15±0.07
S15	С	U	U	4.10±0.14
B16	С	U	U	$7.05 \pm 0.07$
B17	С	U	U	62.05±0.07
B18	С	U	U	70.05±0.07
B19	С	U	U	16.05±0.07
B20	С	U	U	6.55±0.64
B21	С	U	U	40.05±0.07
B22	С	U	U	11.05±0.07
B23	С	U	U	$6.05 \pm 0.07$
B24	С	U	U	$5.05 \pm 0.07$
B25	С	U	U	14.05±0.07
SON/NAFDAC	С	U	U	500mg/l

Table 1: Physical parameters of the sachet and bottled water

C - clear, U - Unobjectionable, S - Sachet water, B - Bottled water, Values are means $\pm$ standard deviation of duplicate determinations

Sachet	&	bottled pH	Conductivity	Total Hardness (mg/l)	Alkalinity (mg/l)
water		pm	(µs/cm)	Total Hardness (ing/1)	Alkannity (ling/1)
S1		7.90±0.007	41.15±0.07	6±0.07	8.05±0.07
S2		6.90±0.007	41.15±0.07	14±0.007	8.05±0.07
S3		6.70±0.007	48.05±0.07	2±0.007	$8.08 \pm 0.07$
S4		6.15±0.07	40.05±0.07	2±0.007	6.05±0.07
S5		6.15±0.007	38.05±0.07	2±0.007	$8.08 \pm 0.07$
S6		$7.80 \pm 0.007$	41.00±0.007	2±0.007	6.05±0.07
S7		7.80±0.007	39.05±0.07	4±0.007	2.05±0.07
<b>S</b> 8		6.15±0.007	$40.00 \pm 0.007$	$4\pm0.007$	6.05±0.07
S9		6.75±0.007	39.00±0.007	4±0.007	2.05±0.07
S10		$7.65 \pm 0.07$	41.00±0.007	6±0.007	6.05±0.07
S11		7.15±0.07	43.05±0.07	8±0.007	$4.00 \pm 0.07$
S12		8.15±0.07	44.00±0.007	4±0.007	6.00±0.007
S13		6.75±0.07	$40.00 \pm 0.007$	22±0.014	2.00±0.007
S14		6.35±0.07	40.00±0.007	6±0.007	$4.00 \pm 0.007$
S15		$7.05 \pm 0.07$	41.00±0.007	18±0.014	4.00±0.007
B16		$7.65 \pm 0.07$	39.00±0.007	8.01±0.021	$4.00 \pm 0.007$
B17		8.25±0.07	38.00±0.007	6.01±0.014	$4.00 \pm 0.007$
B18		8.25±0.07	43.01±0.014	6.01±0.014	14.00±0.007
B19		$6.65 \pm 0.07$	42.10±0.14	6.01±0.014	$4.00 \pm 0.007$
B20		7.75±0.07	39.01±0.014	4.01±0.014	2.00±0.007
B21		$7.85 \pm 0.07$	39.01±0.014	6.01±0.014	10.10±0.14
B22		8.49±0.007	39.01±0.014	6.01±0.014	$8.00 \pm 0.007$
B23		$6.80 \pm 0.007$	$40.05 \pm 0.07$	6.01±0.014	$4.00 \pm 0.007$
B24		6.90±0.007	38.00±0.007	26.05±0.07	2.00±0.007
B25		8.10±0.007	38.00±0.007	4.10±0.14	2.00±0.007
SON/					
NAFDA	C	6.5	1000	100	100
WHO		8.5	250	200	30-50

Table 2: Chemical parameters of the sachet and bottled water I

S - Sachet water, B - Bottled water, ND - Not detected. Values are means±standard deviation of duplicate determinations

Table 3: Chemical parameters of the sachet and bottled water II	í II
---	------

Sachet & bo water	ttled Chloride (mg/l)	Zinc (mg/l)	Copper (mg/l)	Lead (mg/l)
S1	11.71±0.014	14.05±0.003	4.11±0.002	ND
S2	23.45±0.07	$2.28 \pm 0.0002$	2.28±0.001	ND
S3	11.71±0.02	$4.01 \pm 0.004$	$2.06 \pm 0.003$	ND
S4	$0.00{\pm}0.007$	$3.38 \pm 0.007$	$3.35 \pm 0.0007$	1.67±0.003
S5	5.81±0.014	6.94±0.002	2.36±0.002	ND
S6	5.81±0.014	4.36±0.002	3.88±0.001	ND
S7	$11.72 \pm 0.03$	7.22±0.003	4.11±0.003	ND
S8	29.23±0.02	3.51±0.001	3.12±0.001	ND
S9	5.81±0.014	10.54±0.003	4.11±0.001	$1.48 \pm 0.001$
S10	11.72±0.03	5.11±0.001	3.58±0.001	0.19±0.002
S11	5.81±0.014	41.97±0.001	$3.27 \pm 0.0007$	ND
S12	5.81±0.014	8.73±0.002	5.03±0.002	0.93±0.002
S13	17.51±0.014	11.31±0.001	4.11±0.001	ND
S14	23.43±0.04	4.75±0.002	2.59±0.0007	ND
S15	11.71±0.021	4.58±0.003	2.59±0.003	ND
B16	5.77±0.04	3.27±0.001	$1.75 \pm 0.002$	ND
B17	11.71±0.03	3.73±0.0007	$1.14\pm0.002$	ND
B18	23.42±0.03	3.27±0.003	1.29±0.0007	ND
B19	5.80±0.007	3.71±0.007	$1.45 \pm 0.001$	ND
B20	$0.00 \pm 0.007$	2.25±0.007	$1.68 \pm 0.0007$	ND
B21	$11.7 \pm 0.007$	24.35±0.003	3.81±0.0007	ND
B22	5.81±0.014	5.22±0.001	2.82±0.0014	ND
B23	11.71±0.02	$5.93 \pm 0.003$	$1.45 \pm 0.002$	ND
B24	$0.00 \pm 0.007$	3.24±0.0007	2.67±0.003	ND
B25	11.7±0.007	$5.38 \pm 0.003$	$1.60\pm0.007$	ND
SON/				
NAFDAC	200	3.5	1.0	0.002-0.021
WHO	250	1.0	2.0	0.001

S – Sachet water, B – Bottled water, ND – Not detected. Values are means±standard deviation of duplicate determinations

Sachet & bottled water	Cadmium (mg/l)	Calcium (mg/l)	Magnesium (mg/l)	Iron (mg/l)
S1	ND	135.21±0.0007	8.58±0.007	17.48±0.003
S1 S2	$0.002 \pm 0.003$	$2.02 \pm 0.0014$	$2.77 \pm 0.0014$	$7.99 \pm 0.0021$
S3	ND	$2.02 \pm 0.0021$	$5.58 \pm 0.0021$	$11.11 \pm 0.0014$
S4	ND	107.63±0.003	80.76±0.003	13.11±0.0007
S5	ND	3.36±0.0007	$2.62 \pm 0.0007$	10.49±0.003
S6	ND	73.3±0.0007	3.28±0.0014	14.61±0.0021
S7	ND	534.8±0.0014	131.32±0.0021	17.48±0.0017
S8	ND	3.36±0.0021	$0.33 \pm 0.003$	9.24±0.0007
S9	ND	14.13±0.002	3.02±0.0007	18.10±0.0014
S10	ND	677.4±0.0007	59.28±0.0014	16.60±0.0021
S11	0.001±0.001	222.67±0.0007	7.44±0.0021	13.61±0.003
S12	ND	$11.44 \pm 0.001$	0.39±0.003	10.99±0.0007
S13	ND	52.47±0.003	3.79±0.0007	14.61±0.0014
S14	ND	20.18±0.0007	2.57±0.0014	15.86±0.0021
S15	ND	26.91±0.003	2.81±0.0021	19.35±0.003
B16	ND	83.42±0.0007	38.62±0.003	14.36±0.0007
B17	ND	28.93±0.0007	5.72±0.007	12.48±0.0014
B18	ND	30.27±0.0021	$1.11 \pm 0.0014$	17.60±0.0021
B19	ND	187.01±0.0021	20.58±0.002	18.73±0.003
B20	ND	16.14±0.0021	2.93±0.003	11.99±0.003
B21	ND	88.12±0.0014	30.25±0.0007	13.73±0.0021
B22	ND	76.69±0.0007	30.15±0.001	15.23±0.0014
B23	ND	86.78±0.021	34.73±0.003	15.98±0.0007
B24	ND	112.34±0.003	32.22±0.003	17.60±0.0014
B25 SON/	ND	24.89±0.003	ND	14.36±0.0014
NAFDAC	0.03	75	0.2-0.25	0.3
WHO	0.05	-	-	0.03

# Table 4: Chemical parameters of the sachet and bottled water III

S - Sachet water, B - Bottled water, ND - Not detected. Values are means±standard deviation of duplicate determinations

Sachet bottled water	&	Fungi	Total Coliforms (CFU/ml)	Salmonella and Shigella (cfu/ml)	E-coli (cfu/ml)	THC (cfu/ml)
S1		Nil	Nil	Nil	3	Nil
S2		Nil	Nil	Nil	1	Nil
S3		9	8	Nil	10	6
S4		Nil	26	Nil	28	50
S5		15	Nil	Nil	Nil	23
S6		9	6	Nil	Nil	Confluent growth
S7		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
S8		Nil	Nil	Nil	1	5
S9		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
S10		Nil	13	Nil	7	9
S11		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
S12		Nil	Nil	Nil	2	1
S13		Nil	1	Nil	Nil	7
S14		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
S15		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	2
B16		Nil	Nil	Nil	2	9
B17		4	3	Nil	1	Confluent growth
B18		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
B19		9	8	Nil	18	Confluent growth
B20		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
B21		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
B22		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
B23		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
B24		6	6	Nil	5	Confluent growth
B25		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
SON/						
NAFDAC	2	-	<10 cfu/ml	-	0 cfu/100ml	104 cfu/ml
WHO		-	-	-	-	-

Table 5: Microbiological profile of the sachet and bottled water

S – Sachet water, B – Bottled water, ND – Not detected. Values are means±standard deviation of duplicate determinations