

Some Fixed Point Theorems with G-Iteration in Banach Space with the Help of Hemi Contractive Mapping

Akanksha Sharma¹ Kalpana Saxena² Ramakant Bhardwaj³

- 1.Department of Basic Science, Oriental college of Technology, Bhopal (M.P.)
 2.Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalya, Bhopal (M.P.)
 3.Department of Mathematics, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal (M.P.)

Abstract : the purpose of this paper is to obtain fixed point theorems with hemi contractive mapping in Banach space.

Keywords: common fixed point, hemi contractive mapping, G-iteration
 2000 mathematics subject classification: 47J25 , 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction: the class of pseudo contractive maps with fixed points is a subclass of the hemi contraction. By using G-iteration process which is introduced by Das and Debata [3], we are studying convergence of common fixed point for continuous hemi contractive mapping in Banach space.

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1 [2] (i) A mapping T with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in a Banach space is called pseudocontractive mapping, if for all $x, y \in D(T)$, there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that $\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2$

(ii) [4] A mapping T with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in E is called a hemicontractive mapping if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and for all $x \in D(T)$ $x^* \in F(T)$ such that,

$$\|Tx - x^*\|^2 \leq \|x - x^*\|^2 + \|x - Tx^*\|^2$$

Theorem 2.2: Dhage [1] has proved a fixed point theorem satisfying the inequality:

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq a\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\| + (1 - 2a) \max\{\|x - y\|, \|x - Ty\| \|y - Ty\|, \frac{1}{2}\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|, \frac{1}{2}\|x - Ty\| + \|y - Tx\|\}$$

Definition 2.3: Let X be a normed space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a self mapping then T is said to satisfy a Lipschitz condition with constant q if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq q\|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in X$. if $q < 1$ then T is called a contraction mapping.

Our main theorem is related to the concept of quasi-contraction, initiated by Ljubomir cicic [5] in .We define hemi ω -contraction in following manner:

Let X be a normed space then a self mapping T of X is called hemi ω -contraction contractive mapping if $\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \omega \max\{\|x - y\|^2, \|x - Tx\|^2, \|y - Ty\|^2, \|x - Ty\|^2, \|y - Tx\|^2\}$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 < \omega < 1$.

extended the definition of hemi ω -contraction for a pair of mapping. we defining hemi ω -contraction pair of mapping as follows:

Definition 2.4: Let X be a normed space then T_1 and T_2 be two self mappings of X are called hemi ω -contractive pair of mapping If :

$$\|T_1x - T_2y\|^2 \leq \omega \max\{\|x - y\|^2, \|x - T_1x\|^2, \|y - T_2y\|^2, \|x - T_2y\|^2, \|y - T_1x\|^2\}$$
 for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 < \omega < 1$.

3. MAIN RESULTS:

Theorem 3.1: Let X be a closed subset of normed linear space N and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a hemi ω - mapping and $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence of G-iterates associated with T then G-iteration process is defined in the following manner:

Let $x_0, x_1 \in X$ and

$$x_{n+2} = (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)x_{n+1} + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)Tx_{n+1} + (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)Tx_n + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)x_n$$

Where $\{\mu_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{k_n\}, \{s_n\}, \{a_n\}$, and $\{b_n\}$ satisfying

(i) $\mu_0 = \lambda_0 = k_0 = 1$

- (ii) $0 < \lambda_n < 1, 0 < k_n < 1, 0 < s_n < 1, 0 < a_n < 1, 0 < b_n < 1$ for $n > 0$
- (iii) $\mu_n \geq \lambda_n, \mu_n \geq k_n, \mu_n \geq s_n, \mu_n \geq a_n, \mu_n \geq b_n$ for $n \geq 0$
- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \xi$ where $\xi > 0$
- (v) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = 1$
- (vi) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z \in X$ then z is the fixed point of T

Proof: If $\{x_n\}$ converges on $z \in X$

i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$.

We shall show that z is the fixed point of T .

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Tz\|^2 &= \|z - x_{n+2} + x_{n+2} - Tz\|^2 \\ \|z - Tz\|^2 &\leq \|z - x_{n+2}\|^2 + \|x_{n+2} - Tz\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z - x_{n+2}\|^2 + \|(\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)x_{n+1} + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)Tx_{n+1} + \\ &\quad (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)Tx_n + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)x_n - Tz\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z - x_{n+2}\|^2 \\ &+ (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)\|x_{n+1} - Tz\|^2 + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)\|Tx_{n+1} - Tz\|^2 + \\ &+ (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)\|Tx_n - Tz\|^2 + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)\|x_n - Tz\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z - x_{n+2}\|^2 + (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)\|x_{n+1} - Tz\|^2 + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n) \\ &\quad \omega \max\{\|x_{n+1} - z\|^2, \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2, \|z - Tz\|^2, \|x_{n+1} - Tz\|^2, \|z - \\ &\quad Tx_{n+1}\|^2\} + (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)\|Tx_n - Tz\|^2 + \\ &+ (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)\|x_n - Tz\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.1}$$

We observed by the definition of G-iteration that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}\|^2 + \frac{(\mu_n - 1)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\|^2 + \\ &+ \frac{(\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|z - x_{n+1}\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z - x_{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{1}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}\|^2 + \frac{(\mu_n - 1)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{(\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now putting above values in (3.1.1) then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Tz\|^2 &\leq \|z - x_{n+2}\|^2 + (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)\|x_{n+1} - Tz\|^2 \\ &+ (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)\omega \max\left\{\frac{1}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}\|^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{(\mu_n - 1)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\|^2\right\} \\ &+ (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)\|Tx_n - Tz\|^2 + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)\|x_n - Tz\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Tz\|^2 &\leq (1 - 3\xi + 3\xi\omega)\|z - Tz\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \|z - Tz\|^2 &= 0 \text{ Since } 0 < \omega < 1 \text{ and } \xi > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $z = Tz$ is a fixed point of T

Theorem 3.2: Let X be a closed convex subset of normed linear space N and let T_1 and T_2 be hemi ω -

contractive pair of self mapping of X and $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence of G-iterates associated with T_1 and T_2 then G-iteration process is defined in the following manner:

Let $x_0, x_1 \in X$ and

$$x_{2n+2} = (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)x_{2n+1} + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)T_1x_{2n+1} + (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)T_2x_{2n} + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)x_{2n}$$

And

$$x_{2n+3} = (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)x_{2n+2} + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)T_2x_{2n+2} + (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)T_1x_{2n+1} + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)x_{2n+1}$$

Where $\{\mu_n\}, \{\lambda_n\}, \{k_n\}, \{s_n\}, \{a_n\}$, and $\{b_n\}$ satisfying

- (i) $\mu_n = \lambda_n = k_n = 1$ if $n=0$
- (ii) $0 < \lambda_n < 1, 0 < k_n < 1, 0 < s_n < 1, 0 < a_n < 1, 0 < b_n < 1$ for $n > 0$
- (iii) $\mu_n \geq \lambda_n, \mu_n \geq k_n, \mu_n \geq s_n, \mu_n \geq a_n, \mu_n \geq b_n$ for $n \geq 0$
- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \xi$ where $\xi > 0$
- (v) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = 1$
- (vi) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z \in X$ then z is the common fixed point of T_1 and T_2

Proof: if $\{x_n\}$ converges on $z \in X$

i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$

We shall show that z is the fixed point of T .

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - T_1z\|^2 &\leq \|z - x_{2n+3}\|^2 + \|x_{2n+3} - Tz\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z - x_{2n+3}\|^2 + \left\| \begin{aligned} &(\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)x_{2n+2} + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)T_2x_{2n+2} + \\ &(1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)T_1x_{2n+1} + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)x_{2n+1} - T_1z \end{aligned} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \|z - x_{2n+3}\|^2 + (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)\|x_{2n+2} - T_1z\|^2 + (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n) \\ &\omega \max \left\{ \|x_{2n+2} - z\|^2, \|x_{2n+2} - T_1x_{2n+2}\|^2, \|z - T_1z\|^2, \|z - T_2x_{2n+2}\|^2, \|x_{2n+2} - T_1z\|^2 \right\} \\ &+ (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)\|T_1x_{2n+1} - T_1z\|^2 + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)\|x_{2n+1} - T_1z\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.1)$$

We observe by the definition of G-iteration that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{2n+2} - T_2x_{2n+2}\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+2} - x_{2n+3}\|^2 + \frac{(\mu_n - 1)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+2} - T_1x_{2n+1}\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{(\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+1} - T_1x_{2n+1}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now putting the values in (3.2.1) then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - T_1z\|^2 &\leq \|z - x_{2n+3}\|^2 + (\mu_n - \lambda_n - s_n - a_n)\|x_{2n+2} - T_1z\|^2 \\ &+ (\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)\omega \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\|x_{2n+2} - z\|^2, \frac{1}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+2} - x_{2n+3}\|^2 + \frac{(\mu_n - 1)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+2} - T_1x_{2n+1}\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{(\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+1} - T_1x_{2n+1}\|^2 \|z - T_1z\|^2, \|z - x_{2n+2}\|^2, \frac{1}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+2} - x_{2n+3}\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{(\mu_n - 1)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+2} - T_1x_{2n+1}\|^2 + \frac{(\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)}{(\lambda_n + s_n + a_n)} \|x_{2n+1} - T_1x_{2n+1}\|^2, \|x_{2n+2} - T_1z\|^2 \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &+ (1 - \mu_n - \lambda_n + k_n + b_n)\|T_1x_{2n+1} - T_1z\|^2 + (\lambda_n - k_n - b_n)\|x_{2n+1} - T_1z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - T_1z\|^2 &\leq (1 - 3\xi + 3\xi\omega)\|z - T_1z\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \|z - T_1z\|^2 &= 0 \text{ Since } 0 < \omega < 1 \text{ and } \xi > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $z = T_1z$ is a fixed point of T_1 .

Similarly we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - T_2z\|^2 &\leq (1 - 3\xi + 3\xi\omega)\|z - T_2z\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \|z - T_2z\|^2 &= 0 \text{ Since } 0 < \omega < 1 \text{ and } \xi > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $z = T_2 z$ is a fixed point of T_2 .

Finally we can say that z is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

This completes the proof.

References :

- [1]. Dhage, B.C. "On common fixed point maps in Banach spaces" Acta Ciencia Indica vol XII no.1(1987),1-5.
- [2]. F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn "Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 20(1967), 197-228.
- [3]. G. Das and J.P. Debata "Fixed Points of Quasinonexpensive mappings" Indian J. pure appl. Math., 17(11) (1986)1263-1269.
- [4]. Liu Qihou "The convergence theorems of the sequence of Ishikawa iterates for hemicontractive mappings" journal of mathematical analysis and applications 148, (1990), 55-62
- [5]. Ljubomir Ćirić "Quasi-contractions in Banach Spaces" Publications De L'Institut Mathematique Nouvelle serie, tome 21(35), 1977, pp .41-48.