

Adoption of Modern Box Bee Hive Technology Towards Smallholder Farmers: The Case of Sodo Zuria Woreda, Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia

Zerihun Goa
Wolaita Sodo Agricultural College
zerihungoa@gmail.com

Abstract

This study was accompanied in wolaita zone, Sodo Zuria Woreda, SNNP regional state. The general objective of the study was to assess farmers' responses towards factors affecting adoption of modern box bee hive technology in smallholder farmers. Multi-stage sampling procedure was followed to select the kebeles and the households for the study. The data were collected from 110 purposively selected sample households from four selected kebeles using probability proportional to size. The semi Structured interview schedule was established, pre-tested and used for assembly the important data for the study from the sampled households. For the validity and reliability of data, focus group discussion and key informant interviews were also conducted to generate qualitative data. In addition, secondary data were collected from relevant sources such as internet, books, agricultural and rural development offices. Descriptive statistics and inferential statistics were used to describe the nature of data and examine the significance of the relationship between dependent variable and independent variable. Furthermore, Binary logit model was used to determine the relative influence of independent variables on the dependent variable. The result of descriptive statistics revealed that out of the total sample respondents 43.6% were adopters and 56.4 % of them were non-adopters. The results of the study indicated that sex, education, participation in cooperative organization, utilization to credit, contact with extension agent, Farm size, training, distance to market center and relative disadvantage were found to have positive and significant influence on adoption of improved box bee hive technology. While non-farm activity, beekeeping experience, age, and labor force, TLU, of the households not significantly related with the adoption of improved box bee hive technology. The whole findings of the study underlined the significance of institutional support in the areas of extension, research and training concerning the production of improved box bee hive technology. Henceforth, agricultural policy and development interventions should be given consideration to the enhancement of such institutional support to farmers so as to achieve wider adoption of improved box bee hive technology to smallholder farmers.

Key terms: adoption, improved box

DOI: 10.7176/ISDE/12-6-02

Publication date: October 31st 2022

1. Introduction

Ethiopia has a huge potential for beekeeping production because of its endowment with diversity in climate and vegetation resources for beekeeping (Kidane, 2014).

Beekeeping, also called apiculture, is management of honey bee colonies for pollination of crops and honey and other products (Bradbear, 2009). It is an environmentally friendly and non-farm business activity undertaken by farmers and landless people. That means, it does not occupy cultivated land, requires less investment and provides quick economic benefits, besides, it being a nonpolluting intensive agricultural practice (Conrad, 2007).

Honey and bees-wax are the two main products generated by the beekeeping subsector. World Trade of honey fluctuates between 997,000 tons and 1,000,000 tons yearly. Totally one third amount of honey produced in the world is from the two biggest honey producer countries Russia and China. Developing countries taken as a group produce about 500,000 tons. Beekeeping offers environmentally beneficial activity that can help the developing countries in alleviation of poverty and maintaining natural biodiversity (MASHAV, 2016).

Ethiopia has a huge potential for beekeeping production because of its endowment with diversity in climate and vegetation resources for beekeeping (Kidane, 2014).

Beekeeping activity has important contribution economically and ecologically (Ajebush, 2018). This sub sector has remarkable potential to contribute to employment generation, local and global market, livelihood improvement, and biodiversity conservation and helps ensuring economic advantages of women, youths and Ethiopia's geographical position poor households. Development of the Beekeeping practices could significantly enhance crop production, food security, maintenance of plant diversity and ecosystem stability 9-(Apimondia International Symposium, 2018).

Moreover, the importance of beekeeping as an income-generating activity pivots on the fact that many people use honey as food, medicine and for sale. Beekeeping offers a great potential for development and is

comparatively less demanding in terms of investment, labour and time. In addition, beekeeping is advocated to improve human welfare by alleviating poverty through increased household income: it is a source of food and nutritional security, raw materials for various industries, medicine, increased government revenue through levies and taxes, improved biodiversity conservation and enhancing environmental resilience (Kihwele *et al.*, 1999; MNRT, 2004).

Apiculture also plays a role in generating and diversifying the income of subsistence Ethiopian smallholder farmers mainly the small land holders and landless (EARO, 2000; Gezahegn, 2001).

Offa district has potential for beekeeping activities because relatively covered with rich natural resources and thus the apiculture is immense in it. In the district, where there is a high potential of natural resources, honey production is entirely a mean of income for small scale farmers. In this area, most of the beekeepers keep bees and use the income generated from that to purchase grains, agricultural input, clothes and to pay land tax. However, despite its potential role in the development of rural economy, the beekeeping sector faces several major problems such as lack of beekeeping skills, inappropriate production technologies, weak market access, weak price incentive systems, and limited financial capacity of beekeepers (Melaku *et al.*, 2008).

To solve these challenges, national efforts has made in linking small scale farmers with agricultural marketing chains. Access to market, credit service, new technologies and risk reduction are some of the benefits for farmers from producers association. But, small-scale farmers are often reluctant to adopt new production technologies.

Thus, this study is designed to investigate the information gap on factors affect smallholder farmers' adoption hinder to use improved box hive technology.

1.1. objectives of this study

The specify objective of this study is:

- ❖ to discover factors affecting adoption of improved box hive technology in the study area
- ❖ to ascertain the perception of smallholder farmers towards improved box hive technology

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1. Description of study area

The study was conducted in Sodo zuria district, found in southern Ethiopia. Livelihood of Most of people is Agriculture and the agricultural activities of rural poors is depends on rain fall. This exposes them to lead their life continuously in harsh condition.

2.2. Study design

This study was employed both quantitative and qualitative design for descriptive research. The method of research which concerns itself with the present phenomena in terms of conditions, practices beliefs, processes, relationships or trends invariably is termed as descriptive survey study. According to Aggarwal (1998), descriptive research is devoted to the gathering of information about prevailing conditions or situations for the purpose of description and interpretation. Similarly, this study were intended to gather relevant information, which utilizes a semi-structured questionnaire on perception of smallholder farmers towards modern box hive technology and its contribution in household food security and current status of honey production potentials in the study area.

Questionnaires of quantitative data were analyzed through descriptive statistics using SPSS version 20 software whereas Factors affect Adoptors and non-adopters of the hive was analyzed through descriptive statistics and binary logit model was used.

2.3. Sample size and Sampling techniques

Multistage-stage sampling procedure was used to select sample respondents. First, three kebele in Sodo Zuria woreda were designated by simple randomly Gilo Bisare, Gulgula and Buge wanche. Second, beekeepers were stratified into sub-groups based on agro-ecology zones, and users and non-users of box hive technology. Third, purposive sampling was used to select household for survey. The sampling frame of the study was the total households of selected kebeles. The sample size was determined by using simplified formula of Yamane $n = \frac{N}{1+N(e)^2}$

2.4. Type and Source of Data

Both quantitative and qualitative data obtained from primary and secondary sources. Household data collection methods such as survey questionnaire, FGDs and key informant interviews were used to obtain primary data. The primary data that were collected for quantitative research regarding to explanatory variables.

Secondary data such as description about the study area location, topography, climate, population, agricultural production was collected from relevant sources like books, internet, related journals and annual

report of zone and woreda agricultural office.

2.5. Methods of Data Collection

The main data gathering tool for this study was semi-structured interview. In addition to that, for qualitative study, Key informant Interview and group discussion were used.

The quantitative primary data required for the study was collected from sampled households by conducting formal survey using Semi-structured interview.

2.6. Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using software SPSS version 20 version. Appropriate techniques and procedures were used in the analysis to identify the influence of demographic factors, socioeconomic, institutional variables and psychological factors on the adoption decision process of modern box bee hive technology. Descriptive statistics were used such as mean, standard deviation frequency and percentile. Inferential statistics such as Chi-square test; f test were used to test significant levels of the dependent variables on independent variables and also the econometric analysis was employed.

Binary logistic regression is used to calculate the probability of two possible outcomes .In this finding, the two possible outcomes were either adopters or non-adopters. To examine the factors that influence adoption of improved box bee hive technology a binary logistic regression was employed.

3. Reason for not adopting MBH

The reason replied by most of respondent on why they are not adopting modern beehive was cash shortage and expensiveness of the technology.

Table 1. Reason for not adopting MBH

Reasons to not adopt MBH	Frequency	Percent
did not try to get	4	3.6
not available	8	7.3
cash shortage	24	21.8
too expensive	26	23.6
Total	62	56.4

Source, own survey , 2021

Table 2. Binary logit model output

Variables	B	S.E.	Wald	Sig.	Exp (B)
SEXHH	1.424	.595	5.735	.017	4.156
EDUC	.865	.239	13.104	.000	2.375
ACCTC	1.424	.421	11.434	.002	4.154
CWEXA	3.100	.650	22.742	.000	22.200
TRAI	1.569	.422	13.825	.000	4.800
EXPER	-.358	.216	2.737	.098	0.699
NONFAC	.037	.394	.009	.926	1.037
AGEHH	-.044	.027	2.637	.104	0.957
DISTMRT	-.500	.220	5.154	.023	0.607
FARSIZ	1.090	.372	8.581	.003	2.975
LABAV	.566	.378	2.241	.134	1.762
PICOOP	1.863	.442	17.760	.001	6.443
TLU	.599	.178	11.366	.073	1.819
REDADVIB	-.199	.076	6.798	.009	0.819

Pearson χ^2 value= 111.857, Log likelihood = -38.849, Cox & Snell R Square=0.638

Sample size of respondents =110, Probability=0.000 , significant at *,** 1% and 5% level

Source, own survey , 2021

4. Recommendations

- The study revealed that education status of household head positively and significantly affects farmers' decision to adopt modern box hive technology. More educated household heads are in the better position to adopt the new technology. Therefore, the regional and zonal Government sector involved in education should boost the educational status of the farmers through adult education.
- Extension services was found to be significantly influencing adoption MBH hive, it should be

strengthened down to the village level to inform farmers in order to increase the rate of adoption.

- Zone and woreda cooperative office should strengthen the existing cooperative beekeepers and Encourage those to form as savings and credit cooperatives as finance to increase their apiary size.
- The respondents were found to face marketing limitations which significantly bound their benefit from improved box bee hive adoption. Hence, greatly emphasis of zonal and woreda government has to be given to the improvement of market and marketing system particularly through cooperative unions.
- Farmers were found to face marketing constraints which significantly limit their benefit from beekeeping. Thus, considerably emphasis of zonal and woreda government has to be given to the improvement of market and marketing system particularly through cooperative unions.
- Participation in training was among the important variable that positively influenced the adoption of improved box bee hive. This indicates that extension service should be extended by establishing additional development centers and empowering them. Therefore, to sustain the positive contribution of the extension service to the adoption of improved box bee hive, strengthening extension services is necessary. Therefore, attention should be given to the research and extension linkages, and frequent training must be organized for beekeepers to adopt improved technologies
- Female-headed households are less adopter of improved box hive than male headed households. This might be due to lack of access to information sources. Hereafter, increase the participation of women and awareness creation should be done both by governmental and non-governmental organizations about the versatile of improved box bee hive technology more effectively.
- According on the discovery of this study further researches can be executed in the forthcoming in order to improve box hive and beekeeping technology in the study area.

References

- Aggarwal, Y. P. (1998). *The Science of Educational Research—A Source Book*. Nirmal Book Agency, Kurukshetra: Nirmal Book Agency.
- Ajabush, D. (2018). Review of Economic and Ecological Importance of Bee and Bee Products in Ethiopia. *Journal of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science*, 2(2): 18-26.
- Amina (2019). Assessment of Beekeepers' Perception on Adoption of Modern Technologies in Beekeeping in Iringa Region. A Dissertation Submitted In Partial Fulfillment for the Requirements of the Degree of Master of Business Administration Of The Open University Of Tanzania.
- Apimondia International Symposium (2018). *The Role of Bees in Food Production*. Apimondia International Symposium, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- APM consult plc. (2008). *Bale eco-regional sustainable management Program a study on private sector linkage for bees products producers in bale*.
- Assefa Admassie and Gezahegn Ayele. (2010). Adoption of improved technology in Ethiopia. *Journal of Economics*, 1(5): 155-178.
- Ban, A.W, Van den and H.S Hawkins, (1996). *Agricultural Extension* Black well Science Ltd, UK.
- Basuma R.,(2019). Profitability Analysis and Adoption of Improved Box Hive Technology by Small holder Beekeepers: The Case of Bule Hora Woreda, West Guji Zone of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. Department of Agricultural Economics, Raya University, Maychew, Ethiopia
- Beyene, T., and David, Ph. (2007). Ensuring Small Scale Producers in Ethiopia to Achieve Sustainable and Fair Access to Honey Markets. Available at: <<http://www.mu.edu.et/mejs/pdfs/v2n1/49654-67504-1-PB.pdf>> [accessed on 01/07/2014].
- Birhanu Gebremedhin and Belets Gebremicael.(2014). Adoption of improved box hive technology: Analysis of smallholder farmers in northern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Agricultural Economics and Extension*. ISSN 2329- 9797 Vol. 2 (2), PP. 077-082.
- Bowley, A. L. (1926). Measurement of the precision attained in sampling. *Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute*, 22, Supplement to Liv. 1, 6-62.
- Bradbear, N. (2009). *Non wooden Forest Products. Bees and their role in forest livelihoods*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome, Italy.
- Caleb Warren, A., Peter McGraw and Leaf Van Bovan. (2010). *Values and preferences: defining preference construction*. University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA.
- CAP (Coordinated Agriculture Program) (2008). *Small-scale Review of Honey: Short analysis of demand and supply in the honeysector*. Center for Agricultural Policy Prosperity Initiative. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MAoRD) of the Government of Viet Nam. Retrieved August 30, 2011 from
- CBI (Centre for the promotion of imports from developing countries)(2008). *Honey and sugars: a compact survey of The Honey and Sugars Market in the European Union*. Compiled for the CBI by Profound. Centre for the Promotion of Imports from developing countries (CBI), Rotterdam, and the Netherlands. Retrieved August 30,2011 from <http://www.fepat.org.ar/files/eventos/759630.pdf>

- Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (2012a). Agricultural Sample Survey. Available at: http://www.csa.gov.et/newcsaweb/images/general/news/livestock%20report%202005%20ec_2012_13.pdf > [accessed on 26/06/2014].
- Cochran, W. G. (1977). Sampling techniques (3rd ed.). New York: John Wiley and Sons, UK.
- Conrad, R. (2007). Natural Beekeeping: Organic Approaches to Modern Apiculture. Chelsea Green, London.
- Cramb, R.A., (2003). Processes Affecting the Successful Adoption of New Technologies by Smallholders. In: Hacker, B. (ed). Working with Farmers: The Key to the Adoption of Forage Technologies, pp.11-22. ACIAR Proceedings No. 95. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research
- CSA (Central Statistical Authority) (1995). Agricultural Sample Survey: Report on Livestock, Poultry and Beehives Population, Vol. II, No 132, CSA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. p.28.
- CSA (Central Statistics Authority) (2010/11). Survey on Livestock, Vol. 2, No. 468. CSA, Addis Ababa Ethiopia. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4078045/> > [accessed at 23/07/2014].
- Desalgne, P. (2011). Ethiopian Honey: Accessing International Markets with Inclusive Business and Sector Development, SNV Ethiopia. Available at: [file:///C:/Users/gebruiker/Downloads/7._soc_ethiopia_honey%20\(23\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/gebruiker/Downloads/7._soc_ethiopia_honey%20(23).pdf) > [accessed on 12/07/2014].
- Doss, C., W. Mwangi, H. Verkuil, and H. de Groote., (2003). Adoption of Maize and Wheat Technologies in Eastern Africa: A Synthesis of the Findings of 22 Case Studies. CIMMYT Economics Working Paper 03-01. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT.
- EARO (Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization) (2000). Apiculture Research Strategy Document, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Edessa N. (2005). Study of honey production system in Adami Tulu agricultural research center, West Shewa zone, Oromia region, Ethiopia.
- Edessa, N. (2002). Survey on honey production system in West Shoa Zone (unpublished). Holeta Bee Research Center (HBRC), Ethiopia. P.15.
- Ehui S.K., Ahmed M.M., Berhanu Gebremedhin, Benin S.E., Nin-Pratt A. and Lapar Ma.L., (2003). Ten years of livestock policy analysis. Policies for improving productivity, competitiveness and sustainable livelihoods of smallholder livestock products. ILRI, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Emana, B. (2010). Market Assessment and Value Chain Analysis in Benishangul Gumuz Regional State, Ethiopia Available at: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/76409350/BG-Market-Assessment-Final-Report-300510> > [accessed on 15/07/2014].
- Feder, L., R.E., Just and O., Zilberman, (1985). Adoption of Agricultural Innovation in Developing Countries: A Survey” Economic Development and Cultural Change, 32(2): 255- 297.
- GDS (Global Development Solutions) (2009). Integrated value chain analyses for honey and beeswax production in Ethiopia and prospects for exports the Netherlands Development Organization (SNV).
- Gezahegn, T. (2001). Beekeeping (In Amharic), Mega Printer Enterprise, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Gidey, Y., and Mekonen, T. (2010). Participatory Technology and Constraints Assessment to Improve the Livelihood of Beekeepers in Tigray Region, northern Ethiopia. Available at: <http://www.mu.edu.et/mejs/pdfs/v2n1/49654-67504-1-PB.pdf> > [accessed on 30/07/2014].
- Hartmann, I. (2004). The management of resources and marginalization in beekeeping societies of South West Ethiopia. Paper submitted to the conference: Bridge Scales and Epistemologies, Alexandria. Available at: <http://www.unep.org/maweb/documents/bridging/papers/hartman.ingrid.pdf> > [accessed on 12/07/2014].
- http://prosperityinitiative.org/images/stories/Small-scale_Review_of_Honey.pdf
- Kebede, T., and Lemma, T. (2007). Study of honey production system in Adami Tulu Jido Kombolcha District in Mid Rift Valley of Ethiopia. In Livestock Research for Rural Development. Available at: <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd19/11/kebe19162.htm> > [accessed on 12/07/2014].
- Kerealem, E., Tilahun, G., and Preston, R. (2009). Constraints and Prospects for Apiculture Research and Development in Amhara Region, Andassa Livestock Research Center, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Available at: <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/10/ejig21172.htm> > [accessed on 23/08/2014].
- Kidane M. Y. (2014). Assessment of Beekeeping practices and honey production in Mejhengir Zone of Godere District, Gambella people National Regional State, Ethiopia. MSc Thesis Haramaya University.
- Kihwele, D.V.N., Chiguru, S. K., and Naasi, E.M., (1999). Participatory Rural Appraisal in establishing the beekeeping development project in 15 village's office selected districts: Kibondo, Tab ora, Manyoni, Kondoa and Handeni. MNRT, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Unpublished research report.
- Kotler, P., and Keller, K. L. (2009). Marketing management. 13th Edition, New Jersey .Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Lionberger, H.F., (1960). Adoption of new ideas and practices. Ames, Iowa: The Iowa state university press.
- MASHAV (2016). Israel's Agency for International Development Cooperation: Modern Apiculture Management.
- Mehari, G. (2007). Impact of beekeeping on household income and food security: The case of Atsbi Wemberta and Kilde Awlailo Woredas of Eastern Tigray, Ethiopia M.Sc. Thesis, Mekelle University.

- Melaku, G., Shifa, B., Azage, T., Negatu, A. and Lulseged, B. (2008). Approaches, methods and process for innovative Apiculture Development: Experiences from AdaáLibenworeda Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia, International Livestock Research Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Michael(2029) Socio-Economic, Cultural And Institutional Factors Influencing Modern Box Hives Adoption In Kitui County in Kenya. A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of the Degree of Master of Arts in Geography in the School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Kenyatta University.
- Miklyaev, M., Jenkins, G. P., and Richard, R. Barichello, R. R. (2013).Honey production in ethiopia: a cost-benefit analysis of modern versus traditional beekeeping technologies. Development Discussion Paper: 2013-17
- MNRT (Ministry of Natural Resources and Tourism) (2004). People and Bees. A plain language guide to the United Republic of Tanzania National Beekeeping Programme, Dar-es-Salaam. Unpublished research report.
- MoARD (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development) (2003). Honey and Beeswax marketing and development. In: Development MoAaR, editor. Plan 2003. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- MoARD (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development) (2007). Livestock Development Master Plan Study Phase I Report. Data Collection And Analysis Volume N– Apiculture,Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Nega Gebresellassie and Senders, H. 2006. Farm-level adoption of sorghum technologies in Tigray, Ethiopia. *Journal of Agricultural Systems*, 91(1-2): 122-134.
- Nuru, A. (2007). Atlas of Pollen Grains of Major Honey Flora of Ethiopia, Holeta Bee Research Center. Holeta, Ethiopia.
- Nuru, A., Adimasu, A., and Dereje, W. (2001). Pollen Spectrum of Honeys and Honey Bee floral Calendar of West Shoa. Third National Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Beekeepers Association, Addis Ababa.
- Onwubuya, M.O., Ajani, E.N., Ugbajah, E.A. and Nenna, M.G. (2013). Using honey production for enhancing household income among rural communities of Nsukka Local Government Area of Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural and Crop Research*, 1: 17-23.
- Oxfam (2008). Partner Progress Report. The honey produced in traditional hives is often mixed with wax, pollen, dead bees, and extraneous matter. This means that it cannot be used for processing or for export as table honey, but is only suitable for use in *tejb*brewing. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Ray, G. L., 2001. Extension Communication and Management. Naya Prokash, Calcutta.145- 162pp.