Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare
ISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online)
Vol.4, No.2, 2014 

# A Newly Invented Antibacterial in Decontamination of Reusable Hospital a Device

Assist.Prof. Anwar Kadhim AL-saffar
MSc .Microbiology, College of Sciences, Babylon University, Babylon, Iraq
Anwaralsafar78@gmail.com

**Abstract**
Hospitals have sanitation protocols regarding uniforms, equipment sterilization, washing, and other preventive measures. Thorough hand washing and/or use of alcohol rubs by all medical personnel before and after each patient contact is one of the most effective ways to combat nosocomial infections. More careful use of antimicrobial agents, such as antibiotics, is also considered vital. We collected a variety of previously used medical devices, 18 pieces (tubing system of ventilator, face masks , blades of laryngoscope and stethoscope bell & diaphragm).After These devices had been cleaned ,rinsed then immersed in bleach ,we tested their decontamination using a newly invented antibacterial; we used different weight of Sidr leaves aqueous extract 50g/L, 62.5 g/L, 75g/L and 87.5 g/L (w/v) and a mixture of the two chemicals ;the extract and hydrogen peroxide 1.5% while normal saline 0.9% was used as control. . The process of testing decontamination was repeated as the period of contact between the devices and any of the fourth disinfectants was increased starting at 5 ,then increasing to 15and 30 minutes successively . Loopful swabs were taken from the devices before and after contact with the disinfectants and repeated as the period of contact was increased to 15 then 30 minutes.
Mixing hydrogen peroxide 1.5% (v/v) and aqueous sidr extract 62.5 g/L(w/v) was effective in eradicating contamination of used contaminated medical devices after a period of contact of 30 minutes.
The new antibacterial agent is effective in decontaminating reusable medical devices after 30 minutes of contact.
**Keywords**: antibacterial, Decontamination, sidr, Hospital.

## 1.Introduction

Hospitals implement infection prevention measures to reduce the risk of transmission of pathogens via contaminated hospital surfaces and medical equipment (McBryde *et al*., 2004). Hospital environment disinfection is usually performed by using different disinfectants but non is ideal. To assess the efficacy of a new antibacterial solution in combating bacterial contamination of reusable medical equipments (Lautenbach, 2001).
The *Ziziphus* species (Rhamnacease) are commonly used in folklore medicine for curing of various diseases (Nazif, 2002). The *Ziziphus spina- Christi* was known to be active against wide spectrum of bacteria due to presence of betulic and cyanotic acid, three cyclopeptide alkaloids as well as four saponim glycosides and several flavonoids (Farooqi, 2011).
*Clostridium difficile* is the most common cause of healthcare-associated gastrointestinal infections in the United States and antibiotic exposure is the highest risk for developing *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease (CDAD) (Gerding et al., 1995). The clinical spectrum of *C. difficile* ranges from asymptomatic colonization to severe diarrhea, pseudo membranous colitis, toxic megacolon and death (Sunenshine and McDonald, 2006).
*Enterococcus* is the third most common pathogen associated with HAIs . 33% of the isolates from device-associated infections were vancomycin associated enterococci ( VRE) (Hidron *et al*., 2008). Infections caused by VRE are associated with increased morbidity, mortality, and hospital costs when compared to infections caused by vancomycin-sensitive Enterococcus (Donlan and Costerton, 2002).
*Clostridium dificille* is particularly challenging for infection control because it produce spores resistant to killing by most disinfectants (Goeres *et al*., 2005).
A biofilm is defined as a microbially derived sessile community characterized by cells that are irreversibly attached to a substratum or interface or to each other; are embedded in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (Trautner and Darouiche, 2004). Biofilms can occur spontaneously (without deliberate intention to grow them) on a wide variety of surfaces such as metals, plastics, glass, ceramics, wood and cement. Once established, they can accommodate a large number of bacteria per unit area of the surface. While ~105 - 107 CFU (Colony Forming Units) of bacteria /cm2 are commonly encountered (Gilbert and McBain, 2001).Bacterial biofilms causes about 65% of bacterial infections in the clinic. Antibiotics do not have a satisfactory effect on the infections due to the resistance of the biofilm (Bhala *et al*., 2004).
Bacteria residing within biofilms are up to 1000 times more resistant to chemical costs when compared to infections caused by vancomycin-sensitive *Enterococcus* (Rosenthal *et al*., 2003).
Environmental surfaces play an important role in transmission of health care-associated pathogens such as *Clostridium dificille*, methicillin resistant *staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin resistant enterococcus **(**Sopwith *et al*., 2002).

 

## 2. Materials and Methods

we collected 18 pieces of reusable medical devices from the intensive care unit ,6 of them were used by the patients within 2- 3 hours while the other 12 were used within 1-2 days. These pieces were: 4 face masks ,3 tubing system of respirator, 6 laryngoscope blades, 3 mouth pieces of spirometer , 2 stethoscopes ( bell and diaphragm parts).

We started decontamination by wiping the devices especially the 1st 6 devices being still wet ,then the other steps of decontamination were performed ; washing ,rinsing and disinfection.

The devices were washed in a basin containing water with neutral detergent ,after that they were immersed into distilled water for a period of 30 minutes contact followed by immersion into sodium hypochlorite 1000 ppm for 10 minutes along with manual cleaning using soft brush to remove residual blood and debris followed by washing prior to high level disinfection. .

1.2.Preparation of the newly invented antibacterial*:* Sidr tree- *Ziziphus spina-christi (L) var. inermis Boiss*, The fresh sidr leaves after being weighed as 50,62.5,75 and 87.5 gs . They were cleaned from the dust through washing in tap water. One liter of distilled water was added to each sample and heated up to boiling. The solutions were left in the refrigerator for 12 hours .the remnants of the leaves were discarded, while the liquids extracts were mixed with 1.5% hydrogen peroxide to get the new invited antibacterial .

The devices after retrieval from the bleach ,they were rinsed by distilled water for a period of 2 minutes for each piece followed by taking 6 loopful swabs, which were distributed as one loopful per agar, 2 nutrient agars, 2 MacConkey's agars and 2 Bile Bacteroides Esculin (BBE) media,. The media were cultivated for 48 hours in 37 degrees centigrade both in aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The media were put inside anaerobic jar using gas-pack. After that ,the devices were separated into 3 groups, the 1st group was immersed into normal saline 0.9% ,the 2nd into the sidr extract, while the 3rd group into the mixture of the extract with hydrogen peroxide 1.5% .the contact time for each group were 5 minutes. Then a loopful swabs from a device from each group were taken and cultivated the same way and in conditions as just mentioned.

The procedure was repeated thrice ,each turn we changed the time of contact of six washed devices with a new invited antibacterial ,to 15 and 30 minutes in the 2nd,and 3rd repetition trials successively. Serial dilutions: 1 ml of the disinfectant of each group was taken added to 9 ml distilled water , 0.1 ml from the 3rd dilution of each group was cultivated in three media as mentioned previousely

## 3. Results

The antibacterial mixture of 50 , 75 and 87.5 g/L sidre extract and hydrogen peroxide were not successful in eradicating the contamination of used contaminated medical devices whether the time of contact were 5 or15 minutes. The antibacterial mixture of 62.5 g/L and 1.5% hydrogen peroxide was effective only if the period of contact was 30 minutes ( Table 1).

Table 1. shows the bacteriological results of cultivation of the medical devices after 30 minutes contact with different disinfectants

| Condition | Sidr extract g/L | | | | Mixture of sidr extract g/L and hydrogen peroxide 1.5% | | | | Normal saline (control) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 50 | 62.5 | 75 | 87.5 | 50 | 62.5 | 75 | 87.5 | |
| An aerobic | + | 2 colonies | - | + | + | - | + | + | + |
| Aerobic | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | + |

(+): growth, (-): no growth.

## 4. Discussion

Device associated hospital acquired infections DA-HAIs are considered the principal threat to patient safety in the ICU, and are among the main causes morbidity and mortatality (Kobayshi and Tsuzuki, 1989).There are several factors to be considered when choosing a particular way of decontamination including compatibility of decontamination agent with particular pieces of equipment, manufacturer guidelines for decontamination should always be followed where possible as these will take into account risk of equipment corrosion through inappropriate decontamination. The need to clean the equipment before decontamination is very critical step for the success of the procedure (Sagripanti and Bonifacino, 1999).

Effective decontamination is compromised by the presence of various organic matter , a very large number of microorganisms (Abad *et al*., 1997) and situations where microorganisms are dried to equipment (Springthorpe *et al*., 2005) We tested semi critical and non critical devices as the level of disinfection or sterilization is dependent on the intended use of the object. Critical items such as surgical instruments which contact sterile tissue ,semi critical items such as endoscopes which contact mucus membranes and non critical items such as stethoscopes which contact only intact skin requires sterilization, high level disinfection, respectively.

 

Prior to cleaning proper preparation aids in exposing all device surfaces to the cleaning solution. This includes opening scissors ,box locks, jaw type devices; in our reprocessing laryngoscope blades and stethoscope parts were disassembled, disassembling .it should be noted that more health care associated infections have been linked to contaminated endoscopes than to any other medical device.

Decontamination of surfaces with dried inoculate is invariably more difficult than when microorganisms are in suspension (Lautenbach, 2001). we had tested contaminated devices 1-3 days following usage; to evaluate the microbicidal action on representative carrier materials contaminated with a dried challenge.

Risk of infection develops from improperly processed devices which allow for accumulation of microbial biofilms ; collections of bacteria and fungi ,these biofilms adhere to each other and to the surfaces of medical devices especially those with lumens, and increase the difficulty of thorough cleaning (Conner and Reno, 2006). We practiced and recommend cleaning the devices immediately after use because it has the potential to eliminate this problem of biofilm contamination .

A medical device may become damaged by cleaning solutions or medical soils that are not removed properly after cleaning process. Using cleaning solutions that are not compatible with a device may cause damage as well .We practiced brushing the devices using soft, smooth brush and avoided wool wire brushes or powders. As these agents will scratch & may remove the protective finish on metal, thus increasing the likelihood of corrosion . the finish on stainless steel instrument protects the base metal from oxidation (Ansi, 2003).

We insisted on rinsing the devices with plenty distilled water and good flow following contact with disinfectants to avoid the complications from chemical irritation .A chemical irritation resembling pseudomembranous colitis caused by either 3% hydrogen peroxide or a 2%. gluteraldehyde has been reported(Jarvis, 1996). an epidemic of pseudomembranous like enteritis and colitis in 7 patients in a gastroenterological endoscopy unit also has been associated with inadequate rinsing of 3% hydrdgen peroxide from the endoscope (Fagon *et al*., 1996)

Our reprocessing revealed significant contamination of the stethoscopes with Gram-negative organisms which pose a real risk of spreading potentially serious infections , especially in the setting of intensive care departments (Berkovitch *et al*., 2008).

## 5. Conclusion

The efficacy of the mixture of sidr extract 62.5 g/L (w/v) with hydrogen peroxide 1.5%(v/v) was excellent in decontamination of used, contaminated medical devices after a period of contact of 30 minutes following perfect cleaning .

## References

McBryde, E. S., Bradley L. C., Whitby, M. and McElwain, D.L. (2004). "An investigation of contact transmission of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*". *J. Hosp. Infect.* 58 (2): 104–8.

Lautenbach, E. (2001). "Chapter 14. Impact of Changes in Antibiotic Use Practices on Nosocomial Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance—*Clostridium difficile* and Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE)". In Markowitz AJ. *Making Health Care Safer: A Critical Analysis of Patient Safety Practices*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

Nazif, N.M. (2002). Phytoconstituents of Ziziphus spina- Christi L. fruits and their antimicrobial activity. Food chem.., 76:77-81.

Farooqi, I. H. (2011). Plants of the Quran. 9th edition. Sidrah publisher lucknow.

Gerding, D. N., Johnson, S., Peterson, L. R., Mulligan, M.E. and Silva, J. Jr.(1995). *Clostridium difficile*–associated diarrhea and colitis. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol; 16:459–77.

Sunenshine, R. H.and McDonald, L.C.(2006). *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease: New challenges from an established pathogen. *Clevel and Clin J Med* ;73:187-197.

Hidron, A. I., Edwards, J.R., Patel, J. *et al*. (2008). Antimicrobial-Resistant Pathogens Associated With Healthcare-Associated Infections: Annual Summary of Data Reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease . Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol; 29:996-1011.

Donlan, R. M. and Costerton, J.W. (2002). Biofilms : survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms Clinical Microbiol review,15:167-193.

Goeres, D. M., Lotterle., Hamilton, M. A., Murga, R., Kirby, D.W. and Donlan, R. M. (2005). Statistical assessment of a laboratory method for growing biofilms. N Microbiology,151; 757-62.

Trautner, B.W. and Darouiche, R.O.(2004). Catheter associated infections: pathogenesis affects prevention . Arch Intern. Med; 164:842-50.

Gilbert, P. and McBain, A.J. (2001). Biofilms: their impaction on health & their recalcitrance toward biocides. Am *J* Infect Control;29:252-55.

Bhala, A., Paltz, N. J., Gries, D.M., Ray, A.J., Eckstein, E.C., Aron, D.C. and Donskey, C. J.(2004). Acquisition of nasocomial pathogens on hands after contact with environmental surfaces near hospitalized patients.

 

Infection Control Hosp. Epidemiol ;25: 164- 67.

Rosenthal, V. D., Guzman, S. and Orellano, P.W. (2003). Nosocomial infections in medical-surgical intensive care units in Argentina: Attributable mortality and length of stay. Am J Infect Control ;31:291-5

Sopwith, W.;Tony, H. and Paul, G .(2002). Preventing infection from reusable medical equipment: a systematic review *BMC Infectious Diseases* , **2**:4.

Kobayshi , H. and Tsuzuki, K. (1989). Bactericidal effects of antiseptics and disinfectants against methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* . Infection Control & Hosp Epidemiol, 10: 562-64.

Sagripanti, J. L. and Bonifacino, A. (1999). Bacterial spores survive treatment of antiseptics & disinfectants . Appl &Environmental Microbiology ; 65:4255-60.

Abad, F.X., Pinto, R.M. and Bosch, A. (1997). Disinfection of human enteric viruses on fomites . FEMS Microbiology letters , 156:107-11.

Springthorpe, V., Susan, S. and Syed, A.(2005). Carrier tests to Assess Microbicidal Activities of Chemical Disinfectants for Use on Medical Devices and Environmental Surfaces . 63Journal of AOAC International , 88:1, *pp*. 182-201(20).

Conner, R. and Reno, D.(2006). Recommended practices for high level disinfection. In editors. Standard recommended practices and guidelines. Denver: Association of perioperative Registered nurses ,p: 469-75.

ANSI,A.; ANSI, A .(2003). Safe handling and biological decontamination of reusable medical devices in health care facilities &in nonclinical settings. Arlington, VA, Association for the advancement of Medical Instrumentation.

Jarvis, W. R.(1996). Selected aspects of the socioeconomic impact of nosocomial infections: Morbidity, mortality, cost, and prevention. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol ;17:552-7.

Fagon, J.Y., Chastre, J., Vuagnat, A., Trouillet, J. L., Novara, A. and Gibert, C.(1996). Nosocomial pneumonia and mortality among patients in intensive care units. JAMA ;275:866-9.

Berkovitch, M. Berkovitch, M. , Heyman, E., Lazarovitch, Z., Lazarovitch, Z. and Goldman, M. (2008). The stethoscope as a vector of infectious diseases in the pediatric division . ACTA PAEDIATRICA ; 9: 1253-1255.