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Abstract

The study was carried out in Atsibi wonberta, Wukro kilteawlaeo, Ofla, Alamata, Enderta and Degua Temben district of Tigray Regional State of Ethiopia. The objectives of the study were to characterize the sheep breeds based on their different qualitative and quantitative traits in their natural environment. Data were gathered through semi-structured questionnaire, focus group discussions, field observations and linear body measurements of sample populations. Body weight, linear body measurements and qualitative records were taken and observed from 600 sheep. The most frequent sheep types were the short fat tailed and fat rumped type of sheep. These include Begie-Degua (common highland) sheep breed which is found in Atsibi-wonberta, Wukro-Kilteawlaelo, Ofla and Degua-Tembien districts, Elle (Afar) sheep breed mostly found in Alamata district and mixed sheep breeds found in Enderta district. For Elle sheep, Sex of the sheep had highly significant (p<0.01) effect on Heart girth (HG), Wither height (WH), tail length (TL). Whereas body weight (BW) and Body length (BL) was affected by sex of the sheep (p<0.05) and ear length (EL) of Elle sheep were not affected (p>0.05) by sex of the sheep. Differently in Common highland and mixed sheep breeds, BW, BL, HG, WH, TL and EL were not affected (p>0.05) by sex of the sheep. Chest girth had consistently showed the highest correlation coefficient (r=0.22 to 0.84) in the Elle, common highland and mixed sheep breeds in this study.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In developing countries, livestock genetic resources in general have not been adequately characterized, evaluated or fully utilized through selection and in some cases local populations are threatened with extinction before their genetic value is even properly described and studied (Madalena, 1993; Kassahun Awgichew, 2000). In spite of this, adapted indigenous animal genetic resources (AnGR) provide sustainable options to smallholder livestock production in developing countries. However, numerous indigenous AnGR are endangered by extinction, and some are disappearing at an alarming rate due, for example, to uncontrolled crossbreeding and replacement with exotic breeds.

Formulation of appropriate strategies for long-term maintenance and use of the genetic variation within livestock species requires characterization of animal genetic resources, to identify the variation and appropriate germplasm that is optimal for each system. Until there is proper information on the genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of our indigenous breeds, no rational decisions can be made regarding their utilization and conservation (Rege et al., 2001).

The concern for conservation of livestock diversity arises from the fact that the majority of rural and urban households in developing countries alike Ethiopia depend directly upon genetic, species and ecosystem biodiversity for their livelihoods (Workneh et al., 2004; DAGRIS, 2006). Therefore, efficient utilization of Animal Genetic Resources (AnGR) in developing countries is a prerequisite for the opportunities to meet the future demands of food and to improve the livelihood of poor people. In order to meet the increased demands for food of animal origin, a livestock revolution has to take place. Animals and production systems must, therefore, be adapted to local environment, socio-economic and cultural conditions, and adequate genetic diversity for the unknown future needs to be ensured (ILRI, 2006; Solomon, 2007). Breed characterization requires the distillation and documentation of all available knowledge for present and future utilization. It involves all activities associated with description of animal genetic resources, which contributes to the reliable prediction of genetic variation and performance in defined environments (Rege and Okeyo, 2006; FAO, 2007).

Ethiopian sheep breeds have been traditionally classified into four broad categories based on tail type and fiber type: the Hairy Thin Tailed, Woolen Thin Tailed, Fat Tailed and Fat Rumped (MOA, 1975). Accordingly, attempts have been made to group some of the indigenous sheep types in to these different categories. Galal (1983) and Sisay (2009) described the physical characteristics and eco-regional distribution of some of the sheep types in Ethiopia and Amhara National Regional State, respectively. Recent molecular study of the Ethiopian sheep population has led to the classification of the country's sheep resources into six breed groups, nine breeds and 14 populations (Solomon, 2007). However these studies reported Sokota/Tigray sheep breed in general. This...
might leave room for presence of phenotypic and genotypic differences within Sokota or Tigray sheep breed. Therefore, this research work was launched,

- To study within breed phenotypic diversity of Sokota/Tigray sheep in three selected zones of Tigray.

The specific objectives of the study were:
- To characterize the sheep breeds based on their different qualitative and quantitative traits in their natural environment.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. Description of the study area

The study was conducted in six districts namely, Atsbi-Wonberta, Wukro-Kilteawlaelo, Ofla, Alamata, Enderta and Degua-Temben. Atsbi-Wonberta and Wukro-Kilteawlaelo districts are found in Eastern zone of Tigray; while Ofla and Alamata are in Southern Zone of Tigray Regional State. The remaining two districts viz, Enderta and Degua-Temben are part of South Eastern of Tigray Region (figure 1). The mean annual temperature of the study areas varies from 14°C to 22°C. The mean annual rainfall ranges from about 400 mm to around 969 mm. The altitudes of districts were situated at 1500-3200 masl. The farming system in all of the surveyed districts is a crop livestock mixed farming system. The major crops grown in Southern; South Eastern and Eastern zones of Tigray Regional state are sorghum, Teff (Eragrostis tef), maize in Alamata, Enderta and Wukro-Kilteawlaelo districts. Whereas, wheat, bean, barley, pea, lentil, grass pea, chick pea, rarely linseed wheat and other highland crops in Ofla, Atsibi-Wonberta, Degua-Tembien districts. Cattle, goat, sheep, equines, poultry and honey bees also reared in these districts. Detail description of the above six districts was made in (appendix Table 1).
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Figure 1. Location of the study districts in Tigray Region.

3.2. Selection of the study site

Study sites were selected based on their suitability for sheep production, sheep distribution patterns, agro-ecology, and access to infrastructures like road and public transport. A rapid reconnaissance survey was done before the main survey to know the distribution and sampling framework from which sampling of district was taken. Two districts from each of three Zones (Eastern Tigray, South Eastern Tigray and Southern Tigray) were purposively selected. The selections of districts from the Zones were made to include one dominantly highland and one dominantly lowland district. The districts included in the study are (Atsbi-Wonberta, Wukro-Kilteawlaelo, Ofla, Alamata, Enderta and Degua-Tembi). A total of 12 peasant associations (Felege wein, Golgolnaele, Genfel, Aynalem, Menkere, Wonberet, Timuga, Limat, Debri, Maitsedo, Mahibere-silassie and Hagereselam), 2 from each district were selected randomly. A total of 180 households 30 from each district and 15 from each peasant associations were selected randomly for the interview.

3.3. Procedures and methods of data collection

Data from primary (observation, questionnaire and interview) and secondary sources (different offices) were collected. Data were generated through use of structured questionnaires, field observation and group discussions and from secondary sources. A modified questionnaire was prepared by adopting a questionnaire prepared by Oromiya Agricultural Development Bureau (OADB) for survey of livestock breeds in Oromiya (Workneh and Rowlands, 2004). The pre-tested questionnaires were administered to 180 households by the researcher and
development agents.

3.4. Data management and analysis

Data collected through questionnaire were described by descriptive statistics using (JMP5, 2002).

4. Result and Discussion

4.1. Sheep Types in the Study Area

Sheep production wasn’t found to be the dominant farming practice in the study area; however, substantial number of farmers keeps sheep. The most frequent sheep types were the short fat tailed and fat rumped type of sheep. These include Beige- Degua (common highland) sheep breed which is found in Atsibi-wonberta, Wukro-Kilteawlalo, Ofla and Degua-Tembien districts and Elle (Afar) sheep breed mostly found in Alamata district. The mixed sheep breeds found in Enderta district. The mixed sheep breeds of Endera district includes Elle sheep, common highland sheep and fat rumped sheep found at Debri peasant association. Appendix figure (1) shows the different pictures of the sheep types in the study area.

4.2. Phenotypic Characteristics

The traditional description of breeds using the phenotype is based upon morphological characters such as coat colour, horn, tails, body measurements and other specific visible traits. Phenotypic characterization has been suggested and used to describe and classify breeds of farm animal species (FARM-Africa, 1996; Lanari et al., 2003; Nsoso et al., 2004; Traore et al., 2008). The advantages of morphological data are that it is relatively easily obtained, requiring relatively inexpensive instrumentation in comparison to molecular instruments (Desalle and Grimaldi, 1991).

The percentage of morphological characteristics of sheep found in the study districts were summarized in (Table 1). The numbers of observations in each woreda are 100. Because of this the number of observations and percentage of the qualitative traits are the same for each district. The dominant coat color type in all of the sheep types was red brown, brown, red, white and black respectively. In addition a few sheep had Grey (Jimo) and beige coat color. The common highland sheep types of Atsibi-Wonberta (54 %; n= 54) and Ofla (33 %; n=33).

Table 1. Percentage of qualitative traits of sheep in the study districts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Atsibi-Wonberta</th>
<th>Wukro-Kilteawlalo</th>
<th>Ofla</th>
<th>Alamata</th>
<th>Enderta</th>
<th>Degua-Tembien</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Horn</td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>absence</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber type</td>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course wool</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fine wool</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair length</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>long</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair type</td>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>curly</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face profile</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concave</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>convex</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail type</td>
<td>Short-fat-tailed</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fat-rumped</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail curled</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toggles</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wattle</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool Distribution</td>
<td>Dropping</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole body</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent on legs</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

About 20 % (n=20) of Degua-Tembien had course wool type of fiber. Whereas, the types of sheep found in Alamata (100 %), Enderta (91 %), Wukro-kilteawlalo (89 %), Degua-Tembien (66 %), Ofla (55 %) and Atsibi...
permanent (Dentition class 3), while after dentition class 3 it increased at diminishing rate. Similar trend was observed for body length, Heart girth, withers height and tail length except (P<0.05) for ear length. Whereas in the common highland sheep breeds there was high variability as the age of the animals increased for these body measurements. This implies that these variables might best explain the growth pattern of the animals. On the contrary, variable like ear length was less influenced by age and showed less variation as age advances. Body weight and body measurements of both sexes of Elle sheep breeds increased at larger rate from 1 pair of permanent incisor (dentition class 1) to the eruption of 3 pairs of incisor (dentition class 3), while after dentition class 3 it increased at diminishing rate. Similar trend was observed by (Riva et al., 2004) who observed little change in body weight and other measurements after 24 months in Bergamasca sheep breed of Italy.

The maximum variation in values for all measurements of Elle sheep breed, except ear length at bottom, was observed between animals of dentition groups one and two. There was a spiky decline in difference between values for body weight, body length, and heart girth and tail length post dentition group three. Meanwhile, the least variation in values for these parameters was observed between the dentition groups three and four. This is expectable since animals, under normal conditions, grow fast when younger but grow slowly when they reach maturity (Mekasha, 2007). Nevertheless, it also suggests that the age between the appearance of the first and second pairs of permanent incisor may be the physiological age possibility in which the animal shows the fastest growth rate. It also appears that some parameters achieve their maximum growth during this age.

Earlier findings by Mekasha (2007) has shown that large sized indigenous bucks reach maturity at later age as compared to early maturing small sized bucks. Yet, the generally lower values in body weight and other body measurements of mixed sheep breed was strongly (at least p<0.05) influenced by peasant association (PA) except ear length (p>0.05) as presented in appendix Table 7. Mixed sheep breed found in Debri PA had large body weight and body length (29.22± 0.52 and79.92±0.68 respectively)
as compared to Maitseido PA (26.98 ± 0.58 and 77.23 ± 0.76) for body weight and body length respectively while height at wither, tail length and ear length are higher in the later PA than the former one (Table 4). Body weight and body measurements of Elle sheep breed was not affected by PA.

Generally the tail length of Elle sheep breeds was lower (Table 2) from previous reports of 36 cm for Horro sheep breed (Kasahun, 2000). But it is higher than menz sheep (18.7 cm) and Afar sheep breed (16.7 cm) (Tesfaye, 2008). The mean body weight of Elle ram (22.7 kg) is lower than the average body weight of Gumuz ram (34.63 kg) (Solomon, 2007), Washaer (29.14 kg), Menz sheep (27.54 kg) and Dangali sheep (31.06 kg) (Sisay, 2009). But it is heavier than Afar (24.11 kg).

Table 2. Least square means and standard error (LSM ± SE) for main effects of dentition (PPI) and sex on the body weight (kg) and linear measurements (cm) in Elle sheep breed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects &amp; level</th>
<th>Body Weight</th>
<th>Body Length</th>
<th>Heart Girth</th>
<th>Height at Wither</th>
<th>Tail Length</th>
<th>EL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.63±2.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.75±3.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73.73±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>59.56±2.55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>77.12±2.37</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>74.42±2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PPI</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22.39±0.67</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48.83±0.83</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71.1±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PPI</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23.33±0.79</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54.44±0.99</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>74.74±0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PPI</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29.08±0.89</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58.92±1.11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>76.29±1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 PPI</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28.67±1.38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59.78±1.72</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75.67±1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.71±1.42</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>51.2±1.76</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25.95±3.1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>54.03±2.4</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d, means on the same column with different superscripts, within the specified class variable, are significantly different (p<0.05); Ns = non-significant; *P<0.05; ** P<0.01; BW= Body weight, BL= Body length; HG = Chest Girth; WH = Wither height; EL= Ear length; TL= Tail Length; PPI = 1Pair of permanent Incisors; 2 PPI = 2Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 3PPI = 3 Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 4PPI= 4 pairs of permanent incisor; AW= Atsibi Wombera; WK= Wukro kilteawlaelo; DT= Degua Tembien.

Table 3. Least square means and standard error (LSM ± SE) for main effects of dentition (PPI) and site (District) on the body weight (kg) and linear measurements (cm) of common highland sheep.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects &amp; level</th>
<th>Body Weight</th>
<th>Body Length</th>
<th>Heart Girth</th>
<th>Height at Wither</th>
<th>Tail Length</th>
<th>EL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>27.52±2.85</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>56.69±7.5</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>77.12±1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>61.81±2.53</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>99.82±2.19</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>86.08±2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26.96±0.55</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56.72±3.85</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>27.64±2.9</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>59.72±1.63</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>26.76±0.65</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>62.71±4.53</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>76.06±0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>27.42±0.68</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>57.12±4.73</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>78.37±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>28.09±1.64</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>58.07±4.46</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>78.32±0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>28.06±1.6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57.66±6.1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78.28±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.01±0.65</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.38±4.53</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.52±0.62</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.91±3.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d, means on the same column with different superscripts, within the specified class variable, are significantly different (p<0.05); Ns = non-significant; *P<0.05; ** P<0.01; BW= Body weight, BL= Body length; HG = Chest Girth; WH = Wither height; EL= Ear length; TL= Tail Length; PPI = 1Pair of permanent Incisors; 2 PPI = 2Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 3PPI = 3 Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 4PPI= 4 pairs of permanent incisor; AW= Atsibi Wombera; WK= Wukro kilteawlaelo; DT= Degua Tembien.
Table 4. Least square means and standard error (LSM ± SE) for main effects of dentition (PPI), sex and site (PA) on the body weight (kg) and linear measurements (cm) of mixed sheep breed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects &amp; level</th>
<th>Body Weight</th>
<th>Body Length</th>
<th>Heart Girth</th>
<th>Height at With</th>
<th>Tail Length</th>
<th>EL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
<td>N LSM±SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>100 28.1±2.5</td>
<td>100 56.28±2.3</td>
<td>100 78.58±2.7</td>
<td>100 58.98±2.8</td>
<td>100 20±3.2</td>
<td>100 6.08±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age **</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PPI 22</td>
<td>25.07±0.74</td>
<td>56.54±0.82</td>
<td>77.45±0.97</td>
<td>60.95±1.22</td>
<td>24±1.6</td>
<td>6.68±0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PPI 18</td>
<td>25.64±0.76</td>
<td>55.86±0.84</td>
<td>75.03±0.99</td>
<td>58.94±1.25</td>
<td>21.5±1.6</td>
<td>6.61±0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PPI 35</td>
<td>30.46±0.64</td>
<td>56.66±0.71</td>
<td>80.86±0.84</td>
<td>58.77±1.05</td>
<td>17.83±1.39</td>
<td>6.01±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4PPI 25</td>
<td>29.24±2.1</td>
<td>56.04±0.74</td>
<td>78.58±0.92</td>
<td>57.58±1.22</td>
<td>25.0±1.6</td>
<td>5.26±0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex **</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 11</td>
<td>28.82±0.79</td>
<td>56.27±0.86</td>
<td>77.82±1.03</td>
<td>60.91±1.29</td>
<td>20.6±1.6</td>
<td>6.54±0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 89</td>
<td>29.01±0.74</td>
<td>56.26±0.71</td>
<td>76.67±0.84</td>
<td>58.75±1.05</td>
<td>19.92±1.6</td>
<td>6.02±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA **</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debri 50</td>
<td>29.22±0.52</td>
<td>55.30±0.57</td>
<td>79.92±0.68</td>
<td>56.49±0.84</td>
<td>14.3±1.1</td>
<td>5.84±0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maitesdo 50</td>
<td>26.98±0.56</td>
<td>57.26±0.64</td>
<td>77.23±0.76</td>
<td>61.48±0.95</td>
<td>25.68±1.3</td>
<td>6.32±0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d, means on the same column with different superscripts, within the specified class variable, are significantly different (p<0.05); Ns = non-significant; ** P<0.01; BW= Body weight, BL= Body length; HG = Chest Girth; WH = Wither height; EL= Ear length; TL= Tail Length; 1PPI = 1 Pair of permanent Incisors; 2 PPI = 2Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 3PPI = 3 Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 4PPI= 4 pairs of permanent incisor; AW= Atsibi Wonberta; WK= Wukro kilteawlaelo; DT= Degua Tembien; PA= peasant association

4.4 Correlation Coefficient between Body Weight and Linear Body Measurements

The correlation of body weight and linear body measurements of Elle, common highland and mixed sheep breeds were summarized from Table 5 to 8. Table 5 shows correlation coefficients between live weight and other body measurements of Elle (Afar) sheep breed by sex in Alamata district. Heart girth had strong positive correlation (r=0.84 and 0.75) with body weight in males and females respectively. That means, from the linear body measurements, heart girth had the highest correlation with body weight of both sexes. The highest association between height at wither and body length were observed in the males (r=0.77). Height at wither had also medium correlation (r = 0.68 and 0.56) with body weight in males and females respectively.

In the common highland sheep breed body weight is positively correlated with heart girth in I, II, III and IV dentition classes with correlation of 0.06 to 0.72 (Table 8). Similar to the Elle sheep breed height at wither and body length of common highland sheep breed was high to lower correlation (r= 0.74 and 0.15) for male and female sheep respectively.

The high correlation of different measurements with body weight would imply these measurements can be used as indirect selection criteria to improve live weight (Khan et al., 2006; Solomon, 2008) or could be used to predict body weight (Fasae et al., 2006). The high correlation coefficients between body weight and body measurements for all age groups suggest that either of these variables or their combination could provide a good estimate for predicting live weight of sheep from body measurements. Chest girth had consistently showed the highest correlation coefficient (r= 0.22 to 0.84) in the Elle, common highland and mixed sheep breeds in this study. This highest correlation of chest girth with body weight than other body measurements was in agreement with other results (Afolayan, et al., 2006; Fasae et al., 2006; Solomon, 2008; Tesfaye, 2008) and would imply that chest girth was the best variable for predicting live weight than other measurements.

Table 5. Coefficients of correlations between body weight and other body measurements of Elle (Afar) sheep breed in Alamata district, above diagonal male (N=10) and below diagonal female (N=90).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HW</th>
<th>HG</th>
<th>BL</th>
<th>BW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.75405</td>
<td>0.77179</td>
<td>0.68326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG</td>
<td>0.35354**</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.49962Ns</td>
<td>0.83624**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>0.73702**</td>
<td>0.27843</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.25301Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>0.56252**</td>
<td>0.75332**</td>
<td>0.50457**</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HW= height at wither; BL= Body length; HG = Chest Girth; N= number of sheep
Table 6. Coefficients of correlation between body weight and linear body measurements for Common highland sheep within age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>1PPI</th>
<th>2PPI</th>
<th>3PPI</th>
<th>4PPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.06&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.24&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.45&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.31&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.25&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.47&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.55&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.72&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.05&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.09&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.24&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.21&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HW= height at wither; BL= Body length; HG = Chest Girth; 1PPI =1 Pair of Permanent Incisors; 2 PPI = 2 Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 3PPI = 3 Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 4PPI = 4 Pairs of Permanent Incisors.

Table 7. Coefficients of correlations between body weight and other body measurements of common highland sheep, above diagonal male (N=67) and below diagonal female (N=333).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>HW</th>
<th>HG</th>
<th>BL</th>
<th>BW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.58111&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73597&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.11828&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG</td>
<td>0.07927&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.49696&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.22206&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>0.15296&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.03107&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>0.24299&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>0.137&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.49123&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01795&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HW= height at wither; BL= Body length; HG = Chest Girth; N= number of sheep

Table 8. Coefficients of correlation between body weight and linear body measurements for mixed sheep within age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>1PPI</th>
<th>2PPI</th>
<th>3PPI</th>
<th>4PPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.02&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.002&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.08&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.25&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.62&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.25 &lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.76&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.66&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.37&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.19&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.12&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.23&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HW= height at wither; BL= Body length; HG = Chest Girth; 1PPI =1 Pair of Permanent Incisors; 2 PPI = 2 Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 3PPI = 3 Pairs of Permanent Incisors; 4PPI = 4 Pairs of Permanent Incisors.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1. Summary

The study on within breed phenotypic diversity of Sokota/Tigray sheep was based on survey of 180 households owning sheep. Characterization of physical features by observation and measurement of adult animals (N = 600) for some quantitative and qualitative traits was carried out. The survey was conducted in Atsbi-wentberta and Wukro-keleaelo districts from Eastern Zone; Alamata and Ofla Districts from Southern Zone and Enderta and Degua-Tembien districts from South Eastern Zone of the Tigray National Regional State of Ethiopia. The above mentioned districts are located in the lowland (Alamata district), Midlowland (Enderta and Wuko-keleaelo districts), highland (Atsbi-wentberta, Ofla and Degua-Tembien districts). The study was conducted by implementing single visit questionnaire, observing and recording of sheep morphological characters, and by recording body weight and body measurements.

The most frequent sheep types were the short fat tailed and fat rumped type of sheep. These include Begie-Degua (common highland) sheep breed; Elle (Afar) sheep breed and mixed sheep breeds of Enderta district. Majority (greater than 80 %) of the sheep types found in the study districts are polled, had concave and flat face profile. Elle and mixed sheep breeds are hair type but the common highland breeds are wool type.

Generally in the Elle and common highland sheep breed lower values were observed in all of the measurements for male than female sheep except tail length. Generally males had large tail length than females in the study area. In the Elle sheep breed, age was found to strongly influence (P<0.0001) for BW, BL, HG, HW and TL except (P<0.05) for EL. Whereas in the common highland sheep breed, BW and other body measurements was increased with age even though there was not statistically significance (p>0.05). Similar to the common highland sheep breed, BL, HW, TL and EL of mixed sheep breed was not affected (p=0.05) by age while BW and HG was strongly influence (p=0.0001) by age.

Heart girth had strong positive correlation (r=0.84 and 0.75) with body weight in males and females.
respectively for Elle sheep. In the common highland sheep breed body weight is positively correlated with heart girth in I, II, III and IV dentition classes with correlation of 0.06 to 0.72.

5.2. Conclusion

The basic important component of this phenotypic characterization of Elle, Common highland and mixed sheep breeds is the delimitation of those sheep breeds for further study. Based on this study, it can be hypothesized that the phenotypic diversity was observed within and between sampling districts and peasant associations. This reflects the genetic differentiation as a result of both natural and human influences. The physical description of sheep types can only dimly reveal the genetic relationships between individuals, but it is a first step in classifying a diverse population into a relatively homogenous sub-populations. Therefore To increase the validity of this on-farm preliminary study, it is important to undertake well planned on station study to identify the phenotypic and genetic characterization of sheep within breeds and then to improve their genetic potential.
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**Appendix**

Table 1. Description of the Study districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Woreda</th>
<th>Distance (Km) from Adiss Ababa &amp; Mekelle respectively</th>
<th>Attitude (masl)</th>
<th>Mean annual temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean annual rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Total human population (CSA,2008)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atsbi-Wonberta</td>
<td>843N &amp; 65NE</td>
<td>918-3069</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>112,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wukro-Kilteawlaelo</td>
<td>823 N &amp; 45 N</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>129,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofla</td>
<td>620 N &amp; 158 S</td>
<td>2300-3200</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>142,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alamata</td>
<td>600 NE &amp; 180 S</td>
<td>&lt; 1500</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>118,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enderta</td>
<td>766 N &amp; 12 S</td>
<td>1185-2169</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>114,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degua-Temben</td>
<td>828 NW &amp; 50 N</td>
<td>1595-2760</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>113,526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*masl= meter above sea level*
Figure 1. Different Sheep Types in the Study Districts.

- Flock of sheep with cattle in Ofla district (Wenberet PA)
- Flock of sheep and goats in Ofla district (Menkere PA)
- Atsibi ewe with course wool (local name is Jimo)
- Horned Atsibi ram with shaded coat pattern
- Elle ram in Alamata district
- Elle ewe in Alamata district
- Fat-rump with short ear type of Abergelle sheep in Enderta district (Debri PA)
- Cross of Elle and highland breed) without horn in Enderta district (Maitseo PA)
- Hair type Common highland sheep in Ofla (Wenberet PA)
- Common highland sheep with course wool in Ofla (Wenberet PA)
- Short tail highland with pendulous ear type of sheep in Enderta district (Maitseo PA)
- Elle ram in Enderta district (Maitseo PA)
- Hair type common highland sheep in Degua-Tembi district (Hagere selam PA)

*PA=peasant association*
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