

# Efficacy of Plant Extracts Against Multi-Drug Resistant *Escherichia Coli* from Urinary Tract Infection

Wilda Onyancha<sup>1\*</sup>, Sabella J. Kiprono<sup>2</sup>, Jeridah Moindi<sup>3</sup>, Enock O. Nyakundi<sup>4</sup>, Suge Titus K<sup>5</sup>

1. Department of Biological Science, Laikipia University college,
2. Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Masinde Muliro University of science and Technology, P.O Box 190-50100, Kakamega
3. School of Health sciences, Kampala international University, P.O Box 9790, Dar Es Salaam
4. School of Pharmacy, Nyanchwa Adventist College, P. O Box, 1020, Kisii
5. School of Health Sciences, Mount Kenya University, Kigali Campus. Rwanda

\* E-mail of the corresponding author: wildaonyanacha@yahoo.co.in

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Urinary tract infections (UTI) are among the most commonly prevalent infections in clinical practice. *E. coli* is the causative agent in about 85% of community acquired urinary tract infections, followed by Klebsiella that accounts for 6 to 17% of such infections. **Objectives:** Present study was conducted to evaluate the antibacterial potential of five plants used against *E.coli* causing UTI **Methods:** A total of 40 pregnant women were screened for significant symptomatic bacteriuria. All the subjects were clinically identified to have no signs and symptoms of UTI. Clean catch midstream urine samples were collected for both groups. Urine samples were examined microscopically and cultured and incubated at 24 hrs at 37°C. Isolated organisms were identified and characterized on the basis of morphological, cultural and biochemical characteristics. **Results:** A total of 40 urine sample were analyzed for isolation of multidrug resistance *E. coli*. Out of which 20 samples showed significant bacteriuria. The bacteria identified using biochemical characteristic as *E. coli*. In general, over all 100% of isolates were resistant to Rifampicin, 90% of isolates to Ampicillin, 85% of isolates to Nalidixic acid, 75% to Gentamycin, and 65% to Ofloxacin **Conclusions:** The use of plants extracts with known antimicrobial properties can be of great significance for therapeutic treatments. Results of antimicrobial susceptibility test showed marked differences among bacterial isolates in their susceptibility and resistance patterns to a particular antibiotic basis.

**Keywords:** Urinary tract infections, *Escherichia coli*, multidrug resistant, plant extracts

## Introduction

Urinary tract infection represents one of most common diseases occurring from one neonate to the geriatric age groups encounters in medical practice today (Ammon and Wahi, 1991) and .It is estimated that about 35% of healthy women suffer symptoms of urinary tract infections at some stages in their life. About 5% of women each year suffer with the problem of painful urination (dysuria) and frequency (Hootan, 2003). The incidence of urinary tract infections is greater in women as compared to men which may be either due to anatomical predisposition or urothelial mucosal adherence to mucopolysaccharide lining or other host factors (Shokeen et al, 2009). The ESBL producing bacteria has been identified in members of enterobacteriaceae, are increasingly causing urinary tract infections both in hospitalized patients and out patients (Morris and Masteron, 2002). The increasing drug resistance among these bacteria has made therapy if urinary tract infection difficult and has led to greater use expensive broad-spectrum drugs. This resistance problem needs a renewed effort resulting in searching effective antibacterial agents against current antibiotics (Schaeffer et al, 2001 ).is a series health problem affecting millions of people in year.

Infections of urinary tract are common (only respiratory infections occur more often). Women have a higher risk of developing UTI than men; approximately 50% to 70% of women will have UTI during their lifetimes, and 20% to 30% of women will have recurrent episodes (Gupta et al, 2001). Pregnant women are at increased risk for UTI (starting in week 6 through week 24), because uterus sits directly on top of bladder and displaces it. Shift in position of urinary tract and hormonal changes during pregnancy make it easier for bacteria it travels up urethras to the kidneys. For these reasons, many doctors recommended periodic testing of urine. In many cases, however, pregnant women with positive urinary tests have no symptoms of UTI. Presence of bacteria in urine without symptoms is known as asymptomatic bacteriuria and presence of leukocytes in urine is called pyuria. Asymptomatic bacteriuria is common during pregnancy. Its average prevalence is 6%. It is an important risk factor for low birth weight and prematurely. Therefore, if causative bacteria are detected in urine, pregnant women will be treated even in symptoms are not present.

Women's with asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy are more likely to deliver premature or low birth-weight infants and have a 20 to 30 fold increased risk of developing pyelonephritis during pregnancy compared with women without bacteriuria. The presence of bacteria in a properly collected urine specimen from a person without symptoms or signs of UTI characterizes as asymptomatic bacteriuria. Antibiotic resistance in uropathogens is increasing worldwide. It varies according to geographic locates and is directly proportional to the use and misuse of antibiotics. Understanding the impact of drug resistance is of critical importance of changing rate of antibiotic resistance has a large impact on empirical therapy of UTIs (Velickovic et al, 2003).

Plants and plant products have been used extensively throughout history to treat medicinal problems. Numerous studies have been carried out to extract various natural products for screening antimicrobial activity (Soulsby, 2005). Over the past 20 years, there has been a lot of interest in the investigation of natural materials as sources of new antibacterial agents. Different extracts from traditional medicinal plants have been tested many reports show the effectiveness of traditional herbs against microorganisms as a result, plants are one of the bedrocks for medicine to attain new principles (Evans et al, 2002). In this regard, plants have given western pharmacopoeia about 7000 different pharmaceutically important compounds and a number of top-selling drugs of modern time, e.g. quinine, artemisinin, taxal, camptothecin etc. Until natural products have been approved as new antibacterial drugs; there is an urgent need to identify novel substances active towards highly resistant pathogens (Sakagami et al, 2001).

Medicinal plants have a long history of use and their use is widespread in both developing and developed countries. According to the report of WHO, 80% of the world's populations rely mainly on traditional therapies which involve the use of plant extracts or their active substances (Vermani and Garg, 2002). The microorganisms have developed resistance against many antibiotics due to indiscriminate use of antimicrobial drugs (Ahmad et al, 1998). This creates problems in the treatment of infectious diseases (Davis, 1994). Furthermore, antibiotics are sometimes associated with side effects, better patient tolerance, relatively less expensive, acceptance due to long history of use and being renewable in nature (Nascimento et al, 2000). Tribal people of India have been using several types of plants as medicine since the ancient time which has not been studied extensively. Although screening of Indian medicinal plants has revealed varying degrees of antimicrobial activity against pathogenic and opportunistic micro organisms (Ahmad and Beg, 2001), but there is still a lack of experimental scientific studies confirming the possible antimicrobial properties of a great number of these remedies. Hence the present study was conducted to evaluate the antibacterial potential of five plants used against UTI causing E.coli.

### Research method

**Urine sample collection:** A total of 40 pregnant womens were screened for significant a symptomatic bacteriuria [colony count > 1, 00, 000 cfu / ml]. All the subjects were clinically identified to have no signs and symptoms of UTI. Clean catch midstream urine samples were collected for both groups. Urine samples were examined microscopically and cultured by placing on EMB, Mac Conkey Agar and incubated at 24 hrs at 37°C. Isolated organisms were identified and characterized on the basic of morphological, cultural and biochemical characteristics and were identified with the help of Bergege's Manual of systematic Bacteriology.

**Antibiotic susceptibility Testing:**Antibiogram of the UTI isolates was ascertained on Mueller Hinton Agar using disc diffusion method. Five antibiotics most commonly used for the treatment of UTI were employed i.e, Ampicillin, Gentamycin, Rifamycin, Nalidixic acid, Ofloxacin. The diameter of the zone of inhibition produced by each antibiotic disc was measured, recorded and the isolates were classified as Resistant or Sensitive based on the Standard interpretation chart.

**Plant materials:** A total of five plants parts VIZ. Corriendar Sativum [seed], Terminalia chebula [dry fruit], Allium Sativum [clove], Emblica officinalis [fruit], and Curcuma longa [dry rhizomes] were collected based on ethno medical importance.

**Extraction of plant material:** Plant materials were washed with distilled water, dried in shade, grined to tine powder and stored in airtight container at room temperature in the dark until used. The powdered samples were subjected [Acetone, ethanol] in addition to water.

**Antibacterial activity of plant extract:** Susceptibility of UTI isolated to the extracts was determined by the disc diffusion assay. Petriplates containing Mueller Hinton Agar medium were seeded with 24 hrs old culture of bacterial strains. The inoculum size was adjusted to achieve a final concentration of 10 cfu /ml by making with 0.5 McFarland Nephelometer standards. The sterile Whatman filterpaper disc [5mm in diameter] impregnated with plants extract [40mg/0.1ml] were placed on the surface of the culture plates. And incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs and diameter of zone of inhibition were measured in mm. Discs with acetone, ethanol, and distilled water were used as control.

### Measurement of Total phenolics and Tannins using Folin –ciocalteu method

The method for total phenol is useful in order to know the efficiency of extraction of phenolics in solvents. This method can be with the use of insoluble matrix. [Binds tannin-phenolics] for measurement of tannin. The results

can be expressed as tannic acid equipment. The nature of tannic acid varies from one commercial source to the other.

**Folin ciocalteu reagent [1N]:** Dilute commercially available folin-ciocalteu reagent [2N] with an equal volume of distilled water. Transfer it in a brown bottle and store in a refrigerator [4° C]. It should be golden in colour. Do not use it if it turns olive green. **Sodium Carbonate [20%]:** weigh 40g sodium carbonate [X10 water] dissolve it in about 150ml distilled water and make up to 200ml with distilled water.

**Standard tannic acid solution [0.1mg/ml]:** Dissolve 25mg tannic acid [TA] obtained from merck in 25ml distilled water and then dilute 1;10 in distilled water [always use a freshly prepared solution].

**Analysis of Total phenols:** Take suitable aliquots of the tannin containing extract [Initially try 0.02, 0.05] with distilled water, add 0.25ml of the Folin ciocalteu reagent and then 1.25ml of the sodium carbonate solution. Vortex the tubes and record absorbance at 725nm after 40min.

#### **Analysis Results**

A total of 40 urine samples were analyzed for isolation of multidrug resistance *E. coli*. Out of which 20 samples showed significant bacteriuria. The bacteria identified using biochemical characteristics as *E. coli* (Table 1)

**Antimicrobial Susceptibility:** Result of antimicrobial Susceptibility test showed marked difference among bacterial isolates in their susceptibility and resistance pattern to a particular antibiotic. The bacterial isolates were generally resistant to Rifampicin and Ampicillin followed by Nalidixic acid, Gentamycin and Ofloxacin. In general, over all 100% of isolates were resistant to Rifampicin, 90% of isolates to Ampicillin, 85% of isolates to Nalidixic acid, 75% to Gentamycin, and 65% to Ofloxacin. (Table 2).

**Antibiotic sensitivity test:** Results of antibiotic susceptibility showed that nearly all the isolates were resistant against most of the antibiotics tested during the present investigation. The isolated *E. coli* strain showed Multidrug resistance. Out of 20, 12 strains showed antibiotic resistance pattern of Amp- Gen – Rif – Na-Ofloxacin, 2 strains were Rif, 2 were Amp- Gen –Rif- Na, 2 were Amp – RIF – Na, 1 was Amp – Gen – Rif, 1 was Amp – Rif – Na – Ofloxacin resistance. Eighteen resistance patterns were observed in enteric pathogens for the nine antimicrobial agents tested. Resistance to Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Tetracycline, Cotrimoxazole, Sulfanilamide [AM – AL – Te – SX – Su] was the most frequent pattern, observed in 73 % of the isolates of *S. dysenteriae* type 1. The most common multiple resistance pattern was resistance to Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Tetracycline, Cotrimoxazole, Sulfanilamide, and Chloramphenicol. Multiple resistances were particularly high in urinary pathogens. *E. coli* has a 58 % resistance rate to at least four of ten antimicrobial agents [Ahmed et al., 2000] while *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* had 70 % and 82 % resistance rates respectively. *E. coli* [21 %] *K. pneumoniae* [52 %] and *P. mirabilis* [60%] were resistant to at least five antimicrobial agents.

**Growth inhibition:** Different concentrations of *E. coli*, *A. sativum* and *T. chebula* were used to identify the percentage of inhibitory activity against *E. coli*. *A. sativum* and *T. chebula* showed potential effect (80-90% inhibitory activity) in the concentration 25 µl, 50 µl of *A. sativum* and *T. chebula* showed 90-100% inhibitory activity against *E. coli*. *E. coli* showed less inhibitory activity compared to *T. Chebula* and *A. sativum*. (Table 3).

**Plant extract:** Out of 20 isolates, five multidrug resistant *E. coli* were selected for further works. The antibacterial activity of plants was observed against selected five MDR *E. coli*. Totally five plant parts were selected for the antibacterial activity. Out of 5 plants [*T. chebula*, *C. longa*, *E. officinalis*, *C. sativum*, *A. sativum*]. 3 plants [*A. sativum*, *E. officinalis*, and *T. chebula*] showed the potential antibacterial activity against *E. coli* isolated from urinary tract infected pregnant women. The highest antibacterial activities were observed in *T. chebula*, followed by *A. sativum* and *E. officinalis*. Other *C. longa* and *C. sativum* did not show any antibacterial activity. Ethanol extract of Turmeric and Chebulic myrobalan, Fresh extract of Garlic and Amla, Aqueous extract of Coriander were used for this study. In well diffusion assay, Turmeric chebula showed mm range of 25-27 against five MDR *E. coli*. *A. sativum* showed maximum zone of inhibition (32mm) followed by *E. officinalis* against MDR *E. coli*. In disc diffusion assay, plant extract showed lower mm of zone of inhibition when compared to well diffusion assay (Table 4)

**Inhibitory concentration (mic) determination:** The plant extracts were further subjected to the broth microdilution method to determine the MIC. 30 mg/ml was the initial concentration for *E. officinalis* and *A. sativum*. *E. officinalis* showed the minimal inhibitory activity even in the concentration of 0.9 mg/ml. *A. sativum* showed MIC of 1.9 mg/ml. *T. chebula* showed very potential effect in the low concentration of 0.07 mg/ml. In the present study, *T. chebula* having good efficiency to inhibit the MDR *E. coli* at the lower concentration compared to garlic and amla (Table 5).

#### **Percentage of growth inhibition by *E. officinalis*, *A. sativum* and *T. chebula***

Turmeric extract was not effective against *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Streptococcus aureus* and had very little effect on *Proteus vulgaris*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. In general, turmeric extract was exhibited the lowest antimicrobial activity as compared to the other plant extracts tested (Table 6).

## Conclusion

Plant produce a wide variety of secondary metabolites which are used either directly as precursors or as lead compounds in the pharmaceutical industry and it is expected that plant extracts showing target sites other than those used by antibiotics will be active against drug resistant microbial pathogens. However, very little information is available on such activity of medicinal plants and out of the 4, 00,000 plant species on Earth only a small number has been systematically investigated for their antimicrobial activities. Additionally, there is a rich local ethno botanical knowledge and bibliography describing the species most frequently used by population to cure various diseases (Taneja et al, 2002). However there has been seldom effective collaboration between the traditional and western medical therapeutics, largely due to the perception that the use of traditional and herbal medicines has no scientific basis. According to world health organization (Vermani and Garg, 2002). medicinal plants would be the best source to obtain a variety of drugs. Therefore such plants should be investigated to better understand their properties, safety and efficiency (Neu, 1992). Bacterial infection is one of the most serious global health issues in 21st century (Morris and Masteron, 2002). The emergence of bacterial resistance to antibiotics is a major health problem and therefore, it is critical to develop new antibiotics with novel mechanism of action to overcome these problems. Plants have traditionally provided a source of hope for novel drug compounds, as plant herbal mixtures have made large contributions to human health and were being (Iwu et al, 1999). The use of plants extracts with known antimicrobial properties can be of great significance for therapeutic treatments.

**Antibacterial susceptibility:** Results of antimicrobial susceptibility test showed marked differences among bacterial isolates in their susceptibility and resistance patterns to a particular antibiotic. The bacterial isolates were generally resistance to Ampicillin, Cephalosporins followed by Tetracycline and Chloramphenicol. The antimicrobial resistance patterns are valuable as a guide to empirical therapy and as an indicator of the dissemination of antimicrobial resistance determinations (Delappe et al, 2003). Lactams is the most widely used antibiotics and lactamase are the greatest source of resistance to them. An understanding of extended spectrum lactamase defection is therefore valuable. Recent studies conducted by (Hansotia et al, 2003). On ASBL production in members of *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from clinical specimens showed 9-50% ASBL producers. There is marked difference in present finding. Only 42.4% of isolated uropathogens were found to be lactams positive, belonging to *P. pseudomallei*, *E. coli*, *Enterobacter*, *Klebsiella* and *Proteus* species. A study from north India on ASBL production in uropathogens showed 26.6% ASBL producers which belonged to *Klebsiella*, *E. coli*, *Enterobacter*, *proteus* and *Citeobacter* species ( Khanna and Nag, 1973).

## Acknowledgements

Sense of gratitude and indebtedness goes to the guide Mr. Sathish Kumar, Lecture P.G and research department of microbiology, K.S Rangasamy college of arts and science (INDIA). Also thanks to Dr. E.S Karthy Director in AWE CARE analytical and research laboratories who gave the complete support to finalize the project

## Authors' contributions

Wilda participated in the design of the study; carried out and personally financed the studies; SJK performed the statistical analysis; and drafted the manuscript. STK participated in the design of the study; EON assisted in the supervision on the theoretical and practical work. JM participated in the design of the study; and supervised on the molecular genetic studies and the statistical analysis. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests

## References

- Ahmad, I. and Beg, A. Z. Antimicrobial and phytochemical studies on 45 Indian medicinal plants against multi-drug resistant human pathogens. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 74: 113-123 [2001].
- Ahmad, I., Mehmood, Z. and Mohammad, F. Screening of some Indian medicinal plants for their antimicrobial properties. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 62: 183-193 [1998].
- Ammon, H. P. and Wahl, M. A., pharmacology of *Curcuma longa*. *Planta. Med.*, 57:1-7 [1991].
- Cragg, G. M., Newmann, D. J. and Snader, K. M. Natural products in drug discovery and development. *J. Nat. Prod.* 60: 52-60 [1997].
- Davis, J. Inactivation of antibodies and the dissemination of resistance genes. *Science*, 246: 375-382 [1994].
- Delappe, N., Halloran, F. O., Fanning, S., Freney, G. C., Chaesty, T. T. and Carmical, M. Antimicrobial resistance and genetic diversity of *shigella sonnei* isolates from western Ireland, an area of low incidence of infection, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41: 1919-1924 [2003].
- Evans, C. E., Bansa, A. and Samuel, O. A. Efficacy of some nupe medicinal plants against salmonella typhi: an in vitro study. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 80: 21-24 [2002].
- Evans, J. S., Pattison, E. and Morris, F. Antimicrobial agents from plant cell cultures, in: secondary metabolites in plant cell culture. Edited by Morris, P., Scraggs, A., Stafford, S. and Fowler, M. (Cambridge University,

London). p. 12 [1986].  
 Gupta, K., Hootan T. M., Roberts P. L. and Stamm W. E. Patient initiated treatment of uncomplicated recurrent urinary tract infections in young women. *Ann Intern Med.* Jul 3; 135 (1): 9-16 [2001].  
 Guptha, M., Mazumde, U. K., Bhattacharya. S, M., Halder, P. K. and Roy, S. Antibacterial activity of *Terminalia pallida*. *Fitoterapia* 73: 165-167 [2002].  
 Hansotia, J. B., Agarwal, V., Pathak, A. A. and Saoji, A. M. Extended spectrum beta lactamase mediated resistance to third generation cephalosporin's in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Nagpur, Central India. *Ind. J. Med. Res.* 105: 156-161 [1997].  
 Hootan, T. M. Urinary tract infection in adults, In: Johnson R.J., Feehally J., (Eds). *Comprehensive clinical nephrology*, 2nd Ed, London: Mosby, p: 731-744 [2003].  
 Iwu, M. W., Duncan, A. R. and Okuni, C. O. New antimicrobials of plant origin. In perspectives. On new crops and new uses [Ed] Jannick J. ASHA press. Alexandria VA, p. 457 – 462 [1999].  
 Kadar, A. A. and Angamuthu, K. Extended spectrum beta-lactamase in urinary isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other gram- negative bacteria in a hospital in Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med. J.* 26 (6): 956-959 [2005].  
 Kunin, C. M. Urinary tract infections in females. *Clin. Infect Dis.* 18: 1-12 [1994].  
 Khanna, P. and Nag, T. H. Isolation, Identification and screening of phyllembilis from *Emblca officinalis*. *Geartin. Tissue culture. Indian Journal of pharmacy*, 35 (1): 23-28 [1973].  
 Mendelson, G., Hait, V., Ben-Israel, J., Gronich, D., Granot, E. and Raz, R. Prevalence and risk factors of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in an Israeli long term care facility. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis* 24: 17-22 [2005].  
 Morris, A. K. and Masteron R. G. Antibiotic resistance surveillance; action for international studies. *J. Antimicrob. Ther.* , 49: 7 -10 [2002].  
 Nascimento, G. C. F., Locatelli, J., Freitas, P. G. and Silva, G. L. Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibiotics resistant bacteria. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 31: 247 – 256 [2000].  
 Neu, H. C. The crisis in antibiotic resistance. *Science* 257: 1050-1055 [1992].  
 Ogun, A. A., Akanbi, J., Ejembi O. A. and Faleye, T.O.C. Detection of Urinary tract infection (UTI) among pregnant women in Ofuyoro Catholic Hospital, Ibadan, South-Western Nigeria. *Malaysian. J. Microbiol.*, (in press) [2009].  
 Recio, M. C. A review of some antimicrobial compounds isolated from medicinal plants reported in the literature 1978-1988. *Phytother. Res.* 3: 117-125 [1989].  
 Sakagami, Y., Muratta, H. and Nakanishi, T. Inhibitory Effect of Plant Extracts on Production of Verotoxin by *Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli* 157: H7 *J. Health Sci.* 47: 473-477 [2001].  
 Soulsby, E.J. Resistance to antimicrobials in humans and animals. *J. Med.*, 331: 1219-1220 [2005].  
 Schaeffer, A. J., Rajan, N., Cao, Q., Anderson, B. E., Pruden, D. L., Sensibar, J. and Duncan, J. L. Host pathogenesis in urinary tract infection. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents*, 17: 245-251 [2001].  
 Shokeen, P., Bala, M. and Tondon, V. Evaluation of the activity of 16 medical plant against *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Int. J. Antimicrobial agent*, 33: 86 – 91 [2009].  
 Taneja, N., Khurana, S., and Sharma, M. Extended spectrum beta lactamase mediated resistance in urinary tract isolates of family *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Ind. J. Med. Res.* 116: 145-149 [2002].  
 Velickovic, D. T., Randjelovi N. V. and Ristic, M. Chemical constituents and antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extracts obtained from the flower, leaf and stem of *salvia officinalis* L. *J. Serb Chem. Soc* 68: 17-24 [2003].  
 WHO. Summary of WHO guidelines for the assessment of herbal medicines. *Herbal Gram*, 28: 13-14 [1993].  
 Vermani, K. and Garg, S. Herbal Medicines for sexually Transmitted disease and AIDS. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 80: 49-66 [2002].

**Tables**

Table 1: Biochemical characterization of *E. coli*

S. No	Biochemical Tests	<i>E. coli</i>
	Gram Staining	Gram Negative Bacilli
	Motility	Motile
	Indole	Positive
	Methyl red	Positive
	Voges Proskaur (VP)	Positive
	Citrate	Negative
	Catalase	Negative
	Urease	Negative
	Oxidase	Negative
	TSI	A / A, Gas

Table 2: Antibiotic resistance pattern

S. No	Antibiotic pattern	No. of Resistant Antibiotics	No. of Strain
1	Amp-Gen-Rif	3	1
2	Amp-Rif-Na	3	2
3	Amp-Gen-Rif-Na	4	2
4	Amp-Gen-Rif-Na-OfI	5	12
5	Rif	1	2
6	Amp-Rif-Na-OfI	4	1

Table 3: Antibiotic sensitivity test (ast) using plant extracts

S.No	PLANT EXTRACTS USED	Antimicrobial Activity of Plant Extracts				
		(mm)				
		<i>E. coli 11</i>	<i>E. coli 13</i>	<i>E. coli 16</i>	<i>E. coli 19</i>	<i>E. coli 20</i>
1	<i>Emblica officinalis</i>	23	-	-	22	24
2	<i>Curcuma longa</i>	-	-	-	-	-
3	<i>Allium sativum</i>	19	32	-	19	20
4	<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	-	-	-	-	-
5	<i>Terminalia chebula Retz</i>	26	27	-	26	25

Table 4: Minimal inhibitory concentration (mic) determination for *Emblica officinalis* and *Allium sativum*

DILUTION (mg)	PLANT PRODUCTS									
	1. <i>Emblica officinalis</i> (30 mg)					2. <i>Allium sativum</i> (30 mg)				
	<i>E. coli 11</i>	<i>E. coli 13</i>	<i>E. coli 16</i>	<i>E. coli 19</i>	<i>E. coli 20</i>	<i>E. coli 11</i>	<i>E. coli 13</i>	<i>E. coli 16</i>	<i>E. coli 19</i>	<i>E. coli 20</i>
30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	G	-	-	G
0.04	-	-	G	-	-	G	G	-	-	G
0.02	-	-	G	-	-	G	G	-	-	G

G → GROWTH. - → NO GROWTH.

Table 5: Minimal inhibitory concentration (mic) determination for *Terminalia chebula*

DILUTION	PLANT PRODUCTS				
	<i>Terminalia chebula Retz</i> (10mg)				
	<i>E. coli 11</i>	<i>E. coli 13</i>	<i>E. coli 16</i>	<i>E. coli 19</i>	<i>E. coli 20</i>
10.00	-	-	-	-	-
5.00	-	-	-	-	-
2.50	-	-	-	-	-
1.25	-	-	-	-	-
0.63	-	-	-	-	-
0.31	-	-	-	-	-
0.16	-	-	-	-	-
0.07	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6: Percentage of growth inhibition by *E. officinalis*, *A. sativum* and *T. chebula*

S. No	Strain no	Percentage of inhibition (µl)								
		<i>Emblica officinalis</i>			<i>Allium sativum</i>			<i>Curcuma longa</i>		
		25	50	100	25	50	100	25	50	100
1	11	79	88	100	87	90	100	83	100	100
2	13	75	81	100	82	92	100	83	100	100
3	16	73	84	100	85	95	100	82	100	100
4	19	75	83	100	83	96	100	81	100	100
5	20	75	84	100	80	97	100	85	100	100

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:**

<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

### **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

