

Effects of Defaunation on Rumen Fermentation Characteristics, Feed Intake, Digestibility and Animal Performance: A Review

Desta Tekle

Abergelle Agricultural Research Center, P.O.Box 44, Abyi Adi, Tigray, Ethiopia

Abstract

The objective of the review was to provide compiled information on the effects of defaunation on rumen fermentation characteristics, feed intake, digestibility and animal performance for development workers, researchers and other interested readers. Defaunation is the selective removal of protozoa from the rumen of animals. Based on the most of information reviewed defaunation decreases rumen pH, ruminal ammonia concentration, methane emissions, fibre carbohydrate digestibility and organic matter digestibility, and it increases total volatile fatty acids concentration, numbers of bacteria, feed conversion efficiency and weight gain but it did not affect dry matter intake.

Keywords: Bacteria, Fibre, Organic matter, Protozoa, Weight gain

1. Introduction

Ruminant animals are nutritionally dependent on the activity of microorganisms present in the rumen. This dependence is based on microbial activity that degrades plant fiber, uses nonprotein nitrogen, and transforms phytotoxins or toxins produced by plants. The rumen contains a complex microbial ecosystem comprising mainly strictly anaerobic bacteria and protozoa with small numbers of anaerobic fungi and facultatively anaerobic bacteria (Hespell, 1987). It is the rumen microbes that makes possible for ruminants to digest and metabolize feed materials that may otherwise be indigestible (Arse and Yosef, 2013). Any changes within this population can affect digestion and animal performance. The ciliate protozoa of the rumen have been classified into two groups depending upon their morphological characteristics, that holotrich and entodiniomorphid protozoa (Kamra, 2005). Furthermore, these can also be classified as soluble sugar utilizers, starch degraders and lignocellulose hydrolyzers.

Defaunation is the selective removal of protozoa from the rumen of animals. Such animals can be used to test the essentiality of the ciliate protozoa for ruminants. Defaunation also provides a method of growing selected types of protozoa in the rumen in attempts to study the contribution to the host of each component species of rumen microfauna (Abou Akkada et al., 1968). The objective of the review was to provide compiled information on the effects of defaunation on rumen fermentation characteristics, feed intake, digestibility and animal performance for development workers, researchers and other interested readers.

2. Effects of Defaunation

2.1. Effect on Rumen Fermentation Characteristics

Ruminal pH was higher in the defaunated animals (Grummer et al., 1983; Kamra, 2005). Eugene et al. (2004) noted that level of concentrate in the diet strongly interfered with the effect of defaunation on the pH in the rumen which is increased with a high level of concentrate whereas it decreased with a low level of concentrate. Grummer et al. (1983) reported that total volatile fatty acid concentration tended to be higher in the defaunated animals. However, Kurihara et al. (1968) and Maguy et al. (2004) reported that a total volatile fatty acid concentration is increased in the presence of protozoa. Grummer et al. (1983); Eugene et al. (2004) and Kamra (2005) documented that in absence of ciliate protozoa high propionate fermentation existed. Defaunation decreased the proportion of butyrate (Grummer et al., 1983; Eugene et al., 2004; Maguy et al., 2004). Newbold et al. (1986) and Kamra (2005) reported that defaunation increased mean ruminal concentrations of lactate. Kurihara et al. (1968) and Jouany (1996) reported that defaunation decreased ruminal ammonia concentration.

Newbold et al. (1986) reported that rumen pH, proportions of acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid remained virtually unchanged between the faunated and defaunated animals which was disagreed to the most of reviewed information. Number of bacteria in rumen fluid was higher in defaunated animals (Kurihara et al., 1968; Rowe, et al., 1985; Kamra, 2005). Defaunation decreased methane (CH₄) emissions (Hegarty, 1999; Kamra, 2005; Qin et al., 2012) but this varies with diet and it is greatest on high concentrate (Hegarty, 1999). According in vitro experiment study on cereal grains, Qin et al. (2012) reported that defaunation decreased pH of culture solution at 3 h, 6 h and 12 h incubation times, proportions of acetate at 6 h and 12 h, butyrate at 3 h, 6 h and 12 h, and while it increased proportion of propionate at 3 h, 6 h and 12 h, total VFA concentration and bacterial numbers at 6 h and 12 h.

2.2. Effects on Feed Intake and Digestibility

Defaunation did not affect dry matter intake (Fahmy et al., 1998; Eugene et al., 2004) but defaunation improved

feed conversion efficiency (Eugene et al., 2004; Kamra, 2005). Ushida et al. (1991) examined effect of defaunation and refaunation of the rumen on cellulose digestion in vitro with special reference to ammonia supply and he found that cellulose digestion was increased by the presence of either protozoa or ammonia. Jouany and Senaud (1979) noted the presence of ciliate protozoa in the rumen gave increase in the digestibility of lignocellulose and consequently of organic matter (OM). This increase was especially high in animals contaminated with rumen fauna including the strain *protozoa multivesiculatum*. Cellulolytic activity of rumen bacteria was modified by presence of ciliates, but in a manner which varied according to the main carbohydrate in the diet (Jouany and Senaud, 1979). It was greater when ciliates were present in animals on the “ cellulose ” diet and it was greatly reduced by inoculating one or more types of ciliates into the rumen of animals with an intake of readily fermentable carbohydrates inducing a lower pH of rumen content (Jouany and Senaud, 1979).

Santra and Jakhmola (1998) reported that protozoa being the major source of cellulase activity in the rumen, their elimination decreases fibre digestion in rumen. These authors also suggested that in most of the tropical countries, crop residue (rich in lignocelluloses) are the major source of feed to ruminants and so the presence of protozoa in rumen seems to be desirable. Maguy et al. (2004) also explained that defaunation decreased the total activity of fibrolytic polysaccharidase. Based on a quantitative meta-analysis was done by Eugene et al. (2004); defaunation decreased OM and cell wall carbohydrate digestibility whereas the duodenal nitrogen flow and duodenal microbial nitrogen were enhanced by defaunation. Belanche et al. (2007) also stated that defaunation decreased urinary N, showing a better efficiency of N use. Qin et al. (2012) recorded that defaunation increased in vitro effective cereal grain degradability of dry matter.

2.3. Effects on Animal Performance

Bird and Leng (1978) indicated that growth rates were increased by 43% in cattle on higher protein intake and where protozoa were removed. In cattle given a molasses-based diet, low in bypass protein, growth rates can be stimulated by defaunation without an effect on feed intake, the main effect apparently arising through an increased efficiency of utilization of feed (Bird and Leng, 1978). Similarly, Veira (1986) investigated that defaunation of young growing ruminants that were fed high energy diets, containing low levels of ruminal nondegradable protein, results in increased growth rate and feed efficiency. Fahmy et al (1998) reported that rate of body weight gain was affected by defaunation and supplementation with ruminally-protected amino acids. Defaunated sheep supplemented with amino acids gained weight most rapidly whereas faunated sheep fed the control diet gained weight most slowly. Fahmy et al. (1998) also noted the surprisingly slow growth of faunated animals fed the control diet may have been caused by protozoa in the rumen decreasing the flow of bacterial protein to the small intestine.

Based on a quantitative meta-analysis was applied on 90 publications and 169 comparisons by Eugene et al. (2004) defaunation increased average daily gain. The absence of rumen protozoa also result in increased rate of wool growth in lambs on low level of protein supplementation (Bird et al., 1979). Moate (1989) observed substantially increased yields of milk and milk protein in defaunated Friesian cows. Hegarty (1999) suggested that protozoa decrease the supply of protein available to the host animal and their elimination offers benefits in potentially increasing livestock production.

3. Conclusion

It can be concluded that based on the most of information reviewed in this topic, defaunation decreases rumen pH, ruminal ammonia concentration, methane production, fibre (cellulose) carbohydrate digestibility and organic matter digestibility. On the hand, defaunation increases total volatile fatty acids concentration, numbers of bacteria, feed conversion efficiency and average daily gain but it did not affect feed intake.

4. Acknowledgments

I am grateful to my teacher Professor Yadav K.R. as well as to my colleagues Mr. Tsgab Nerayo and Mr. Kbrom Gebremeskel for their valuable comments during preparation of this review. Moreover, I would like to thank Tigray Agricultural Research Institution for providing me study leave and salary during my MSc study period.

5. References

- Abou Akkada, A. R., Bartley, E. E., Berube, R. , Fina, L. R., Meyer, R. M., Henricks, D. and Julius, F. 1968. Simple method to remove completely ciliate protozoa of adult ruminants. *Applied Microbiology*, 16: 1475 - 1477.
- Arse Gebeyehu and Yosef Mekasha. 2013. Defaunation: effects on feed intake, digestion, rumen metabolism and weight gain. *Wudpecker Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2 (5):134 - 141.
- Belanche, A., Moorby, J. M., Newbold, C.J., Morgavi, D. and Doreau, M. 2007. Report on characterisation and role of the microbial ecosystem, specifically protozoa.
- Bird, SH., Hill, MK. and Leng, RA. 1979. The effects of defaunation of the rumen on the growth of lambs on

- low-protein-high-energy diets, 42 (1):81 - 87.
- Bird, SH. and Leng, RA. 1978. The effects of defaunation of the rumen on the growth of cattle on low-protein high-energy diets. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 40 (1):163 - 167.
- Eugene, M., Archimede, H. and Sauvant, D. 2004. Quantitative meta-analysis on the effects of defaunation of the rumen on growth, intake and digestion in ruminants. *Livestock production science*, 85: 81 - 97.
- Fahmy, W. G., Bahaa, A.O., Murphy, M.R., Nombekela, S.W., Corley III, R.N. and Zhu, J. S. 1998. Effect of defaunation and amino acid supplementation on growth and amino acid balance in sheep.
- Grummer, R.R., Staples C.R. and Davis C.L. 1983. Effect of defaunation on ruminal volatile fatty acids and pH of steers fed a diet high in dried whole whey. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 66 (8): 1738 – 174.
- Hegarty, R. S. 1999. Reducing rumen methane emissions through elimination of rumen protozoa. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 50 (8) 1321 - 1328.
- Hespell, R. B. 1987. Biotechnology and modifications of the rumen microbial ecosystem. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society (1987)*; Northern Regional Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, USDA, 1815 North University Street, Peoria, Illinois 61604, USA: 46,407 - 413.
- Jouany, J.P. and Senaud, J. 1979. Role of rumen protozoa in the digestion of food cellulosic materials. *Annales de Recherches Veterinaires*, 10 (2-3): 261 - 263.
- Jouany, JP., 1996. Effect of rumen protozoa on nitrogen utilization by ruminants. *Journal of Nutrition*, 126 (4):1335 - 46.
- Kamra, D. N. 2005. Rumen microbial ecosystem. Special section: Microbial Diversity; *Current Science*: 89 (1).
- Kurihara, Y., Margaret Eadie, J., Hobson, P. N. and Mann, S. O. 1968. Relationship between bacteria and ciliate protozoa in the sheep rumen. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 51:267 - 288.
- Maguy Eugène, Harry Archimède, Brigitte Michalet-Doreau and Gérard Fonty. 2004. Effects of defaunation on microbial activities in the rumen of rams consuming a mixed diet (fresh *Digitaria decumbens* grass and concentrate). *Animal Research*, 53: 187 - 200.
- Moate, PJ. 1989. Defaunation increased milk yield of dairy cows. (Short Communication) <http://livestocklibrary.com.au/handle/1234/1955>.
- Newbold, C. J., Chamberlain, D. G. and Williams A. G., 1986. The effects of defaunation on the metabolism of lactic acid in the rumen. *Journal of Science Food Agriculture*, 37: 1083 – 1090.
- Qin, W. Z., Li, C. Y., Kim, J. K., Ju, J. G. and Song, M. K. 2012. Effects of defaunation on fermentation characteristics and methane production by rumen microbes in vitro when incubated with starchy feed sources. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 25 (10): 1381 - 1388.
- Rowe, J. B., Davies, A. and Broome, A. W. J. 1985. Quantitative effects of defaunation on rumen fermentation and digestion in sheep. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 54: 105 - 119.
- Santra, A. and Jakhmola, R. C. 1998. Effect of defaunation on animal productivity. *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 1 (2): 103 - 116.
- Ushida, K. and Kojima, Y. 1991. Effect of defaunation and refaunation of the rumen on cellulolytic activity in vitro with or without ammonia supplementation. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 71 (3): 913 - 917.
- Veira, DM. 1986. The role of ciliate protozoa in nutrition of the ruminant. *Journal of Animal Science*, 63 (5):1547 - 60.