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Abstract
Studies on distribution, impact and phenology of Chrysanthemum segetum was carried out in Cheliya district. The aim was to study the distribution, impact and phenology of C. segetum. Assessment was done at regular interval of 5 km along gravile and main roadsides. Phenology of the weed was investigated under the natural conditions through regular visit. C. segetum was distributed in specific surveyed locations having different altitudinal ranges from 1993-2820m in study area. It was observed to infest crop land and grazing lands, but its infestation was found most serious at crop land than grazing lands. Scale of infestation of the weed varied among different locations depending up on time of its introduction in to the area. Animal dung, flood, crop seed, birds and farm machinery is found to be the major dispersal agents. Most of the farmers in the surveyed areas have recognized C. segtum invasion cause significant reduce in crop yield. C. segtum was ranked as first based on its negative impact on crop production and productivity. Hand weeding is the most common practice to manage C. segetum infestation in the study areas. The weed started to germinate at early May to late July even extended to August; flowering in early August to mid-September and the seeds fully ripened in September to December and even it extended to January at the study area. C. segetum produce high number of branch per plant (75.50) and averages of seeds per plant (11,551). The present investigation indicated that C. segetum significantly reduce crop productivity. Phenology of C. segetum was studied. Therefore, there is a need to develop scientifically proven control measure and contain the further spread of the weed to non-infested areas.
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Materials and Methods

Description of the study area
The study site was in West Shewa Zone of Oromiya regional state, Cheliya District. The district is located around 200 km away from Addis Ababa. This area is thought to be the first introduction site of this particular weed. Gedo is the major town of the Cheliya District, is located at 9.0834394 N latitudes and 37.383355 E longitudes with an altitude of 2849 m above sea level.

Assessment on distribution and impacts of C. segetum
A survey was conducted in 2015/16 during main cropping season. A different location of Cheliya district was examined to assess distribution and infestation level of C. Segetum. The major crops growing in the surveyed area are Teff, Barley, Wheat, Field pea, lien seed, and Niger seed. Assessment was conducted in different habitats: cultivated land, road side and grass lands to draw exhaustive inventory of C. Segetum infestation. Assessment was done at regular interval of 5 km along gravile and main roadsides. The infestation of C. Segetum was estimated by counting the number of plants per m² following the methods used by Taye et al. (1998) with some modifications. Four counts were taken per location and then converted in to scale of C. Segetum infestation: No infestation = no C. Segetum observed in the field, Very low infestation =1-10 C. Segetum infestation per m², Low infestation =11-20 C. Segetum infestation per m², Medium infestation =21-30 C. Segetum infestation per m², High infestation =31-40 C. Segetum infestation per m², Very high infestation = more than 41 C. Segetum infestation per m². The altitude, latitude, longitude and other data related to the weed plant was recorded using GPS.

Semi structured questionnaires were developed on farmer’s perception, on place and year of first observation, dispersal agents, land use type invaded by C. segtum, negative impacts, traditional management method attempted by farmers. A total of 60 local people (farmers) and development agents at the study area were interviewed considered for the questionnaire.

Study on phenology of C. segtum
Phenology of the weed was investigated under the natural conditions at the study area in 2015/16 during main cropping season. Undisturbed pilot sites with 10 m X 10 m at three different locations were selected for regular visit and recording phenology of the weed over a growth season. Data on time of germination, flowering, seed setting and maturity were recorded through regular visiting. Number of branches per stem, C. segetum height, root length, number of flower heads per plant, number of seeds per flower, and number of seeds per plant were collected from ten randomly selected C. segetum weeds. The means of each collected data were calculated and used.

Method of data analysis
Data on farmer’s perception were analyzed and summarized using frequency, relative frequency and percentage frequency which is described as fallow.

The relative frequency was calculated by dividing the frequency by the number of observations.
Thus: Relative frequency= frequency
Number of observations

The percentage frequency is found by multiplying each relative frequency value by 100
Thus: Percentage frequency=Relative frequency X100

Data on weed phenology such as: number of branches per stem, weed plant height, root length, number of flower heads per plant, number of seeds per flower, number of seeds per plant were calculated by using mean of ten samples.

\[ \text{Mean} = \frac{N_1 + N_2 + \ldots + N_n}{N_0} \]

Where, \( N_1 \)=number of sample1, \( N_2 \)=number of sample 2…………\( N_n \)=number of sample n

Results and Discussion

Distribution and Spread of C. segetum infestation in Cheliya District
Interview to farmers indicated that C. segetum was introduced in to the area intentionally in 1928s during Ethio Italy war for use as horse feed by Italian. Other said it was introduced during Durg regime imported from other country as a contaminant of grain food aid during famine and distributed with the grain. Know it is spreading to different location of the district at an alarming rate. However it has now emerged as one of the most aggressive weeds of crop land and is considered as a noxious weed because of its prolific seed production, fast spreading ability, strong competitiveness with crop, and its high number of branches which cannot be easily up rooted and cutting aggravate its infestation.

Survey of C. segetum in Cheliya district showed that it is highly distributed in specific surveyed locations
having different altitudinal ranges from 1993-2820 m in study area. It was observed to infest crop land and grazing lands but its infestation was found most serious at crop land than grazing lands.

Scale of infestation of *C. segetum* varied among different locations depending up on time of its introduction and spread in to the area. Very high infestation was recorded at Jara, Amart, Wagdi and Bayimo location, whereas high infestation was recorded at , Hula- qumbala, medium infestation was recorded Goda-waliyi and Hole, Low infestation was recorded at Chobi and Galone, very low infestation was recorded at Daraba, Tuluhantuta, Nya and Bere (Table 1).

Table 1. Infestation of *C. segetum* in different location of Cheliya District (2015/16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kebele Location</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>GPS position Lat./Long.</th>
<th>Infested habitat</th>
<th>Average weeds per m²</th>
<th>Scale of infestation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waliye Chelelaki Goda waliyi</td>
<td>2434</td>
<td>09023.87°N,037443.02°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondo Jara Chobi</td>
<td>2427</td>
<td>09012.90°N,037261.66°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chobi tulu chori Hole</td>
<td>2498</td>
<td>09031.22°N,037449.08°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chobi tulu chori Amart</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>09010.79°N,037404.32°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafiso halanga Hula qumbala Daraba</td>
<td>2686</td>
<td>09025.47°N,037263.10°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafiso halanga Hole</td>
<td>2643</td>
<td>09056.24°N,037447.59°E</td>
<td>Grass land</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helle Hula dhabi Tulu hantuta Nyaa</td>
<td>2748</td>
<td>09067.53°N,037402.75°E</td>
<td>Grass land</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hellel uoda guta</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>09101.47°N,037437.99°E</td>
<td>Grass land</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagidi kortu Wagi</td>
<td>2653</td>
<td>08595.27°N,037272.27°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagidi kortu Bayimo</td>
<td>2583</td>
<td>08585.94°N,037551.40°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulu nacha Galone</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>08753.44°N037272.60°E</td>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulu nacha Beree</td>
<td>2404</td>
<td>08574.55°N,037274.12°E</td>
<td>Grass land</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: *Scale of *C. segetum* infestation: Very low infestation =1-10 *C. segetum* infestation per m², Low infestation =11-20 *C. segetum* infestation per m², Medium infestation =21-30 *C. segetum* infestation per m², High infestation =31-40 *C. segetum* infestation per m², Very high infestation = more than 41 *C. segetum* infestation per m²

Community perception on Dispersal agents, impact and traditional management of *C. segetum*

Dispersal agents:
Responses from the community indicated that *C. segetum* is spreading by animal dung, flood, crop seed, birds and farm machinery this indicating that the communities have awareness on the mechanism of spread (Table 2). Respondents of the study area indicated that the seeds can pass through the digestive system of horse without loss of viability and also seed has been found as a contaminant of cereal seeds. Literature also supported that *C. seedling* have been raised from the dropping of various birds (7). Knowing the mechanism of spread is helpful for controlling further spread. In the surveyed area, infestation of *C. segetum* varied from location to location depending up on time of its introduction and spread in to the area.

Table 2. Farmers perception on dispersal agent of *C. segetum* (n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispersal agent</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Relative frequency</th>
<th>Percentage frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal dung</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By crop seed</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm machineries</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Land use type invaded by *C. segetum*:
According to the respondent the density of *C. segetum* was observed to be high in cultivated land than grazing land (Table 3). This could be due to the fact that the seed dissemination was facilitated through crop seed and
farm machinery as well as it prefers cultivated lands than grazing land.

Table 3. Land use type invaded by C. segetum (n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use type</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Relative frequency</th>
<th>Percentage frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated land</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing land</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Negative impacts:
The entire of the respondent mentioned that C. segetum invasion reduce crop yield. About 47 % of the respondent’s farmers perceive C. segetum invasion increasing cost of production. Reduction in crop quality was also perceived by 17% of the farmers. Harvesting problem, reduction of soil fertility and consumption of farmer’s time were stated by 12% of the farmers respectively (Table 4). This indicated that most of the farmers in the surveyed areas have recognized, C. segetum invasion reduce crop yields. However the perception of farmers on the impact of the weed on harvesting, soil fertility and farmers were rear. But farmers in the study area mention that due to the strength of weed injure farmers hand due to difficulty of uprooting.

Table 4. Negative Impacts of C. segetum (n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Negative impacts</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Relative frequency</th>
<th>Percentage frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield reduction</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase cost of production</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce crop quality</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvesting problem</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced soil fertilities/land degradation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consume farmers time</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to farmers perception C. segetum was categorized as the most important weed species having negative impact on crop production followed by Snowdina polstachiya, Raphanus rafanistrum, and Gizotia scabra respectively on the study areas (Table 5). This result indicated that C. segetum was the most serious weed of crop land which causes significant yield reduction in the study area.

Table 5. Ranks of weed species based on its negative impact on crop production (n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weed type mentioned</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Relative frequency</th>
<th>Percentage frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. segtum</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowdina polstachiya</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphanus rafanistrum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gizotia scabra</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Traditional management method attempted by farmers:
According to the respondents the most frequently applied control method was hand pulling. However, other management practice like crop rotation; fallowing, dry season plowing, sowing clean seed and burning were rarely practiced (Table 6). Therefore, the existing farmer’s sole management option is hand weeding, though it has its own limitations. The weed cannot be easily up rooted especially during rainy season due to its strength of the stem and found difficult to control using existing farm practices including 2,4- D herbicide. Therefore, there is a need to develop appropriate measure to contain the further spread of this aggressive weed in non-infested area and looking for scientifically proven weed management technologies.

Table 6. Management measure applied by farmers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control technique</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Relative frequency</th>
<th>Percentage frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repeated hand pulling</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry season ploughing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop rotation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallowing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sowing clean seed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phenology of C. segtum
Germination of C. segtum started after first ploughing at early May to late July even extended to August. Flowering started appearing in early August to mid-September. The seeds fully ripened in September to December and even it extended to January at Cheliya condition.

The mean of C. segtum height, root length, number of branches, number of flower head and number of seeds per flower heads counted in three different locations. As indicated in the table (7) the highest mean height (78.7cm) and mean number of branches (76) were recorded at Tulu wagdi. Research done in North America indicated that the weed can grow up to 80 cm (5). However the highest mean root length (16.5 cm) and number of seeds per flower heads (243) were recorded at Wagidi kortu location. This indicated that C. segetum height,
root length, number of branches, flower head per plant and number of seeds vary from location to location.

Study result shows that averages of 11,502 $C. \text{segetum}$ seeds per plant have been recorded. This indicated that $C. \text{segetum}$ produce high number of branches and seeds per plants. Therefore, managing $C. \text{segetum}$ weed at early stage before seed setting and clean seed sowing is very important to reduce further spread.

Table 7. Mean height, root length stem branches, number of flower per heads and number of seeds per flower head of $C. \text{segetum}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Height (Cm)</th>
<th>Root length (Cm)</th>
<th>Number branches/plant</th>
<th>Number of flower heads/plant</th>
<th>Number of seeds per flower heads</th>
<th>Number of Seed Per plant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wagidi kortu</td>
<td>73.30</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>25.90</td>
<td>243.2</td>
<td>6298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulu wagidi</td>
<td>78.70</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>75.50</td>
<td>102.40</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>23552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chobi tulu chori</td>
<td>52.70</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>32.80</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>5577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>41.93</td>
<td>54.03</td>
<td>212.73</td>
<td>11551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion
The result of the present study provide a base line information of the invasion and spread of $C. \text{segetum}$ weeds on crop land and grazing lands of Cheliya district. $C. \text{segetum}$ was found most serious weed at crop land than grazing lands. The weed produces large number of branches and seeds per plant. Managing $C. \text{segetum}$ weed at early stage before seed setting and sowing clean seed is very important to reduce further spread. Its invasion is expanding at fast rate and found difficult to control using existing farmer’s practices including 2, 4-D herbicide application. The present investigation indicated that $C. \text{segetum}$ significantly reduce crop productivity. Therefore, there is a need to develop scientifically proven control measure and contain the further spread of the weed to non-infested areas.

Acknowledgments
We gratefully acknowledge Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research Ambo Plant Protection Research Center for providing the facilities required for the study. We would also like to thank Ambo Plant Protection Weed Science team for his unreserved technical support and for his assistance during the field work.

References
5. Flora of North America, Glebionis segetum (Linnaeus) Fourreau (1869) Corn marigold