

## Performance of Yankasa Rams Fed *Andropogon gayanus* (Gamba Grass) Hay Supplemented with *Faidherbia albida* (Acacia) Pods

I. Ajiji<sup>1</sup>, H.D. Nyako<sup>2</sup> and S.A. Ashom<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Health and Production, Plateau State College of Agriculture, Garkawa, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Animal Production and Range Management, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria. [iajiji@yahoo.com](mailto:iajiji@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

A 91-day feeding trial was conducted to determine the effect of supplementing gamba grass (*Andropogon gayanus*) hay with acacia (*Faidherbia albida*) pods on the performance of Yankasa rams. Twelve yankasa rams, aged between 6 – 8 months with average live weight of 17kg were subjected to four dietary treatments, each consisting of three replicates in a randomized complete block design. The four dietary treatments were T<sub>1</sub> (Gamba grass hay + 0% acacia pods), T<sub>2</sub> (Gambas grass hay + 10% acacia pods), T<sub>3</sub> (Gamba grass hay + 20% acacia pods) and T<sub>4</sub> (Gamba grass hay + 30% acacia pods). The result showed that rams fed T<sub>1</sub> recorded the least feed intake and weight gain than T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> which were supplemented with acacia pods. The live weight gain of Yankasa rams in the supplemented diets were not significantly (P<0.05) different. The water intake of the rams was not significantly (P<0.05) affected by the acacia pods supplementation. The nutrient digestibility was significantly (P<0.05) affected by the acacia pods supplementation. Rams fed supplemented diets had significantly (P<0.05) improved nutrient digestibility than those fed the unsupplemented diet. The study revealed that gamba grass hay when supplemented with acacia pods at 20% DM intake would significantly (P < 0.05) lead to increased live weight gain of Yankasa rams.

**Keywords:** Performance, Yankasa rams, Gamba grass hay, Acacia pods

### Introduction

One of the major factors limiting the productivity of small ruminants in developing countries is over dependence on low digestibility feeds which during the dry season cannot meet even the maintenance requirements of these animals (Schoenian, 2011). Jayasuriya (2002) categorized these feeds resources as high fibre low protein feeds having organic matter digestibility between 30-45% and they include native grasses, crop residues and fibrous agro-industrial waste products. They form the bulk of feeds consumed by small ruminants in tropical countries because they are produced in large quantities and are relatively cheap since they are not competed for by man or monogastric animals

According to Leng (1997), the poor condition of livestock in the tropics is more likely as a result of inefficient digestion in the rumen and inefficient utilization of the nutrients absorbed from low quality feeds. Several attempts which have been made to improve the nutritive quality of this class of livestock feeds include physical, chemical and biological treatments, use of feeds additives as well as supplementation with non-protein nitrogen sources such as urea and molasses (Adegbola, 2002). The possibility of using urea as a cheap readily available source of nitrogen in ruminant diets led to the expectation of rapid improvement in ruminant productivity in developing countries. However, for various reasons these technologies have not been widely adopted as expected (Owen and Jayasuriya, 1989).

In recent years there has been a growing interest in many tropical countries to identify potentially important feeds sources among shrubs and trees for inclusion in the ruminant diet to provide browse (shoots, fruits and pods) that is high in protein to supplement the available low protein forage. This has been recognized as one of the most effective means of improving animal performance in small holder livestock production (Gworgwor *et al.*, 2006). Acacia (*Faidherbia albida*) is one of the browse plants that provide valuable forage resources especially in the arid and semi-arid savannah of Nigeria with a long dry season between 6- 7 months. The crude protein content of *Faidherbia albida* and other species of acacia is in the range of 13-24.94% and crude fibre is in the range of 11.84-38.90% (Mohammed and Kibon, 2004; Mohammed, 2006; Alexander, 2002).

The use of appropriate supplements and basal diets is a fundamental component of the feeding strategy in order to balance nutrients for improved performance (Ndlovu, 1991).

Thus, this research investigates the effects of graded levels of *Faidherbia albida* pods supplementation on the performance of yankasa rams fed basal diet of *Andropogon gayanus* hay.

## Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the Research and Teaching Farm of the Department of Animal Science and Range Management, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Adamawa State. Twelve (12) Yankasa Rams which were within the age range of 6 -8 months with an average live weight of 17.5kg were used for the study, which lasted for 91 days. A Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) was used comprising of four (4) dietary treatment groups to which the animals were assigned to give three rams per treatment.

The experimental diets consisted of gamba grass hay supplemented with graded levels of acacia pods as follows T<sub>1</sub>( Gamba grass hay +0% acacia pods), T<sub>2</sub> ( Gamba grass hay + 10% acacia pods), T<sub>3</sub>( Gamba grass hay +20% acacia pods and T<sub>4</sub> (Gamba grass hay +30% acacia pods).The rations were given at 8:00am and 4:00pm daily. Water was provided *ad libitum*. Feed intake was determined by the difference between the amount of feed offered and that which was left over the next day. Rams were weighed weekly. Digestibility study was conducted after the feeding trial in improvised metabolic crates which allow for separate collection of faeces and urine. The data was analysed using Analysis of Variance ( ANOVA) of a Randomised Complete Block Design ( RCBD) experiment ( Steel and Torries, 1980), using a computer package-Genstat Release 10.3DE (PC/Windows7).

## Results and Discussions

The proximate composition of the basal diet (*Andropogon gayanus* hay) and the supplement (*Faidherbia albida* pods) is presented in table 1.

**Table 1: Chemical Composition of the Basal Diet and Supplement Diet**

Constituent (%)	Basal Diet (Gamba grass hay)	Supplement (Acacia pods)
Moisture	8.95	9.00
Dry matter (DM)	91.05	91.00
Crude protein (CP)	9.48	16.65
Crude Fibre (CF)	47.80	20.00
Ether Extract (EE)	0.71	0.55
Ash	16.91	5.71
Nitrogen free extract (NFE)	25.10	57.09
Calcium	0.27	0.38
Phosphorus	0.07	0.05
ME (Kcal/kg)*	1299.32	2669.17

\*Metabolizable Energy (ME) = 37 x %CP+81x%EE+35.5x%NFE (Panzenga, 1985 )

The result showed that the basal diet (gamba grass hay) contain 8.95% moisture, 91.05% DM, 9.48% CP, 47.80% CF, 0.71% EE, 16.91% ash, 25.10% NFE, 0.27% Ca, 0.07% P and ME of 1299.32Kcal/kg while the supplement (acacia pods) contain 9.0% moisture, 91.0% DM, 16.65%CP, 20.0% CF, 0.55% EE, 5.71% ash, 57.09%NFE, 0.38% Ca,0.05% P and ME of 2669.17Kcal/kg. The DM of 91.05% of the basal diet was close to the value (92.40%) obtained by Ibrahim (2007).The author obtained a higher CP (11.06%) than the value of 9.48% obtained in the present study. This may be due to the lower CF (33%) value of the gamba grass compared to the higher CF (47.8%) obtained in the present investigation. The NFE (25.10%) value was lower than the value (50.20%) reported by Nyako (2010). The values for DM, CP and CF (91.05%,9.48% and 47.8%) obtained for the chemical composition of the gamba grass hay were generally higher than the values (88.5% DM, 6.1%CP and 35.1% CF) earlier reported by Toledo *et al.* (1990). The ME value (1299.32Kcal/kg) of the gamba grass hay was close to the value (1261Kcal/kg) reported by Wilson (2001).

The values for DM, CP, CF and NFE (91%, 16.65%, 20% and 57.09% respectively) obtained for the chemical composition of the acacia pods were lower than the values ( 97.93%DM,18.0%CP and 64.40% NFE) reported by Gworgwor *et al* (2006). The DM value of 91% for the supplement was the same with the value (91%) reported by Nyako (2010). However, the CP (15%) and NFE (50.0%) were lower than the values for CP (16.65%) and NFE (57.09%) obtained in the present study. The CP (16.65) of the acacia pods was similar to the value (16%) reported by Gohl (2002) and falls within the range (13-24.94%) earlier reported by Mohammed (2006). Similarly, the CF value (20%) was within the range (11.84-38.9%) reported by Mohammed and Kibon (2004). The higher crude fibre in the basal diet and its low content in the supplement are in consonance with the findings of Mohammed (2006). The author reported that the crude fibre content of grasses is generally higher than those of browse plants even when harvested at the same time. The ME value (2669.1Kcal/kg) of the acacia

Pods was lower than the value (2956Kcal/kg) reported by Wilson (2001). The high energy and protein values of the acacia pods clearly shows that it is a good supplement to a basal feed of gamba grass hay.

Table 2 shows the feed intake, water consumption and average live weight gain of yankasa rams fed *Andropogon gayanus* (Gamba grass) hay supplemented with *Faidherbia albida* (Acacia pods).

**Table 2: Feed Intake, Water Consumption and Average Live Weight Gain of Yankasa Rams Fed *Andropogon gayanus* (Gamba Grass) Hay Supplemented with *Faidherbia albida* (Acacia) Pods**

Parameter	Treatment				LSD
	T1 0%	T2 10%	T3 20%	T4 30%	
Total feed intake (g/d/h)	303.58	384.00	429.80	428.90	69.8*
Daily water intake (ltr)	2.37	2.40	2.44	2.46	NS
Initial live weight (kg)	17.67	17.67	17.50	17.50	NS
Final live weight (kg)	18.68	21.63	21.88	21.75	0.098**
Average daily live weight gain (kg)	0.011	0.044	0.048	0.046	0.009**

- T<sub>1</sub> = Gamba grass hay only
- T<sub>2</sub> = Gamba grass hay + 50 grammes acacia pods.
- T<sub>3</sub> = Gamba grass hay + 100 grammes acacia pods.
- T<sub>4</sub> = Gamba grass hay + 150 grammes acacia pods.
- LSD = Least significant difference
- NS = Not significant.
- \* = Significant (P < 0.05)
- \*\* = significant (P < 0.01)

The result shows that the daily feed intake of rams was significantly (P<0.05) different among the treatments. Rams fed T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> diets had significantly (P<0.05) higher dry matter intake than those on the control (T<sub>1</sub>). The feed intake increases as the supplementation level increase from 0-150grammes. This finding is in agreement with Ibrahim and Tibin (2003) that feeds supplemented with acacia pods generally improves dry matter (DM) intake than un-supplemented diet. The result of feed intake clearly demonstrated that supplementation of acacia pods to gamba grass hay significantly (P<0.05) increase feed intake.

The live weight gain of rams in the supplemented diets (T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> & T<sub>4</sub>) were significantly (P<0.05) higher than the un-supplemented diet (T<sub>1</sub>). The study clearly demonstrated that supplementation of acacia pods to gamba grass hay has an advantage in weight gain of yankasa rams over feeding gamba grass hay alone. This finding is also in agreement with Ibrahim (2007) in an investigation on the effect of level of cowpea vines supplementation to yankasa sheep fed a basal diet of gamba grass and observed that rams fed supplemented diets had significantly (P<0.05) higher daily weight gain of between 80-93 grammes than rams fed only gamba grass which recorded the least daily weight gain of 51.4grammes. The performance of the rams on the supplemented diets agrees with the assertion of Vazquez *et al*(2000) that energy-protein balance of a ration enhances live weight gain.

The daily water intake of the rams showed no significant (P<0.05) difference across the treatments. The result agrees with the water intake range of 1.75-2.65 litres reported by Osuhor *et al* (2004) for rams fed a basal diet of maize stover-lablab mixture supplemented with different levels of concentrates. The average daily water intake of 2.37-2.46 litres per day of the rams was also within the range of 2-3l/d reported by Miller *et al* (2006). Table 3i shows the nutrient digestibility of yankasa rams fed *Andropogon gayanus* (Gamba grass) hay supplemented with *Faidherbia albida* (Acacia) pods.

**Table 3: Nutrient Digestibility of Yankasa Rams Fed *Andropogon gayanus* (Gamba Grass) Hay Supplemented with *Faidherbia albida* (Acacia) Pods**

Parameter	Treatment				LSD
	T1 0%	T2 10%	T3 20%	T4 30%	
Dry Matter digestibility (%)	49.78	50.82	50.86	50.75	0.537*
Crude protein digestibility (%)	39.07	40.17	47.86	45.56	0.307*
Crude fibre digestibility (%)	52.70	56.89	57.79	56.98	0.574*
Nitrogen free extract digestibility (%)	48.52	51.05	51.86	51.89	0.287**

The result showed that the dry matter digestibility (DMD), crude protein digestibility (CPD), crude fibre digestibility (CFD) and nitrogen free extract digestibility (NFED) were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different across the treatments. The digestibility values shows that ram fed supplemented diets (T2, T3 & T4) had better digestibility than rams on the un-supplemented diet (T<sub>1</sub>). Digestibility increases as the level of supplementation increases from 0-150 grammes with the acacia pods. Quala *et al.* (2011) reported that the activity of ruminal microbes is improve by nitrogen in supplemented diets leading to high digestibility. Also Tolera and Sundstol (2000) reported that digestibility increases as the supplementation level increase for sheep fed a basal diet of maize stover supplemented with different levels of *Desmodium intortu* hay. The improved digestibility in this study may be attributable to the incorporation of acacia pods with a high NFE (57.09%) and CP (16.65%).

In conclusion, supplementation of gamba grass hay with acacia pods generally gave a significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher dry matter intake and live weight gain than the un-supplemented diet. Therefore, it is recommended to feed gamba grass hay with 20% supplementation of dry matter intake to yankasa rams. This will improve the nutritive value of gamba grass hay in the dry season when roughages are of poor quality and in short supply.

## REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemist. Washington D.C: William Tryd Press Richmond Virginia. pp. 214-230.
- Adebayo, A.A. (1999). Application of Agro-Climatology to Agricultural Planning in Adamawa State. *Journal Applied. Science and Management*. 1: 69-76.
- Gworgwor, Z.A.; Mbahi, T.F. and Mbaya, Y.P. (2006). Semi-arid trees and Shrub Fodders as livestock feed in North-eastern Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Tropical Agriculture*. 6:263-271.
- Ibrahim, B.G. (2007). Supplementary Feeding of Cowpea Vines to Yankasa Sheep Offered a Based Diet of Guinea Grass. Unpublished M.Tech Thesis, submitted to the Department of Animal Science and Range Management, MAUTECH, Yola. Pp 67.
- Ibrahim, I. and Tibin, I.M. (2003). Feeding Potential of *Faidherbia albida* Ripe Pods for Sudan Desert Goats. *Scientific Journal of King Faisal University* 4(1):137-144.
- Miller, W.C. and Robertson, E.D.S. (2006). Practical Animal Husbandry. Oliver Boyd Ltd. Edinburgh, Great Britain. 590-610.
- Mohammed, A.I. (2006). Performance of Yankasa Rams Feed on *Ficus sycomorus* Fodder supplemented with Different levels of maize Bran. M.Tech. Thesis, Department of Animal Science and Range Management, MAUTECH, Yola. 42pp.
- Mohammed, I.D. and Kibon, A. (1994). Feed intake weight, gain and digestibility of Bornu White goats fed varying levels of dry *Acacia albida* pods. Paper presented at the 1<sup>st</sup> International conference on Research for Development in the Arid zone of Nigeria, 19<sup>th</sup>-21<sup>th</sup> June, 1994. University of Maiduguri, Nigeria.

Ndlovu, L.R. (1991). Complementary of forages in ruminant digestion. Theoretical consideration. In: J.E.S. Stares, A.N. Said and J.A. Kategile (Eds). The complementary of feed resources for animal production in Africa. Proceedings of the joint feed resources Network Workshop held in Gaborone, Botswana, 4-8march, 1991. ILCA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp1-25. Nigeria: Heinemann Educational Book Nigeria Plc. Pp 167-169

Nyako, H.D. (2010). Utilisation of Local Feed Resources for Improved Small Ruminant Production in Adamawa State. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, submitted to the Department of Animal Science and Range Management, MAUTECH, Yola. 174p

Osinowo, O. A. (1992). Problems and Prospects for the Development of Small Ruminants in Nigeria. In: The Nigeria Livestock Industry: Problems and Prospects. Proceedings of a workshop held at Abuja, 26-27, February, 1992, FDLPCS, Abuja, Nigeria.

Osuhor, C.U.; Tanko, R.J.; Dung, D.D.; Muhammad, I.R. and Odunze, A.C. (2004). Water Consumption of Yankasa Rams Fed a Basal Diet of Maize Stover-lablab Mixture. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 3(3): 154-157

Quala, I.D.; Yahaya, M.S.; Midau, A.; Aji, S.B.; Muktar, Y.M. and Nyako, H.D. (2011). Assessment of the Digestibility of intercropped sorghum stover with legume (Groundnuts). *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*. 11(3):45-54

Schoenian, S. (2009). Small ruminant Information Sheet. Retrieved Oct. 18, 2011 from: <http://www.sheepandgoat.com/articles>

[Schoenian](#) S. (2011). An Introduction to Feeding Small Ruminants. Retrieved Oct. 5, 2011 from [Amberwavespygmygoats.com](http://Amberwavespygmygoats.com)

Steel, R. G. D. and Torries, J. H. (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. London: McGraw-Hill Book Company. pp195-233.

Toledo, J.M.; Vera, R; Lascano, C. and Lenne, J.M. (1990). *Andropogon gayanus* kunth, A Grass for Tropical Acid Soils. Colombia: CIAT, Cali Ltd.

Tolera, A. and Sundstol, F. (2000). Supplementation of graded levels of *Desmodium intortum* hay to sheep fed maize stover harvested at three stages of maturity. *Animal Science Technology*. 75:165-171.

Vazquez, O.P. and Smith, T.R. (2000). Factors affecting pasture intake and total dry matter intake in grazing dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 83:2301-2309.

Wilson, R.T. (1991). Small Ruminant Production and the Small Ruminant Genetic Resource in Tropical Africa. Animal Production and Health Paper 88, Rome: FAO.

Wilson, R.T. (2001). Nutritional value of some common browse and fodder plants at Karamaja, Uganda. *African Agricultural Journal* 34:180-191.

Yahaya, M.S.; Kibon, A.; Aregheore, E.M.; Abdulrazak, S.A.; Takahashi, J. and Matsuoka, S. (2001). The evaluation of nutritive value of three tropical browse species for sheep using in-vitro and in-vivo digestibility. *Asian-Australasia Journal of Animal Science*. 1494:496-500.

Zemmelink, G. (1999). Utilization of Poor quality roughages in Northern Guinea Savannah zone In: J. K. Loosli V. A. Oyenuga and G. M. Babatunde (eds), Proceedings of International Symposium on Animal Production in the Tropics held at the University of Ibadan, 26<sup>th</sup> - 29<sup>th</sup> March, 1997. Ibadan, Nigeria: Heinemann Educational Book Nig. PLC. Pp. 167-169.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

## CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Recent conferences: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/>

## IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

