

The Economic Influence of Natural Disaster and Climate Change in Sub-Saharan African Economic Development

Ehizuelen Michael Mitchell Omoruyi^{1*}, Suinyuy Derrick Ngoran²

1. School of Economics, Xiamen University, China

2. College of Environment and Ecology, Xiamen University, China

* E-mail of the corresponding author: mmacroe@yahoo.com

Abstract

This article looks at the links among natural disasters, economic development and climate change. It attempts to outline a framework for thinking about these links. The work summarizes the limited knowledge of the long term economic impact of natural disasters. It is essential to draw links among disasters, conflicts, resource management, and other transmission channels to develop an appropriate response to natural disasters. The paper argues that African governments, along with their development partners, need to develop a more robust adaptation and response capability to disasters as part of their overall development planning. The work makes the case for more market-based financing mechanisms than have been used hitherto and for an emphasis on forecasting research. It also argues for more work on the links between climate change and disasters and a novel way of observing the disaster resilience as a continuum to development plans.

Keywords: Climate change, Economic development, Natural disaster, Sub-Saharan Africa

1 Introduction

Globally, the dangers connected to natural hazards have increased sharply in recent decades. Based on constant dollars, the costs of natural disasters between 1990 and 1999 exceeded US\$650 billion in material losses, which is more than 15 times higher than the cost from 1950 to 1959 (IEG, 2006). Recently, two billion people were affected by disasters in one way or another. The issue of natural hazards is an increasing hindrance to the development of numerous developing nations, especially but not exclusively in Sub-Saharan Africa, and need to be addressed. The question of how much of the increasing vulnerability to disasters is caused by human actions and how much is brought about by nature has been a subject of debate in the academic community. This article argues that hazards are caused by nature but disasters are largely manmade, and that development and disasters are closely interlinked. Moreover, while great attention is given to very visible cataclysmic events like earthquakes, floods, and tsunamis; we must become more aware that disasters also often result from the slow buildup of human pressure on resources, which in turn is affected by choices made in strategies for economic development.

Before talking about the analysis, the concept of *vulnerability* needs to be clarified. Vulnerability to natural hazard can be decomposed into two main components: exposure to shocks and resilience. The degree of *exposure to shocks* is a function of the frequency and size of natural hazards that affect the population and the proportion of the population affected by the hazard; that proportion is, in part, determined by choices people make about where they live. The degree of exposure is therefore the result of the frequency and intensity of natural hazards, which are mainly exogenous, and where people choose to live. In some cases the choice is voluntary—for instance, people prefer to live in coastal areas or along riverbeds. In other cases the choices are involuntary, as when population pressure drives people to live in marginal areas. *Resilience* is the capacity to cope with natural disasters, including both preparedness (land and building codes and better forecasting) and response to disasters (such as financing mechanisms and post disaster relief).

There is as well an increasing proof of links among conflict, security, and disasters, with the pressure on resources often leading to the increased probability of conflict. Although much focus has been on the scramble for natural assets—including diamonds, oil, and forest resources—as the source of conflict, conflict and insecurity have also arisen from the slow buildup of disasters that result from lack of resources, and sometimes from the increased vulnerability seen following a disaster. This is evident in some of the conflicts in Central Africa and more recently in the Darfur region of the Sudan, where the rebellion began in the 1970s, right after Africa's greatest famine. Given the multiple interconnections among disasters, security, and economic development, a much more comprehensive view of the links is a key element to adapting long-term strategies.

Lastly, Africa is being affected by choices made by others on the nature of economic development through the impact of these choices on climate change. Although Africa itself is not a major contributor to climate change, it is one of the areas that is most vulnerable to its effects. There is now growing evidence of the link between climate change and disasters. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has issued some of the most consistent reports on the evolution of the climate. According to that organization's predictions, despite considerable uncertainty, it is very likely that temperatures and sea levels will continue to rise, thus increasing the frequency of extreme events. The IPCC expects the following impacts of climate change to be

seen in Africa: (i) decreased grain yields (ii) effects on major rivers such as decreased average runoff and water availability (iii) Exacerbated desertification (iv) An increase in droughts, floods, and other extreme events (v) Significant extinction of plant and animal species (vi) Coastal erosion and inundation caused by rises in sea levels.

According to Stern (2007) recent comprehensive review of the impact of climate change, the author estimates a global impact on economic activity that is much higher than previously predicted, including by the IPCC. According to the author report, in the long run, “business as usual” could result in a permanent reduction of global gross domestic product (GDP) of as much as 20 percent. Moreover, the review states that poorer regions in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia will be more severely affected than better-off areas. For Sub-Saharan Africa, the review summarizes the impact as follows: Sub-Saharan Africa will be under severe pressure from climate change. Many vulnerable regions, embracing millions of people, are likely to be adversely affected by climate change, including the mixed arid-semiarid systems in the Sahel, arid-semiarid rangeland systems in parts of eastern Africa, the systems in the Great Lakes region of eastern Africa, the coastal regions of eastern Africa, and many of the drier zones of southern Africa (Stern 2007, p. 104).

The Stern review is the subject of intense debate. Some critics argue that climate change and its links to human activity are subject to wide margins of error and that Stern has taken the most extreme scenarios and low discount rates to estimate high costs in the future and to increase the present value of very long-term benefits. This debate will intensify in the coming years. Although the direct and incontrovertible link between the increase in disasters and climate change is still debated, the links between climate change and certain categories of disasters, particularly hydro meteorological events, are being more closely scrutinized. Even if we accept that the links between climate change and economic activity are subject to wide margins of error, we can observe that some of the changes in the increased intensity and number of disasters have already begun. A simple way to observe this is to pay attention to the increasing number of disasters in Sub-Saharan Africa.

The purpose of this article is to highlight the interactions between development and vulnerability to natural hazard in order to foster research that will improve future decision making. The second section of the paper reveals the limited knowledge of the long-term economic impact of natural disasters. Based on recent theory, a number of scenarios are proposed that may represent the long-term impact of a disaster on GDP. These scenarios have yet to be tested. The third section stresses the reciprocal influence of development and vulnerability, highlighting the possibility of a vicious circle: a highly vulnerable poor country may suffer frequent disasters that preclude development gains, and thus prevent improved resilience. The fourth section relates three case studies that provide examples of either poorly or well-managed disasters. The fifth section provides growing evidence of the link between climate change and disasters. These cases, combined with theory and other evidence, lead to the next section, which discusses better mechanisms for coping with natural disasters. The emphasis here is on better financial mechanisms and better measures for preparedness that have been overlooked. Finally, we end with some ideas for further research on the topic. This research is needed to better develop some of the linkages identified in this paper, along with country case studies to help us better understand how development choices have led to differing levels of vulnerability.

2. Review of Literature on Climate Impacts on Economic Development in Sub-Saharan Africa

In this section we explore the existing literature that addresses the effects of climate on economic growth in SSA. The sense of the literature varies with the scale of the study. In general, the study find climate to be a dominant and negative effect on economic growth in SSA. The few studies that investigate climate and economic growth support the views that were put forward by numerous scholars. Cross-country analyses tend to focus on global datasets and highlight the role of institutions in addition to, or in opposition to, the effect of climate, although climate is poorly instrumented in most studies.

Several studies have investigated the effects of climate on economic growth in individual countries or at the household scale. Some general conclusions may be drawn. The findings of these studies support the hypothesis that climate variability has a significant effect on economic progress in the locations studied. In general, the results provide evidence that rainfall variability contributes to reduced economic productivity and increased poverty. Rural households have limited means for managing covariant risks¹ such as those associated with climate variability. In addition, rainfall variability contributes to risk aversion in farmers that leading to investments that are less profitable than would be the case in the absence of this climate-induced risk aversion. The sum of the studies paints hydro climatic variability as a major source of risk that remains unsuccessfully mitigated.

In a study of six villages in India, Rosenzweig and Binswanger (1993) did an analysis that involve data from about 10 years to investigate household wealth, weather risk as measured by monsoon onset date, and the composition and profitability of agricultural investments. The authors find that farmers’ investment portfolios are influenced by their risk aversion, wealth and rainfall variability, resulting in less profitable investments. The authors find that farmers are often successful in compensating for idiosyncratic risks, but are less successful

managing covariant risk, such as due to rainfall variability that affects an entire village. This unmanaged climate risk contributes to lower incomes and greater income inequality. According to a report from Dercon (2001) who studied data from six villages in Ethiopia between 1989 and 1995, the author report states that finding the occurrence of rainfall shocks had large negative effects on income growth and was the primary reason households fell into poverty. The analysis found that growth was reduced by one fifth and that there would be 15 percent less poverty in these villages (as measured in the study) without rainfall shocks. A study of farm household vulnerability and climate adaptation in Cameroon (Molua, 2002) found rainfall variability to be a major cause of income fluctuation and that farmers were actively changing farming practices to adapt to perceived changes in climate and climate information, although the climate information was often provided from nonscientific sources.

On the other hand, Brown et al. (2011) summarized a variety of studies from SSA, concluding drought had a major negative effect on household income and that the capacity of households to manage covariant risk was very limited. Access to infrastructure and urban markets were identified as important contributors to the income of rural households, as was political stability. At the national level, the evidence indicates hydro climatic hazards produce observable effects on national economic growth statistics. Several single country studies have shown that rainfall extremes have major impacts on economic development (Brown and Lall, 2006; Grey and Sadoff, 2006). A study of hydrologic effects on the Ethiopian economy found that the occurrence of droughts and floods reduced economic growth by more than one third (Grey and Sadoff, 2006). Kenya suffered annual damages of 10 – 16 percent of GDP due to flooding associated with El Niño in 1997-1998 and La Niña drought in 1998-2000. These damages extended beyond agriculture, with 88 percent of flood losses incurred by the transport sector, while hydropower losses and industrial production totaled 84 percent of the drought losses (Brown and Lall, 2006).

In addition, a study of the economy wide impact of drought on 6 Sub-Saharan Africa countries found significant influences and that vulnerability was related to the complexity of a country's economy (Benson and Clay, 1998). Surprisingly, the findings suggested that a country may become more sensitive to drought as it develops from a low level of development. It may be that the poorest economies are influenced by the risk aversion and low levels of investment that characterize poor households (Rosenzweig and Binswanger, 1993) and trapped in a low level equilibrium that appears as insensitivity to rainfall fluctuations. The findings in these various studies provide compelling evidence that hydro climatic variability has a significant effect on households in SSA, especially the rural poor, and on some countries. Does this translate into a significant drag on the national economies of Sub-Saharan Africa? The question remains unanswered and has rarely been addressed. Despite the evidence from household and village studies, few studies have considered the effects of hydro climatic variability on national level economic development. Yet it has important implications for the approaches adopted as adaptation to climate change.

A large number of cross-country regression analyses have investigated the role of geography in the economic development of the nations of the world (e.g. Sachs, 2003; Diamond, 1997; Easterly and Levine, 2003; Rodrik et al., 2004). In general, the cross country results indicate that institutions and geography are important determinants of current economic levels, but the results for Sub-Saharan are diminished by the co-occurrence of both poor institutions and substantial geographic challenges. Climate variability is not explicitly considered in these studies although it is identified as one source of the "geography effect." Several recent studies have focused on the climate effect. Nordhaus (2006) used a global subnational economic output database to explore spatial relationships between climate and output, finding that climate was a "significant handicap," representing 20 percent of the difference with industrialized countries in economic output. Typical of studies that consider climate, mean temperature and precipitation were used as the climate variables, omitting the very real differences in variability that affect the tropics disproportionately.

Some studies have examined the effects of climate variability. In a cross-country regression analysis, Brown and Lall (2006) found that the coefficient of rainfall variability was more strongly associated (inversely) with per capita GDP than mean precipitation or temperature. Sanghi and Mendelsohn (2008) compared farm income in Brazil and the US and found that locations with adverse climates have lower per capita incomes and concluded that "adverse climates contribute to rural poverty." The question of climate variability versus climate means was explored by Kurukulasuriya and Mendelsohn (2006), in a study of climate effects on India, Brazil and Africa. The authors compare the relative effects of climate means (average conditions) versus climate variability of both soil moisture (effectively a proxy for rainfall) and temperature on farm income using a ricardian analysis. The findings show that the most significant climate effects depend on the nation considered. In the US, the mean climate was more important than climate variability and temperature was more important than precipitation for explaining farm income. In Brazil, climate variability was more significant than climate means, and precipitation was more important than temperature. In India, mean climate and precipitation were the more significant predictors of farm income. The results are likely indicative of the differential capacity to manage different climate risks. The results also serve as a cautionary note for studies that project economic

effects of climate change with changes to the mean of single climate variables.

In a study that is similar in approach to this study, Barrios et al. (2008) investigated the effect of rainfall changes on agricultural production in Sub-Saharan Africa countries in comparison to other non-Sub-Saharan Africa poor countries. The authors conclude that the decade's long drought affecting the Sahel since the 1960's accounts for the gap between agricultural production in Sub-Saharan Africa and the rest of the world. As we have seen with other studies, the role of rainfall variability in deterring agricultural investment is highlighted. However, the effects of climate change are likely to be difficult to generalize since countries have radically different vulnerabilities to climate and capacities to cope with climate anomalies. Developing countries, and those of Sub-Saharan Africa, may be presumed to have very different responses to a changing climate than developed countries. As Nordhaus (2006) states, most impact studies have focused on developed countries and extrapolated to other regions. While there is substantial literature addressing the effects of climate variability on households, there is little analysis of the economic effects at the country scale. We attempt to address this gap by investigating the effect of hydro climatic variability on the economic growth and welfare outcomes of countries of Sub-Saharan Africa employing regression analysis. The study builds on the work of Barrios et al. (2008) by introducing an index of rainfall extremes and by considering welfare indicators and GDP growth in addition to agricultural production.

2.1 Climate Change issue in Developing Countries

Climate has a significant effect on well-being and levels of happiness. According to the report from Rehdanz and Maddison (2005) it shows that temperature changes benefit high latitude countries whereas they negatively affect low latitude countries. Indeed, a small amount of global warming would increase the happiness of those living in Northern countries, whereas it is the reverse for people living in high temperature regions. According to Stern (2007), predictions for developing countries reveal alarming future agricultural output and a reduction in crop yields, food security and issues related to water. Climate change involves droughts which are responsible for an increase in food prices, disease, and consequently an increase in health expenditure. Moreover, populations have to deal with the issue of water, the most climate sensitive economic resource for these countries. In South Asia, for example, climate change will increase rainfall and flooding with a direct effect on agricultural production, and with serious consequences in a region with a high population growth. In Latin America and Caribbean areas, serious threats exist to the rainforests with direct consequences for the subsistence of populations depending on the Amazonian forest. In Sub-Saharan Africa, an increase in sea level threatens coastal cities when higher temperatures raise risks of malnutrition, starvation and malaria, decreased river flow and the subsequent availability of water. In the Nile Basin, the Middle East and North African countries, water stress and severe droughts could cause migration and violent conflicts.

The rural poor of SSA are affected by climate. They typically depend on agriculture for livelihood and sustenance, are unprotected against climate-related diseases, lack secure access to water and food, and are vulnerable to hydro meteorological hazards. Climate variability is arguably the dominant source of consumption risk in smallholder rain fed agriculture in the dryer environments of much of sub-Saharan Africa (Walker and Ryan, 1990; Rosenzweig et al., 1993; Dercon, 2002; MOBOLAJI et al., 2011). Climate contributes to price variability in regions where markets and transportation infrastructure are poorly developed (MOBOLAJI et al., 2011). Since the relatively poor have less capacity to buffer against climate risk through own assets or financial markets, they tend to experience disproportionate livelihood risk in the face of climate variations.

The poorest countries have also to cope with the economic consequences of climate change. Climate change weakens States and decreases their ability to provide opportunities and services to help people become less vulnerable, above all if those people already live in marginalized areas. Indeed, the economy of many developing countries is essentially based on agriculture and primary goods which are one of the main sectors directly touched by climate change and natural disasters. As well as their poverty, developing countries are in a disadvantageous situation due to their rapid population growth, their massive urbanization and their geographical environment, which make them more vulnerable and less able to adapt to climate change. It decreases GDP growth, increases the deficit and the external debt of countries often already weakened economically. Moreover, their low income levels and their underdeveloped financial markets make for unattainable insurances and credits to cover them in case of climatic shocks, which increase their vulnerability at individual and national levels.

Climate change is, then, a constraint to the achievement of the Millennium Development goals and to sustainable development in general (Stern, 2007). Many developing countries are experiencing an increase in the frequency and costs of natural disasters which are estimated on average at 5 percent of their GDP between 1997 and 2001 (Ibarrarán, 2006). In India and South East Asia the reduction in GDP due to climate change is estimated at between 9 and 13 percent by 2100 compared with a situation without climate change. The cost of adaptation for these countries will be at least between 5 percent and 10 percent of GDP and will weigh on government budgets, all the more so since less than 1 percent of losses from natural disasters were insured in low-income countries from 1985 to 1999. The frequency of climate events does not give time to rebuild or reconstitute their patrimony, keeping them in a poverty trap. There is a crowding-out effect because the poorest are obliged to reallocate their

resources to deal with the consequences of climate change instead of investing in human capital expenditure such as children's education or other productive investments.

Immediate and strong reactions are then necessary for these specific countries to limit the serious impact of climate change on them. They suffer a "double penalty" because, in the current context, less-developed countries may be trapped in a vicious circle: their poverty makes them more vulnerable in the face of climate change and due to their poverty; climate change will have serious consequences on health, income and growth prospects and will trigger their poverty and vulnerability. In spite of this situation described previously, climate change is unfortunately considered as a long-term problem and future impacts of climate change do not have priority. Concerning this point, Ikeme (2003) analyzes the low capacity adaptation of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) countries to cope with climate change effects. Indeed, low adaptive capacity increases vulnerability, social and economic costs which affect human capital and the development levels of these areas, which constitute transmission channels for migration. For these countries, adaptation does not appear to be urgent issue and is underestimated by these most vulnerable countries. Indeed, even if adaptation is globally recognized as a means to preventing and coping with the impacts of climate change, there is a relative indifference and insufficient measures in order to reinforce the capacity of adaptation. Indeed, they are often in a difficult context with problems such as poverty, institutional weakness, low levels of education and skills or an inexistence of welfare systems; they are then obliged to act in emergency in case of climate effects (Engelstaedter et al., 2006). Moreover, developing countries, particularly in SSA, consider the developed countries to be the major cause of climate change, and wish to let them take the responsibility to manage them.

3 The Long-Term Economic Impact of Natural Disasters

Assessing the nefarious economic impacts of natural disasters in its entirety is a daunting task, both theoretically and practically (Kousky, 2012). Most Sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries do not have the modern disaster forecasting equipment and therefore, the available data do not depict the real losses incurred¹ (Bang, 2013). However, the frequency of forecasting natural disasters over the past years in Africa is on a rise as technology is gradually being transferred from the western world to this part of the continent (Hassan and Luscomb, 1991). Many studies tie the knot that, economic wellbeing is only ascertained when there is a balanced, triangular relationship between the economy, environment and the society (Ngoran, 2014), but fail to take into cognizance the dynamics orchestrated by natural disasters. Timely prediction and a holistic understanding of natural disaster would not only save human lives, but, will curb the far reaching economic consequences.

Most countries in Africa still depend grossly on subsistence agriculture for their daily survival (Adepoju and Salman, 2013; (Moyo, 2013); Boy & Witt, 2013), unfortunately, adverse, long term meteorological conditions especially in the Sahel region have tattooed great losses on crop yields (Chijioke et al., 2011). For instance, Chad and Mauritania as a result of persistent drought occurrence have experienced a great loss in crop yield of over 50 percent when compared to 2011. Moreover, lower food reserves with more mouths to feed, have resulted in skyrocketing food prices. For example, corn prices in this region; have increased almost 6 folds compared to averages over the last five years². Contrary to drought scenarios, crop failures in Niger, Benin, Senegal, Burkina Faso, Guinea, Mali, Gambia, Mauritania and Nigeria have been caused partly due to sporadic, torrential rain storms that result to farmland inundations (Deressa, 2014) (Figure 1). Natural disasters do not only engender disequilibrium on the national economy, but, its ramifications are also trans frontier in nature (Drabo & Mbaye, 2011). Prolonged droughts in the northern border between Nigeria and Cameroon have been a podium of farmer-grazer conflicts (MANU et al., 2014). The time and energy spent in resolving such conflicts, do not only necessitate enormous financial and political resources on the part of the authorities involved, but, also dampens the overall development of the area. Moreover, as the Sahara desert continues to encroach further southwards, competition over scarce agricultural and grazing land will further be compounded.

The ill effects of natural disasters on the local population are further excruciated by the centralized form of governance, partial or absence of institutional frameworks for risk management in most SSA countries. According to Bang and Few, (2012), the centralized system of governance, characterized by lengthy bureaucratic proceedings, weakens the powers of local authorities. The July-October, 2012 Nigeria flood that ended up with 363 deaths was largely blamed on the lengthy and slow trickle down of power and resource mobilization to the affected zones (Ferris et al., 2013). In 1986, the Lake Nyos disaster in Cameroon claimed the lives of 1,700 people and 3,500 livestock due to poor governance, corruption, incompetence, negligence and politics (BANG, 2008). Though natural disasters are caused by nature, investing in disaster forecasting technology and putting in place sound disaster mitigation mechanisms in the short run, will not only save lives, but will keep more money in the government's coffers for eventual development in the long run.

¹ <http://www.scidev.net/global/communication/feature/early-warning-of-disasters-facts-and-figures-1.html> (Accessed March, 2014)

² <http://www.oxfam.org/en/emergencies>




Figure 2. Impact of Adverse Meteorological Conditions on Affected Population

3.1 Selected Case Studies

The Landslide of La Josephina

In 1993, a huge landslide occurred in La Josefina, which is located in the mountainous southern region of Ecuador near the city of Cuenca. The landslide covered the entire valley and dammed the river, impounding it for 33 days. During this time 1,000 hectares were flooded. In all, about 200 persons were killed by the flood and 14,000 displaced. There was severe damage to land and buildings. The costs to agricultural lands, factories, and residential infrastructure reached several million dollars. This case is a good example of a natural disaster caused by a combination of human and natural factors. The area had a permanent danger of landslide; below the 1993 landslide site, there are about 35 scars of past slides. But this should not mask the human responsibility. The area has a dense rural population. After land reforms, lands were divided into excessively small plots, worked by farmers with little experience using overly intensive agriculture. Mono-cultivation of maize in rows following the slope was a frequent practice, although this leads to heavy erosion.

After the disaster and during the 33 days of flood, 47 nongovernmental organizations were criticized for their lack of effective assistance, and the provincial government for its passivity. Codevilla (1993) argued that there was an excess of *asistencialismo* from the locals (that is, they were passively waiting to be helped). No structure in place at the time was able to handle the disaster. A solution recommended by Morris (2003) is to promote a soft rather than a hard engineering approach—that is, making low-energy adaptations rather than trying to match the power of nature. This suggestion springs from Abramovitz's recommendations (2001), which advocate a greater control over land use, the limitation of intensive farming, and the development of forestry in critical zones. This strategy implies two things: (i) recognition of the significant risks linked to natural hazard, which cannot be totally controlled, and (ii) the acceptance of a tradeoff between the higher short-term

productivity of intensive farming and the long-term benefits of maintaining a more resilient ecosystem.

A Successful Disaster Recovery in Mozambique

In a vulnerable country, one disaster can set back hard-won development efforts. Mozambique is one of the poorest countries in the world, with 69 percent of the population below the poverty line. In 1992, a peace agreement put an end to 17 years of civil war. From 1992 to 2000, the growth rate of GDP per capita averaged 6 percent. Then came the flood of 2000; it killed 700 people, displaced 650,000, and affected 4.5 million (a quarter of the population). It devastated 140,000 hectares of crops and their irrigation systems; 350,000 livestock were lost or seriously injured; 6,000 fishermen lost at least half of their boats and gear; and about 500 primary and 7 secondary schools were destroyed. However, the long-term economic consequences of a disaster largely depend on the capacity of the country to handle the recovery program. Mozambique's recovery seems to have been generally effective. Recovery programs have provided opportunities for investments to upgrade services and infrastructure (Valid International and ANSA 2001). Many affected people have been assisted, and the rehabilitation and the rebuilding of schools and health facilities have encouraged the development of new social structures, such as associations and community committees.

This success can be explained by a number of factors, including the creation of the National Disaster Management Institute in 1999; development of a culture of prevention; provision of immediate and massive flows of aid; and, above all, the determination of the government to establish a recovery program aimed at strengthening national reconstruction and development policies. The government's objective was not simply to restore the previous level of development but to generate the social and economic improvements that would increase the country's resilience to future disasters. A clear sign of progress is that the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF, 2002) noted significant improvements in responses to the flood in 2001. Preparedness measures had been taken, including the replacement of food, boats, and other relief materials. Neighboring countries had been contacted to coordinate the displacement of affected populations.

One of the key elements to the success of the reconstruction was the extraordinarily high level of donor response—around \$450 million by May 2000—and a commitment from the government to maintain macroeconomic stability. These aid flows dampened the negative impacts of the disasters, allowing a rapid return to high levels of growth. Therefore, the 2000 and 2001 floods were not considered to have had a lasting negative economic impact. A recent World Bank report (2005) on the case of Mozambique has outlined the following reasons for the successful recovery: i) Intensive labor-based infrastructure works for disaster mitigation; ii) Where possible, the use of local rather than international contractors.

Increased levels of accountability and transparency through the use of independent reviews and evaluations of recovery works; iii) Good practice guidelines to ensure that gender issues were addressed and that adequate attention was paid to land tenure issues, standards for housing, and recovery of complex livelihoods; iv) Emphasis on building capacity for disaster management at the district level and sharing information on budget and planning for disasters.

Combining Reduction of Both Poverty and Vulnerability

Instead of thinking of disaster response and development as two separate activities, can we think of programs and projects that combine them? An example of a successful project combining vulnerability and poverty reduction comes from Niger, one of the poorest countries in the world. The project was implemented by the Small Rural Operation in Niger. It took 11 years (1988–98) and targeted an area with a chronic food deficit. The project's aim was to reduce drought vulnerability by intensifying offseason crop production through widespread use of existing, simple, low-cost technologies. Approximately 35,000 farmers benefited from the strong increase in production resulting from higher cropping intensities, cultivation of higher value crops, and diversification toward non crop activities. In this case, the two objectives of poverty reduction and food security could not have been achieved separately, because they are both strongly linked to the livelihood of the rural population. More such combined approaches are needed to break the vicious cycle of disasters and low-level development.

4 The Interactions between Development and Vulnerability

Expansion Policies and Their Influence on Vulnerability to Disasters

Major contributions have been made by Hewitt (1983) and Blaikie and colleagues (1994) to the recent study of natural disasters and development. They stress the role of social structures in shaping vulnerability. Studies by Sen (1981) and Drèze and Sen (1989) are among the pioneering works in considering famine not just as a natural disaster, but also as an avoidable economic and political catastrophe. They show that the famines were caused not so much by lack of food as by lack of entitlement to resources based on access to economic, social, and political power. All these works have strongly influenced the concept of prevention and management of famines in the developing world and the idea that disasters are manmade or policy induced. To pursue the analysis, it is crucial to understand that a natural disaster is not a completely exogenous event. What is often called a natural disaster is really a humanitarian disaster triggered by nature. Or, as Wisner stated in a more provocative manner, a natural disaster is a failure of human development (Wisner 2004). As we will see, natural disasters are the

consequences of natural hazards, but they are also largely a reflection of development flaws.

The Determining factors of Vulnerability to Natural Hazards

Economic development typically reduces exposure to natural hazards. A reduction of the proportion of the population working in the agricultural sector increases the resilience of the country, because the overall level of production becomes less sensitive to hydro meteorological conditions. Intersectoral linkages are another determining factor of resilience: countries with a high degree of dualism, with a large capital intensive extractive sector, are less sensitive to natural hazards. For example, droughts had a limited effect on the macroeconomics of Botswana, Namibia, and Zambia, all of which draw most of their resources from the mining industry.

The Financial System

Development is generally linked to a better financial system, which allows a wider diffusion of the impact of a disaster, especially when that system facilitates small-scale savings and transfers. In Zimbabwe, for example, after the 1991–1992 droughts, a well-developed financial system facilitated transfers from urban to rural regions.

Trade Openness

More open economies have fewer exchange constraints. As a consequence, any increase in imports for relief and reconstruction will not displace normal imports. Moreover, following a disaster, local inflation can be contained more easily in a more open economy. But, again, more study is needed on how openness to trade helps or hinders recovery from natural disasters.

Institutions

One of the most important factors in determining the resilience of a country is the willingness of the government to consider preparedness for natural hazards a priority. This includes a long-term commitment to mitigation and preparedness, even when no disaster has occurred during the preceding years. In addition, transparency, better reporting of relevant expenditures, and post disaster reallocations are essential, as well as the enforcement of appropriate land-use and building codes. At the same time, the coincidence of a natural disaster and political instability can have dramatic consequences. Such was the case during the violent struggle for independence in Bangladesh during the mid-1970s or the war in Mozambique during the 1990s, which destabilized neighboring Malawi's transport system by provoking an influx of refugees.

A more recent example is the case of Zimbabwe in 2002. Angola, Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Swaziland, Zambia, and Zimbabwe all suffered from food shortages after three years of drought combined with flooding in some areas. However, Zimbabwe, which was considered the "breadbasket" of southern Africa a few years ago, became the most vulnerable country of the Region. Political violence fueled by inflation, unemployment, racial tensions, land reform issues, and soaring rates of HIV/AIDS greatly weakened the country's capacity to provide effective relief. The government took control of the distribution of mealie meal (a basic food). Its objective was to ensure that mealie meal was supplied only to the supporters of the ZANU-PF ruling party (Oborne 2003). Sen (1981) was the first to observe that famines are the result of human behavior, stressing that they do not happen in democracies, where a free press and free speech create excellent early warning systems. Although Sen provided Zimbabwe as an example of a democracy that successfully prevented famines despite sharp declines in food output, he recognized that the country no longer qualified for the exemption he had given it before.

Public Consciousness

Only a population informed of the risks related to natural hazards and concerned about them can create the appropriate incentives for the government to make sufficient investments in preparedness and mitigation. In Turkey, public awareness was very low despite frequent events. The Marmara earthquake in 1999 created a new level of public awareness, not only because of the unprecedented scale of the disaster, but also because it was mainly urban, making it difficult for the politicians, local municipalities, building contractors, and civil engineers to ignore their responsibility (Özyaprak 1999). Sen has also compared the response to droughts in India and China. He argued that India avoided famines because of its free press, whereas China suffered a major famine in 1984 because the system was able to withhold information on the drought and was unwilling to admit problems or seek assistance.

An Instance of Vulnerability Caused by Human Factors

In 1972 to 1973, the Sahel experienced a catastrophic drought, during which thousands of people and millions of animals died (Adger et al., 2003). This catastrophe was the outcome of both natural and human factors. The preceding droughts in the late 1960s and early 1970s increased people's vulnerability, especially in the rural areas, by depleting their stock of physical capital (savings, grains, animals) as well as their human capital through deterioration in health or migration to urban areas. Indeed, the rural communities were the most vulnerable because of a combination of socioeconomic factors: (i) isolation brought about by poor communication and transport links; (ii) an urban bias in policy making that was the result of poor rural representation; (iii) a focus on short-term stabilization rather than long-term economic development; and (iv) an emphasis on industrial investment and the conversion of agriculture to cash crops at the expense of the production of food for local consumption (Baker 1987; Shaw 1987; Rau 1991).

The relevance of those human factors is highlighted by the many droughts subsequently endured by the Sahel that were comparable to those of the early 1970s. None of them, however, led to such a massive famine (Sendzimir et al., 2011). If natural hazards have increased vulnerability in the short term, in the long term the population has developed many strategies to cope with drought, such as agricultural diversification and migration. In this case, the emphasis on industrialization, cash crops, and export earnings in countries that are primarily rural—where most of the population cannot afford or lacks access to imported foodstuffs—increased the region's vulnerability. This example illustrates local-level adaptive capacity and the danger inherent in a “top-down” approach to development, especially when the approach is based on global economic paradigms disconnected from the rural communities' reality (Pelling, 2003).

The Difficult Association between Development and Vulnerability

Considerable development effort can be wasted when vulnerability is not taken into consideration. In Honduras, after Hurricane Mitch in 1998, President Carlos Flores stated that his country's development was set back 30–50 years. Indeed, from 70 to 80 percent of the transport infrastructure was destroyed, including almost all bridges and secondary roads. One-fifth of the population was left homeless; crops and animal losses led to food shortages; and lack of sanitation led to outbreaks of malaria, dengue fever, and cholera (U.S. National Climatic Center 2004). Dore and Etkin (2003) point out the importance of adaptive capacity at an institutional level. They define the necessary conditions for adaptation by observing how developed countries respond to current climate risks. The authors observed six conditions: (i) Developed countries have the technical knowhow to understand climate; (ii) They have resources to devote to research on climate and its related risks (iii) They have developed the necessary technology to cope with the effects of climate; (iv) They share risks through both government disaster-assistance programs and the insurance market; (v) The insurance market mediates moral hazard problems through mechanisms such as deductibles, rebates for minimizing damages, or premium reductions for making no claims; (vi) Developed countries invest resources in emergency responses at all levels of government. These six conditions are generally costly and require high-quality institutions and human capital. It can be deduced that a country needs to be relatively developed to meet the necessary conditions for a high resilience to natural hazards. At the same time, a vulnerable country is highly exposed to disasters that would be harmful to its development process. Consequently, there is a risk that poor countries will be locked in a vicious circle—vulnerable because of their low level of development, and brought back to their initial level of development through natural disasters because of that vulnerability. This could be the story of Ethiopia, for example. Ethiopia is particularly vulnerable because agriculture accounts for 41 percent of its GDP, 80 percent of the workforce, and 80 percent of exports. Undoubtedly, vulnerability is only one of the numerous factors that can explain the stagnation of a least-developed country. The role of vulnerability should be addressed, including its indirect effects, such as discouraging private investments or increasing the risk of political instability. Although the establishment of a counterfactual would be difficult, further work on the link between vulnerability and development is necessary.

To progressively emerge from this situation, highly exposed countries need to incorporate how best to build resilience and reduce vulnerability into their development policy. Some authors, such as Allen (2003), go even further and argue that the distinction between resilience to natural hazard and development is mainly theoretical and has more meaning for government bodies than for local communities. At a local level, both hazards and development are strongly related to a lack of livelihood. Similarly, humanitarian crises are extensively linked to a sociopolitical context. According to Allen, isolating vulnerability from the wider social background creates a risk of treating symptoms rather than the cause. The next part illustrates how vulnerability and poverty can be tackled jointly.

Combining Reduction of Both Vulnerability and Poverty

Instead of thinking of disaster response and development as two separate activities, can we think of programs and projects that combine them? An example of a successful project combining vulnerability and poverty reduction comes from Niger, one of the poorest countries in the world. The project was implemented by the Small Rural Operation in Niger. It took 11 years (1988–1998) and targeted an area with a chronic food deficit (Chhibber & Laajaj, 2008). The project's aim was to reduce drought vulnerability by intensifying offseason crop production through widespread use of existing, simple, low-cost technologies. Approximately 35,000 farmers benefited from the strong increase in production resulting from higher cropping intensities, cultivation of higher value crops, and diversification toward non crop activities (Chhibber & Laajaj, 2008). In this case, the two objectives of poverty reduction and food security could not have been achieved separately, because they are both strongly linked to the livelihood of the rural population. More such combined approaches are needed to break the vicious cycle of disasters and low-level development.

5. Climate Change, Disasters, and Development

Climate Change: A Rising Risk to Sub-Saharan Africa

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has provided some of the most reliable reports on the

observation of actual climate changes and forecasts of future changes and their consequences. These predictions are unavoidably marked by uncertainty, but there are apprehensions that Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia will be the most severely affected areas of the world. Because Africa is a very diverse continent, any statement on the impact of climate change can hardly be applicable to the entire continent. Unless specified, information provided in this section is extracted from IPCC reports (1996, 2001). Despite its tiny contribution to climate change, Sub-Saharan Africa is one of the most adversely affected regions in the world. Indeed, the continent is highly exposed to climate change, and its structural weaknesses result in lower resilience. With 40 percent of its population living on arid, semi-arid, or dry sub humid areas (UNDP, 1997), Africa is one of the areas of the world most exposed to global warming. It has experienced a warming of approximately 0.7°C during the past century, and the temperature is expected to increase by between 0.2°C and 0.5°C each decade. Moreover, Hulme and Kelly (1997) note that in the preceding 25 years, the decline in rainfall observed in the Sahel was the most substantial and sustained recorded anywhere in the world since instrumental measurement began.

A high proportion of Africans live in coastal areas: one-quarter of the population resides within 100 kilometers of a seacoast (Singh and others 1999). Because of the combination of increased climate variability and rising sea levels, this population will be increasingly exposed in the next decades. Nicholls, Hoozemans, and Marchand (1999) found that the sea level rise could increase the number of people in Africa affected by flooding from 1 million in 1990 to 70 million in 2080 (assuming the predicted a 38 centimeter global rise in sea level during this period).

Sub-Saharan Africa's Low Capacity to Deal with Climate Change

Not only is the Sub-Saharan African region a highly exposed area, but it also faces structural difficulties that aggravate the consequences of climate change and limit its capacity to manage effective solutions. First, more than half of the African population is rural, which implies high vulnerability to natural hazard and a strong dependence on per capita food production. That production has been declining in Africa for the past two decades, contrary to the global trend. Population growth, which will put more pressure on the limited amount of land available for cultivation or cattle farming, is also a main concern. African countries are highly vulnerable not only to climate shocks, but also to economic (for example, terms of trade variability or aid volatility) and political shocks. The conjunction of different shocks has cumulative effects and undermines countries' ability to cope with crisis. To these weaknesses must be added the HIV/AIDS pandemic, which increases the burden on public resources and erodes human capital. Nevertheless, Africa's high vulnerability to the existing prevalence of disasters and to the possibility that their frequency may increase must be addressed. This will require not only local initiative but also international help, because much of the continent will need to respond to effects induced by climate change created by actions taken largely in the developed world. In other words, Africa suffers from development enjoyed elsewhere in the world, and Africa is a major recipient of the disastrous effects.

Key Concern for the Future

Among the problems that will be exacerbated by climate change, particular attention should be paid to the highly interrelated issues of desertification, food security, and water supply. Any one of these can have dramatic consequences for the poor.

Desertification

Desertification contributed to the death of 250,000 people in the Sahel drought of 1968–73 (UNCOD, 1977). By progressively reducing agricultural and livestock yields, drought reduces the land's capacity to support people, while the population keeps increasing rapidly. The total population of Africa has increased almost fivefold since 1950. During this period, desertification has reduced the productivity of one-quarter of the continent's land area by 25 percent, encouraging an exodus toward urban areas. Desertification also has feedback effects that can create vicious cycles through, for example, the release of CO₂ or higher susceptibility to wind erosion, which may reduce the soil's water retention capacity. Wind erosion (or loss of infiltration capacity caused by loss of vegetation or by soil compaction) intensifies the effects of climate variability on crop failure. Measures need to be taken to limit the extent of irreversible changes.

Food Security

There is a wide consensus that climate change will worsen food security in Africa through continuous climatic shifts, as well as an increase in extreme events. Hunger is not a sporadic episode in Africa: nearly 200 million of its people are undernourished, and 33 percent of African children are stunted, underweight, or wasted (FAO, 1999). A combination of factors (noted previously) explains the reduction and uncertainty of crop, livestock, and fishery yields. These figures undeniably hide sizeable disparities. For example, although recurrent conflicts have shrunk food availability in Burundi, considerable progress in Ghana was triggered by higher agricultural productivity.

Water Supply

Except in the equatorial region and coastal areas of eastern and southern Africa, the continent is dry sub humid to arid. Global warming will result in a reduction in soil moisture in sub humid regions and a reduction in runoff, because high temperatures enhance evaporation. Africa has the lowest conversion factor of precipitation to

runoff in the world (15 percent), and the situation is worsening rapidly. There has been a reduction in runoff of 17 percent in the past decade. Indeed, Arnell (1999) finds that the southern Africa region will experience the greatest reduction in runoff by the year 2050, increasing the number of countries included in the water stress category (using a per capita water-scarcity limit of 1,000 cubic meters per year). Likewise, Sharma and others (1996) estimate that between 2000 and 2025, the number of African countries enduring water stress will rise from 8 to 18 and the population affected will double, reaching 600 million. This relative scarcity of water is also the consequence of rapid population growth. Poor people will be the most affected, because they have the most limited access to water resources, but the scarcity will have consequences for the whole economy—even industrial activity is threatened by the scarcity of water. In Ghana, the unprecedented drought of 1982–83 compelled electricity rationing until 1986, which stresses the need to develop alternative sources of energy.

Water-Related Conflicts

As an additional threat to African development, access to water is likely to be the source of an increasing number of conflicts in the future (Stern, 2007). National as well as cross-border conflicts motivated by water access have been observed already. For example, in the 1970s and 1980s, droughts in Mali forced many seminomadic Tuareg to migrate; their troublesome return to their native lands was the basis for the Second Tuareg Rebellion in 1990. Along the Senegal River the building of dams provoked a clash between Senegalese and Mauritanian populations during the late 1980s and early 1990s (Niasse, 2005). Western Africa has already experienced a decline in its rainfall during the past three decades of between 10 and 30 percent. This raises many concerns for the forthcoming decades: cooperative mechanisms will be required to prevent the commencement of additional water-related contentions.

Adaptation to Climate Change

Issues raised in this section often progressively augment the vulnerability of a country, a situation that is often revealed only when an extreme event occurs. Increasing the resilience to natural hazard implies a permanent effort to tackle the diverse consequences of global warming. Desertification, food security, water supply, and other climate related issues are strongly integrated, and efforts to provide solutions should be combined. An essential first step is improved resource management. There is much room for improvement in this field. Low-cost technologies for control of wind erosion exist. Access to credit could stimulate the use of windbreaks, mulching, ridging, and rock embankments (Baidu-Forson and Napier, 1998). Agricultural production can be enhanced by appropriate rainwater management, as in Morocco, where scarce rains are used very efficiently for farming. As another example, South Africa has started to develop strategies to optimize the use of water through pricing and demand management tools.

Given that access to water is an increasingly challenging issue and most of the major rivers of Africa flow through several countries, international river basin management protocols are now fundamental to precluding water-related conflicts. Such protocols have been fairly developed during the past decade and need to be encouraged by strengthening of their financial and human resources and establishing a legal framework that will ensure equity and efficiency in the management of water supply. In the medium term, the development of better forecasting technologies would facilitate adaptation to climate change and preparedness for extreme hydrological events; for example, crop models could be used to make adjustments if they provided information about the probability of success of resource diversification or intensification. Development of data and local skills is necessary to enhance research and offer more practical solutions for dealing with such changes.

Finally, strong synergies can be identified between the reduction of vulnerability and global warming. Forest maintenance would mitigate both flooding and climate change. New opportunities in carbon trading are emerging that Africa could exploit (this section draws on World Bank 2006a). For example, in the European Union market, firms are willing to pay as much as US\$20 per ton for sequestration. On the assumption that a hectare of dense tropical forest will emit some 500 tons of CO₂ when it burns or rots, its international market value could be as high as US\$10,000. Conversion of tropical forest to farming gives high returns to the farmers, but these returns are often small compared with the international carbon trading options that are becoming available. For example, Tomich and others (2005) have shown that the net present value (using a discount rate of 10 percent) for one hectare cleared in Cameroon yields a return of about US\$283–\$623 for food crops, US\$424–\$1,409 for cocoa, and US\$722–\$1,458 for palm oil. Even if the carbon sequestration price were as low as US\$3 per ton, it would give better returns to Cameroon than the farming options would. This does not include quantification of any other benefits of preserving tropical forests, such as biodiversity. Yohe, Andronova, and Schlesinger (2004) have argued that an international agreement on carbon sequestration would be viable even at US\$2.70 per ton.

5.1 Dealing with and Averting Natural Disasters

Financial Mechanisms

When governments do not resort to higher fiscal deficits to fund relief and reconstruction costs, they generally turn to international aid or reallocation of expenditures. However, other solutions are available for spreading risks. This section discusses the strengths and weaknesses of each solution.

Recent Approach

Fiscal Deficits. When a government is submerged by a sudden overflow of emergency needs, higher expenditures, leading to larger fiscal deficits, are easy answers. Nonetheless, the long-term costs of indebtedness are well known, and make this choice the last resort for a government. Benson and Clay (1998; 2004) found no impact of natural disasters on the overall budget deficit except in drought-affected Sub-Saharan economies. In this Region, five of six case studies showed a noticeable increase in government borrowing following the drought.

Reallocation of Expenditures. One of the most common ways to cope with the urgent needs of a post disaster situation is to reallocate budgetary resources. This solution provides a rapid source of funding while keeping domestic credit and money supply under control. However, it still diverts funds from planned investments, and thus hampers development. A main concern is that reallocation of funds after a disaster should follow a formal process rather than proceeding based on emergency decisions, so that funds would not be diverted from projects essential to the long-term development of the country. This is often not the case, and vital long-term development is affected.

International Aid. International agencies play a major role in helping countries that have limited resources to cope with the disaster. However, Benson and Clay (2004) suggest that post disaster aid flows are not additional. In three case studies (Bangladesh, Dominica, and Malawi) they observed that disasters had little impact on the overall level of aid. Donors bring forward commitments, and thus reduce the availability of aid during the subsequent years. The IEG report on natural disasters (IEG 2006) confirms that despite the existence of an Emergency Recovery Loan, loan reallocations are the most frequent type of response to disasters in highly vulnerable countries; such reallocations often do not lead to good outcomes.

Another important issue in aid-based relief and reconstruction is that considerable flows of aid from different donors raise management difficulties for the receiving country. The country has to submit to different conditions from the donors, which can take time and limit its sovereignty. This diminishes the government's ability to determine the allocation of reconstruction funds and set its own priorities. Increasingly, countries are taking greater ownership over donor coordination during the relief and recovery period. But where institutional capacities are limited, coordination can also be provided by one of the donors. After the 1989 drought in Sudan, the World Bank worked with other donors to organize the relief and avoid unnecessary overlaps in coverage. Special attention is required from the international community when a natural disaster occurs in a politically unstable country or in a country with weak institutions.

Benson and Clay (2004) denounce excessive reliance on international aid in cases of disasters. Natural disasters often substantially increase the gap between commitments and actual aid disbursements. In an emergency, small delays can result in severe social and economic consequences. Moreover, as we look into the future, aid flows might not be able to cope with the rapid increase in the annual cost of disasters.

There is a need to begin to look at other options. And if natural disaster management must be seen as part and parcel of economic development, then special funding mechanisms for disasters may actually lead to avoidance of the more fundamental choices countries must make to build disaster management into their development strategies.

Financial Risk Mechanisms

Insurance. In the world's poorest countries, currently less than 1 percent of the losses from natural disasters are formally insured (Freeman and others 2002). This financial risk mitigation mechanism could certainly be developed further to reduce aid dependency in managing disasters. The expansion of insurance, however, has been limited by its high cost: catastrophe insurance premiums can be several times higher than the actuarially determined expected losses (Froot, 1999). Furthermore, to manage insurance schemes, strong institutions are required: regulation must ensure that insurance companies are sufficiently cautious and big enough to diversify the risk or be reinsured. Moreover, clear and agreed triggers are needed for insurance payouts, which are often difficult to agree on. Because the risks are highly covariant and difficult to estimate, insurance industries always face considerable difficulties in providing insurance against natural hazard. When the risk is too low, agents have very few incentives to pay the insurance premiums. Conversely, in the most exposed regions, soaring risk discourages insurance companies from offering coverage. A closer look at the developed countries shows that, in most cases, the insurance market is not fully private and the government plays a major role, generally by providing catastrophe reinsurance to the companies. As a consequence, agents are encouraged to adopt risky behavior, knowing that they would not bear the full costs in case of a disaster. To limit the moral hazard, insurance can be provided conditionally—that is, on the implementation of loss-reduction measures and appropriate building and land-use zoning codes. In that way, insurance companies can contribute to the national effort for preparedness and mitigation by creating appropriate incentives.

A second drawback to the government serving as a backstop facility is that this does not eliminate the risk, but instead transfers the risk from the local to the national level. A rich country's government generally has the ability to absorb the costs, but a poor country would not have the same capacity. To handle the additional

pressure on its budget, the poor country's government would need to resort to other sources of funding, such as international aid.

Determination of a Parametric Insurance Trigger. A possible solution would be to establish an insurance system in which payouts would be triggered by parametric observations such as extreme rainfall. Disbursing without following damage-assessment procedures can accelerate transfers and reduce transaction costs, but it is currently difficult to find simple instruments that are strongly related to economic costs. Both further agro meteorological research and good historical data are necessary for the insurance companies to be able to calculate accurate premium rates. Good institutions are also required—for example, the myriad difficulties related to landholding titles would surge if these were not well defined; because of the difficulties of implementation, there are currently few examples of insurance with a parametric trigger. Windward Islands Crop Insurance (WINCROP), which covers the export of bananas in Dominica, Grenada, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent and the Grenadines, has a verification system similar to a parametric trigger (Benson and Clay 2004). Evaluation of losses is easy because the insurance covers one crop against one hazard. When a disaster occurs, a 5 percent physical survey of affected growers reveals the proportion of damaged plants, which avoids lengthy damage assessment procedures. The benefit is calculated on the basis of the average deliveries during the preceding three years.

Premium payments are assured because the funds are directly deducted from export revenues. However, the scheme faces some difficulties, such as the high covariance risk, premiums that are too low but cannot be raised for political reasons, and the long-term decline in banana prices. So far, WINCROP has been unable to extend the insurance scheme to other crops because of legislative restrictions and extremely high reinsurance rates. In January 2006 the World Bank initiated the preparatory studies for the establishment of the Caribbean Catastrophe Risk Insurance Facility (CCRIF). CCRIF will allow governments of the Caribbean Community and Common Market (CARICOM) to have access to insurance coverage at a lower rate than each state could have obtained on its own, for three main reasons: 1.) Participating governments will pool, and thus diversify, their risk. 2.) Donor partners will contribute to a reserve fund to reduce the need for international reinsurance. 3.) The use of a predetermined parametric trigger will reduce transaction costs and moral hazard. Parametric triggers will allow immediate cash payment following a major earthquake or hurricane, which will help governments fund immediate post disaster recovery while mobilizing additional resources (World Bank 2006b). A high exposure to natural hazard has encouraged Caribbean country governments to look for creative solutions. African countries need to pay particular attention to such initiatives and conceive their own solutions.

Tools for Spreading Risks Directly to the Capital Market. Instruments such as “catastrophe bonds” could reduce post disaster pressure on fiscal and external balances. The principle is very simple: the owner of the bond would receive regular payments. However, if the catastrophe occurs, an amount is taken from the principal or interest of the bond. This mechanism can provide immediate and timely availability of funds, but because of the high transaction costs, this solution is twice as expensive as insurance (Swiss Reinsurance Company 1999). Compared with post disaster assistance, which is generally highly concessional, it is not surprising that the demand for risk transfer mechanisms in the private market is very low in developing countries. But in countries with repeated disasters, one could consider using part of the aid flows to invest in market-based risk-spreading options such as insurance, with part of the aid being used as a backstop facility. Turkey has developed such a scheme for earthquake insurance.

Microcredit Institutions. Microcredit institutions can help cushion the impact of the disaster for a part of the population that is highly vulnerable and not often reached by other institutions. Natural disasters have a profound impact on households, including human losses, but also loss of housing, livestock, food stores, and productive assets such as agricultural implements. The disaster-affected population has to replace homes and assets and meet basic needs until individuals are able to recommence income-generating activities. In the absence of microcredit institutions, poor households are forced to rely on moneylenders, who charge considerably higher rates of interest.

However, special attention needs to be paid to microcredit institutions, which are highly exposed. In Bangladesh, after the 1998 floods, considerable refinancing from the Bangladesh Bank prevented many microcredit institutions from falling into bankruptcy. The government backstop is essential because, once again, the high covariance risk would result in the microcredit agencies facing problems during a disaster. To avoid repercussions for the users of microcredit, a constant contingent liability from the governments or donors will be required. A risk-pooling arrangement with microcredit institutions from different parts of the world could be another prospect for diversifying risk.

Increasing the Flexibility of Aid Disbursements. The term “moral hazard” has often been used when accusing the governments of poor countries of not doing enough for disaster mitigation as part of their development strategy because they expect to be able to count on external assistance for post disaster recovery. However, the cost of insurance can be so high that it could have long-term economic effects by diverting capital from investment or any other spending with a high opportunity cost. In this case it is rational to rely on international

aid, not only at a national level, but also at a collective level, because international assistance would be the solution that minimizes the long-term negative economic impact of natural disasters. It is likely that a country's capacity to handle the risks linked to natural hazard without international assistance will depend heavily on its stage of development. For this reason, insurance and instruments for spreading risk that are linked directly to the capital market—such as catastrophe bonds—might be accessible mainly to middle-income countries. However, in the least developed countries, where the insurance industry is reticent because it is risk averse, the only solution might be intervention: aid flows must be adapted to address the urgent and massive needs following a disaster.

The limits of aid mentioned previously (such as the delays or the lack of coordination) are essentially caused by the tendency of the donor community to be reactive rather than proactive. Guillaumont (2006) suggests that aid could provide a guarantee to countries that agree to follow some predefined rules of shock management. This shift from *ex post* to *ex ante* conditionality could considerably reduce both delays and moral hazard. Disasters occur every year in the world, with increasing frequency. We know disasters will occur, we just do not know exactly when and where. One option would be to think of a regional or global disaster facility. Based on recent recommendations from an evaluation (IEG, 2006), the World Bank has taken the lead in establishing a pilot Global Disaster Facility with a fund of US\$5 million to encourage mitigation activities. If the procedures for the use of the facility are agreed upon up front, such a facility (once scaled up) would also reduce the problems of donor coordination often seen in post disaster reconstruction programs. Another solution that deserves more attention, although it has already been implemented, is the use of debt relief to rapidly reduce financial pressure on the country where the disaster occurred. Debt relief circumvents regular delays related to fund release from the donors. This solution is particularly appropriate for highly indebted poor countries, where debt service can represent a serious burden, crowding out other important uses of scarce resources. For example, after the flood of 2000 in Mozambique, the World Bank approved accelerated debt relief worth US\$10 million to the Mozambican government to cover 100 percent of International Development Association (IDA) debt interest over the next 12 months.

5.2 Can Disaster Preparedness Be Improved?

The Predictability of Natural Hazards

Most natural hazard risks are foreseeable, in the sense that it is possible to predict where events are more likely to occur in the near future, yet they are rarely included in country development strategies, even in highly vulnerable countries (IEG 2006). Accurate prediction of exactly where and when a natural hazard might strike is difficult—but data covering past events can reveal which countries are more vulnerable to disasters. Some of the most advanced countries in Africa—such as South Africa—spend about US\$5 million yearly for the economic cost of natural hazards, which are estimated to cost US\$1 billion yearly. If forecasting research can make even a small contribution to better public decisions about mitigation of recovery costs, preparedness, and crisis management, it would justify sustaining the effort in research on climatic forecasting. Investments in early warning systems for flooding, tsunamis, and hurricanes can also help save thousands of lives, and even reduce the financial costs of disasters. There is much room for improvement in climate forecasting in Africa: the density of weather watch stations is eight times lower than the minimum level recommended by the World Meteorological Organization, and reporting rates there are the lowest in the world (Washington, Harrison, and Conway 2004).

Planning the Relief and Reconstruction

It is possible to identify a number of countries that are highly exposed to natural hazards. Floods and droughts are the most frequent types of disasters in Sub-Saharan Africa, followed by wind storms. However, droughts tend to affect a much larger number of people. In countries with such a history, the probability that another disaster will occur during the next decade is very high. Given the huge impact of disasters on poverty and economic outcomes, it would be expected that special attention would be paid to natural hazards in these countries' development strategies. However, among all the countries Senegal, Congo, Mali, Niger, Mauritania, Malawi, Burundi, Angola, Somalia, Uganda, Tanzania, Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, South Africa, Nigeria, Ethiopia, Swaziland, Chad, Eritrea, Malawi and Zimbabwe only two have incorporated aspects of hazard risk management in their Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs).

A more ambitious agenda would involve prevention or reduction in the frequency of natural disasters through the design of development approaches and strategies that reduce people's vulnerability. Of course, development itself, by reducing exposure of the population to agro climatic conditions, reduces vulnerability. But more specific actions can be taken as well, among them better water and land management, better infrastructure and housing, and more careful attention to actions that increase people's vulnerability to natural hazards. Disasters must be anticipated if rational choices are to be made, even in emergency situations. In highly exposed countries, governments should prepare a clearly defined policy framework to meet urgent needs as well as to minimize the long-term negative consequences of disasters. The policy should include a system of prioritization of individual development projects and programs to ensure that any budget reallocation would not

harm those projects with the highest development impact for the country.

The Stern report (2007) also recognizes development as a key to long-term adaptation to climate change. Moreover, it points out some particular areas of development that are essential to fostering a country's adaptation to climate change: i) Income and food security; ii) Education and health systems iii) Urban planning and provision of public services and infrastructure and iv) Gender equality. The cost of adapting to climate change in the developing world is difficult to estimate, but it will be in the tens of billions of dollars. The costs of inaction, however, will be far greater. Firm measures to strengthen adaptation include the integration of climate change impact in all national, subnational, and sectoral planning processes and macroeconomic projections. Designating a core ministry, such as finance, economics, or planning, as accountable for mainstreaming adaptation would be an undeniable sign of government commitment (Sperling, 2003).

6. Conclusions and Directions for Future Research

The objective of this paper is to draw attention to the growing impact of natural hazards on long term development, as well as the reciprocal effect of development on vulnerability. After a review of the existing literature, many areas have emerged that will need further investigation.

Firstly, there is currently no consensus about the long-term economic impact of natural disasters. Some authors argue that although a subsequent negative impact is observed during the year of the shock, it is generally followed by an expansion, allowing a rapid return to the long term equilibrium. Other authors object, noting that the reduction of human and physical capital can hinder the long-term development of the country, especially when the disasters are frequent. Because of technical difficulties, few previous studies have provided compelling empirical evidence to confirm either perspective. Both theoretical and better empirical works are needed.

Secondly, further theoretical and empirical studies of the long-term impact of natural disasters will have to go into greater detail in disaster analysis. It is very likely that the impact will differ according to the type of disaster, its frequency, the contribution of international aid, and the socioeconomic conditions of the country. Pooling all natural disasters together would fail to consider the vast range of possible effects, and could be misleading.

Thirdly, the link between conflict and natural disasters and vulnerability needs more attention, especially in parts of Sub-Saharan Africa, where population pressure is being exacerbated and land degradation and desertification are increasing rapidly.

Fourthly, management of Africa's forest resources and the potential for using carbon trading mechanisms to pay for their preservation open new areas for further research.

Fifthly, the role of alternative funding mechanisms needs more research, whether they are market based, such as insurance and bonds; locally funded, such as microcredit schemes to reduce vulnerability; or globally or regionally prearranged funding mechanisms. Research should include a focus on how such funding mechanisms could be expanded and how the inherent moral hazard and covariance could be reduced.

Sixthly, more work is also needed on adaptation to climate change. The focus has largely been on technical issues; much less attention has been given to the economic costs and benefits of different adaptation mechanisms. *Seventhly*, why do current development plans appear to ignore disaster risks? Is there a lack of incentives because of limited public awareness? Much more attention is needed on how economic development plans and strategies can build in disaster risk mitigation more visibly and centrally through PRSPs and national plans.

This paper has shown the importance of natural disasters to Sub-Saharan African development and the links between disaster management and economic development. The large costs of disasters, sometimes larger than aid inflows; the evidence that the intensity of disasters is determined by choices countries make on economic development; and the need to stop considering natural disasters as one-off events are highlighted in this paper. We find that despite the frequency of disasters in many African countries, the Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs) of only three countries discuss them. Finally, after showing that while Africa is a very small contributor to the factors causing global warming and climate change, it is likely to be the region most adversely affected, and the paper offers some options for adaptation.

Reference

- Abramovitz, J. N. (2001). Averting unnatural disasters. *State of the World*, 123-142.
- Adger, W. N., & Brooks, N. (2003). Does global environmental change cause vulnerability to disaster. *Natural Disaster and Development in a Globalizing World*, Routledge London, 19-43.
- African Development Bank. (2003). *Poverty and climate change: reducing the vulnerability of the poor through adaptation*. World Bank.
- Aghion, P., Howitt, P., & García-Peñalosa, C. (1998). *Endogenous growth theory*. MIT press.
- Albala-Bertrand, J. M. (1993). Natural disaster situations and growth: A macroeconomic model for sudden disaster impacts. *World Development*, 21(9), 1417-1434.
- Albala-Bertrand, J. M. (1993). Political economy of large natural disasters: with special reference to developing countries. *OUP Catalogue*.

- Alderman, H., Hoddinott, J., & Kinsey, B. (2006). Long term consequences of early childhood malnutrition. *Oxford economic papers*, 58(3), 450-474.
- Allen, K. (2003). 11 Vulnerability reduction and the community-based approach. *Natural disasters and development in a globalizing world*, 170.
- Allen, K. (2003). 11 Vulnerability reduction and the community-based approach. *Natural disasters and development in a globalizing world*, 170.
- Arnell, N. W. (1999). Climate change and global water resources. *Global environmental change*, 9, S31-S49.
- Baker, R. (1987). Linking and sinking: economic externalities and the persistence of destitution and famine in Africa. *Drought and hunger in Africa*, 149-68.
- Bang, H. N. (2008). Social vulnerability and risk perception to natural hazards in Cameroon two decades after the lake nyos gas disaster: What future prospect for the displaced disaster victims? *Proceedings of the paper presented for social vulnerability at the United Nations university-institute for environment and human security (UNU-EHS), Germany*.
- Bang, H. N., & Few, R. (2012). Social risks and challenges in post-disaster resettlement: the case of Lake Nyos, Cameroon. *Journal of Risk Research*, 15(9), 1141-1157.
- Bangladesh, Economic Relations Division, Ministry of Finance and Planning Commission. 2000. "Memorandum for Bangladesh Development Forum 2000-2001." Dhaka.
- Barrios, S., Ouattara, B., & Strobl, E. (2008). The impact of climatic change on agricultural production: Is it different for Africa?. *Food Policy*, 33(4), 287-298.
- Benson, C., & Clay, E. J. (1998). *The impact of drought on sub-Saharan African economies: a preliminary examination* (Vol. 401). World Bank Publications.
- Benson, C., & Clay, E. J. (1998). *The impact of drought on sub-Saharan African economies: a preliminary examination* (Vol. 401). World Bank Publications.
- Benson, C., & Clay, E. J. (2004). *Understanding the economic and financial impacts of natural disasters*. World Bank Publications.
- Binswanger, H. P., Khandker, S. R., & Rosenzweig, M. R. (1993). How infrastructure and financial institutions affect agricultural output and investment in India. *Journal of development Economics*, 41(2), 337-366.
- Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., Davis, I., & Wisner, B. (2004). *At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters*. Routledge.
- Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., I. and Wisner, B. (1994). *At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability, and disasters*. London: Routledge
- Boy, G., & Witt, A. 2013. *Invasive Alien Plant Management – Now an Essential Priority for Africa*, ISBN 978 1 78064 408 0.
- Brown, C., & Lall, U. (2006, November). Water and economic development: The role of variability and a framework for resilience. In *Natural Resources Forum* (Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 306-317). Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Brown, C., & Lall, U. (2006, November). Water and economic development: The role of variability and a framework for resilience. In *Natural Resources Forum* (Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 306-317). Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Brown, C., Meeks, R., Hunu, K., & Yu, W. (2011). Hydroclimate risk to economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa. *Climatic Change*, 106(4), 621-647.
- Chhibber, A., & Laajaj, R. (2008). Disasters, climate change and economic development in sub-saharan Africa: lessons and directions. *Journal of African Economies*, 17(suppl 2), ii7-ii49.
- Chijioke, O. B., Haile, M., & Waschkeit, C. (2011). Implication of Climate Change on Crop Yield and Food Accessibility in Sub Saharan Africa. *Interdisciplinary Term Paper, University of Bonn, Germany*.
- Codevilla, U. (1993). *Antes que las Aguas nos Alcancen*. RUMBOS.
- Dercon, S. (2001). Assessing vulnerability. *Publication of the Jesus College and CSAE, Department of Economics, Oxford University*.
- Dercon, S. (2002). Income risk, coping strategies, and safety nets. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 17(2), 141-166.
- Dercon, S. (2008). Fate and fear: Risk and its consequences in Africa. *Journal of African Economies*, 17(suppl 2), ii97-ii127.
- Diamond, J. (2005). *Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Survive*. New York: Penguin.
- Dore, M. H., & Etkin, D. (2003). Natural disasters, adaptive capacity and development in the twenty-first century. *Natural Disaster and Development in a Globalizing World*, 75-90.
- Dore, M. H., & Etkin, D. (2003). Natural disasters, adaptive capacity and development in the twenty-first century. *Natural Disaster and Development in a Globalizing World*, 75-90.
- Drabo, A., & Mbaye, L. M. (2011). *Climate change, natural disasters and migration: An empirical analysis in developing countries* (No. 5927). Discussion paper series//Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit.
- Dreze, J., & Sen, A. K. (1991). *Hunger and public action* (p. 392). Clarendon: Oxford.
- Easterly, W., Levine, R., & Roodman, D. (2003). *New data, new doubts: A comment on Burnside and Dollar's"*

- aid, policies, and growth"(2000) (No. w9846). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Elbers, C., Gunning, J. W., & Kinsey, B. (2007). Growth and risk: Methodology and micro evidence. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 21(1), 1-20.
- EM-DAT (Emergency Events Database). The OFDA/CRED International Disaster Database. Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels. <http://www.em-dat.net>.
- Engelstaedter, S., Tegen, I., & Washington, R. (2006). North African dust emissions and transport. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 79(1), 73-100.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). (1999). *The State of Food Insecurity in the World*. Rome: FAO.
- Ferris, E., Petz, D., & Stark, C. (2013). The Year of Recurring Disasters: A Review of Natural Disasters in 2012, *The Brookings Institution – London School of Economics Project on Internal Displacement*, 1775 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 USA.
- Forson, J. B., & Napier, T. L. (1998). Wind erosion control within Niger. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 53(2), 120-125.
- Froot, K. A. (Ed.). (2007). *The financing of catastrophe risk*. University of Chicago Press.
- Grey, D., & Sadoff, C. (2006, March). Water for growth and development. In *Thematic documents of the IV World Water Forum*, Mexico City: Comisión Nacional del Agua.
- Guillaumont, P. (2006). Macro Vulnerability in Low-Income Countries and Aid Responses. In *Securing Development in an Unstable World. Proceedings of the Annual Bank Conference on Development Economics, May. Amsterdam* (pp. 65-108).
- Hassan, H. M., & Luscombe, W. (1990). Remote sensing and technology transfer in developing countries. *Managing Natural Disasters and the Environment*.
- Hewitt, K. (1983). Interpretations of Calamity.
- Hewitt, K. (1983). Interpretations of Calamity. *London: Allen and Unwin*.
- Hulme, M., and M. Kelly. (1997). "Exploring the Links between Desertification and Climate Change." In *Environmental Management: Readings and Case Studies*, ed. L. Owen and T. B. H. Unwin. Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell.
- Ibarrarán, M. E., & Boyd, R. (2006). *Hacia el Futuro: Energy, economics and the environment in 21st century Mexico* (Vol. 26). Springer.
- Ibarrarán, M. E., Ruth, M., Ahmad, S., & London, M. (2009). Climate change and natural disasters: macroeconomic performance and distributional impacts. *Environment, development and sustainability*, 11(3), 549-569.
- IEG (Independent Evaluation Group-World Bank). (2006). Hazards of Nature, Risks to Development: An IEG Evaluation of World Bank Assistance for Natural Disasters. *IEG Study Series. Washington, DC: World Bank*.
- Ikeme, J. (2003). Climate change adaptational deficiencies in developing countries: the case of sub-saharan Africa. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 8(1), 29-52.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). (2001). *Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report*, ed.
- Kousky, C. (2012). Informing climate adaptation: A review of the economic costs of natural disasters, their determinants, and risk reduction options. *Resources for the Future*, 12-28.
- Kurukulasuriya, P., & Mendelsohn, R. (2006). *A Ricardian analysis of the impact of climate change on African cropland* (No. 8). CEEPA Discussion Paper.
- Lavell, A. (1999, July). The impact of disasters on development gains: clarity or controversy. In *Geneva: IDNDR Programme Forum*.
- MANU, I. N., BIME, M. J., FON, D. E., & NJI, A., 2014. Effects of Farmer-grazer Conflicts on Rural Development: a Socio-economic Analysis, *Scholarly Journal of Agricultural Science* Vol. 4(3), pp. 113-120.
- MOBOLAJI, H., HASSAN, A., SOFOLUWE, N., & ADEBIYI, S. (2011). Climate Change and Economic Development in Sub-Saharan African Countries: A Panel Econometric Approach. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 2(7), 19-34.
- MOBOLAJI, H., HASSAN, A., SOFOLUWE, N., & ADEBIYI, S. (2011). Climate Change and Economic Development in Sub-Saharan African Countries: A Panel Econometric Approach. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 2(7), 19-34.
- Molua, E. L. (2006). Climatic trends in Cameroon: implications for agricultural management. *Climate Research*, 30(3), 255-262.
- Morris, Arthur. (2003). "Understanding Catastrophe: The Landslide at La Josefina, Ecuador." In *Natural Disaster and Development in a Globalizing World*, ed. M. Pelling, London: Routledge. 157-69.
- Mortimore, M. (1998). *Roots in the African Dust*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Mortimore, M. (2000). *Profile of Rainfall Change and Variability in the Kando-Maradi Region, 1960-2000* (Vol. 25). Drylands Research.
- Moyo, T. 2013. Women and the Economy in Selected Countries in Southern Africa: A Reflection, *Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa & Association of African Historians*, 2013 (ISSN 0850-

3079).

- Ngoran, S. D., & XiongZhi, X. (2014). *The Socioeconomic and Environmental Implications of Urban Sprawl on the Coastline of Douala-Cameroon. Options for Integrated Coastal Management*. GRIN Verlag.
- Niasse, M. (2005, June). Climate-induced water conflict risks in West Africa: Recognizing and coping with increasing climate impacts on shared watercourses. In *an international workshop on human security and climate change. Holmen Fjord Hotel, Asker, near Oslo* (pp. 21-23).
- Nicholls, R. J., Hoozemans, F. M., & Marchand, M. (1999). Increasing flood risk and wetland losses due to global sea-level rise: regional and global analyses. *Global Environmental Change*, 9, S69-S87.
- Nordhaus, W. D. (2006). After Kyoto: Alternative mechanisms to control global warming. *The American economic review*, 31-34.
- Nordhaus, W. D. (2006). Geography and macroeconomics: New data and new findings. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(10), 3510-3517.
- Ozerdem, A. (2003). 13 Disaster as manifestation of unresolved development challenges. *Natural disasters and development in a globalizing world*, 199.
- Pelling, M. (2003). Toward a political ecology of urban environmental risk. *Political Ecology: an Integrative Approach to Geography and Environment-Development Studies*, New York: Guilford, 73-93.
- Pelling, M. (2003). *Natural disaster and development in a globalizing world*. Routledge.
- Rau, B. (1991). *From feast to famine: official cures and grassroots remedies to Africa's food crisis*. Zed Books.
- Re, S. (1999). Alternative risk transfer (ART) for corporations: A passing fashion or risk management for the 21st century. *Sigma*, 2, 1999. Swiss Reinsurance Company.
- Rehdanz, K., & Maddison, D. (2005). Climate and happiness. *Ecological Economics*, 52(1), 111-125.
- Robert Watson. *Cambridge, U.K., and New York: Cambridge University Press*.
- Rodrik, D., Subramanian, A., & Trebbi, F. (2004). Institutions rule: the primacy of institutions over geography and integration in economic development. *Journal of economic growth*, 9(2), 131-165.
- Rosenzweig, M. R., & Binswanger, H. P. (1992). *Wealth, weather risk, and the composition and profitability of agricultural investments* (Vol. 1055). World Bank Publications.
- Sachs, J. D. (2003). *Institutions don't rule: direct effects of geography on per capita income* (No. w9490). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Sanghi, A., & Mendelsohn, R. (2008). The impacts of global warming on farmers in Brazil and India. *Global Environmental Change*, 18(4), 655-665.
- Secretariat, U. N. C. O. D. (1977). Desertification: Its Causes and Consequences. *Selected Emerging Environmental Issues in Africa: Change and Correlation from a Geographic Perspective*. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme.
- Sen, A., & Dreze, J. (1989). Hunger and public action.
- Sen, A. 1981. *Poverty and Famines: an Essay on Entitlements and Deprivation*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Sendzimir, J., Reij, C. P., & Magnuszewski, P. (2011). Rebuilding Resilience in the Sahel: Regreening in the Maradi and Zinder Regions of Niger. *Ecology & Society*, 16(3).
- Sharma, N. P., Damhaug, T., Gilgan-Hunt, E., Grey, D., Okaru, V., & Rothberg, D. (1996). *African Water Resources; Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development* (No. 331).
- Shaw, T. M. (1987). "Towards a Political Economy of the African Crisis." In *Drought and Hunger in Africa: Denying Famine a Future*, ed. M. H. Glantz. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Singh, A., A. Dieye, M. Finco, M. S. Chenoweth, E. A. Fosnight, and A. Allotey. 1999. *Early Warning of* Stern, N. (Ed.). (2007). *The economics of climate change: the Stern review*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tomich, T. P., Cattaneo, A., Chater, S., Geist, H. J., Gockowski, J., Kaimowitz, D., ... & Vosti, S. A. (2005). Balancing agricultural development and environmental objectives: assessing tradeoffs in the humid tropics.
- Treasury, H. M. S. (2006). Stern review on the economics of climate change. *London: HM Treasury*, 30.
- UNDP (United Nations Development Program). (1997). *Aridity Zones and Dryland Populations: An Assessment of Population Levels in the World's Dry lands*. New York, NY: UNDP, Office to Combat Desertification and Drought.
- UNICEF (United Nations Children's Fund). (2002). *Mozambique: Energy Preparedness and Response Plan 2002*. New York: UNICEF.
- Walker, T. S., & Ryan, J. G. (1990). *Village and household economics in India's semi-arid tropics*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Wisner, B. (Ed.). (2004). *At risk: natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters*. Psychology Press.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:
<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

