

Towards Low Carbon Economy via Carbon Intensity Reduction in Malaysia

Khalid Abdul Rahim

Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Management, University Putra Malaysia

43400 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia

* E-mail: dr_khalid@upm.edu.my

Abstract

Reducing carbon intensity has been pledged by many countries at many previous UN talks. The objectives of this paper are to analytically review the trends in carbon emissions in the energy sector, to assess the potential reversibility of the growth of carbon emissions and carbon intensity in the energy sector through the use of renewable resources and recommend a roadmap to achieve a low carbon economy target by 2020 with implications on the development of renewable energy resources in the country. Given the GDP growth rate of 5% *per annum* Malaysia will fail to meet its target of CO₂ intensity reduction. However, Malaysia can still achieve its target CO₂ intensity by 2020 by reducing the growth in the total CO₂ emissions from the power generation sector from 5.79% *per annum* under the business-as-usual scenario to 0.785% *per annum*. Reductions in the rate of growth of carbon emissions from the power generation sector can be implemented through initiatives to increase the use of renewable energy resources. Emphasis has been given to the development of RE focusing on harnessing energy from resources such as solar, biomass, mini-hydro, wind and tidal energy.

Keywords: Carbon intensity, CO₂ emissions, Low carbon economy, Renewable Energy

1. Introduction

A Low Carbon Economy (LCE) or Low Fossil Fuel Economy (LFFE) is an alternative to minimize the output of GHG emissions, which specifically refers to carbon dioxide (CO₂), into the biosphere. Globally implemented LCE's therefore are proposed as a means to avoid catastrophic climate change, and as a precursor to the more advanced, zero-carbon society and renewable-energy economy. Malaysia is a party to several Multilateral Environment Agreements including the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC or the Convention) which was entered into force on February 16, 2005. Climate change is believed to have been caused by the accumulation of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the atmosphere due to anthropogenic activities.

Malaysia has seriously considered and integrated the environment as an important element in its planning processes, placing importance on environmental sustainability in its national policies and development plans. Serious efforts to ensure environmental sustainability in Malaysia started with the enactment of the Environment Quality Act (EQA) in 1974 which provided the legal basis for the protection and control of environmental pollution and the enhancement of environmental quality. Since then, environmental sustainability has been consistently addressed in Malaysia's development plans starting from the Third Malaysia Plan (1976-1980) up to the present Tenth Malaysia Plan (2011-2015).

On December 17, 2009 the Malaysian Prime Minister declared at the United Nations Climate Change Conference, 2009 during the 15th Conference of Parties (COP15) in Copenhagen that Malaysia will reduce its CO₂ intensity by up to 40% by the year 2020 conditional upon receiving the transfer of technology and adequate financing from the developed world. Reducing carbon intensity has been pledged by many countries at many previous UN talks. It is not about putting a cap on the absolute carbon emissions. Carbon intensity reduction leaves room for growth by allowing a limited increase of carbon emissions. There are many ways we can achieve the carbon-intensity target by 2020. With a growing economy, that may still allow emissions to rise, whereas an absolute cap would set a carbon ceiling. For example, China's current goal is to reduce emissions per dollar of economic output by 40-45% in 2020, from 2005 levels. Even China was not ready to announce a cap at the United Nations talks in Germany on June 3-14, 2013, where such a move might have spurred other nations to step up measures against global warming (Morales, 2013).

The general objective of this paper is to analytically review the trends in carbon emissions in the country and recommend a roadmap to achieve a low carbon economy target by 2020 with implications on the development of renewable energy resources in the country. This study embarks on the following objectives:

- 1) To assess the trend of carbon emissions and carbon intensity of various sectors in the economy, led by the power generation sector
- 2) To develop and recommend a schedule of carbon emissions and monitor its progress up to 2020 in meeting the committed levels
- 3) To assess the potential reversibility of the growth of carbon emissions and carbon intensity in the energy sector through the use of renewable resources.

2. CO₂ emissions problem

Carbon intensity is defined as carbon emission per unit of GDP. The concept ties to the economic development disparity and perceived equality. Using carbon intensity per dollar of GDP has been criticised by the World Resources Institute as this approach does not ensure absolute reductions of carbon emissions if GDP grows faster than intensity declines (Herzog, 2007). The U.S. National Environmental Trust (2002) labelled carbon intensity, "a bookkeeping trick which allows the U.S. administration to do nothing about global warming while unsafe levels of emissions continue to rise". Meeting the global greenhouse gas (GHG) emission goals currently pledged by countries under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) would still leave the world some 13.7 billion tonnes of CO₂ – or 60% – above the level needed to remain on track with the 2°C goal of temperature drop in 2035. Driving down global emissions of CO₂ by at least 50% by 2050 may be necessary to avoid the most dangerous impact of global climate change (IEA, 2010). To achieve these steep emission declines while supporting continued economic growth and expanded energy access, particularly in the world's emerging economies, the world's economies must rapidly decarbonise, reducing the amount of CO₂ produced for each unit of economic activity at greater than 4% per year (IEA, 2010). Even at a national scale, achieving a 4% per year or greater rate of decarbonisation is unprecedented in recent history, according to new analysis from the Breakthrough Institute, which examines historic decarbonisation rates among developed nations in the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (Jenkins, 2012). Compared to the long-term global historic 1971-2006 decarbonisation rate of 1.3% per year, only five nations achieved sustained decarbonisation rates more than double the long-term global average: Sweden (at 3.6% per year), Ireland (at 3.2%), the UK and France (each at 2.8%), and Belgium (at 2.6%). Six other nations achieved rates between 50-100% greater than the global average rate: Germany (2.5% per year), the United States, Denmark, and Poland (each at 2.3%), Hungary and the Netherlands (at 2.0 %). In recent years, Malaysia's carbon intensity has been decreasing by 3.16% per year between 2005 and 2009 while the long-term historic 1971-2006 rate of decarbonisation for comparison was impressive at 3.53% per year according to our estimation.

By the year 2020, Malaysia has committed to reduce the CO₂ emission intensity by up to 40 percent in comparison to the 2005 level (Bernama Press, 2009). The CO₂ emissions intensity as measured by kg per US\$ of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) for the base year of 2005 has shown a downward trend since 2005 at 1.2358 to 1.2109 in 2010. Despite an economic growth of 5-6 percent the Malaysian government is committed to reduce the CO₂ emissions intensity to 0.7415 kg per 2005 US\$ of GDP in 2020. Since CO₂ intensity is measured as CO₂ per GDP, the strategy to reduce the CO₂ intensity is to increase the GDP sufficiently while maintaining the total CO₂ emissions or allowing for limited increase in total CO₂ emissions. An alternative strategy is to reduce the total CO₂ emissions. Both strategies can lead to a reduction in CO₂ intensity to achieve the target in 2020, but not necessarily the absolute quantity of carbon emissions. A schedule is developed in this paper as a benchmark to monitor the actual annual emission of CO₂ for the country up to 2020.

3. Methodology

Time series data from the World Bank are used to study the trend in carbon dioxide emission as well as carbon intensity in the major sectors, especially the energy sector. The GDP for Malaysia will be projected to 2020 at the growth rate of 5-6%. Using the projected GDP the carbon intensity and carbon emissions will be scheduled targeting 2020 as the terminal year when the carbon intensity will be reduced to 60% of the 2005 level (i.e. a reduction by 40% of the 2005 level). The growth rates of carbon intensity and carbon emissions will be estimated using the Accounting Growth method, i.e.

$$A_n = A_0(1+r)^n$$

where A_n is the targeted CO₂ intensity in year 2020 and A_0 is the base year to be projected from 2010. Thus, r is computed as follows:

$$r = (A_n/A_0)^{1/n} - 1$$

Another method used in this analysis is the scenario approach. According to Schwartz (1996) "scenarios are tools for ordering one's perceptions about alternative future environments, and the end result might not be an accurate picture of tomorrow but can give better decisions about the future. No matter how things might actually turn out, both the analyst and the policy maker will have a scenario that resembles a given future, and that will have helped us think through both the opportunities and the consequences of that future". None of the existing policy models captures the full effects of climate change strategies. For this reason, our study uses the scenario approach for analysis.

Scenario 1 is defined as a "business-as-usual" (BAU) situation where a historical trend of the country's CO₂ emission is estimated and projected into the near future. Scenario 2 is defined as a planned schedule of CO₂ emission in meeting the targeted CO₂ intensity as pledged by the country. In both scenarios, the country's economic growth of 5% and 6% are assumed. A 5% growth in GDP is assumed as it is realistic and the country has consistently maintained growth at above this level for a long time. A 6% GDP growth is also assumed as the country has targeted this growth rate as achievable in the Vision 2020 policy. All of the required time-series data

are obtained from the World Bank website (World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/)) for the period of 1960-2012 or whenever it is the most recent.

4. Results and Analysis

Our analysis begins with a review of the trends in CO₂ emissions from the major sectors of the economy (section 4.1). Our “business-as-usual” scenario uses the estimated trend equations to forecast the time when the targeted CO₂ intensity as pledged can be achieved (section 4.2). The second scenario takes the pledged target as given and a schedule for CO₂ emission is developed to achieve the goal while maintaining a steady economic growth of 5-6% (section 4.3). Finally, our analysis focuses on the roadmap for the power generation sector (section 4.4).

4.1 CO₂ emissions from major sectors

Five major sectors that emit the most CO₂ from fuel combustion are: 1) electricity and heat production, 2) transportation, 3) manufacturing industries and construction, 4) residential buildings and commercial and public services, and 4) other sectors, excluding residential buildings and commercial and public services. The first three sectors are the largest contributors to CO₂ emissions since 1970s. The increase in CO₂ emissions is very evident for the three sectors beginning 1990 while the latter two sectors remained quite stable (Figure 1).




Figure 1. CO₂ emission trends from major sectors, Malaysia 1971-2010

4.1.1 Historical trend in CO₂ emissions from major sectors

Historically, the manufacturing industries and construction sector contributed the most (48.27%) to the CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion in the country in 1971 followed by the transport sector (31.81%). The electricity and heat production sector (or the power generation sector) contributed only 16.77% of the CO₂ emissions from total fuel combustion (Table 1). This situation is reversed by 2010 where the power generation sector is now contributing 54.94 % of the CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion growing at the rate of 11.15% annually during 1971-1990 period and 8.96% annually during 1990-2010. The transport sector remains second in its contribution to the CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion (22.94%) growing at the annual rate of 6.40% during 1971-1990 period and 5.69% during 1990-2010. The manufacturing industries and construction sector which contributed 48% in 1971 is now contributing 17.41% of the CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion in 2010. CO₂ emissions from this sector increased annually at 4.79% during 1971-1990 but slowed down to 3.79% annual growth by 2010. The residential buildings and commercial and public services sector contributed 3.15% of CO₂ emission in 1971 but had its share reduced to 2.97% in 2010 while the other sectors’ contribution had increased from 0% in 1971 to 1.75% in 2010.

Table 1. CO₂ emissions by sectors, 1971-2010 (million tonnes)


Sector	1971	1980	1990	2000	2009	2010
Electricity and heat production	2.13	8.05	18.28	48.15	87.13	101.64
<i>% of total fuel combustion</i>	<i>16.77</i>	<i>33.14</i>	<i>36.83</i>	<i>42.72</i>	<i>51.42</i>	<i>54.94</i>
<i>Annual growth rate</i>	<i>11.15%</i>			<i>8.96%</i>		
Transport	4.04	6.32	14.04	30.94	41.29	42.43
<i>% of total fuel combustion</i>	<i>31.81</i>	<i>26.02</i>	<i>28.28</i>	<i>27.45</i>	<i>24.37</i>	<i>22.94</i>
<i>Annual growth rate</i>	<i>6.40%</i>			<i>5.69%</i>		
Manufacturing industries and construction	6.13	8.6	15.3	29.35	35.55	32.21
<i>% of total fuel combustion</i>	<i>48.27</i>	<i>35.41</i>	<i>30.82</i>	<i>26.04</i>	<i>20.98</i>	<i>17.41</i>
<i>Annual growth rate</i>	<i>4.79%</i>			<i>3.79%</i>		
Residential buildings and commercial and public services	0.4	1.32	2.02	3.93	4.89	5.49
<i>% of total fuel combustion</i>	<i>3.15</i>	<i>5.43</i>	<i>4.07</i>	<i>3.49</i>	<i>2.89</i>	<i>2.97</i>
<i>Annual growth rate</i>	<i>9.01%</i>			<i>5.13%</i>		
Other sectors, excluding residential buildings and commercial and public services	0	9.71E-17	0	0.33	0.58	3.23
<i>% of total fuel combustion</i>	<i>0.00</i>	<i>0.00</i>	<i>0.00</i>	<i>0.29</i>	<i>0.34</i>	<i>1.75</i>
<i>Annual growth rate</i>	<i>0</i>			<i>11.62%</i>		
CO₂ Emission from total fuel combustion	12.7	24.29	49.64	112.7	169.44	185
<i>% of total CO₂ emissions</i>	<i>76.15</i>	<i>86.76</i>	<i>87.71</i>	<i>89.02</i>	<i>85.43</i>	<i>N.A.</i>
<i>Annual growth rate</i>	<i>6.97%</i>			<i>6.80%</i>		
Others from non-fuel combustion	3.98	3.71	6.95	13.90	28.91	N.A.
Total CO₂ emissions	16.68	28.00	56.59	126.60	198.35	N.A.
<i>Annual growth rate</i>	<i>6.28%</i>			<i>4.42%</i>		

Source: Data from World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/)

4.1.2 Recent trends in CO₂ emissions from major sectors

The general trends in CO₂ emissions show a sharp increase in the amount of CO₂ emissions from the three major sectors beginning 1990, viz. the power generation sector, the transportation sector and the manufacturing industries and construction sector, in that order. The power generation sector alone contributed more than half of the CO₂ emissions from the total fuel combustion in 2010. A trend-line of CO₂ emissions is fitted to each sector for the period 1990-2010 (Figure 2) and the equations including the total CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion and the total CO₂ emissions from fuel and non-fuel sources are presented in Table 2. Focus is given to the power generation sector as it is the single most important sector that has contributed to the problem of CO₂ emissions in the country and which has the vast opportunity to reduce the CO₂ emissions by utilizing renewable energy resources.

CO₂ emission trends from major sectors, Malaysia, 1990-2010



Source: Data from World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/)

Figure 2. CO₂ emission trends from major sectors, Malaysia 1990-2010

Table 2. Trend line equations for CO₂ emissions from major sectors, Malaysia 1990-2010

Major sectors	Trend-line equation	R ²
Electricity and heat production	$y = 20.77 + 1.01x + 0.1293x^2$	0.9902
Transport	$y = 9.549 + 2.2137x - 0.0282x^2$	0.9751
Manufacturing industries and construction	$y = 13.192 + 2.0659x - 0.0392x^2$	0.8515
Residential buildings and commercial and public services	$y = 1.7695 + 0.1771x - 0.0001x^2$	0.8846
Other sectors excluding residential buildings and commercial and public services	$y = 1.0584 - 0.1095x + 0.006x^2$	0.1108
Total CO ₂ emission from fuel combustion	$y = 46.339 + 5.3573x + 0.0677x^2$	0.9878
Total CO ₂ emission	$y = 60.343 + 6.2704x + 0.0482x^2$	0.9381

Source: Data from World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/)

4.2 Scenario 1: Business-as-usual schedule of CO₂ intensity reduction

Our business-as-usual scenario examines the current trends of CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion by the major sectors of the economy. The rate of growth of the total CO₂ emissions is observed to be increasing at an increasing rate beginning 1990, contributed mainly from the electricity and heat production sector, the transport sector and the manufacturing industries and construction sector. Hence, any attempt to reduce the total CO₂ emissions should focus on these three sectors. The trend line equations are used to forecast the total CO₂ emissions in meeting the target reduction in CO₂ emissions intensity under 5% and 6% economic growth

assumptions. With the business-as-usual scenario we determine whether the target CO₂ emissions intensity is achievable by the year 2020 as pledged.

4.2.1 Forecasted trends in CO₂ emissions from major sectors

The trend line equations as shown in Table 2, under the business-as-usual scenario, are used to forecast the CO₂ emissions and CO₂ intensity up to the year 2020, given the conservative 5% GDP growth. From 2012 onwards CO₂ emissions from the electricity and heat production sector (or the power generation sector) will grow at the rate of 5.79% *per annum*, (11.15% annually during 1971-1990 period and 8.96% annually during 1990-2010). The manufacturing and construction sector will see a negative growth (-0.13%) of CO₂ emissions (4.79% during 1971-1990; 3.79% during 1990-2010), while the transport sector will experience a small growth of 1.44% in the CO₂ emissions (6.40% during 1971-1990 period and 5.69% during 1990-2010). The other two sectors' contributions to CO₂ emissions are rather small and their growth rates are quite trivial. Most importantly, we might see the growth in the total CO₂ emissions of 3.42% from 2012-2020 (Table 3). Hence, given the GDP growth of 5% *per annum* the CO₂ intensity is computed for the years 2012-2020 where CO₂ intensity is defined as CO₂ emission divided by GDP in 2005 constant US\$. It is expected that between 2012 to 2020 the CO₂ intensity will decrease by 1.51% *per annum* to reach 0.865 kg per 2005 constant US\$ which is short of the target of 0.7415 kg per 2005 constant US\$. Thus, under the business-as-usual scenario and given the GDP growth rate of 5% *per annum* Malaysia will fail to meet its target of CO₂ intensity reduction.

Table 3. CO₂ emission forecast by sectors, 2012-2020 (million metric tonnes)

Year	Electricity and heat production	Manufacturing industries and construction	Transport	Residential buildings and commercial and public services	Other sectors excluding residential buildings and commercial and public services	Total CO ₂ emission	GDP (billion US\$) 5% (2011-2020)	CO ₂ intensity (kg/US\$)
	Trend line equations (million metric tonnes)							
	$y = 20.77 + 1.01x + 0.1293x^2$	$y = 13.192 + 2.0659x - 0.0392x^2$	$y = 9.549 + 2.2137x - 0.0282x^2$	$y = 1.7695 + 0.1771x - 0.0001x^2$	$y = 1.0584 - 0.1095x + 0.006x^2$	$y = 60.343 + 6.2704x + 0.0482x^2$		
2012	112.400	39.971	45.546	5.7899	1.714	230.083	235.5413698	0.9768275
2013	119.487	40.194	46.435	5.9623	1.886	238.621	247.3184383	0.9648336
2014	126.833	40.340	47.267	6.1345	2.071	247.256	259.6843602	0.9521386
2015	134.437	40.406	48.042	6.3065	2.267	255.986	272.6685782	0.9388186
2016	142.300	40.395	48.761	6.4783	2.476	264.814	286.3020071	0.9249452
2017	150.421	40.304	49.424	6.6499	2.696	273.737	300.6171074	0.9105852
2018	158.801	40.136	50.030	6.8213	2.929	282.758	315.6479628	0.8958011
2019	167.440	39.889	50.580	6.9925	3.173	291.875	331.4303610	0.8806514
2020	176.337	39.564	51.074	7.1635	3.430	301.088	348.0018790	0.8651904
Growth Rate (%) 2012-2020	5.79	-0.13	1.44	2.70	9.06	3.42	5.0	-1.51

Source: Data from World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/)

4.2.2 CO₂ intensity target forecast

Using the total CO₂ emission trend equation the CO₂ intensity schedule is computed under the GDP growth scenarios of 5% and 6% and results are presented in Table 4. As noted earlier with a GDP growth rate of 5% Malaysia will fail to reach the target CO₂ intensity by 2020. With the negative growth rate of 1.51% *per annum* the country will only achieve its target of CO₂ intensity of 0.7714 kg per 2005 constant US\$ sometime between 2027 and 2028 if the country's GDP grows at 5%. However, if the economy grows at 6% per annum it will achieve the said target of CO₂ intensity between 2022 and 2023. Then, the CO₂ intensity will be reduced by 2.43% *per annum*. Perhaps, Malaysia can achieve the target CO₂ intensity under the business-as-usual scenario by 2020 if the GDP grows at 6.9%. Otherwise, Malaysia can still achieve its target CO₂ intensity by 2020 by reducing the growth in the total CO₂ emissions below 3.42% which can come quite easily from slowing down the growth of CO₂ emissions from the power generation sector, which under the business-as-usual scenario is growing at the rate of 5.79% (refer Table 3).

Table 4. CO₂ intensity forecast, 2009-2025 (million metric tonnes)

CO ₂ intensity forecast, (business-as-usual), 2012-2028 with GDP growth at 5% and 6% per annum					
Year	Total CO ₂ emission	@ 5% GDP growth		@6% GDP growth	
	$y = 60.343 + 6.2704x + 0.0482x^2$	GDP (billion US\$)	CO ₂ intensity (kg/US\$)	GDP (billion US\$)	CO ₂ intensity (kg/US\$)
2012	230.083	235.5413698	0.9768275	237.7846209	0.9676121
2013	238.621	247.3184383	0.9648336	252.0516982	0.9467151
2014	247.256	259.6843602	0.9521386	267.1748001	0.9254447
2015	255.986	272.6685782	0.9388186	283.2052881	0.9038897
2016	264.814	286.3020071	0.9249452	300.1976053	0.8821312
2017	273.737	300.6171074	0.9105852	318.2094617	0.8602431
2018	282.758	315.6479628	0.8958011	337.3020294	0.8382926
2019	291.875	331.4303610	0.8806514	357.5401511	0.8163408
2020	301.088	348.0018790	0.8651904	378.9925602	0.7944427
2021	310.398	365.4019730	0.8494690	401.7321138	0.7726484
2022	319.804	383.6720716	0.8335345	425.8360406	0.7510025
2023	329.307	402.8556752	0.8174309	451.3862031	0.7295453
2024	338.906	422.9984589	0.8011989		
2025	348.602	444.1483819	0.7848765		
2026	358.394	466.3558010	0.7684987		
2027	368.283	489.673591	0.7520980		
2028	378.268	514.1572706	0.7357043		
Growth Rate (%) 2012-2025	3.42	5.0	- 1.51	6.0	-2.43

Source: Data from World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/)

4.3 Scenario 2: Planned schedule of CO₂ intensity reduction

In our analysis, we developed schedules to reduce CO₂ intensity using growth accounting procedure. Our schedule of CO₂ intensity reduction shows that the CO₂ intensity must be reduced by 4.01% *per annum* during 2012-2020 period in order to achieve the target of 0.7415 kg per US\$. We next developed schedules for total CO₂ emissions trend to be followed without identifying which sectors to contribute under three scenarios of 0%, 5% and 6% GDP growth (Table 5).

4.3.1 Total CO₂ emissions with zero GDP growth

Although unlikely, a scenario of 0% GDP growth is chosen as a benchmark to show how much and at what rate the total CO₂ emissions must be reduced to achieve the target CO₂ intensity. With zero GDP growth, obviously the total CO₂ emissions must be reduced. However, the rate of reduction is seemingly large at 4.01% per year, but considering the current trend from business-as-usual scenario where the total CO₂ emissions will be increasing at the rate of 3.42% during 2012-2020 period (see Table 3), the task of reversing the rate of growth in the total CO₂ emission is not easy.

4.3.2 Total CO₂ emissions with 5% GDP growth

A scenario of 5% GDP growth is real and highly certain to be achieved by Malaysia in each normal year. The schedule of CO₂ emissions shows that CO₂ emissions can keep on increasing but at a slower rate of 0.785% *per annum* compared to the business-as-usual rate of 3.42%, provided that the economy grows at 5% *per annum*. By 2020 the CO₂ emissions can reach 258.028 million tonnes while the GDP grows to US\$348.002b at 2005 constant US\$. Then, the CO₂ emissions intensity will be 0.7415 kg per US\$ which is the target level committed.

4.3.3 Total CO₂ emissions with 6% GDP growth

A scenario of 6% GDP growth is achievable by Malaysia over many normal years and is the intended growth that will uplift the country to achieve a developed status by 2020. If this is plausible, the CO₂ emissions can still be allowed to increase by 1.745% *per annum* and the target CO₂ emissions intensity of 0.7415 kg per US\$ can still be achieved by 2020. At that time, the CO₂ emissions will be 281 million tonnes and the GDP will be US\$378.993b at 2005 constant US\$.

Table 5. Planned schedule of CO₂ intensity reduction, 2012-2020

Planned schedule of CO ₂ intensity reduction 2012-2020 (with variable GDP growth, 2012-2020)							
Year	CO ₂ intensity kg/US\$	GDP growth at 0%		GDP growth at 5%		GDP growth at 6%	
		GDP 2005 US\$	Total CO ₂ kt	GDP 2005 US\$	Total CO ₂ kt	GDP 2005 US\$	Total CO ₂ kt
2005	1.235761825	1.43533E+11	177372.790	1.43533E+11	177372.790	1.43533E+11	177372.790
2006	1.133058915	1.50608E+11	170647.512	1.50608E+11	170647.512	1.50608E+11	170647.512
2007	1.141373327	1.71268E+11	195480.436	1.71268E+11	195480.436	1.71268E+11	195480.436
2008	1.131603322	1.85025E+11	209374.699	1.85025E+11	209374.699	1.85025E+11	209374.699
2009	1.163617734	1.70458E+11	198348.030	1.70458E+11	198348.030	1.70458E+11	198348.030
2010	1.116907514	2.01880E+11	225480.736	2.01880E+11	225480.736	2.01880E+11	225480.736
2011	1.072072347	2.24325E+11	240492.752	2.24325E+11	240492.752	2.24325E+11	240492.752
2012	1.029036964	2.24325E+11	230838.834	2.35541E+11	242380.776	2.37785E+11	244689.164
2013	0.987729117	2.24325E+11	221572.447	2.47318E+11	244283.623	2.52052E+11	248958.801
2014	0.94807946	2.24325E+11	212678.033	2.59684E+11	246201.408	2.67175E+11	253302.940
2015	0.910021429	2.24325E+11	204140.661	2.72669E+11	248134.249	2.83205E+11	257722.881
2016	0.873491132	2.24325E+11	195945.998	2.86302E+11	250082.264	3.00198E+11	262219.946
2017	0.838427243	2.24325E+11	188080.287	3.00617E+11	252045.573	3.18209E+11	266795.482
2018	0.804770897	2.24325E+11	180530.323	3.15648E+11	254024.294	3.37302E+11	271450.857
2019	0.772465592	2.24325E+11	173283.432	3.31430E+11	256018.550	3.57540E+11	276187.465
2020	0.741457095	2.24325E+11	166327.447	3.48002E+11	258028.462	3.78993E+11	281006.723
Growth rate (%), 2012-2020	-4.014	0.00	-4.014	5.00	0.785	6.00	1.745

Source: Data from World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/)

4.4 Carbon emissions roadmap for the power generation sector

Efforts must be taken to reduce the growth rate of CO₂ emissions from the power generation sector as it is the sector which is increasing at a very high growth rate of 5.79% if left to its business-as-usual trend for the period 2012-2020 (see Table 3). To compound the problem of total CO₂ emissions the power generation sector alone contributes almost 55% of the total CO₂ emissions from total fuel combustion in 2010 while the fuel combustion represents 85% of the total CO₂ emissions in the country in 2009 (see Table 1).

4.4.1 Carbon intensity reduction from power generation sector

Reduction in the carbon intensity can be achieved through an increase in GDP while allowing for a limited increase in the total carbon emissions. In this section we simulate the role of the power generation sector in reducing the total carbon intensity while allowing the other sectors to continue with their trend, i.e. business-as-usual. The carbon intensity schedule is developed to achieve the target pledged by 2020. Thus, the carbon intensity will be decreasing at the rate of 4.01% per year while the carbon emissions from other sectors are allowed to increase by 0.73% per year. The allowable carbon emissions schedule for the power generation sector is then developed under 5% and 6% plausible GDP growth rates. The results are displayed in Table 6.

With 5% GDP growth per year, to achieve the target carbon intensity of 0.7415 kg per US\$, the total allowable carbon emissions will reach 258 million tonnes, i.e. an annual increase of 0.79% from 2012 to 2020. Thus, with all other sectors' carbon emissions increasing by 0.73% under the business-as-usual scenario, the power generation sector is allowed to increase its total carbon emissions to 133.278 million tonnes for an increase by 0.84% per year beginning 2012. The power generation sector will have a daunting task to reduce its carbon emissions growth rate from 5.79% per year under the business-as-usual scenario to just 0.84% annually from 2012 to 2020.

The burden to the power generation sector will be lighter if the GDP grows by 6% per year. With this growth rate the total carbon emissions will be allowed to increase by 2.625% annually from 2012 to 281 million tonnes in 2020. And, under business-as-usual for all other sectors the power generation sector will be allowed to increase its carbon emissions to 156.256 million tonnes by 2020 or an increase by 2.625% over the 2012 to 2020 period compared to the 5.79% increase under the business-as-usual scenario. Reductions in the rate of growth of carbon emissions from the power generation sector can be implemented through initiatives to increase the use of renewable energy resources.

Table 6. Power generation sector CO₂ emissions plan (million tonnes)

Power generation sector CO ₂ emissions plan (million tonnes)								
Year	CO ₂ Intensity	Other sectors (BAU) CO ₂ emissions	GDP @5% growth			GDP @6% growth		
			GDP	Total CO ₂ emission	Power Generation sector CO ₂ emission	GDP	Total CO ₂ emission	Energy sector CO ₂ emission
	kg/US\$	million t	US\$b	million t	million t	US\$b	million t	million t
2005	1.235761825	106.573	143.533	177.372790	70.80000	137.953	177.372790	70.80000
2006	1.133058915	95.448	150.608	170.647512	75.20000	150.608	170.647512	75.20000
2007	1.141373327	113.720	171.268	195.480436	81.76000	171.268	195.480436	81.76000
2008	1.131603322	117.625	185.025	209.374699	91.75000	185.025	209.374699	91.75000
2009	1.163617734	111.218	170.458	198.348030	87.13000	170.458	198.348030	87.13000
2010	1.116907514	114.296	201.880	225.480736	111.185032	201.880	225.480736	111.185032
2011	1.072072347	116.071	224.325	240.492752	124.422056	224.325	240.492752	124.422056
2012	1.029036964	117.684	235.541	242.380776	124.697200	237.785	244.689164	127.005588
2013	0.987729117	119.134	247.318	244.283623	125.149279	252.052	248.958801	129.824457
2014	0.94807946	120.423	259.684	246.201408	125.778408	267.175	253.302940	132.879940
2015	0.910021429	121.550	272.669	248.134249	126.584705	283.205	257.722881	136.173337
2016	0.873491132	122.514	286.302	250.082264	127.568288	300.198	262.219946	139.705970
2017	0.838427243	123.316	300.617	252.045573	128.729277	318.209	266.795482	143.479186
2018	0.804770897	123.957	315.648	254.024294	130.067790	337.302	271.450857	147.494353
2019	0.772465592	124.435	331.430	256.018550	131.583950	357.540	276.187465	151.752865
2020	0.741457095	124.751	348.002	258.028462	133.277878	378.993	281.006723	156.256139
Growth rate	-0.0401	0.007316	0.05	0.00785	0.00835	0.06	0.01745	0.02625

4.4.2 Utilization of renewable energy resources

Malaysia's efforts to reduce carbon emissions may come from the vast potential in renewable energy (RE) resources. Energy-related CO₂ emissions represent approximately 85.4% of total Malaysian CO₂ emissions in 2009. As such, trends in energy-related CO₂ emissions have a significant impact on trends in the total CO₂ emissions. Thus, reduction in the total CO₂ emissions should focus on developing RE resources.

Malaysia is one of the few ASEAN countries (Philippines, Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam) which are blessed with most of the types of RE sources. The implementation of various policies and programs by the government has increased the awareness of the importance of the role of RE in a sustainable energy system (Rahim, K.A. and A. Liwan 2012). Apart from that, close cooperation within the countries in this region can also further promote the use of RE in order to fulfil the demands of energy worldwide. In general, the demand pattern for biomass as one of the RE sources is expected to increase steadily. Malaysia has great potential in biomass utilization as renewable resources. The major portion of this demand will come from the existing natural forest and planned plantations. However, the government has plans to maintain or increase the contribution of RE following the introduction of the Five Energy Policy where RE is expected to feature prominently in the country. This will mean that biomass sources and bio-diesel will play an important role in the national energy balance. In Malaysia, skyrocketing palm oil prices are crucial in efforts to promote bio-diesel fuel. Government officials say that unless world oil prices increase higher than \$82 a barrel, or plants achieve economies of scale, or palm oil prices receded they do not see bio-diesel plants to begin operation.

4.4.3 Renewable energy policy in the power generation sector

With energy-related CO₂ representing the majority of global GHG emissions, the fight against climate change has become a defining factor for energy policy-making throughout the world – but the implications are daunting. The Malaysian government has established energy policy to address issues of energy production, distribution, and consumption. Under the National Energy Policy launched in 1979, three principal energy objectives, which are instrumental in guiding the future energy sector development, were established, namely: the Supply, Utilization and Environmental Objectives. The National Energy Policy has been subjected to a number of revisions in tandem with the development and the ever changing scenarios. The Department of Electricity and Gas Supply acts as the regulator while other players in the energy sector include energy supply and service companies, research and development institutions and consumers. Petroliam Nasional Berhad and Tenaga Nasional Berhad are the major players in Malaysia's energy sector. Three government agencies and one non-

government organization (NGO) are actively involved in formulation of policies: Energy Commission, Ministry of Energy, Green Technology and Water, Malaysia Energy Centre and Centre for Environment, Technology and Development (Malaysia Ministry of Energy, Water and Communication, 2008, 2010).. Hence, with the energy policy moving towards increasing the utilization of RE the prospect of carbon intensity deceleration can be achieved earlier than expected through reduction in the total CO₂ emissions rather than through increasing GDP. Despite those initiatives the current utilization of RE resources in the electrical power generation is far below its market potential. Efforts need to be strengthened to develop a comprehensive approach in RE development by formulating and implementing a coherent national RE policy framework, policy instruments as well as financial tools and mechanisms (Shamsuddin, 2012). A review on existing and future energy sources for electrical power generation has revealed that Malaysia is in the midst of implementing a number of initiatives with regard to policy review, research and development (R&D) and applications in this area. Emphasis has been given to the development of RE focusing on harnessing energy from resources such as solar, biomass, mini-hydro, wind and tidal energy (Ali and Taib 2012). The use of RE plays an ever increasing role in meeting the requirements of Malaysia's energy security and the effects of climate change due to the greenhouse gases emission.

5. Conclusion

World energy consumption doubled between 1971 and now, bringing about a massive increase in carbon emissions. If things continue as they have, the planet will be well on its way to warming six degrees Celsius by 2100. That would mean life-threatening sea level rise, extreme heat waves, extreme storms, extreme droughts, massive collapses in land and marine-based food supplies, and the list goes on. If we are going to get below two degrees of warming the world carbon intensity will have to be cut by 5.7% from its 2010 levels by 2020, and by over 60% by 2050.

Malaysia has pledged to reduce its carbon dioxide emission up to 40 percent by the year 2020 compared to the 2005 levels subject to assistance from developed countries. The Prime Minister said that the cut was conditional on receiving the transfer of technology and adequate financing from the developed world. Malaysia's carbon intensity has been decreasing by 3.16% *per annum* between 2005 and 2009 but this rate is insufficient to reach the target reduction by 2020. Malaysia has to step up efforts to reduce the carbon intensity by 4.01% annually from 2012 to 2020 in our analysis in order to achieve the target by 2020. This can be done by reducing the annual growth rate of total carbon emissions from 3.42% to 1.75% if the GDP grows at 6% annually or to 0.79% if the economy grows at 5% per year.

The power generation sector can contribute to the reduction in the carbon intensity by reducing the annual rate of growth of its carbon emissions from 5.79% to 0.835% if the economy grows by 5% per year or to 2.625% if the economy grows at 6% per year under the business-as-usual scenario. This can be achieved if the sector intensifies its utilization of renewable energy resources to its fullest potential.

Acknowledgement

This paper has benefitted from Long Run Research Grant Scheme (LRGS) 2011–2014 funded by the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia for the Low Carbon Economy (LCE) Research Group.

References

- Ali, R., I. Daut and S. Taib (2012). A review on existing and future energy sources for electrical power generation in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16:4047– 4055.
- Bernama Press (2009): "Malaysia announces conditional 40% cut in emissions", Dec 17 2009, Copenhagen.
- Herzog, Tim (2007-04-27). "China's Carbon Intensity Target". World resources Institute. Retrieved 2013-07-20.
- IEA (2010). <http://www.iea.org/topics/climatechange/>. Retrieved 2013-07-20.
- Jenkins, Jesse (2012). Which Nations Have Reduced Carbon Intensity the Fastest? National Decarbonization, 1971 – 2006: *An Original Breakthrough Institute Investigation*, April 3, 2012. Retrieved 2013-07-20.
- Alex Morales (2013). "China sticks to carbon-intensity target, dismisses CO₂ cap". June 4, 2013. <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-06-04/china-sticks-to-carbon-intensity-target-while-dismissing-co2-cap.html>.
- Ministry of Energy, Water and Communication (2008). National renewable energy policy and action plan. Kuala Lumpur: Ministry of Energy, Water and Communication; 2008.
- Ministry of Energy, Water and Communication (2010). National renewable energy policy and action plan. Kuala Lumpur: Ministry of Energy, Water and Communication; 2010.
- Rahim, K.A. and A. Liwan (2012). Oil and gas trends and implications in Malaysia. *Energy Policy*, 50:262-271.
- Schwartz P. (1996). *The Art of the long view: planning in an uncertain world*. New York: Doubleday.
- Shamsuddin, A. H. (2012). Development of renewable energy in Malaysia strategic initiatives for carbon reduction in the power generation sector. *Procedia Engineering*, 49:384 – 391.
- World Bank website (data.worldbank.org/).

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:
<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

