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Abstract
This research discusses about the competency, knowledge and role ambiguity on job performance and the implications for PPAT performance. The method of research is used the descriptive analysis with using purposive sampling. Analysis tool used is SEM run with Lisrel software. This research found that influence of competency 0.38 knowledge 0.32, the influence of role ambiguity 0.45 against job performance. Influence of job performance on PPAT performance is 0.53. The analysis showed that the positive effect of role ambiguity on job performance greater than influence on the attractiveness of competency and knowledge.
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Introduction
Competencies are useful in order to enhance human performance at work (Hoffman 1999). One of the most well-known definition of competency and used by many scholars would probably be on the work by Boyatzis (1982) where competency was described as underlying characteristics of an individual, which are causally (change in one variable cause change in another) related to effective job performance. Therefore a job competency may be a motive, trait, skill, aspect of one’s self-image or social role, or a body of knowledge that an individual uses, and the existence and possession of these characteristics may or may not be known to the individual (Boyatzis,1982). McClelland (1973) claimed competencies and individual characteristics predicted successful job performance. Parry (1998) confirmed that competency correlates with job performance, which can be measured and enhanced through training. Similarly, job performance and competency have found to have positive relationship by Dhanakumars (2001) and Linders (2001). Similarly study by Heffernan and Flood (2000) found there is a positive relationship between competency and job performance while Armstrong (2006) suggested that competency could contribute to the high levels of performance between individuals as well as organization. Potluri and Zeleke (2009) had conducted a study among the fontliners revealed that most employees working at the front desk areas did not demonstrate most of the basic marketing competency indicators.

Further, job performance has always been regarded as important factor in employee management. Job performance has been associated with the ability of the individual employees realizing their respective work goals, fulfilling expectations as well as attaining job targets and/or accomplishing a standard that are set by their organizations (Eysenck, 1998; Maathis & Jackson, 2000; Bohlander et al., 2001).

Most people will immediately define job performance as what a person does at work. Different stages of job as well the complexity of a job can affect the overall performance of the jobholder (Murphy, 1989; Ackerman, 1997). This could mean that job performance as a construct can be defined in different ways due to the different stages and complexities of the job (Grubb, 1999). Sarmiento and Beale (2007) refer job performance as the result of two elements, which consist of the abilities and skills (natural or acquired) that an employee possesses, and his/her motivation to use them in order to perform a better job. Campbell et al (1993, pg. 40) define performance...“as synonymous with behavior. It is something that people actually does and can be observed. By definition, it includes only those actions or behaviours that are relevant to the organization's goals and that can be scaled (measured) in terms of each individual's proficiency (that is, level of contribution). Performance is what the organization hires one to do, and do well” (Campbell, 1990). Even though there were many attempts to introduce various frameworks of performance, Campbell’s definition of performance has been acceptable as the basic definition for performance (Borman et al., 1997; Motowidlo et al., 1997; Schmitt & Chan, 1998).

Meanwhile, Campbell’s (1990) model makes clear distinctions among performance components, performance determinants, and the antecedents of performance determinants. Performance components refer to the performance dimensions that constitute various parts of the overall job performance. Campbell posited that the performance components is a function of three performance determinants which are the declarative knowledge, procedural and skills knowledge and motivation (Campbell, 1990; Campbell et al., 1993: pg. 43) . These are the direct determinants of performance, which are the focus of this study.

In detail, declarative knowledge includes knowledge about facts, principles, goals and self- knowledge, which represents an understanding of a given task’s requirements. Procedural knowledge and skill includes cognitive skills, psychomotor skills, physical skills, self-management skills, and interpersonal skills. Motivation
is a combined effect from three choice behaviours: the choice to perform, the level of effort, and the persistence of the effort (Campbell et al., 1993). In other words, in order to perform the behaviors in one of the dimensions, a person needs to know what to do (absence of ambiguity), how to do it (having the right competency) and possess the desire (motivation) to do it.

Based on the problem, it can be arranged research questions as follows:
1. What influence of competency of the job performance?
2. What influence of knowledge to job performance?
3. What influence of role ambiguity to job performance?
4. What influence of job performance to the PPAT performance?

Based on the basis theoretical concepts and the results of previous studies prepared framework empirical in this research as in Figure 1.
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The formulations of the hypothesis in this research are as follows:
H1: competency effect job performance positively
H2: knowledge effect job performance positively
H3: role ambiguity effect job performance positively
H4: job performance effect PPAT performance positively

**Literature Review**

**Competency**

A competency is an individual characteristic that can be measured or counted reliably and that can be shown to differentiate significantly between superior and average performers, or between effective and ineffective performers. Meanwhile, competency can be described as a set of behavior patterns that an incumbent needs to bring to a position in order to perform its tasks and functions in the delivery of desired results or outcomes (Bartram, et al., 2002; Woodruffe, 1992). Spencer and Spencer (1993) viewed competency as “an underlying characteristic of an individual that is causally related to criterion-referenced effective and/or superior performance in a job or situation” (p. 9).

They identified five types of competency characteristics consisting of motives, traits, self-concept, knowledge and skills. First, motives are the things that an individual consistently thinks about or wants that stimulate action. Motives drive, direct and select behavior toward certain actions or goals and away from others. Second, traits are physical characteristics and consistent responses to situations or information. Third, self-concept is an individual’s attitudes, values or self-image. Fourth, knowledge is the information that an individual has in specific content areas. Finally, skill is the ability to perform a certain physical or mental task.

**Knowledge**

Generalization includes applying knowledge that has been discovered, invented, and produced to other relevant situations. It includes processes for integrating and disseminating knowledge across space and time. Reflecting on experience can help members to integrate new learning with current knowledge (Garvin, 1993; Wildavsky, 1972). Moreover, training and development activities can generalize knowledge across people in the organization. Codification, standardization, and institutionalization processes can generalize knowledge across people and time, through embedding it in an organization’s systems, procedures, and products (Nelson & Winter, 1982; Walsh & Ungson, 1991). Knowledge in this research is focus on Organization knowledge which is consists of three elements: skills, cognitions, and systems (Snyder & Cummings, 1995). Further, Knowledge and skill
competencies tend to be visible and relatively surface characteristics, whereas self-concept, traits and motive
competencies are more hidden, deeper and central to personality. Surface knowledge and skill competencies are
relatively easy to develop and training is the most cost-effective way to secure those employee abilities (Spencer
& Spencer, 1993).

Role ambiguity
Role Ambiguity is defined as “the absence of satisfactory information which is required in order for persons to
accomplish their role in a satisfactory manner” (Zhao & Rashid, 2010). Meanwhile, Meyerson (1991) says that
ambiguity could exist inside the organization and also it is possible that ambiguity may exist inside the
individuals own cultural experience. In the same way different individuals in the organization can also
experience different ambiguity types at unusual times. The Bedeian and Armenakis (1981) identified four
dimensions of the role ambiguity which are goal or expectation ambiguity, process ambiguity, priority and
behavior ambiguity.

Ahmed (1998) suggested that without the role ambiguity, innovation is very difficult for the New
Product Development (NPD), so in this condition the organization needs to encourage the culture for innovation
and the organization must able to operate under the situation of ambiguity and the managers must be able to
accept and handle. Kim and Wilemon (2002) stated that it is very important to recognize the nature of
uncertainty for the New Product Development (NPD).

Further, Brun et al., (2009) stated that there are two types of ambiguity one is subject ambiguity and
other is resource ambiguity. The subject ambiguity consists of product, market, process and organizational
resources while in the resource ambiguity multiplicity, novelty, validity and reliability are included.

Job performance
Kenney et al. (1992) stated that employee's performance is measured against the performance standards set by
the organization. There are a number of measures that can be taken into consideration when measuring
performance for example using of productivity, efficiency, effectiveness, quality and profitability measures
(Ahuja 1992) as briefly explained hereafter. Campbell”s (1990) model makes clear distinctions among
Performance components refer to the performance dimensions that constitute various parts of the overall job
performance. Campbell posited that the performance components is a function of three performance
determinants which are the declarative knowledge, procedural and skills knowledge and motivation (Campbell,
1990; Campbell et al., 1993: pg. 43). These are the direct determinants of performance, which are the focus of
this study.

Method
This research is using descriptive analysis which aims to test the four (4) research hypothesis that has been
determined. Object research is the employee and the management of PPAT in Indonesia. Random sampling from
populations in this research is the employee and the management of PPAT, which is also, participates in making
process PPAT performance is, as well as living in Indonesia.

For taking sampling, researcher using opinion of Hair, et al.( 2010) then the number of the sample used
in this study was 100 sample. The sampling technique used in this study is non probability sampling. the random
purposive sampling method,( Ferdinand, 2006, p. 195-196). Data obtained from the questionnaire were analyzed
using tables, simple percentages, cross tabulations, charts, Pearson’s Product Moment Correlation (PPMC). Data
which contain variables were measured using a 5-point Likert scale (Malhotra, 2010) In order to effectively
carryout inferential analysis, the items coded for descriptive analysis were transformed into dummy variables
Statistical computation was done with the aid of SPSS 17.0 for Windows and SEM by using Lisrel 8.0.

Results and Discussion
Analysis of data processing is using Structural Equation Model (SEM) in Full analysis model which is
intended to test models and the hypothesis that was developed in the study. The results of data processing for
analysis full model SEM can be seen in Table 1. From the result of data processing, researcher summarized
result for the SEM analysis which is have Good fit value as table 1 below.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goodness-Of-Fit Index</th>
<th>Cut-off Value</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chi-Square</td>
<td>105,267; df=83</td>
<td>99,792</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.95</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>&gt;0.95</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>&gt;0.08</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the calculation results through the structural equation model by Lisrel of the hypothesis in this study can be accepted (as seen in the picture. 1), the results in compliance criteria goodness of fit; chi square= 99, 792 probability = 0, 101; CMIN/ DF = 1, 202; GFI =0, 908; TLI = 0, 968; CFI = 0, 975; RMSEA = 0, 040.

The results of data processing in the overall model shows that all indicators used to form research model is in compliance criteria in the goodness of fit (table 1). All the goodness of fit shown in column results if data in compliance with most of the conditions where these values in the value range requirements shown in the column cut of value.

In the test the feasibility of full model- Structural Equation Model (Table 1), there is the criteria goodness of fit the marginal the AGFI ie by 0, 866, and this under the requirements AGFI ≥ 0, 90, it is due to some other factors that can affect the study variables that have not been put into variable in this study.

Hypothesis Testing

In this step, testing is based on result of data processing with SEM analysis to prove purposed hypothesis in this research. Hypothesis Testing is done by analyze result of data processing for C.R. value and P value as table 2. C.R. value and P value should fill condition ± 1.96 and ≤ 0.05. Based on all result of testing, all hypotheses are accepted.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Est.</th>
<th>S.E</th>
<th>C.R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job performance ← Competency</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>2.185</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job performance ← Knowledge</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>2.243</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job performance ← Role ambiguity</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>2.109</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPAT performance ← Job performance</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>4.184</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hypothesis Testing I

Hypothesis I in this research is competency has a positive effect on job performance. Parameter estimation of the perceived quality on the product buying interest showed significant positive results with the value of CR = 2.185; CR ≥ 2.00 with a probability of 0.018. Therefore, the probability value of <0.05, it can be concluded that the variables affect the competency on job performance. Thus the first hypothesis acceptable means to positively influence the competency of the job performance. It is means **Hypothesis I is accepted**

Hypothesis Testing II

Hypothesis II in this research is knowledge has a positive effect on job performance. Parameter estimation between knowledge against job performance showed significant positive results with the value of CR = 2.243; CR ≥ 2.00 with a probability of 0.031. Therefore, the probability value of <0.05, it can be concluded that the variables affect the knowledge on job performance. Thus the second hypothesis acceptable means to positively influence the knowledge of the job performance. It is means **Hypothesis II is accepted**

Hypothesis Testing III

Hypothesis III in this research is role ambiguity has a positive effect on job performance. Parameter estimation between role ambiguity against job performance showed significant positive results with the value of CR= 2.109; CR ≥ 2.00 with a probability of 0.013. Therefore, the probability value of <0.05, it can be concluded that the variables affect the role ambiguity on job performance. Thus the third hypothesis acceptable. It is means **Hypothesis II is accepted**

Hypothesis Testing IV

Hypothesis IV in this research is job performance has a positive effect on PPAT performance.
Parameter estimation between job performance against PPAT performance showed significant positive results with the value of CR=4.184; CR > 2.00 with a probability of 0.000. Therefore, the probability value of <0.05, it can be concluded that the variables affect the job performance on PPAT performance. Thus the fourth hypothesis acceptable. It is means **Hypothesis IV is accepted**

After testing hypotheses, some summarized can be derived from the results of this study was:

1) Competency is one of factor in influencing job performance in this study. Effect of competency to job performance of PPAT employee is 0.38. The increase competency can be done by means always develop Human research’s competencies. This result is also proved previous research which is stated that competency effect job performance positively.

2) Knowledge is the second factor affecting the job performance in this study. Knowledge can be achieved through skill and training meaningful, this result is also proved previous research which is stated that knowledge effect job performance positively.

3) Role ambiguity is the important factor that has a positive influence on job performance, in this study role ambiguity influence the high than other dependent variables. This result is also proved previous research which is stated that role ambiguity effect job performance positively.

4) PPAT performance influenced by job performance. This result is also proved previous research which is stated that job performance effect PPAT performance positively.

In order to improve the job performance then PPAT employee should try to improve the job performance in a way to do training activities and skill development to improve not only the competency but also another. Improve knowledge especially through the indicator skill appear and knowledge though training with role ambiguity.

**Conclusion**

This study carried out to answer research problems that are increasing PPAT performance can be done by increasing the job performance of employee. The increase in job performance, PPAT can be done by creating and improve the competency and knowledge of employee. The creation and increased competency and knowledge both in products and service are the process of the realization of an increase in job performance that can encourage the realization of PPAT performance. The results of this study proves that job performance which was built- based role ambiguity able to direct and in the end will encourage the achievement of job performance the appropriate target. In other words, role ambiguity is directly affecting the job performance.
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