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Abstract
This study and investigated the determinants of domestic savings in West Africa during 1980-2006. The theoretical foundation for this study is anchored on Hall hypothesis of consumption. The Hall hypothesis states that consumption is a function of lifetime ("permanent") income, rather than income in each period independently. The model assumes that capital markets are perfect and the interest rate varies over time across countries and consumers have rational expectations regarding the income- generating process. Thus, the empirical results are as follows: The size of effect of the dependency ratio, and interest rate on domestic savings is found out negative and insignificant, growth of GDP though positive but statistically insignificant, only the government budget surplus and inflation rate are found to be statistically significant. The development of West Africa financial market has a positive effect on savings, and finally, the real interest rate, and terms of trade have insignificant impact on the level of saving in West Africa.
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1. Introduction
The important role of savings has been stressed in both developed and developing countries due to possible distortion of aggregate savings, the role of savings as a measure of economic performance and the central issue of mobilizing domestic savings in less-developed countries have always engaged the attention of development economists due to the continued unsatisfactory growth performance and the high incidence of poverty in several of the countries. Domestic savings is seen as a means of increasing investment, which in turn enhances economic growth through capital formation.

Understanding the nature of national savings behavior is critical in designing policies to promote savings and investment. It is therefore not surprising that the analysis of saving behaviour has become one of the central issues in empirical macroeconomics (Jappelli and Pagano, 1998). Along with the recent revival and the consequent expansion of the literature on macroeconomic growth, interest in the saving’s determinants underwent an upsurge attention (Ozcan et al., 1998). Deaton, 1989; Jappelli and Pagano, 1998; Ozcan, 2000; Schmidt-Hebbel et al., 2000 and Elbadawi and Mwega, 2000 further stressed the important of saving behaviour in developing countries. Among other things, the long-debated relationship between saving and the level of growth rate of income has provided a strong stimulus for analyzing the determinants of saving more thoroughly in most countries of the world.

Saving rates display considerable variation across countries of Africa and over time. A comparison of West Africa rate of savings, with that of other regions of Africa, shows that the region has performed poorly throughout, the period of study. In the period 1980-1985, the North Africa had the highest savings rate of 22.1%, Middle Africa follows at a distant rate, with 9.9 per cent. West Africa savings rate stayed at a very disturbing low rate of 6.1 per cent. Although, the savings rate in West African increased from 6.6 percent in 1980-85 to 7.8 per cent in the period 1985-1990, the region continued to trail behind all other regions except South Africa. The period of 1990-1995 and 1995-2000, still left West Africa trailing behind North and Middle Africa sub-regions. Even, in the recent period of 2000-2006 when most countries witnessed some growth the rate of savings in West Africa was still below 10 per cent.

Table 1: Annual Average Gross Domestic Saving by Region percent share of GDP (1980-2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Africa</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Africa</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation from The World Bank, African Development Indicators.(Various issues)
However, not only have questions been raised regarding the significance of the savings effort as an independent determinant of economic progress, but the formulation of policies designed to increase savings has suffered from a dearth of knowledge regarding the variables that determine savings in developing countries especially in African countries. Notwithstanding, some efforts have been made at examining the policy and non-policy variables that determine savings behaviour in both developed and developing countries needed to support growth and to finance domestic investment and reduce the reliance and associated risks from having to borrow abroad. The rest of this paper is formatted thus: section 2 look at the savings trend in Africa countries and the saving performance in West Africa countries. Section 3 states the problem statement. Section 4 is the literature review. Section 5 discusses the methodology. Following is the section 6 which state the estimation procedure while section 7 states the data source and section 8 discusses the empirical result. Finally, section 9 gives the summary, conclusion and policy recommendation.

2. Savings Trends in Africa: A Stylised Fact

There are no hard and fast rules on the determination of how well national and domestic savings should perform in any given year. Thus, in discussions of how well savings are doing in any economy, the standard is usually to compare that economy to other economies of similar size and structure, or to compare the same countries’ savings performance over time, or even to compare actual performance to planned performance. In this section we shall focus our discussion by looking at the saving in West Africa in relation to other regions in Africa$^4$. West Africa’s savings performance is far below that of North and Middle Africa. The general picture is depicted in Figure 4.1 below. As a region, some of the best saving rates in West Africa may be found in Nigeria, and Cote d’Ivoire where the gross domestic saving rate has averaged in Nigeria17.5 percent in 1980-89, 24.0 percent in 1990-1999 and 35.6 percent in 2000-2005 respectively and Cote d’Ivoire with an average gross domestic saving rate of 19.6 percent, 17.8 percent and 20.8 percent in 1980-1989, 1990-1999 and 2000-2005 respectively. These are by all accounts outliers in the region where some of the countries have domestic saving rates of fewer than 5 percent of GDP and sometimes negative savings. Their high saving rates can be attributed to their being relatively small economies except in the case of Nigeria with large oil exports. Despite the economic reforms that many African countries attempted in the last decade, there is little evidence of these having a major impact on savings and investments in countries (World Bank 1994). It is important to emphasise that West Africa is not an undifferentiated whole. For example the annual average saving rate during 2000-2006, was far greater than that of the East Africa. South Africa saving rate was negative between 1980-1984. This was due to economy crisis in the country. There was a sharp increase during 1985-1989 and 1990-1994 while between 1995-1999 there was a sharp decrease as a result of political crisis in the economy in 1997. The challenges posed by those demands on the domestic economy were enormous, and it is not surprising that not much progress has been made in that direction. Indeed very few countries have ever achieved their savings targets. In contrast, we note that the fast growing North African economies recorded average saving rates of about 22.1 and 22.6percent during 1980-1984 and 1985-1989 and decline during 1990-1994.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Annual average Gross Domestic Saving of African region percent share of GDP (1980-2006)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Africa</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Africa</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author’s computation from The World Bank, African Development Indicators. (Various issues)


Middle Africa: Angola Cameroon Central African Republic Chad Congo Democratic Republic of the Congo Equatorial Guinea Gabon Sao Tome and Principe

Northern Africa: Algeria Egypt Libyan Arab Jamahiriya Morocco Sudan Tunisia Western Sahara

Southern Africa: Botswana Lesotho Namibia South Africa Swaziland

Western Africa: Benin Burkina Faso Cape Verde Côte d’Ivoire Ghana Guinea Guinea-Bissau Mali Niger Nigeria Senegal Sierra Leone Liberia and Mauritania The Gambia Togo

Note: data on West Africa, excludes Liberia and Mauritania due to unavailability of data
2.1. Savings Performance Within West Africa Countries

Table 2 below provides trends of gross domestic saving in West Africa over the period 1980-2006. Ghana has had a very low average domestic saving rate of about 4.8 percent of GDP between 1980-1989. Indeed, Ghana’s saving rate only rose from 4.8 percent to 7.5 percent after a decade of reforms. Nigeria as the giant of Africa has the highest saving performance throughout the period. The first noticeable reason could be the steady growing rate of savings in Nigeria, Africa’s largest oil producer. One of the characteristics of all the data on domestic saving rates is that they declined for most countries in the period 1980-89 and have not seen a revival yet. (Elbadawi and Mwega 1998). The region indeed boasts of some contrasts in country performance with savings. In Cape Verde, aggregate savings ratios remained strikingly low throughout the period of adjustment. This may be attributed to the huge losses made by public enterprises as the majority of the populations are found in industries. Nigerian trends with aggregate savings have been interesting and different from the situation in many other countries. It first experienced a general decline during 1990-1999, but this was reversed after that. While this was happening, however, increasing debt service payments widened the gap between national and domestic savings after 1986. The domestic savings ratio rose to more than 30% in 2000-2005, an increase that was largely accounted for by private savings as government savings fell.

In Ghana, for example, under a program for accelerated growth in the midst of Bank supported reforms that would transform the economy into a middle-income economy by the year 2020, it was programmed to achieve an annual growth rate of 8% for the rest of the century which would require an investment/GDP ratio of 25 percent, assuming the ICOR remained at 3 percent. This would push the required domestic savings/GDP ratio to 20 percent, assuming foreign savings remained at 5 percent (World Bank 1993). Cote d’Ivoire has seen an impressive growth of its savings rate which also went beyond 15 percent, indeed reaching 20 percent in 2000-2005. Nigeria performance in West Africa was far higher than the African average at 22.4 percent in 2000-2005. In Guinea, for instance, witnessed an increase in saving rate over 1980-1999. This can be attributed to the increase in the agricultural sector of the economy. While in 2000-2006, there is a reduction in the volume of saving in the country.

Guinea Bissau witnessed a negative saving in 1980-1989 and 2000-2006 but there was a slight increase in during 1990-1999. This can be due the revival in their agricultural product as most of the population in agriculture. Saving performance in Sierra Leone and Togo witnessed an increase of 9.1 and 12.3 respectively and this was expected to grow but declined continuously and Sierra Leone recorded negative of -7.6 percent between 2000-2006. In Sierra Leone for instance, brutal civil war convulsed the country in the 1990s. The civil war decimated the infrastructure and precipitated an economic depression that resulted in a sharp decrease in their GDS. Between 1990 and 1999, the GDS declined at an annual average rate of 2.9 percent. Since the ending of the conflict in 2001, the economy was expected to recover and bring about an increase in their GDS but the GDS was negative between 2000-2006

Also, from table 4.2 below Senegal saving rate was below 5 percent between 1980-1989 but witnessed an increase of 5.4 and 9.0 percent between 1990-1999 and 2000-2006 respectively. This was due to their increase in FDI and tourism also serves as a major source of earning between this periods. Mali for instance witnessed a negative -0.4 percent between 1980-1989. This also can be due to a decrease of 0.5 percent in their GDP during the period as we see in table 1.2. Hence an increase in GDP between 1980-1989 and 2000-2005 also led to a sharp increase of 7.6 and 11.5 percent between 1990-1999 and 2000-2006 in their saving respectively.

Niger saving rate was 7.3 percent between 1980-1989 but surprisingly declined to 2.7 percent between 1990-1999 and later increase to 5.6 percent between 2000-2006. One of the noticeable characteristics of the saving rates of West Africa countries is pro-cyclical. Some witnessed an increase in the first period and decrease and rise again in the last period. This can be traced to the income level of the countries and the economic situation during the period.
3. Research Problem

Over the last three decades, the world has witnessed a marked divergence in saving rates and this has been particularly dramatic within the African countries. Saving rates have risen steadily in East Asia, stagnated in Latin America and have been volatile in Sub-Saharan Africa, North Africa and deteriorating in South Africa and steadily increased in West Africa. These regional saving disparities have been closely matched by divergence in growth experience. (Schmidt-Hebbel et al, 1989). In recent years, there have been several studies on the determinants of saving both in developed and developing countries. Some of these studies often focus on the determinants of private and household saving rates. Such studies include Schmidt-Hebbel et al (1992, 2000), Elbadawi and Mwega (2000), Masson et al (1995) and Ogaki et al (1996).

Some of the countries in West Africa witnessed increase in saving rates due to an increase in the rate of growth. For instance, Burkina Faso witnessed an increase of 2.7 per cent from 1980-1989 to 7.6 per cent and falls to 5.0 per cent from 2000-2005 as a result of decline in GDP during the period. Nigeria recorded 17.5 per cent from 1980-1989 and doubled from 2000-2005 to 35.6 per cent. Togo saving rates was 12.3 per cent from 1980-1989 and declined to 6.7 per cent to 2.4 per cent between 1990-1999 and 2000-2005 respectively. Cape Verde saving was negative throughout the period. This large variation in saving performance across West Africa countries and over time raises a number of questions. Why do saving rates differ so much across the region? How much do policies (such as interest rate, government budget surplus, inflation, financial depth, income level,) and non-policy (such as demographic variables, terms of trade,) variables determine the saving rates in West Africa?

Perhaps, from the policy perspective, there are serious questions about the size, sometimes about the sign and the effects of policy variables on saving rates across the countries. What would be the most effective policy in raising domestic saving across West Africa regions? Hence, this study sought to investigate the determinants of saving in West Africa countries over the period 1980-2006.

4. Literature Review

There are considerable numbers of studies on the determinants of saving in both developed and developing countries. The review provided in this section focus only on studies relating to African countries.

In the work of Aryeetey (1995), using the data set over the period 1960-1992, he divided the saving in Ghana into two: financial saving and non-financial saving. It was found that real deposit rates have no perceptible influence on rural household saving in Ghana. Aryeetey argued that uncertainty and depression of the investment climate, and high rates of inflation have a significant effect in determining savings in the country, while lack of confidence in banks solvency, high transaction costs and inadequate banks in rural areas all responsible for a decline in financial saving.

Borrowing from these various strands of analyses, Ogaki, Ostry and Reinhart (1996) conducted a study on the determinants of household saving behaviour for Low-and Middle-income developing Countries in Africa. The main finding of the analysis was that the level of saving and the responsiveness of saving to the real interest rate could be explained by the country’s income level. The result then suggested that higher saving rates may not be forthcoming, even with relatively large increase in real interest rates, if the country is at the lower end of the income spectrum. Also, the growth effect of higher interest rates would also tend to be relatively small for relatively poor countries.

Chete (1999) found that private saving in Nigeria is only affect by the ratio of broad money (M2) to GDP, thereby retarding the potential payoffs from efforts at financial deepening. This particular finding accentuates the need to rethink current preoccupation with financial deepening as the route to growth in an enhanced savings mobilization. According to Olusoji (2003) he maintained that savings in Nigeria is being influenced by income, government deficit and inflation rate while exchange rate appeared to be the most significant determinant at 1%. While interest rate has no effect on private saving in Nigeria and that GDP growth rate has a positive impact. With respect to determinants of financial saving in Nigeria. Uremadu (2007) using ordinary least square (OLS) noted that GDP per capita income, interest rate spread (SLS), broad money supply (M2) and debt service ratio (DSR) are the main determinants of financial saving in Nigeria.

The study conducted by Elbadawi and Mwega (2000) for Sub-Saharan Africa and other region such as Latin America, East Asia and Caribbean found that gross private domestic income, the growth of gross private domestic income per capita and the growth in the term of trade have positive impact in determining the rate of saving in the countries understudied. Public saving is negative and highly significant with an offset of about -0.6 percent. This shows that a rise in public saving by 10 percent will reduce private saving by 6 percent. The results also showed that government consumption has a positive and significant coefficient, implying that private sector places positive value on government consumption. In comparison with other regions, the authors concluded that the main factor driving savings in Asian economies are income per capita, young dependency ratio and increase in public saving.
The work of Ozcan (2000) for a sample of 15 Middle East and North African countries (MENA) over the period of 1981-1994, showed that real capita income level has positive impact on private saving rate, which implies that the more advanced countries tend to save a higher percentage of their GDP, the higher the real capita growth rate relative to saving which support the vicious cycle hypothesis that increase in growth rate of real capita income increases saving to even higher growth. From a policy point of view, the study suggest that private credit, real interest rates and financial depth, M2/GNP has positive influence but statistically insignificant with 0.05. In South Africa, Odhiambo (2007) examined the key determinants of domestic savings using the cointegration based error-correction model. The study was motivated by the current low and declining saving rate in South Africa. The empirical results of this study, which cover the period 1968-2004, indicate that savings in South Africa are largely determined by the growth rate of real GDP, foreign savings, real deposit rate, government expenditure and terms of trade.

5. Methodology
5.1. Theoretical Framework
Most of the studies that have been done on savings determinants either on individual country basis or group adopted the theory of Life Cycle Hypothesis. These studies include: Collins (1991); Chete (1999); Elbadawi and Mwega (2000); Massion Boyoumi and Sameii (1998); Ozcan (2006). A review of the literatures on savings determinants revealed that Life Cycle hypothesis alone is inadequate to provide a theoretical basis for this study. This is due to the fact that it cannot capture or explain all the variables that determine savings. Therefore, the theoretical framework adopt in this study is rooted in the life-cycle/permanent-income hypothesis developed by Hall (1978). The justification for the choice of the theory is that it combines the life-cycle/permanent income variables used in this work. This is known as the random-walk hypothesis. Starting with the life cycle hypothesis, the theory assumed that an individual seeks to maximize the present value of lifetime utility subject to the budget constraint. The budget constraint equal to the current net worth plus the present value of expected income over an entire working life of the individual. The theory also predicts that consumption in each period depends on expectations about life time income. The theory predicts that consumption in each period depends on expectations about life time income. Given that income fluctuates over the course of life of an individual, each stage in the life cycle is an important determinant of saving behaviour. Thus, an individual will smooth consumption over his lifetime, being a net saver in his youth and a net borrower in old age (Modigliani, 1986). The absence of a link between current savings and current income in the LCM theory of consumption is an implication that individuals are forward-looking, and therefore, based their saving decisions on lifetime income rather than current income. For the above consideration, both growth rate and income level are explanatory variables in the saving function. The life cycle model hence predicts that an increase in the rate of growth of income will lead to an increase in saving rate. Sequel proposition of the LCM is that it is rational for the individual to borrow in the early years of his working life to finance his consumption needs; repay the borrowed funds in the middle of his life time when his income would have risen; and save for the future within this phase of his working life and use the savings to fiancé consumption on retirement. Borrowing will always attract interest rate. The life-cycle model predicts that a higher interest rate increases the current price of consumption vis-à-vis the future price, thus leading to an increase in saving.

Demographic variables are very important in the life cycle model. The set of variables that make up “demographic variables” are usually the urbanization ratio, the age distribution of the population, dependency ratio and life expectancy. These variables are sometimes termed life cycle variables, as they operate under the predictions of the life cycle theory. Ando and Modigliani (1963) show that demographic variables affect savings rate. The age structure of the population is an important factor for savings because people, who seek to smooth out consumption over their lifetime, save when they expect future income to be low and dissave when they anticipate it to be high. Assuming that the bequest motive for savings is of little importance, the young and the old thus tend to have a low savings rate, where the highest savings rates are recorded by people who are at or around the peak of their earnings. This idea has been captured in the empirical work using the variables, dependency ratios; where a decline in savings would be expected in response to an increase in the variable. It is also this idea that has led to the projection of a downward trend in savings in the near future, due to the ageing of the population, declining birth rates and increasing life expectancy. Hence, life expectancy is an important determinant of savings. As stated earlier, Browning and Crossley (2001) and Attanasio (1999) supported the idea that the life cycle model described above provides a general framework that cannot include every variable affecting both consumption decisions and savings decisions. In addition to the variables captured by life cycle hypothesis above, other factors to be included in the model can be explained through the Keynesian macroeconomic framework where the relationship that exists between savings and consumption and income are developed.
This is known as the random-walk hypothesis. In order to capture the saving behaviour under uncertainty, Hall (1978) start the simple equation with the Keynesian consumption function that an individual’s saving in period t is the difference between income and consumption (saving) in period t

\[ Y_t = C_t + S_t \]  

Thus,

\[ S_t = A_o + Y_t - C_t \]  

From equation 7.1, if

\[ S_t = A_o + Y_t - C_t \]  

Any change in \( Y_t \) must resolve itself some part of a change in \( C \) and some part a change in \( S \). Hence,

\[ S_t = (Y_t - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} Y_i) - \frac{1}{T} A_o \]  

Thus, this means that saving is high when income is high relative to its average – that is when transitory income is high. Similarly, when current income is less than permanent income, saving is negative. Thus, individual uses saving to smooth the path of consumption. This is the key idea of the life-cycle/permanent hypothesis of Modigliani-Brumberg (1954) and Friedman (1957). Friedman’s (1957) celebrated permanent-income hypothesis as well as the life-cycle hypotheses of Modigliani and Brumberg (1954) and Ando and Modigliani (1963) were partly a response to this empirical puzzle. Hall (1978) addressed this issue using the life-cycle/permanent-income hypothesis, which assumes that capital markets are perfect, the interest rate is vary over time, and consumers have rational expectations regarding the income- generating process. Given this framework, he showed that consumption follows a random walk, that is, it will have a time trend around which it will fluctuate. This can be explained intuitively as follows. While a consumer’s earnings fluctuate over his lifetime, if capital markets are perfect and there is uncertainty about the rate of interest, then by borrowing and lending, a consumer will smooth out his consumption stream evenly over his lifetime. Therefore, to describe the saving behaviour, we assume an individual consumption optimality given the information available, and assume that he/she will chose consumption in each future period.

\[ \text{for } t=2, 3, \ldots, T \]  

\[ S_t = E_t[C_t], \]  

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{T} E_t[C_t] = A_o + \sum_{i=1}^{T} E_t[Y_i] \]  

\[ S_t = \frac{1}{T} (A_o + \sum_{i=1}^{T} E_t[Y_i]) \]  

This equation implies that individual consumes 1/T of his or her expected lifetime income. Equation (5.7) then means that the expectation of an individual in equals \( S_t \). More generally, expected next period consumption (saving) equals current consumption (saving). This implies that changes in consumption (saving) are unpredictable. Hence, we can write

\[ C_t = E_{t-1}[C_t] + e_t \]  

Where, \( e_t \) is a variable whose expiation as of period t-1 is 0. Thus since, \( E_{t-1}[C_t] = C_{t-1} \), we have

\[ C_t = C_{t-1} + e_t \]  

This equation is the Hall’s equation that life-cycle/permanent-income hypothesis implies that consumption (saving) follows a random walk (Hall, 1978). The intuition for this result is that if consumption (saving) is expected to change, the individual can do a better job to smooth consumption, thereby increasing their saving. In addition this analysis is used to find what determines changes in saving, \( e_t \). Consider the equation below

The first necessary condition gives the Euler equation as

\[ S_t = \frac{1}{T-1} (A_o + \sum_{i=2}^{T} E_t[Y_i]) \]  

\[ = \frac{1}{T-1} (A_o + Y_t - C_t + \sum_{i=2}^{T} E_t[Y_i]) \]  

Where the second line uses the fact that \( S_t = A_o + Y_t - C_t \) thus, we can write equation (5.11) as

\[ S_t = \frac{1}{T-1} \left[ A_o + Y_t - C_t + \sum_{i=2}^{T} E_t[Y_i] + \left( \sum_{i=2}^{T} E_t[Y_i] - \sum_{i=2}^{T} E_t[Y_i] \right) \right] \]  

From equation (5.6), \( A_o + Y_t + \sum_{i=2}^{T} E_t[Y_i] \) equal \( T C_t \). Thus, (5.12) becomes
\[ S_t = \frac{1}{T-1} \left[ TC_t - C_t + \sum_{j=1}^{T} E_{Z_t}[Y_t] - \sum_{j=1}^{T} E_t[Y_t] \right] + Z_t \] (5.13)

Where, \( Y_t - Y_{t-1} = \Delta Y_t \)

\[ = Y_t - C_t, f(Z_t) + \frac{1}{T-1} \left( \sum_{j=2}^{T} E_{Z_t}[Y_t] - \sum_{j=2}^{T} E_t[Y_t] \right) \] (5.14)

Where \( Z_t \) represent the control variables.

Equation (5.14) states that changes in saving between each period equal the change in income, consumption and other variables. At a more general level, the basic idea of the life-cycle/permanent-income hypothesis is a simple insight about saving and that saving is a future consumption. Hence, anything that influence consumption will equally affect saving. Like the consumption function, the savings function is an expression of the functional relationship between savings and its determinants. Specifically, the saving function reflects the mirror image relationship between income and consumption. Wealth is postulated to have a negative effect on saving. Accumulated wealth lessens dependence on current income sources, because people can draw on accumulated assets to maintain their consumption level.

This theoretical model is modified in several ways to obtain the empirical determinants of saving in West Africa. First we defined the real interest rate to be vary across countries since economic policy differ from country to country in equation (5.14). second, we assume that any variable that influence consumption and both current and future income will have effect on saving function which will invariably determine the volume of saving at a particular period of time.

5.2 Methodology

a. Model Specification

In line with the specific objectives, our model specification examines the determinant of savings in West Africa countries. Thus, the model encompasses the standard life-cycle/permanent-income hypothesis. Implicitly we have,

\[ Z_t = \alpha + \beta X_t + \mu + \varepsilon \] (5.13)

From equation above, \( Z_t \) is the gross domestic savings \( it \) representing each of the variables across the countries, \( \alpha \) is the country specific effect. It represents non-measurable net effect of omitted time invariant variables such as political instability and persistence and it specific to each country. \( X_t \) is the vector of saving determinants which are the explanatory variables across the countries over a period of time with \( \mu_t \) and \( \varepsilon_t \) being the error term in the equation.

The multivariate specification is as follows

Model 1

Pooled Estimation (2 and 3) \( gds = b_0 + b_1 growth + b_2 inf + b_3 rir + b_4 tot + b_5 m2 + b_6 gdppc + b_7 gbss + b_8 depend + b_9 life + b_{10} rpy + \varepsilon \) ..........................

\[ \theta_1 < 0, \theta_2 > 0, \theta_3 > 0, \theta_4 > 0, \theta_5 > 0, \theta_6 > 0, \theta_7 > 0, \theta_8 < 0 \] ..........................

Model 2 (Policy Variables)

\( gds = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 inf + \alpha_2 rir + \alpha_3 m2/gdp + \alpha_4 growth + \alpha_5 rpy + \alpha_6 gbss + \alpha_7 depend + \alpha_8 life + \alpha_{10} rpy + \varepsilon \) ..........................

Model 3 (Non-Policy Variables)

\( gbs = \sigma_0 + \delta_1 tot + \delta_2 dep + \delta_3 lif + \varepsilon \) ..........................

Where \( It \) is Time period \( t \) in country \( k \), \( gds \) is Gross Domestic saving Rate, \( growth \) is Growth Rate of Gross Domestic Product, \( tot \) is Terms of Trade, \( gbs \) is Government Budget Surplus, \( m2 \) is Degree of Financial Depth, \( gdppc \) is Gross Domestic Product Per Capita, \( inf \) is Inflation Rate, \( rir \) is real Interest Rate, \( depend \) is Dependency Ratio, \( life \) is Life Expectancy, \( rpy \) is real per capita income.

6. Estimation Procedure

The paper employs panel data estimation procedure. It has been shown that results obtained from single period cross-country regressions suffer from a host of deficiencies such as omitted variable bias, and loss of degrees of freedom (Islam, 1995; Caselli et al., 1997; Baltagi, 2001; Hsiao, 2003). Panel data have been proposed as a
better econometric technique for use in cross-country regressions because it allows for the inclusion of country-specific and because it exploits the time series dimension of the data thereby giving greater degrees of freedom. Other advantages of panel data over pure cross section estimation include its ability to provide richer data quality; and by allowing for greater flexibility, panel data reduces misspecification problems which might be present in pure cross-section regressions. Panel data also makes it possible to observe the dynamics of adjustment\(^5\). Panel data has been the technique of choice in recent years in estimating cross-country regressions (Islam, 1995; Caselli et al.). Another reason for the justification of panel data method is that panel data contains large number of individuals and is capable of reducing noise (residuals) that usually arise in a large time-series data. Lastly, panel data generate more accurate predictions for individual outcome than time-series alone.

Model of panel data is given by:

\[ Y_{it} = X'_{it} \beta + \varepsilon_{it} \]

where \( Y \) is the regressand and \( X \) is a vector of \( K \) regressors and \( \beta \) is the vector of coefficients to be estimated. \( i \) is the number of cross sectional series in the model and \( t \) is a particular time period. \( \varepsilon \) is the stochastic disturbance.

When dealing with panel data model as in 6.1 above, the existence of heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation are inevitable. Heteroscedasticity exists when disturbances have difference variances. This can occur when studying cross-section data where the scale of the dependent variables and the explanatory power of the model tend to vary across observations. Autocorrelation on the other hand is usually found in time-series data. Economic time-series often display a “memory” in that variation and the regression function is not independent from one period to the next. As a result of the of heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation in panel data model, Ordinary Least Square (OLS) estimator that assumes the exclusion of these two disturbances is not appropriate in estimating the true value of \( \beta \) and to make inference from the estimated model. In other words, based on the seemingly presence of autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity in panel data model, the least square, nonlinear least square and instrumental variables remain unbiased, consistent and asymptotically normally distributed but no longer efficient estimator and hence the usual inference procedures are no longer appropriate.

However, in order to retain the efficiency property of least square in a panel data, we transformed the model. When a model is transformed, ordinary least square is no longer the estimator, but generalized least square. The transformation of equation (6.1) means that autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity have been dealt with. The transformation is achieved when 6.1 is pre-multiplied by the projection matrix \( P \) where

\[ P = X (X'X)^{-1}X' \]

Hence, 6.1 becomes

\[ P Y_{it} = P X'_{it} \beta + P \varepsilon_{it} \]

So 6.1 has been transformed in 6.2 and can be written as

\[ Y^*_{it} = (X' \beta)^* + \varepsilon^*_{it} \]

The variance of \( \varepsilon^* \) becomes

\[ E(\varepsilon^* \varepsilon^*) = P \sigma^2 \Omega P' = \sigma^2 I \]

where \( \sigma^2 \Omega \) is the variance covariance matrix of the error term. In equation 6.2 \( Y \) and \( X^* \) are the observed data which has been transformed. To restore the coefficient property of \( \beta \) then:

\[ b = (X^* P X)^{-1} X^* P Y \]

\[ = (X' P X)^{-1} X' P Y \]

\[ = (X' \Omega^{-1} X)' \Omega^{-1} Y \]

Clearly 6.4 being the efficient least square of \( \beta \) is in contrast to the estimator of \( \beta \) in ordinary least square which is just

\[ b = (X' X)^{-1} X' Y \]

The GLS estimator of \( \beta \) in 6.5 is the minimum (or efficient) variance linear unbiased estimator in the generalized regression model. With this estimator, prediction about the value of \( b \) is reliable and inference can be made from the model. One important reason of choosing GLS as the appropriate estimation techniques is that if a good or bad fit is obtained in the model, it is of no interest, because the dependent variable \( Y^* \) in 6.5 is different from the one in 6.3. The usual R-squared often suggest that the fit of the model is improved by a correction from heteroscedasticity, but also degraded by a correction of autocorrelation, (Greene, 2000)

Therefore, panel data estimator comprise of random and fixed effect model. Random effect model allows for

---

\(^5\) Baltagi (2001) and Hsiao (2003) provide comprehensive surveys of panel data econometrics.
random deviation of individual intercept from the mean value. It also considers the individual cross-country effect as latent of the random variables and to formally incorporate them into the residual term of a linear model. This method or approach allows for non-observable heterogeneity of error term.

The random estimator is written as

\[ y = \alpha + \beta \chi + \mu \]  

(6.6)

In this case, \( y \) is the dependent variable, \( \beta \) is the parameter of interest to be estimated, \( \chi \) is the explanatory variables which include the policy and non-policy determinants of saving. The Random effect assumes that the term \( \alpha \) is the sum of a common constant \( \alpha \) and time-invariant variable \( \mu \) that is correlated with the residual \( \epsilon \). Therefore, instead of treating \( \beta \) as fixed we assume that it is a random variable (consisting the cross-country effect with a mean value of \( \beta \) (no subscript i) and the intercept value for an individual country can be expressed as

\[ \beta = \beta + \epsilon \]  

(6.7)

Where, \( \epsilon \) is a random error term with a mean value of zero and variance of \( \sigma^2 \).

In essence, the whole West Africa countries drawing as our sample have a common mean value for the intercept (i.e. \( \beta \)) and the individual country differences in the intercept values of each country are reflected in the error term \( \epsilon \).

However, we consider one way error component model as indicated below

\[ \mu = \eta + \nu \]  

(6.8)

In the one way error component model, error term \( \mu \) is decomposed into \( \eta \) and \( \nu \) where \( \eta \) is the individual specific effect (that captures the individual heterogeneity) and \( \nu \) is the disturbance (combined cross-section).

Substituting equation (6.6) into (6.7), we obtain

\[ y = \alpha + \beta \chi + \epsilon + \omega = \alpha + \beta \chi + \omega \]  

(6.9)

Where,

\[ \omega = \epsilon + \epsilon \]  

(6.10)

However, a panel data suitable to analyse data observed for a relatively small number of cross sectional unit is adopted. The estimator is the generalized least square method. It allows for group-wise heteroscedasticity, cross-group correlation and within group autocorrelation.

The Fixed Effects Model

One of the (two) most important potential sources of bias in cross-sectional econometrics is the so-called heterogeneity bias arising from unobserved heterogeneity related to both \( y \) and \( x \). If we assume that the unobservable element correlated with \( x \) does not change over time, we can get rid of this source of bias by running the fixed effect model (FEM). The fixed effects model is useful in a wide variety of situations, and it can be applied to panel data with any number of individual, cross sectional observation. Unbalanced panels, where \( T \) differs over individuals, are no problem for the FE-estimator. Also, time-constant unobserved heterogeneity is no problem for the FE-estimator. FE as well allow for serial autocorrelation (AR (1)), individual specific constant, which will capture all time-constant (unobserved) characteristics. The base specification of fixed effect is of the form:

\[ Y = B \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma W_i + \sum_{i=1}^{K} B_k X_{k,i} + \epsilon \]  

(6.11)

Where the subscript \( i \) refers to countries, \( t \) refers to time, and \( k \) refers to independent variables. \( Y \) is the vector of saving rates, \( W_i \) is the vector of country dummy variables, \( X_{k,i} \) is the matrix of independent variables, and \( \epsilon \) is the vector or errors, which are assumed to satisfy the assumption of the normal linear model. This basic model incorporates fixed country-specific effects in the intercept term. However, the assumption that will be made for this study will be that, the intercept and slope co-efficient are constant across time and space and the cross term captures differences over time and individual. This approach is to disregard the space and time dimension of the pooled data and just estimate the usual OLS regression. Therefore, given the small number of countries included here and the differences in their economic features, the fixed effect estimator seems to be
suitable for the analysis of this study as it allows for serial autoregressive of order 1, AR (1)).

7 Sources of Data
The data are to be extracted from different sources and these include African Development Indicators (ADI), African Development Bank (ADB), International Financial Statistics (IFS) and World Development Indicator (WDI) of various issues and the CD-ROM (2007). Specifically, data on gross domestic saving, inflation, real interest rate, GDP per capita growth, dependency ratio, and GDP growth rate will be sourced from the World Development Indicators CD-ROM 2007. Data on terms of trade and government budget surplus/deficit and financial depth (M2) inflation rate was significant in the OLS and non-significant in the fixed effect estimator, to the determination of saving in West Africa. Their coefficient is however correctly signed, although except in the case of real per capita GDP and terms of trade.

From the result depicted in the table, in line with a prior expectation, the result shows a negative (-0.07) but statistically insignificant dependency ratio for pooled and non-policy variables estimated. This result shows that dependency ratio is not an important determinant of savings in West Africa. In the study conducted by Schmidt-Hebbel, Webb and Corsetti (1992) they found positive (0.48) relationship between dependency ratio and saving rate but not significant and Masson et al (1998) also found but non-significant.

Surprisingly, the results for TOT is negative (-0.02) and statistically insignificant in the pooled estimation result while it is positive (0.03) and non-significant in the non-variables estimated result. The relationship between the terms of trade change and saving rate suggesting that private agents increase saving when faced with higher future real incomes as a result of terms of trade deterioration. Private agents in West Africa seems to consider terms of trade deterioration as a permanent (negative) shock and their attempts to smooth consumption in face of such perceived shocks lead to an increase in domestic saving. The result supported the Laursen-Metzler-Harberger which states that deterioration in the terms of trade in the economy. Although Masson et al (1998) reported a positive and non-significant relationship. However, a negative sign in the terms of trade can be attributed to the unfavourable trade in the West Africa countries as most of their goods are being imported from the advanced countries.

Inflation rate which is used as a proxy for macroeconomic instability has a positive (0.02) and (0.07) for both pooled and policy estimation result respectively, though with small effect, and statistically significant. This result is consistent with the precautionary motive, suggesting that increased macroeconomic uncertainty induces people to save a larger proportion of their incomes. This is particularly true for people in developing countries such as West Africa whose income prospects are more uncertain than their counterparts in developed countries. While one might be tempted to conclude that inflation stabilization could have an adverse effect on saving, it is important to keep in mind that stabilization also affects saving through other channels that are likely to more than compensate for any negative direct effect of inflation. In this regard, our result shows that lower inflation raises growth which in turn increases saving in West Africa. This implies that the character of the macroeconomic environment does not have impact on saving rate in West Africa. Loayza, Schmidt-Hebbel and Serven (2000) found a positive and statistically significant relationship between saving and inflation in their study while Schmidt-Hebbel, Webb and Corsetti (1992) found a negative (-0.14) and non-statistically significant relationship.

The result of the estimate above also shows that the real per capita GDP growth rate has a negative (-0.01) coefficient but statistically insignificant. This implies that an increase in income growth of the people (according to permanent income hypothesis) in West Africa does not have any effect in their saving ability. This suggests that an increase in income has a negative effect on saving in West Africa. This attitude can be traced to the attitude of the people, openness in the economy and the financial institution efficiency. In this case, people may decide to hold the increase in income in liquid form. The results also support the hypothesis of a quadratic relationship between the saving rate and per capita income.

The ratio of M2/GDP is used as a proxy for financial depth in the economy as well as a degree of financial development reveal that M2/GDP has a positive (0.03) coefficient but statistically insignificant as suggested by Loayza, Schmidt-Hebbel and Serven (1999). This shows that financial system development in West Africa is not
enough to increase saving in the region. This may be due to uncertainty in the financial system as a result of bankruptcy, low form of financial system and ignorance of people about saving.

Turning to the fiscal variable, government budget surplus has a positive (0.14) and (0.13) respectively for both pooled and policy result estimated and insignificant effect on saving in West Africa. This point to the fact that the Ricardian Equivalence Principle does hold in the case of developing countries such as West Africa. Thus accepting the Ricardian theory in the case of West Africa country. The findings are also in line with those obtained by Chete (1999) in the case of Nigeria.

The simple permanent-income theory predicts that higher growth (that is, higher future income) reduces current saving. But in the life cycle model growth has an ambiguous effect on saving, depending on which agent benefit most from income growth. Contrary to the postulation of the Life-Cycle Model, the income growth result below is positive (0.05) and statistically insignificant. This result is interesting given that it does not conform to those obtained from earlier studies by Loayza, Schmidt-Hebbel and Serven (2000) for developing countries. West Africa experience seems to provide support for the simple permanent income theory which predicts that higher growth (i.e. higher future income) could reduce current saving. In other words, at sufficiently high rates of economic growth, the aggregate saving rate may decrease if the lifetime wealth of the young is high enough relative to that of their elders.

Also, from the table below real interest rate though has small and negative (-0.06) coefficient but statistically insignificant.

### Summary of Empirical Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables Used</th>
<th>Pooled estimation result (fixed effect result-corrected for serial autocorrelation)</th>
<th>Policy variables result (fixed effect result)</th>
<th>Non-policy variables result (fixed effect result-corrected for serial autocorrelation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDPPC</td>
<td>0.01 (0.03)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROWTH</td>
<td>0.05 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT</td>
<td>-0.06 (-1.44)</td>
<td>-0.06 (-1.42)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFL</td>
<td>0.02 (0.69)</td>
<td>0.07 (3.18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>0.03 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBSS</td>
<td>0.14 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.13 (1.33)**</td>
<td>0.03 (1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT</td>
<td>-0.02 (0.49)</td>
<td>0.03 (1.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPR</td>
<td>-0.07 (-1.57)</td>
<td>-0.07 (-1.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFEXP</td>
<td>-0.00 (-0.25)</td>
<td>-0.00 (-0.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistics</td>
<td>22.91</td>
<td>27.13</td>
<td>45.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The dependent variable is the gross domestic saving. t-Statistics are in bracket and those in paratheses(**) signifies the significant variables at 10%.

Source: Author’s computation from the panel estimation result.

9. Summary, Conclusions and Policy Implications

Since the early 1990s the saving rate has been in steep downtrend. This decline can be explained by the variables estimated in the present study, which determine long-term saving behaviour. As the model shows, the growth rate of GDP, though increases savings in West Africa, but was too low to increase savings substantially. The most powerful determinant of saving over the long run is economic growth as purported by the life-cycle hypothesis. According to this view, West Africa’s chronically low rate of saving is primarily the consequence, more than the cause, of the region’s history of low and volatile economic growth. The terms of trade in recent years has reduced savings in West Africa. The GDP per capita was equally too low to increase savings in West Africa. The interest rate, inflation and degree of financial depth all decline savings in West Africa.
In West Africa, real per capita income level has negative impact on saving rate and not significant which indicates that people tend to save a lower percentage of their GDP. While negative dependency ratio tends to support the life-cycle hypothesis. Demographic trends are also likely to have an impact on saving rates. The baby boom generation, currently enjoying a high income and net savings, will retire from working life in the next few decades. Since saving rates dip in old age and the ratio of the working population to pensioners is shrinking, a decline in the private saving rate should be expected in the long run. Although global capital markets are closely integrated with each other and a smaller domestic supply of capital can be offset by foreign capital flows, it should be borne in mind that other developed countries are also faced with similar demographic aging processes (Bloom and Canning, 2004).

The main conclusion that emerged from this analysis was that cross country variation in both the saving rate and the responsiveness of saving to those variables could be systematically explained by the country’s level of income. The growth rate of gross domestic savings has been so low in West Africa countries since 1980. Though the savings rate rose from 4.4 percent in the period 1980-1984 to 11.9 percent in the period 2005-2006, it’s too low to finance investment in order to drive the economic growth. The decline or low level of saving in West Africa can be explained by the variables estimated in the present study, which determine saving behaviour.

Policy Implications
This study has explored the determinants of savings rate in West Africa. The results in terms of the direction of impact of the hypothesized determinants turned out a mixed-bag across the three samples. The main important cautionary note that must be sounded is the need for the authorities to be wary of adapting and implementing policies without subjecting the data of the targeted economy to empirical test. Consequently, country peculiarities should be the supreme consideration guiding the decision to embrace a particular policy initiative direction. Hence, the important policy implication is that the schemes designed to motivate savings should incorporate the level of development of the economy. The conventional policy measures used to increase savings such as higher levels and faster growth of income, and lower real interest rate and inflation rates are only effective in industrial countries. Therefore, in formulating policies geared towards increasing savings, policy makers in West Africa countries should not simply adopt policies designed for industrial countries.

The establishment of new and more sophisticated financial markets and adaptation of new instruments are crucial in increasing savings rates in developing countries especially in West Africa. Two factors were found to be the significant determinants of savings rates in these countries: dependency ratio and real interest rate. The lack of well-functioning financial markets and instruments that satisfy various needs cause the citizen to be perceived as future support and financial wealth to be held in terms of money. Adopting a financial liberalization program to promote development will assist people in these countries to channel savings to financial instruments and enhance savings rates for investment and growth.

The negative relationship between real per capita GDP and savings rates in developing countries like West Africa countries should not suggest that higher savings rates result from lower income levels. To our understanding, there is a threshold trend income level beyond which increases in income are channelled to savings leading to higher savings rates. In countries with per capita income below this threshold, increases in trend income are used for consumption by these relatively impoverished households resulting in lower savings rates.
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