

Ecology, Distribution and Diversity of Phytoplankton of Teetha Wetland in Tumakuru District, Karnataka, India

V.N.Murulidhar¹ V.N.Yogananda Murthy²
1.Department of Botany, Government First Grade College, Sira-572137, Karnataka, India
2.Azyme Biosciences Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru-560069, Karnataka, India
*Corresponding Author e-mail: yoga16@rediffmail.com

Abstract

In the present experiment, phytoplankton belonging to 41 species under 23 genera was observed from Teetha wetland ecosystem during the period of investigation from June 2011 to May 2013. Results revealed that, Bacillariophyceae was found to be the dominant group of phytoplankton (39.13 %) followed by Chlorococcales and Cyanophyceae each with (21.74%), desmids (13.04%) and Euglenoids (4.35%). Teetha Lake is found to be rich in phytoplankton diversity and hence productive. Summer period marked an increase in phytoplankton density. Physicochemical factors like Temperature, pH, Sulphate, Potassium, Nitrate nitrogen, Ammonical nitrogen and Silica were found to be the important factors influencing the growth of phytoplankton and they exhibited significant positive correlation with total phytoplankton. Based on Nygaard's trophic state indices the wetland is said to be oligotrophic. Inter-relationship of various physicochemical factors and their role with seasonal dynamics of phytoplankton is here by discussed.

Keywords: Correlation, diversity, physicochemical factors, phytoplankton, wetland.

1. Introduction

Phytoplankton in wetland ecosystem acts as primary producers and forms a bulk of food as well as host for zooplankton, fishes and other organisms (Waniek and Holliday, 2006). Wetlands considered having functions on hydrologic flux, storage and biological productivity. Maintenance of healthy aquatic ecosystem is depending on physicochemical factors of water and biological diversity of the ecosystem. Planktonic study is a useful tool for the water quality assessment and contributes to understanding the basic nature and general economy of wetlands. Phytoplankton acts as producers and occupies lowest trophic level in aquatic ecosystem food chain. Phytoplankton forms the basis of food chain, bio purifiers and bio indicators of the wetland ecosystem (Monika et al., 2004; Ariyadej et al., 2004). Phytoplankton functions as the primary producers in wet lands by fixing the energy and its subsequent transfer to higher trophic levels (Wetzel, 1983). Primary productivity has been measured for aquatic ecosystem by several workers (Singh, 1998; Synudeen Sahib, 2002; Mandal et al., 2005; Hujare & Mule, 2007). Hence, the quality and quantity of phytoplankton population bear much influence on the water quality and production potential of wet land ecosystem. Phytoplankton plays a key role in maintaining the equilibrium between abiotic and biotic components of the wetland ecosystem (Pandey et al., 2004). Use of phytoplankton density, diversity and their association as biological indicators in water quality assessment and trophic status studied by Chaturvedi et al., (1999). Seasonal variation of phytoplankton in lakes has been studied by Kaur et al., (2001) and Jarousha, (2002). The species composition, abundance and diversity of phytoplankton are monitored by environmental factors like physicochemical properties of water, meteorological properties of the particular region, morphometric and hydrographic characters of the wetland ecosystem (Dahl & Wilson, 2000). Phytoplankton plays a role in regulating atmospheric temperature via photosynthesis. Wetland ecosystems of Karnataka have attracted the attention of number of scientists leading to the studies on ecology and distribution of phytoplankton and their importance as indicator of pollution and tropic status of the water body (Hegde & Bharati, 1985; Puttaiah & Somashekar, 1987; Ravikumar & Puttaiah 1996; Vengadesh Perumal et al., 2009; Bhosale et al., 2010a). Literature survey reveals that, limnological work on Teetha wetland ecosystem has not been kept up so far. Hence, an attempt has been made in the present investigation to study the seasonal variations of phytoplankton population in relation to certain physicochemical characteristics of wetland.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Morphometry of the wetland

Teetha wetland ecosystem is a perennial fresh water body situated towards north-east 30 Kms from Tumakuru city at 13° 25¹ to 13° 30¹ north side and 77° 15¹ to 77° 20¹ east longitude with an area of 1.32 Km² constructed in the year 1985-86 across the river Jayamangali, a tributary of Uttarapinakini. The lake is irregular in shape and water in the lake is held by raised east-west earthern bund on either flank with central spill way (Fig. 1). Average depth of the lake is 4.5 to 6.5 meters. Lake water is used for agricultural practices, drinking, washing clothes, bathing cattle and other domestic activities (Fig. 2). Lake is situated by the side of famous pilgrim centre Sri Goravanahalli Lakshmi Temple. Water in the lake is also used for anthropogenic activities by large number of devotees visiting the temple. Swimming and fishing are commonly seen during the day hours.



2.2. Water and Phytoplankton analysis

Water and phytoplankton samples were collected at monthly intervals (Fig.3) for a period of two years from June 2011 to May 2013, covering three seasons such as pre-monsoon (Feb-May), monsoon (June-Sept) and post-monsoon (Oct-Jan). Four representative samples were mixed thoroughly for composite sample and filled in black coloured carboys of 2 litres capacity. Water samples were analysed for different parameters following standard methods (Trivedy & Goel, 1986; APHA, 1995). Plankton samples were collected with a standard conical townet (No.25, mesh 64 µm) and were fixed in 1% lugol solution. Quantitative enumeration of phytoplankton was done using Sedge Wick Rafter counting chamber. Identification of phytoplankton up to the level of species was made by following the standard procedures suggested by Biswas (1980); Prescott (1982); Sarode & Kamat (1984). Statistical analysis was done using Carl Pearson's correlation co-efficient formula.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Phytoplankton

Phytoplankton population in Teetha wetland ecosystem was composed of 5 major groups namely *Bacillariophyceae*, *Chlorophyceae*, *Cyanophyceae*, *Euglenophyceae* and *Desmidaceae*. Among these *Bacillariophyceae* (Diatoms) (39.13 %) formed the bulk of phytoplankton followed by *Chlorophyceae* (Chlorococcales) and *Cyanophyceae* (Blue-Greens) (21.74 %) each, *Desmidaceae* (Desmids) (13.04 %) and *Euglenophyceae* (Euglenoids) (4.35 %) (Fig.4). Totally 41 species under 23 genera belonging to 5 different classes were encountered during the present investigation (Table 1) and seasonal variations in the distribution of phytoplankton are presented in Table 2.

3.1.1. Diatoms

Among phytoplankton community, diatoms play a very important role ecologically as they comprise of major components of producers in wetland ecosystem (Zalewski et al., 1997). Diatoms are ubiquitous, unicellular microorganisms form the basic bulk of planktonic population in freshwaters characterised by siliceous cell wall (Round et al., 1990). Sabata & Nayar (1987) recorded highest number of diatoms during summer coupled with silica. In the present investigation, diatoms reached their peak during pre-monsoon coupled with higher temperature (Table 2). Diatoms contributes 39.13 % of the total phytoplankton of Teetha wetland occupies dominating position.

3.1.2. Blue-Greens

Blue-greens are cosmopolitan in nature play a significant role in wetland ecosystem. Blue-greens exhibited heavy growth in polluted water bodies and dominated over Chlorophyceae and Bacillariophyceae (Paramasivam & Srinivasan, 1981). Bloom of blue-green algae in wetland is an obvious sign of cultural eutrophication caused by addition of sewage effluents (Horn & Goldman, 1994). They are photosynthetic prokaryotes derive electrons during assimilation of carbon dioxide by simple redox process and present in almost all fresh water forms. Tripathi & Pandey (1995) observed maximum number of blue-greens during summer and minimum in winter. Similar results observed in the present investigation (Table 2) and seasonal dynamics of Blue-greens are positively correlated with air and water temperature. Temperature plays an important role in the periodicity of blue green algae as emphasized by Mahar et al., (2004). Blue-greens contribute 21.74 % of the total phytoplankton of Teetha wetland.

3.1.3. Chlorococcales

Chlorococcales contribute 21.74 % of the total phytoplankton of Teetha wetland. Seasonally minimum density was observed in rainy season and maximum in summer season (Table 2). Chlorococcales occur as greenish scum on the surface of stagnant water. Factors like high temperature and bright sunlight are favourable for the growth of chlorococcales. In the present investigation, temperature has no bearing on chlorococcales growth. Seasonally maximum density is recorded during post-monsoon and low during monsoon. Factors like turbidity, conductivity, total hardness and chloride are positively correlated with chlorococcales dynamics.

3.1.4. Desmids

Desmids are sensitive organisms, act as indicators of water pollution. In the present investigation, desmids recorded maximum during rainy and minimum during winter months (Table 2), contribute 13.04 % of the total phytoplankton of Teetha wetland. Abundance of desmids clearly indicates the unpolluted condition of the wetland (Sabir et al., 2007). Desmids population showed significant positive correlation with air and water temperature, pH, sulphate and nitrate.

3.1.5. Euglenoids

Euglenoids occur in greater number in polluted water bodies. Tripathi & Pandey (1995) have recorded maximum euglenoids during monsoon and low during post-monsoon. In the present study, seasonal variations of euglenoids were found that, their density was maximum during summer followed by rainy and minimum during winter months (Table 2). Similar observations were reported by Ashesh & Chauhan (2006) in Kitham lake, Agra. Density and diversity of euglenoids is positively correlated with air and water temperature, sulphate, nitrates and silica.



All five groups of phytoplankton except desmids recorded their peak abundance during pre-monsoon period. Long duration of photoperiod coupled with high temperature favoured their growth during this period. Data on physico-chemical parameters of water having direct bearing upon the distribution and ecology of various phytoplankton communities in Teetha wetland is given in Table 3. Under normal conditions in enclosed water bodies of tropical impoundments, a continuous high population of phytoplankton occurs throughout the year with a bloom in summer (Ganapati & Raman, 1979).

Water temperature in the present experiment varied from 25 °C to 28 °C. Water temperature plays an important role in controlling the occurrence and abundance of phytoplankton (Nazneen, 1980). As temperature has no direct effect upon aquatic organisms up to 40 °C there is no direct effect on fauna and flora as pointed out by Verma & Shukla (1968). Enhanced growth of algal flora noticed in the present study during pre-monsoon period could be attributed to increased temperature and light (Kopoczynska, 1980). In the present investigation *Bacillariophyceae* showed significant positive correlation with water temperature (r = 0.695: p<0.05), Sulphate (r = 0.703: p<0.05), Nitrate nitrogen (r = 0.724: p<0.05) and Silica (r = 0.748: p<0.05). Kaur et al., (2000) revealed that, temperature plays a major role in influencing species richness and diversity and it is true in the present experiment. Seasonal change in productivity is related to variation in temperature. Similar findings were reported by Sondergaard & Sand-Jensen (1979); Spencer & King (1989). Temperature is an important factor regulates biogeochemical activities in the wetland environment. Fluctuation in water temperature in the present experiment was due to sampling time and season (Jayaraman et al., 2003; Tiwari et al., 2004).

There are several views regarding the effect of pH on phytoplankton population. Nandan & Patel (1992) observed that, high pH values promote the algal growth and results in blooms. Verma & Mohanty (1995) have reported a direct relationship between pH and phytoplankton. In the present study, pH has no direct bearing on the distribution of phytoplankton except Desmids group that is positively correlated with pH (r = 0.433: p<0.05). However, Robert et al., (1974) suggested that, pH 5.0 to 8.5 is ideal for phytoplankton growth and this was found to be true in the present study. Dissolved oxygen is a useful parameter in assessing water quality and providing a check in pollution. Dissolved oxygen presented negative correlation with phytoplankton due to the fact that at increased temperatures, dissolved oxygen content of water is decreased. Thus if temperature is positively correlated with phytoplankton, while dissolved oxygen is negatively corrected with temperature, then resulting correlation between dissolved oxygen and phytoplankton will be negative (Table 4). Samuel et al., (1979) observed inverse relationship between phytoplankton and dissolved oxygen. Total hardness established inverse relationship with chlorococcales (r = -0.448: p<0.05) and the other groups remained independent of this parameter. Water hardness is due to carbonates, bicarbonates, chloride and sulphate of calcium and magnesium. Total hardness of water depends upon soil characteristics in wetlands.

Sulphate is a naturally occurring anion and an important mineral substance for the growth of phytoplankton. Sulphate concentration in wetland increases due to sewage and domestic activities as well as enters the water body from the catchment area through surface runoff, since the lake is bordered by agricultural lands with sulphate based fertilizers are used in plenty. Relatively higher sulphate concentrations could be attributed to surface run off from the agricultural lands in the monsoon period. Among the five groups of phytoplankton, Diatoms (r = 0.703), Euglenoids (r = 0.757), Desmids (r = 0.513) and Blue-greens (r = 0.873) were observed at 0.05 % level. Nandan & Patel (1992) observed similar trend in Viswamitri River in Gujarat. Zutshi & Khan (1988) stated that, polluted water is comparatively rich in sulphate and in the present results it varied between 15.34 to 135.57 mg/l, falls within BIS permissible limit. Important nutrients which affect the growth of phytoplankton are nitrate, phosphate and silicate. Higher concentration of nitrate is an indication of organic pollution and eutrophication. In the present study relatively low nitrate values were observed (Table-3) suggesting oligotrophic status of the water body. Among five groups of phytoplankton, Diatoms (r = 0.748), Euglenoids (r = 0.425), Desmids (r = 0.780) and Blue-greens (r = 0.70) at 0.05 % showed significant positive correlation with nitrates concentration (Table 4) and is in agreement with Nandan & Patel (1992). Phosphate is considered as one of the important nutrient limiting phytoplankton growth (Welch et al., 1978). In the present study total phytoplankton remained independent of phosphate concentration. Krishnamurthy & Bharati (1996) stressed the importance of silicate in the periodicity of Diatoms. Silicates formed the main nutrient in Diatom metabolism. Munawar (1974) reported that, there is a direct relationship between concentration of silicates and the density of diatoms. In the present study significant positive correlation (r = 0.748) at 0.05% level emerged between silicate and Diatoms. It revealed that, silica concentration is not only the parameter regulates diatoms population in wetland. Similar observations were made by Hosmani et al., (1999) & Ying Ouyang, (2005).

4. Conclusions

It can be concluded from the present observations that, Teetha wetland shows the seasonal variations in hydrography. Phytoplankton diversity, distribution and richness are almost similar to that of any other wetland systems. Teetha wetland with 41 species belonging to 23 genera is rich in phytoplankton diversity and hence productive. Based on the results of Nygaard's trophic state indices Teetha wetland is said to be oligotrophic.



Present data on physico-chemical parameters in relation to phytoplankton distribution and abundance forms a useful tool for further ecological assessment and monitoring of wetlands.

References

- APHA. (1995). "Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water". 19th Edn. American Public Health Association, Inc., New York, USA. p:10-157.
- Ariyadej, C., Tansakul, R., Tansakul, P. & Angsupanich, S. (2004). "Phytoplankton diversity and its relationship to the physico-chemical environment in the Banglang reservoir, Yala province". Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol., 26:595-607.
- Ashesh Tiwari & Chauhan, S.V.S. (2006). "Seasonal phytoplanktonic diversity of Kitham lake, Agra". J. Environ. Biol., 27:35-38.
- Bhosale, L.J., Patil, S.M., Dhumal, S.N., & Sathe, S.S. (2010a). "Phytoplankton biodiversity in water bodies of Tahasil Kavathe Mahankal (Sangli District) during post summer period". Indian Hydrobiology, 12(2):190-194.
- Biswas, K. (1980). "Common fresh and brakish water algal flora of India and Burma". Botanical Survey of India. Govt. of India. XV:105, p:10.
- Chaturvedi, R.K., Sharma, K.P., Sharma, K., Bharadwaj, S.M., & Sharma, S. (1999). "Plankton community of polluted water around Sanganer, Jaipur". J. Environ. Pollution, 6(1):77-84.
- Dahl, M. & Wilson, D. (2000). "Current status of freshwater quality models". Technical report, Karlstad University.
- Ganapati, P.N. & Raman, A.V. (1979). "Phytoplankton in relation to pollution in Visakhapatnam harbour, East-Coast of India". Ind. J. Marine Sci., 3:184.
- Hegde, G.R. & Bharati, S.G. (1985). "Comparative phytoplankton ecology of fresh water ponds and lakes of Dharwad, Karnataka state, India". Proc. Nat. Symp. Pure and Appl. Limnology, (ed. Adoni, A.D. Bull. Bot. Soc., Sagar). 32:24-29.
- Horn, A.J. & Goldman, C.R. (1994). "Limnology". 2nd McGraw-Hill, Inc., p:1-576.
- Hosmani, S.P., Vasanthakumar, L. & Partha, S. (1999). "Ecological significance of biochemical parameters in certain fresh water lakes of Mysore". J. Environ. Biol., 20(2):121-124.
- Hujare, M.S., & Mule, M.B. (2007). "Studies on the primary productivity in the perennial tanks from Kolhapur district (Maharashtra), India". Indian J. Environ. Ecoplan., 14(3):683-690.
- Jarousha, A.K.K.H. (2002). "Analysis of the polluting elements of Amgarh Lake of Jaipur (Rajasthan) India". Algal Biotechnology. Pointer Publishers, Jaipur. p:247-259.
- Jayaraman, P.R., Ganga Devi, T. & Vasudevan Nayar, T. (2003). "Water quality studies on Karamana river, Thiruvananthapuram district, South Kerala". Indian Pollut. Res., 22:89-100.
- Kaur, H., Bath, K.S., Mandar, G. & Jerath, N. (2000). "Physico-chemical status of Kanjli wetland (Punjab), India". J. Environ. Poll., 7(1):39-42.
- Kaur, H.N., Jerath S. Kanwal & Bath, K.S. (2001). "Aquatic Plant diversity of roper wetland". Indian J. Environ. Sci., 6(1):23-26.
- Kopoczynska, E.E. (1980). "Seasonal variation in phytoplankton in the grand river mouth area of lake Michigan". Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol., 27(1):95-123.
- Krishnamurthy, S.R. & Bharati, S.G. (1996). "A study on the distributions of diatoms in the river Kali around Dandeli (N. Kanara District), Karnataka, India". Poll. Res., 15(4):321-324.
- Mahar, M.A., Jafri, S.I.H., Leghari, S.M. & Khuhawar, M.Y. (2009). "Seasonal periodicity of phytoplankton and chlorophyll content in lake manchar". Pak. J. Bot., 41(2): 871-884.
- Mandal, O.P., Sinha, A.K. & Sinha, K.M.P. (2005). "Studies on primary productivity of a wetland". In. Fundamental of Limnology. Edited by Arvind Kumar. p:230-237.
- Monika., Patralekh & Patralekh, L.N. (2004). "Phytoplankton diversity in three fresh water ecosystems". Environ. Ecol., 22:513-517.
- Munawar, M. (1974). "Limnological studies on fresh water ponds of Hyderabad, India". Biocenase Ibid, 45:1-32. Nandan, S.N. & Patel, R.J. (1992). "Ecological studies of algae" In: Aquatic ecology (eds) Mishra, S.R. and Saksena, D.N. Ashish Publishing House. New Delhi. p:69-99.
- Nazneen, S. (1980). "Influence of hydrological factors on the seasonal abundance of phytoplankton in Keenjhar Lake". Int. Rev. Ges. Hydrobiol., 65(20):269-282.
- Pandey, B.N., Hussain, S., Ambasta, O.P. & Poddar, S.K. (2004). "Phytoplankton and its correlation with certain physico-chemical parameters of Ramjan river of Krishnganj, Bihar". Environ. Ecol., 22:804-809.
- Paramasivam, M. & Srinivasan, A. (1981). "Changes in algal flora due to pollution in Cauvery river". Ind. J. Environ. Hlth., 23:222-238.
- Prescott, G.W. (1982). "Algae of the Western Great Lakes Areas". Reprinted by Otto Koeltz Science Publishers. Germany. p:977.



- Puttaiah, E.T. & Somashekar, R.K. (1987). "Distribution of Euglenoids in lakes of Mysore City". Phykos., 26: 39-46.
- Ravikumar, B.S. & Puttaiah, E.T. (1996). "Ecological investigation on the lakes of Hassan District (Karnataka): Biological index of pollution". Geobios., 15(1):13-16.
- Robert, D.S., Robert, W.H. & Evereff, L.G. (1974). "Phytoplankton distribution and water quality indices of lake head (Colorodo river)". Phycol., 10:232-343
- Round, F.E. Crawford, R.M., & Mann, D.G. (1990). "The Diatoms". Cambridge University Press. p:747.
- Sabata, B.C., & Nayar, M.P. (1987). "Water Pollution Studies in river Hooghly in relation to phytoplankton". Proc. Con. Env. Impact on bio-system, Jan 16-18. p:1-5.
- Sabir, B., Muzaffar & Fakhruddin A. Ahamed. (2007). "The effects of the flood cycle on the diversity and composition of the phytoplankton community of a seasonally flooded Ramsar wetland in Bangladesh". Wetlands Ecol. Manage., 15:81-93.
- Samuels, B.W., Uzzo, A. & Nuzzi, R. (1979). "Correlation of phytoplankton standing crop, species diversity and dominance with physicochemical data in a coastal salt pond". Hydrobiol., 6(4):233-237.
- Sarode, P.T., & Kamat, N.D. (1984). "Fresh water Diatoms of Maharashtra". Saikripa Prakashan, Aurangabad, p:338.
- Singh, H.P. (1998). "Studies on primary production in Gobindsagar reservoir, Himachal Pradesh". J. Environ. Biol., 19(2):167-170.
- Sondergaard, M. & Sand-Jensen, K. (1979). "Physico-chemical environment, phytoplankton biomass and production in oligotrophic software lake Kalgaard, Denmark". Hydrobiol., 63: 241-253.
- Spencer, C.N. & King, D.L. (1989). "Role of light, carbon dioxide and nitrogen in regulation of buoyancy, growth and bloom formation of Anabaena flos-aquae". J. Plantkon Res., 11:283-296.
- Synudeen Sahib, Sahib. (2002). "Primary productivity studies in some aquatic bodies of Kollam district, Kerala". Uttar Pradesh J. Zool., 22(3):247-250.
- Tiwari, Ashesh, & Chauhan, S.V.S. (2004). "Seasonal phytoplanktonic diversity of Kitham lake". Agra. J. Environ. Biol., 27:35-38.
- Tripathi, A.K., & Pandey, S.N. (1995). "Water pollution". Ashis Publishing House. p:92-286.
- Trivedy, R.K. & Goel, P.K. (1986). "Chemical and Biological methods for water pollution studies". Environmental Publications, Karad, India. p:217.
- Vengadesh Perumal, N., Rajkumar, M., & Perumal, P. (2009). "Seasonal variations of plankton diversity in the Kaduviyar estuary, Nagapattinam, Southeast coast of India". J. Environ. Biol., 30:1035-1046.
- Verma, J. & Mohanty, R.C. (1995). "Phytoplankton and its correlation with certain physico-chemical parameters of Danmukundpur pond". Poll. Res., 14(2):233-242.
- Verma, S.R. & Shukla, G.R. (1968). "Hydrobiological Studies of a temple tank Devikund I Daoband (UP) India". Environ. Hlth., 10:177-188.
- Waniek, J.J. & Holliday, N.P. (2006). "Large scale physical control on phytoplankton growth in the Irminger Sea, Part II:Model study of the physical and meteorological preconditioning". J. Mar. Sys., 59:219-237.
- Welch, E.B., Sturtevant, P. & Perkins, M.A. (1978). "Dominance of Phosphorous over nitrogen as a limiter of phytoplankton growth rate". Hydrobiol., 57(3):209-215.
- Wetzel, R.G. (1983). "Limnology". 2nd Edition. Saunders coll. Publ. p:767.
- Ying Ouyang. (2005). "Evaluation of water quality monitoring stations by principal component analysis". Water Research, 39:2621-2635.
- Zalewski, M., Janauer, G.A. & Jolankai, G. (1997). "Ecohydrology". IHP-V, UNESCO. 7:7-18.
- Zutshi, P.P., & Khan, A.U. (1988). "Eutrophic gradient in Dal Lake, Kashmir". Indian J. Environ. Hlth., 30(4):348-354.

First Author: Dr.V.N.Murulidhar completed his graduation in Chemistry, Botany and Geology from Government First Grade College, Tumkur, Bangalore University. He completed his Master Degree in Botany in 1993 from Department of Botany, Bangalore University, Karnataka, India. He pursued his Doctoral degree in Environmental Science from Department of Environmental Science, Kuvempu University and awarded Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in 2003. He is currently working as Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Botany, Government First Grade College, Sira, Tumkur, Karnataka, India from 18-12-2008 to till date. Formerly worked as Part-Time Lecturer in the Department of Botany, Government First Grade College, Tumkur, Karnataka, INDIA from 1993-1997, worked as Lecturer, Department of Botany, Vidya Vahini First Grade College, Tumkur from 1997 to 1999, Worked as Lecturer in Post Graduate Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Ganga Kaveri Institute of Science and Management, Bangalore-21 from 1-7-2004 to 31-11-2008. Worked as Member, Board of Examiners in Environmental Science (UG), Tumkur University (2012-13), Member, Board of Studies in Biotechnology (UG), Tumkur University (2012-13), Member, Board of Examiners in Botany (UG), Tumkur University (2013-14),



Examiner for Botany (UG) in Tumkur University since 2008 to till date. His major areas of research interest are environmental science, phycology, water quality assessment, toxicology, heavy metal analysis. He is recognised as a research guide for M.Phil. Programme by Periyar University, Alagappa University, Vinayaka Mission University, Tamil Nadu and Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Andra Pradesh, India, and altogether guided 11 M.Phil. students. He has participated and presented 15 research papers in a number of conferences and seminars of national and international repute that span across the areas of Environmental Science and life sciences research. He has to his credit 08 research papers published in national and international peer-reviewed impact factor journals. He is a Life Member for the Indian Science Congress Association, Kolkata, India and Life Member for the Applied and Natural Science Foundation (ANSF), Uttarakhand, and Life Member, Karnataka Government College Teachers Association, Karnataka, India. Working as principal investigator in UGC sponsored Minor research project entitled "Studies on Biodiversity of Wetlands in Tumkur District". Completed 2 Refresher Courses and 1 Orientation Course. Working as UGC Co-Ordinator of the present College since 2009, working as Member of Internal Quality Assurance Cell of the College since 2009, working as Co-Ordinator of NAAC steering committee for the preparation of Re-accreditation Report of the College.

Corresponding Author: Dr.V.N.Yogananda Murthy completed his graduation in Chemistry, Botany and Sericulture, with First Class, from Siddhartha First Grade College, Tumkur, Bangalore University. He completed his Master Degree in Sericulture with First Class in 1993 and Master of Philosophy (M.Phil.) in Sericulture with Distinction in 1996 from Department of Sericulture, Bangalore University, Karnataka, India. He pursued a Doctoral degree in Sericulture from Department of Sericulture, Bangalore University and awarded Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in 2003. He is currently working as Visiting Faculty and Research Co-ordinator at Azyme Biosciences Pvt. Ltd, Bangalore-560069, Karnataka, India and formerly worked as Lecturer in the Department of Sericulture, K.G.F First Grade College, Oorgaum, Kolar Gold Field-563120, Kolar District, Karnataka, INDIA from 1994-2000, He worked as a B.O.E Member for Under Graduate in Sericulture in Bangalore University from 1995-2000. He worked as an Associate Professor in Biotechnology and Principal at Ganga Kaveri Institute of Science and Management affiliated to Bangalore University, Bangalore, Karnataka, India from 2004-2014 has 18 years of teaching and research experience. He served as a B.O.E Member for Under Graduate and Post Graduate in Biotechnology in Bangalore University from 2005-2013. His major areas of research interest are plant breeding & genetics, evaluation, plant biochemistry, plant & agricultural biotechnology, environmental science, medicinal plants, toxicology, heavy metal analysis, mulberry cultivation, silkworm biology and rearing techniques. He is recognised as a research guide for M.Phil. Programme by Periyar University, Tamil Nadu, India, and guided 5 M.Phil. students. He is recognised as a research guide for Ph.D. programme by Career Point University, Kota, Rajasthan, India and guiding for 3 Ph.D. students. He has participated and presented 30 research papers in a number of conferences and seminars of national and international repute that span across the areas of sericulture and life sciences research. He has to his credit 25 research papers published in national and international peer-reviewed impact factor journals. He has been on the panel of reviewer and editorial / advisory board member of many national and international journals. He is a Life Member for the Indian Science Congress Association, Kolkata, India and Life Member for the Applied and Natural Science Foundation (ANSF), Uttarakhand, India and awarded the Fellow of Applied and Natural Science Foundation (FANSF). He is a resource person for preparing course curricula (e-content) in sericulture for the Ministry of Human Resources Development, Government of India, New Delhi since 2010 to date. In 2009, he was a board member for framing course curricula / syllabus to sericulture sector and sericulture for visually handicapped for modular employable skills (MES) under Directorate General of Employment and Training, Ministry of Labor and Employment, Government of India, New Delhi. He has completed one UGC-sponsored minor research project in sericulture as a co-investigator. He has organized successfully One Day Seminar on Recent Trends in Biotechnology, Three Days National Conference on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Workshop on PCR and Agro Bacterium Mediated Transformation and Organising Committee Member for Three Days International Conference of Public Mental Health and Neuro Sciences. He chaired in number of technical / scientific sessions in the international and national conferences / seminars.



Table: 1. Phytoplankton recorded from Teetha wetland during 2011-2013.

DIATOMS	BLUE-GREENS
Cymbella turgidula,	Chrococcus turgidus,
Cymbella cuspidate,	Coelosphaerium Kuetzingianum,
Gyrosigma accuminatum,	Coelosphaerium naegelianum,
Gyrosigma kutzingii,	Gloeocapsa magma,
Navicula cuspidate,	Gloeocapsa repustris,
Navicula reinhardtii,	Gloeocapsa sanguinea,
Naricula salinarum,	Merismopedia glauca,
Nitzchia recta,	Merismopedia punctata,
Nitzchia acicularis	Microcystis aeruginosa,
Surirella robusta	Microcystis marginata
	Microcystis viridis
CHLOROCOCCALES	DESMIDS
Krichneriella lunaris,	Closterium gracile,
Oocysis gigas,	Closterium lunula,
Pediastrum duplex,	Cosmarium melanosporum,
Pediastrum simplex,	cosmarium portuberans,
Pediastrum tetras,	Cosmarium retusiformac
Selenastrum gracile,	Staurastrum gracile
Selenastrum westii,	
Tetraedon caudatus	EUGLENOIDS
Tetraedon minimum	Euglena minuta,
	Euglena polymorpha
	Euglena viridis

Table: 2. Seasonal variations in phytoplankton groups in Teetha wetland (O/L)

Sl.	Dhritanlanlitan		2011-201	2	2012-2013					
No.	Phytoplankton	Rainy	Winter	Summer	Rainy	Winter	Summer			
1.	Diatoms	5700	4018	6452	5241	3892	6180			
2.	Blue-greens	8895	9624	12206	9252	10081	12039			
3.	Chlorococcales	17118	17393	17562	17266	17384	17592			
4.	Euglenoids	59	53	56	54	47	52			
5.	Desmids	11	6	8	9	5	7			

Table: 3. Yearly average of physicochemical parameters of Teetha wetland (Values in mg/l)

Physicochemical parameters	2011-2012	2012-2013
Air temperature	30.25	30
Water temperature	27.5	26
pН	7.08	7
Turbidity	11.98	12
Conductivity	95.91	100
Dissolved oxygen	7.96	8.2
Free carbon dioxide	0.73	2.6
Biological oxygen demand	1.79	2.5
Chemical oxygen demand	33.33	35
Total hardness	110.83	115
Total alkalinity	15.83	66
Calcium	21.91	21
Magnesium	13.69	15
Potassium	53.82	53
Sulphate	31.06	30
Chloride	47.69	47
Organic nitrogen	1.13	0.9
Ammonical nitrogen	1.71	1.8
Total kjeldahl nitrogen	2.84	2.7
Phosphate	0.26	0.3
Nitrate nitrogen	0.68	0.7
Silica	40.96	40
Total solids	125	135



Table:4. Correlation matrix of physicochemical parameters V/s physicochemical parameters of Teetha wetland (2011-2013).

	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	P11	P12	P13	P14	P15	P16	P17	P18	P19	P20	P21	P22	P23
P1	1.000																						
P2	0.880 0.000*	1.000																					
P3	-0.029 0.892	-0.038 0.859	1.000																				
P4	0.261 0.218	0.340 0.105	0.316 0.132	1.000																			
P5	0.324 0.324	0.515 0.010*	0.361 0.083	0.402 0.052	1.000																		
P6	-0.211 0.323	-0.351 0.093	0.176 0.410	0.228 0.285	-0.397 0.055	1.000																	
P7	-0.409 0.047	-0.379 0.068	0.078 0.717	0.049 0.820	-0.000 0.998	-0.075 0.727	1.000																
P8	0.259 0.222	0.092 0.668	0.244 0.251	0.180 0.400	0.119 0.579	0.408 0.048*	-0.281 0.184	1.000															
P9	-0.203 0.341	-0.252 0.234	0.268 0.205	0.397 0.055	-0.329 0.117	0.286 0.175	-0.211 0.323	0.244 0.251	1.000														
P10	0.081 0.706	0.131 0.543	0.359 0.085	0.105 0.626	0.129 0.548	-0.607 0.002*	0.066 0.758	-0.501 0.013*	-0.212 0.321	1.000													
P11	-0.364 0.081	-0.374 0.071	0.158 0.462	0.307 0.144	0.097 0.652	0.058 0.786	0.812 0.000*	-0.265 0.210	-0.177 0.409	0.110 0.610	1.000												
P12	-0.102 0.635	-0.118 0.581	0.021	0.180 0.401	-0.385 0.063	0.011 0.958	0.213 0.318	-0.451 0.027	-0.103 0.633	0.402 0.052	0.304 0.148	1.000											
P13	0.165 0.440	0.227 0.285	0.330 0.116	0.051 0.813	0.452 0.026*	-0.599 0.002*	-0.116 0.588	-0.103 0.633	-0.118 0.583	0.629 0.001*	-0.153 0.477	-0.460 0.024	1.000										
P14	0.089 0.681	-0.043 0.843	0.265 0.211	0.009 0.965	-0.562 0.004*	0.046 0.830	-0.310 0.140	-0.023 0.914	0.099 0.646	-0.245 0.249	-0.373 0.072	-0.062 0.775	-0.185 0.387	1.000									
P15	0.642 0.001*	0.693 0.000*	0.008 0.969	0.155 0.469	0.581 0.003*	-0.456 0.025	-0.368 0.077	0.145 0.499	-0.271 0.200	0.147 0.492	-0.388 0.061	-0.304 0.149	0.401 0.052	-0.169 0.431	1.000								
P16	-0.163 0.448	0.006 0.978	0.135 0.529	0.160 0.456	0.123 0.567	-0.452 0.027*	0.193 0.366	-0.521 0.009*	-0.176 0.410	0.830 0.000*	0.324 0.123	0.509 0.011*	0.373 0.072	-0.360 0.084	-0.045 0.835	1.000							
P17	-0.094 0.661	-0.038 0.858	0.158 0.461	0.252 0.235	-0.198 0.353	0.177 0.407	-0.161 0.452	0.260 0.220	0.251 0.237	-0.605 0.002*	-0.192 0.368	-0.225 0.290	-0.396 0.056	0.274 0.194	0.085 0.693	-0.490 0.015	1.000						
P18	-0.090 0.675	-0.338 0.106	0.130 0.546	0.383 0.065	-0.699 0.000*	0.367 0.078	-0.042 0.846	0.148 0.489	0.343 0.101	-0.231 0.278	-0.302 0.151	0.017 0.936	-0.238 0.262	0.444 0.030*	-0.359 0.085	-0.430 0.036*	-0.015 0.944	1.000					
P19	-0.126 0.557	-0.193 0.367	0.202 0.345	0.404 0.050	-0.505 0.012*	0.330 0.115	-0.163 0.446	0.301 0.153	0.384 0.064	-0.647 0.001*	-0.313 0.136	-0.192 0.368	-0.464 0.022*	0.452 0.026*	-0.093 0.666	-0.638 0.001*	0.883 0.000*	0.455 0.025*	1.000				
P20	0.452 0.027*	0.552 0.005*	0.061 0.775	0.504 0.012	0.565 0.004	-0.625 0.001	-0.133 0.535	-0.078 0.717	-0.156 0.466	0.253 0.233	-0.082 0.703	-0.196 0.358	0.412 0.045	0.035 0.869	0.608 0.002*	0.172 0.423	-0.221 0.300	-0.339 0.106	-0.355 0.089	1.000			
P21	0.437 0.033*		0.225 0.291	0.112 0.603	0.679 0.000*	-0.184 0.389	-0.284 0.179	0.497 0.013	-0.095 0.660	-0.084 0.697	-0.213 0.137	-0.538 0.007*	0.375 0.071	-0.244 0.250	0.747 0.000*	-0.179 0.402	-0.014 0.947	-0.297 0.159	-0.152 0.478	0.526 0.008*	1.000		
P22	0.466 0.022*	0.554 0.005*	0.166 0.439	0.354 0.089	0.451 0.027*	-0.797 0.000*	-0.247 0.244	-0.213 0.319	-0.234 0.271	0.440 0.031*	-0.207 0.331	-0.164 0.443	0.567 0.004*	0.144 0.501	0.624 0.001*	0.294 0.163	-0.129 0.548	-0.378 0.069	-0.292 0.166	0.885 0.000*	0.426 0.038	1.000	
P23	-0.360 0.084	-0.271 0.200	0.038 0.862	0.052 0.809	0.007 0.974	-0.057 0.792	0.362 0.082	-0.151 0.481	-0.184 0.389	0.328 0.118	0.410 0.046	0.368 0.077	0.005 0.982	-0.459 0.024*	-0.131 0.542	0.455 0.025	0.036 0.867	-0.296 0.160	-0.107 0.620	-0.415 0.044*	-0.217 0.309	0.335 0.109	1.000

+ = Positive Correlation, - = Negative Correlation, * = Significant at 5% level



Fig:1. Teetha wetland





Fig:2. Teetha wetland showing anthropogenic activities



Fig:3. Water samples collection at Teetha wetland




Fig: 4. Relative abundance of phytoplankton in Teetha wetland.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage: http://www.iiste.org

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: http://www.iiste.org/journals/ All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: http://www.iiste.org/book/

Academic conference: http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

