# Determination of Mercury Level in *Rana esculenta* (Frog), Sediment and Water from River Guma, Benue State Nigeria

Ugbidye Shaapera, Ishaq S. Eneji\* and Rufus Sha'Ato Department of Chemistry University of Agriculture Makurdi, Benue State Nigeria. \*corresponding author: eneji3@yahoo.com

## Abstract

The level of mercury was determined in *R. esculenta* (edible frog), sediment and water from river Guma, Benue State, for three (3) consecutive months using hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometer (HG-AAS) technique. The mean concentrations of mercury in the *R. esculenta*, water and sediment were 0.027mg/kg, 0.00mg/kg and 0.001mg/kg, respectively. The absence of mercury in the water signifies its affinity to adsorbed to any surface in the river. Mercury builds up in the tissues of *R. esculenta* and its levels in tissues increase as we go up the food chain. The result of the analysis shows that the level of mercury is always higher in the liver (0.014mg/kg) compared to intestine (0.010mg/kg) and muscle (0.003mg/kg). The mean concentration of mercury obtained in R. esculenta (0.027mg/kg) was below the International Atomic Energy Agency recommendation value (IAEA – 433) of 0.168mg/kg.

Keywords: Mercury, Edible Frog, Sediment, Guma, HG-AAS

## 1.0 Introduction

Today, *R. esculenta* also known as edible frog has become the main supply of protein besides meat and poultry. Countries like France, Japan, China, Thailand, Indonesia and Nigerian, take edible frog as the main dish of their diet because it provides protein (Dural et al., 2007). Therefore, their mode of feeding and environment to these frogs needs investigation. Heavy metals have the tendency to accumulate in the various aquatic animals and the accumulation depends on the intake and elimination from the body (Karadede et al., 2004). Marine fish and edible frog were exposed to these metals that human being consumed as sea foods. Therefore, there is a link for the transfer of toxic metals into human beings as we go up the food chain. However, frog also may contain chromium, mercury and lead that could give negative effects for health. The marine organisms accumulate contaminants such as metals from the environment and have been extensively used in marine pollution monitoring (Mora et al., 2004). These metals accumulate in frog from water, food, sediment and some suspended particulate matter (Agusa et al., 2005). The contamination of water bodies with heavy metals has become a matter of concern over the last two decades (Voegborlo et al., 1999). The natural aquatic systems may extensively be contaminated with heavy metals released from domestic, industries and other man-made activities (Velez et al., 1998). Heavy metals contamination may have devastating effects on the ecological balance of the environment and a diversity of aquatic organisms (Ashraj, 2005). Heavy metals are of particular concern due to their potential toxic effect and ability to bioaccumulate in aquatic ecosystems (Censi et al., 2006). Heavy metal concentrations in aquatic ecosystems are usually monitored by measuring their concentrations in water (Camusso et al., 1995). Water quality standards should be applied to sediment because of its strong influence on the water quality. However, total metal concentration in sediment is not a good estimation of bioavailability. Different phases of sediment can vary in toxicity with the same concentration (Calmano et al., 1996). Even though, mercury is a naturally occurring metal which has several forms of existence, the most common organic mercury compound is methyl mercury, which is produced mainly by small organisms called bacteria in water and soil. Methyl mercury builds up in the aquatic organisms and its levels in tissues increase as we go up the food chain. Edible frog and other aquatic organisms' intake are the major source of exposure to mercury, mainly in the form of methyl mercury, which accumulates from surrounding waters (Rogers et al., 1992). Studies shows that edible frog accumulate these heavy metals from the surrounding water bodies thereby leaving a health risk if taking as food (US. DPHHS, 2005). EPA drinking water limit is 2ppb and FDA maximum permissible level of methyl mercury in seafood is 1ppm. Therefore, methyl mercury is worse for young children than for adults, because more of it passes into children's brains where it interferes with normal development. In this study, we investigate the distribution of mercury in edible frog, sediment and water from river Guma, Benue state, Nigeria. The observed levels of this metal concentration were compared to the Provisional Tolerable Intake for mercury as set by World Health Organization standard.

### 2.0 Materials and Methods

**2.1** Sampling: Three sampling stations coded A ( $07.80691^{\circ}$  North,  $008.65763^{\circ}$  East), B ( $07.80652^{\circ}$  North,  $008.65756^{\circ}$  East) and C ( $07.76973^{\circ}$  North,  $008.59413^{\circ}$  East) was established based on the anthropogenic activities that are going on around the area. Samples of edible frog, water and sediments were collected from the

River Guma for three consecutive months (between January and March, 2013). A total of 20 mature edible frog samples with mean weight of  $150 \pm 3g$  and mean length  $26 \pm 2cm$  were obtained from the sampling station (**Figure 1**). The samples were stored in an ice box in order to maintain the freshness and later transported  $(1^{1}/_{2} \text{ hours})$  to the laboratory for dissection to obtain muscles, intestine and liver. The edible frog samples organ (muscles, intestine and liver) were oven dried separately for an hour to constant weight at  $105^{\circ}$ C. The organs were pooled separately according to tissue type and milled with a mortar and pestle. They were put in dry labeled plastic containers and stored in desiccators until digestion.



Figure 1: A typical picture of Edible frog showing the side view

Similarly, the sediment samples were taken with hand and transferred into polythene bag and transported to the laboratory. The sediment was placed on a Formica surface ply wood board on a dust-free working bench and spread to air-dry. The sediment was redistributed twice daily for effective drying. When dried, the sediment was crushed in a mortar and sieved through the 2mm sieve into plastic containers and stored for subsequent analysis. A procedure similar to that described by Poldoski (1980) was used to digest the samples. This involves digesting 10g portion of the ground samples with 10mL HNO<sub>3</sub> and 2mL HClO<sub>4</sub>. The residue was dissolved and diluted with 0.2% v/v HNO<sub>3</sub> to 20mL and made up to 100mL with distilled water. The digest was stored in pre-cleaned polyethylene bottles until analysis using hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The KBH<sub>4</sub>, carrier liquor and blank sample were connected into their respective sucking tubes. At the start of the hydride generation (connected to the main AAS), the solutions were automatically suck into the system where the mercury hydride was produced and transmitted to the electric quartz absorption tube and was detected and recorded.

### 3.0 Results and Discussion

The results of analysis shows that the concentration of mercury in all the water samples from the three sampling stations and between the periods of investigation (January, February and March) were below the detection limit. Therefore, any Hg level found in the frog could be as a result of bioaccumulation.

The mean concentrations of mercury measured in the edible frogs are shown in **figures 2** – **4**. The concentration of mercury varied in the organs from 0.001 - 0.006 mg/kg in all the periods of investigation. Figure 2 illustrates the mean concentration of mercury in liver, intestine and muscles of edible frogs from river Guma as obtained in January, 2013. Similarly, **figures 3** and **4** shows the mean concentrations of mercury for February and March, respectively. However, in **figure 4** the concentration of Hg in muscle of frog could not be detected, perhaps it was below the detection limit. Even though, the concentrations of Hg obtained were generally about a factor ten (10) higher than the recommended value of WHO within the period of this investigation.



Figure 2: Mean January concentration of mercury in edible Frog organs from river Guma.







Figure 4: Mean March concentration of Mercury in edible Frog organs from river Guma.

Generally, the result of the HG - AAS analysis shows that the level of Hg is always higher in the liver compared to intestine and muscles. Mercury is rapidly absorbed and distributed by the blood; about 1% is deposited in the brain where it is retained for a long time, and the rest is transported to the liver and kidneys where it is excreted through bile and urine. The mean concentrations of Hg reported in this work are within the range of literature values reported by previous studies. Mukherjee et al (2011) reported mercury concentration in Harpadon nehereus, Daysciaen aalbida, pumpus argentius, Formio niger, Hilsa ilisha and Rastrellige kanagurta to be 0.91, 0.46 0.70 0.28, 0.37 and 0.93µg/g respectively in fishes from Bag Bergal, India. Voegborlo et al (2007) reported mercury concentrations in fish species samples from the coastal waters of Ghana as in Lagolephalus lagocephalus, Stromatteus fiatrla, Braelydenterus curitus, Pamulinus argus, Calappa rubroguhata, Gerres nigri, Decapterus rhonchus, Braehydentera aurita, Diplodus puntazzo, parapristipomma humile, selene dorsalis, Galeoides decadactylus, and Pseudotolithus senegalensis as 0.066, 0.004, 0.037,0.035, 0.056, 0.043, 0.070, 0.112, 0.034, 0.041 and 0.031µg/g. High value of mercury concentration of 0.32ppm in Lates nilotcus has been reported on Kaduna river (Nwaedozie, 1998). Alinnor et al (2010) working on Nworier river, reported Hg level of mean in *Liza grandisaquamis* and *Sphyraena sphyraena* to be 0.0083ppm and 0.0083ppm, respectively. Ekpo et al (2008) reported mercury concentration in Metacembelus iconnbergii, Clarias lazera, Citarinus cithanus, Tilapia Zilli and Erpetoicithy from Ikpo river in Benin City to be 0.004mg/kg, 0.003mg/kg, 0.003mg/kg, 0.00mg/kg and 0.002mg/kg respectively. Eneji et al (2011) also determined the concentration of these metals in the gills, intestine and muscle tissues of two fish species; *Tilapia Zilli* and *Clarias gariepinus* obtained from up and down streams of the River Benue. They reported the percentage composition of total heavy metals in the fish organs to be 52.2% in the gills, 26.3% in the intestine and 21.5% in the muscle tissue in *Tilapia Zilli* and contain 40.3% in the gills, 31.6% in the intestine and 28.1% in the muscle tissues of *Clarias gariepinus*.

The concentration of mercury in all the sediments samples ranges from 0.000 - 0.001 mg/kg in all the periods of investigation. The mean concentration of Hg in the sediment from river Guma is presented in **figure 5**. Similar to the results of water analysis, the concentrations of mercury in most sediment samples were below the detection limit. The result shows that mercury level is at pick of maximum permissible level in the soil and any further addition as a result of anthropogenic activities could leads to contamination.

Journal of Environment and Earth Science ISSN 2224-3216 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0948 (Online) Vol. 3, No.12, 2013



Figure 5: Mean concentration of Mercury in sediment from river Guma,

Norville (2005) reported the spatial distribution of heavy metals in sediments from the Gulf of Paria, Trinidad and recorded mercury concentrations to vary 0.03 - 0.10 ppb. Kannan *et al* (1998) study the distribution of total mercury and methyl mercury in water, sediment and fish from South Florida Estuaries. They reported total mercury concentrations in the sediments to range from 1 - 219 ng/g dry weight, while methyl mercury accounted for, on average of 0.77% of total mercury in sediment. The relationship of total and methyl mercury concentrations in fish to those of sediments from corresponding locations was fish –species dependent, in addition to several abiotic factors. Kwaansa-Ansah *et al* (2012) investigated the effect of pH, sulphate concentration and total organic carbon on mercury accumulation in sediments in the Volta Lake at Yeji, Ghana. They reported total mercury concentrations ranged from 32.6 - 700 ng/g which is below the International Atomic Energy Agency recommended value of 810 ng/g (Coquery *et al.*, 2000). Sizmur *et al* (2013) sampled sediments and polychaete worms from mudflats in the Bay of Fundy to investigate the bioaccumulation of mercury and methyl mercury in the coastal invertebrate food web. Their results shows that mercury concentrations in the sediments were low ( $< 20\mu$ g/kg) and worms that were feeding deeper sediments contained the greatest methyl mercury concentrations (69.6 $\mu$ g/kg).

#### 4.0 Conclusion

The mean concentration of mercury obtained in edible frog (0.027 mg/kg) was below the International Atomic Energy Agency recommendation value (IAEA – 433) of 0.168 mg/kg. Also, the mean concentrations of mercury in the edible frog organs and sediments were found to be statistically significant (p = 0.50). This work shows that edible frog could be used as an excellent bio-indicator of mercury in the aquatic ecosystem as the concentration of mercury was too low to be detected in water using routine methods. Nevertheless, the gradual accumulation of mercury within the ecosystem to concentrations of considerable concern was found in edible frog. Generally, the order of mercury concentration in a descending level in edible frog organs was liver > intestine > muscles; while the order in the aquatic ecosystem was edible frog > sediment > water.

#### Acknowledgement

Our appreciation goes to Professor and Mrs Abaa, Mrs Dowuese Ugbidye and Mr Peter Onuwa for their useful advice and assistance during sampling. We are also grateful to the technical staff of Golden Years Limited Port Harcourt for their assistance during the analysis.

#### Reference

Agusa, T., Kunito, T., Yasunaga, G., Iwata, H., Subramanian, A., Ismail, A. and Tanabe, S. (2005). Concentration of trace elements in marine fish and its risk assessment in Malaysia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 51(8-12): 896 – 911.

Alinnor, I. J. and Obiji, I. A. (2010). Assessment of Trace metal Composition in Fish Samples from Nworie River. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 9 (1): 81 – 85.

Dural, M., Goksu, M. Z. I. and Ozak, A. A. (2007). Investigation of heavy metal levels in economically important fish species captured from the Tuzla Lagoon. *Food Chem.*, 102: 415 – 421.

Ekpo, K. E., Asia, I. O., Amago, K. O. and Jegede, D. A. (2008). Determination of Lead, Cadmium and Mercury in Surrounding water and organs of Some Species of Fish from Ikpoba River in Benin City, Nigeria. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 3 (11): 289 – 292.

Eneji, I. S., Sha'Ato, R. and Annune, P. A. (2011). "Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals in Fish (*Tilapia zilli and Clarias gariepinus*) Organs from River Benue, North-Central Nigeria," *Pakistan Journal of Analytical and Environmental Chemistry*, 12(1-2): 25 – 31.

Karadede, H., Oymak, S. A. and Unlu, E. (2004). Heavy metals in mullet, Liza abu and catfish, Silurus triostegus, from the Ataturk Dam Lake (Euphrates), Turkey. *Environmental International*, 30:183 – 188.

Mora, S., Scott, W. F., Eric, W. and Sabine, A. (2004). Distribution of heavy metals in marine bivalves, fish and coastal sediments in the gulf and gulf of Oman. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 49: 410 – 424.

Mukherjee, D. P. and Kumar, B. (2011). Assessment of Arsenic, Cadmium and Mercury level in Commonly Consumed Coastal Fishes from Bay of Bengal, India. *Food Science and Quality Management*, 2: 2224 – 6088.

Norville, W. (2005). Spatial distribution of heavy metals in sediments from the gulf of Paria, Trinidad. Int. J. Trop. Biol. 53 (1): 33 – 40.

Nwaedozie, J.M. (1998). The determination of heavy metal pollutants in fish samples from Kaduna River. *Journal of chemical Society of Nigeria*, 23: 21 – 23.

Poldoski, J. E. (1980). Determination of lead and cadmium in fish and clam tissue by atomic absorption spectrometry with a molybdenum and lanthanum treated pyrolytic graphite atomizer. *Anal. Chem.* 52 (7): 1147–1151.

Rogers, R. and Mckinney, J. (1992). Metal Bio-availability; *Environmental Science and Technology*, 26: 1298-1299.

U.S. DPHHS (2005). Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Toxicological profile for zinc (update). Alanta, GA; U.S. Department of public Health and Human Services. Public health service pp 1-2.

Voegborlo, R. B., Methanani, A. M. E. and Abedin, M. Z. (1999). Mercury, Cadmium and Lead Content of Canned Tuna Fish. *Food Chem.*, 67(4):341-345.

World Health Organisation (1995). Regulation Standards Environmental Harzards of Heavy Metals: Summary Evaluations of Pb, Cd and Hg. Environmental Health Criteria 20, Geneva.

Velez, D. and Montoro, R. (1998). Arsenic speciation in manufactured seafood products: a review. J. food. Protect; 61(9), 1240-1245.

Ashraj, W. (2005). Accumulation of Heavy Metals in Kidney and Heart Tissues of Epinephelus Microdon Fish from the Arabian Gulf. *Environ. Monit. Assess*, 101(1-3), 311-316.

Censi, P., Spoto, S.E., Saiano, F., Sprovieri, M., Mazzola, S., Nardone, G., Di Geronimo, S.I., Puntu, R. and Ottonello, D. (2006). Heavy metals in coastal water system. A case study from the north western Gulf of Thailand. *Chemosphere*, 64: 1167 – 1176.

Calmano, W., Ahlf, W. and Forstner, U. (1996). Sediment Quality Assessment; chemical and biological approaches. Sediment and toxics substances. Environmental Effects and Ecotoxicity, Springer, Pp. 1 – 35.

Camusso, M., Vigano, L. and Baistrini, R. (1995). Bioaccumulation of trace metals in rainbow trout. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety*, 31: 133-141.

Kannan, K., Smith Jr, R. G., Lee, R. F., Windom, H. L., Heitmuller, P. T., Macauley, J. M. and Summers, J. K. (1998). Determination of total mercury and methyl mercury in water, sediment and fish from South Florida Estuaries. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 34: 109 – 118.

Sizmur, T., Canario, J., Gerwing, T. G., Mallory, M. L. and O'Driscoll, N. J. (2013). Mercury and methylmercury bioaccumulation by polychaete worms is governed by both feeding ecology and mercury bioavailability in coastal mudflats. *Environmental Pollution*, 176: 18 – 25.

Kwaansa-Ansah, E. E., Voegborio, R. B., Adimado, A. A., Ephraim, J. H. and Nriagu, J. O. (2012). Effect of pH, sulphate concentration and total organic carbon on mercury accumulation in sediments in the Volta Lake at Yeji, Ghana. *Bulletin of Environment Contamination and Toxicology*, 88 (3): 418 – 421.

Conquery, M., Azemard, S. and de Mora, S. J. (2000). Report on the World-wide Intercomparison Exercise for the determination of Trace Elements and methylmercury in Estuarine Sediment IAEA – 405, IAEA/AL/127 (IAEA/MEL/70), IAEA, Monaco.

Wyse, E. J., Azemard, S. and de Mora, S. J. (2004). Report on the world-wide intercomparison exercise for the determination of trace elements and methylmercury in marine Sediment IAEA – 4033, IAEA/AL/147, IAEA/MEL/75, IAEA, pp113.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

# CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

# **MORE RESOURCES**

Book publication information: <u>http://www.iiste.org/book/</u>

Recent conferences: <u>http://www.iiste.org/conference/</u>

# **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

