www.iiste.org

### Comparative Study of the Academic Performances in Biology, Chemistry and Physics of Male and Female Students in a Nigerian Tertiary Institution

Dike, Ngozi I. Anyanwu, Raymond I. Zachariah Bitrus Dalhatu Hadiza Samuel Folashade Department of Biology, Federal College of Education, Zaria

Correspondence: Dike, Ngozi I., Department of Biology, Federal College of Education, Zaria

#### Abstract

The study examines the academic performance of students in Biology, chemistry and physics in relation to their gender effect among the Nigeria Certificate in Education (NCE) students of Federal College of Education, Zaria,Kaduna State, Nigeria. A total of 2,884 students comprising 1,349 males and 1,105 females registered in 2009/2010, 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 academic sessions were used for the study. The study adapts an ex-post-facto research design to analyze existing data without any manipulation. The study also used the final cumulative grade point average (CGPA) of students' examination results in 2011/2011, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 respectively, obtained from the examination officers of each department for the analysis. Descriptive, Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and independent t-test statistics were used to test the hypotheses at 0.05 levels of significance. The findings of the study revealed that there was no significant difference between the academic performance of students in Biology, Chemistry, Physics and overall science in the studied period except in Biology 2011/2012 session. There was also no gender disparity in the students' performance in all the subjects and overall science. It was recommended among others that admission of students into Colleges of Education and other Nigerian tertiary institutions should be done without gender bias.

Keywords: Effect, Gender, Academic performance, Biology, Chemistry, Physics, College of Education.

#### 1. Introduction

Colleges of Education are among the tertiary institutions that train teachers for the primary, junior and secondary schools in Nigeria. They are in greatest number among teacher training institutions in the country (Nneji, 2012). The Federal Government of Nigeria through the National Policy on Education (2004) proscribes the NCE as the minimum qualification for all teachers in our schools with the aim to produce quality teachers. This is particularly important because the success of any educational system is dependent on the quality of teachers who implement it. The Federal Government of Nigeria (FGN, 2004: 39) states that no education system may rise above the quality of the teachers who operate it. Teachers drive educational policies and curricula; if the teacher is weak or incompetent, the result will be weak or dysfunctional educational outcome (Offorma, 2012).

This may be the case with the female science teachers produced in Colleges of Education in Nigeria. The implication of this if determined empirically is not good for the country. Science rules the world. Science education lays foundation to work in science-related fields in acquainting learners with certain knowledge and skills (Olatoye, 2000). The integration of science subjects such as Biology, Chemistry and Physics into the Minimum Standard of Colleges of Education is in recognition of the intrinsic values of these subjects in all spheres of human activity. Their teaching and learning should be such as to produce competent, effective and efficient teachers irrespective of gender who having acquired the requisite skills, should be able to impart same to their pupils/students. However, it has been observed (Arighabu and Mji, 2004), that gender bias is still very prevalent particularly in science. Gender is one of such factors that have considerable effects on students' academic performances especially in science subjects (Adigun, Onihunwa, Irunokhai, Sada, and Adesina, 2015).

Gender differences in academic achievements of students have been examined over the years with conflicting results. For instance, it has been reported (Peterson and Fennema, 1985) that in general, males out performed females in Mathematics during the school years. Ayodele (2001) reported that male students performed better than female students with larger differences in Physics. The findings of Billings (2001), Okebukola (2002), Bamidele, Odusola and Dibu – Oyerinde (2006), Kolawole (2007), Aguele and Uhumaiah (2008) and Lawal and Jiya (2012) also found in their separate studies at various times that male students achieved significantly better than female students in different science subjects studied. Ezeliora (1998), Christine (2004), Umar (2008) and Amoo (2011) in their separate studies were of a different view that the performances of female students in science subjects studied were better than that of the male counterparts.

In another conflicting view also, Oguboyede (1996), Abe (2004), Bichi (2004), McGreith and Lapointe (2005), Atadoga (2005), Lynn and Jaan (2008), Lawal (2009) and Olasehinde and Olatoye (2014) indicated that there was no significant differences in achievements between male and female students in their various science subjects studied Ayodele (2009) also revealed that significant difference was detected in students' science (Mathematics and Integrated science) but there was no significant difference between male and female students

in private and public schools.

Generally in Nigeria if not Africa as a whole, it is a belief that male students are at the forefront when compared to the female counterparts (Adigun, OnihunwaIrunokhai, Sada and Adesina, 2015). Most of these literature on gender differences strikingly are based on findings from secondary schools. If these findings were also to be obtainable in Colleges of Education in Nigeria, the implication is that the female student teachers produced will be of lower quality than the male students and may be incompetent to impart the knowledge of science to the teeming population of their pupils/students. National Bureau of Statistics (2016) reported that in 2014, there were 11,874 public junior secondary schools with the total student enrolment of 4,434,979 and 62,906 public primary schools with the total pupil enrolment of 23,129,927 in Nigeria. It is against this background that this study was undertaken to empirically determine the academic performance of students in Biology, Chemistry and Physics at the Nigeria Certificate in Education level of tertiary institutions at Federal College of Education, Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria with the aim to ascertain the real effect of gender on their performance.

#### 1.1 *Objectives of the Study*

The objectives of the study include the following:

- i. To examine the difference in the academic performance of students in Biology in the period studied.
- ii. To determine the difference in the academic performance of male and female students in Biology.
- iii. To examine the difference in the performance of students in chemistry in the period studied.
- iv. To determine the difference in the academic performance of male and female students in Chemistry
- v. To examine the difference in the academic performance of students in Physics in the period studied.
- vi. To determine the difference in the academic performance of male and female students in Physics
- vii. To evaluate the difference in the academic performance of overall students in Biology, Chemistry and Physics (Science) in the period studied.

viii. To evaluate the difference in the academic performance of overall male and female students in Science.

#### 1.2 Research Questions

The following research questions become imperative:

- i. What is the difference between the performances of students in Biology in the period studied?
- ii. To what extent do the performances of male female students differ in Biology?
- iii. What is the difference in the performance of students in Chemistry in the period studied?
- iv. To what extent do the performances of male and female students differ in Chemistry?
- v. What is the difference in the performance of students in Physics in the period studied?
- vi. To what extent do the performances of male and female students differ in Physics?
- vii. What is the difference in the performances of overall students in Science in the period studied?
- viii. To what extent do the performances of overall male and female students differ in Science?

#### 1.3 *Research Hypotheses*

The following research hypotheses were formulated:

- i. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of students in Biology in the period studied.
- ii. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of male and female students in Biology.
- iii. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of students in Chemistry in the period studied.
- iv. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of male and female students in Chemistry.
- v. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of students in Physics in the period studied.
- vi. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of male and female students in Physics.
- vii. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of the overall students in Science in the period studied.
- viii. There is no significant difference in the academic performance of the overall male and female students in Science.

#### 2. Methodology

#### 2.1 Research Design

This study adopted an ex-post-facto research design in which the dependent and independent variables have already occurred and the researchers cannot manipulate them.

#### 2.2 *Population and Sampling Technique*

All the NCE students in the Federal College of Education, Zaria, Kaduna State that were registered for 2009/2010, 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 sessions and graduated in 2011/2012, 2012/2013, and 2013/2014 academic sessions respectively, constituted the population for the study.From this population, a sample was drawn using the purposeful sampling technique which was considered the most appropriate. Those selected were all the Biology Chemistry and Physics students with a total number of 2,884 comprising 1,349 males and 1,105 females.

#### 2.3 Instrumentation and Data Collection

The only instrument used was the documentary source. The data were the students final examination results in 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 academic sessions obtained from the examination officers of Biology, Chemistry and Physics departments. The score and gender of each student were recorded accordingly.

#### 2.4 Data Analysis

Descriptive and One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) statistics were used to determine the difference between the academic performances of the students in each of the subjects and combined subjects. T-test statistics was also used in the data analysis for the determination of the gender-based performances of the overall students in the combined subjects. All data were analyzed at  $p \le 0.05$  level of significance with statistical package of version IBM 23. Each item is presented on a table for clarity of purpose.

### **3** Presentation of Results

#### Hypothesis 1:

There is no significant difference between the academic performances of students in Biology in the period studied.

Table 1a.Descriptive and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of the difference between the academic performances of all students in Biology in the period studied

| Descriptive |
|-------------|
|-------------|

|                        | Ν   | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Std. Error |
|------------------------|-----|--------|----------------|------------|
| 2011/2012              | 101 | 2.5743 | 1.03292        | .10278     |
| 2012/2013<br>2013/2014 | 133 | 2.8195 | 1.02864        | .08919     |
| Total                  | 366 | 2.7896 | 1.03738        | .05422     |

| AIIOVA         |                |     |             |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                | Sum of Squares | Df  | Mean Square | F     | Sig. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Between Groups | 7.196          | 2   | 3.598       | 3.387 | .035 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within Groups  | 385.605        | 363 | 1.062       |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total          | 392.801        | 365 |             |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |

Scheffe

| Year      | Ν   | Subset for $alpha = 0.05$ |        |  |  |
|-----------|-----|---------------------------|--------|--|--|
|           |     | 1                         | 2      |  |  |
| 2011/2012 | 101 | 2.5743                    |        |  |  |
| 2012/2013 | 133 |                           | 2.8195 |  |  |
| 2013/2014 | 132 |                           | 2.9242 |  |  |
| Sig.      |     | .184                      | .734   |  |  |

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 120.021.

b. The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

Results of the descriptive and ANOVA statistics of the difference between the academic performances of students in Biology presented in Table 1a show that the calculated p-value of 0.04 is lower than the0.05 alpha levels of significance and the computed F-value of 3.39 is higher than the critical F-value of 2.60. Their computed mean academic performances in the three academic sessions studied were 2.57, 2.82 and 2.92 respectively. The Sehefe post hoc homogeneous subset put the means of 2011/2012 session in the least subset 1 while the means of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 sessions were put in higher significant subset 2. This shows that

the academic performance of all students in Biology were significantly higher in 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 academic sessions than in 2011/2012 session. Therefore the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the academic performances of students in Biology in the academic sessions studied is hereby rejected and the alternative is accepted.

#### Hypothesis 2

There is no significant difference between the academic performance of male and female students in Biology Table 1b. T-test statistics of the gender based performances of students in Biology

| Variable                   | Types  | N   | Mean   | STD     | Std.Err | Mean<br>Difference | df  | t<br>calculated | t<br>critical | р     |
|----------------------------|--------|-----|--------|---------|---------|--------------------|-----|-----------------|---------------|-------|
| -                          | Male   | 258 | 2.8411 | 1.07769 | .06709  |                    |     |                 |               |       |
| Performance<br>in Biology+ |        |     |        |         |         | 0.174              | 364 | 1.469           | 1.96          | 0.143 |
|                            | female | 108 | 2.6667 | .92726  | .08923  |                    |     |                 |               |       |

Table 1b shows that the calculated p-value of 0.14 is higher than the 0.05 alpha level of significance and the computed t-value of 1.47 is lower than the critical t-value of 1.96 at degree of freedom (df) of 364. Their computed mean academic performances are 2.84 and 2.67 for male and female students respectively which indicates an insignificant difference. This shows that the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the academic performance of male and female students in Biology was accepted and retained. **Hypothesis 3** 

There no significance difference between the academic performances of students in Chemistry in the period studied.

# Table 2a.Descriptive and ANOVA statistics of the difference between the academic performances of all students in Chemistry in the period studied

| Descriptive |     |        |                |            |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|-----|--------|----------------|------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|             | Ν   | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | Maximum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011/2012   | 182 | 2.7692 | 1.00910        | .07480     | 5.00    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012/2013   | 211 | 2.6445 | 1.13461        | .07811     | 5.00    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013/2014   | 228 | 2.5263 | 1.14738        | .07599     | 5.00    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total       | 621 | 2.6377 | 1.10678        | .04441     | 5.00    |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### ANOVA

|                | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Square | F     | Sig. |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Between Groups | 5.987          | 2   | 2.994       | 2.455 | .087 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within Groups  | 753.491        | 618 | 1.219       |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total          | 759.478        | 620 |             |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2a shows the descriptive and ANOVA statistics of the difference between the academic performances of students in Chemistry in the three academic sessions studied. The results show that the calculated p-value of 0.09 is greater than the 0.05 alpha levels of significance and the computed F-value of 2.46 is lower than the F-critical value of 2.60. The computed mean academic performances in the three academic sessions are 2.77, 2.64 and 2.53 respectively which indicate an insignificant difference. Therefore the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the academic performances of students in the studied sessions is hereby accepted and retained.

#### Hypothesis 4

There is no significant difference between the academic performance of male and female students in Chemistry. **Table 2b. T-test statistics of the gender based performance of students in Chemistry** 

| Variable     | Types  | Ν   | Mean   | STD     | Std.Err | Mean       | df  | t          | t        | р     |
|--------------|--------|-----|--------|---------|---------|------------|-----|------------|----------|-------|
|              |        |     |        |         |         | Difference |     | calculated | critical |       |
|              | Male   | 327 | 2.6606 | 1.15557 | .06390  |            |     |            |          |       |
| Performance  |        |     |        |         |         | 0.483      | 619 | 0.543      | 1.96     | 0.588 |
| in chemistry |        |     |        |         |         |            |     |            |          |       |
|              | Female | 294 | 2.6122 | 1.05124 | .06131  |            |     |            |          |       |

Table 2b shows that the calculated p-value of 0.59 is greater than the 0.05 alpha level of significance and the computed t-value of 0.54 is lower than the critical t-value of 1.96 at df 619. Their computed mean academic performances are 2.67 and 2.61 for male and female students respectively. This shows that the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the academic performance of male and female students in Chemistry was accepted and retained.

### Hypothesis 5

There is no significant difference between the academic performances of all students in Physics in the period studied.

 Table 3a. Descriptive and ANOVA statistics of the difference between the academic performances of all students in Physics in the period studied

 Description

| Descriptive |      |        |                |            |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|------|--------|----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|             | Ν    | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Std. Error |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011/2012   | 513  | 2.6511 | .97482         | .04304     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012/2013   | 287  | 2.7526 | .95243         | .05622     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013/2014   | 377  | 2.8011 | .98941         | .05096     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total       | 1177 | 2.7239 | .97558         | .02844     |  |  |  |  |  |

| ANOVA          |                |      |             |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|----------------|------|-------------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                | Sum of Squares | Df   | Mean Square | F     | Sig. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Between Groups | 5.202          | 2    | 2.601       | 2.141 | .075 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within Groups  | 1114.057       | 1174 | .949        |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total          | 1119.259       | 1176 |             |       |      |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3a reveals the descriptive and ANOVA of the difference between the academic performance of students in Physics in the three academic sessions studied. The results show that the calculated p-value of 0.08 is greater than 0.05 alpha levels of significance and the computed F-value of 2.14 is lower than the F-critical value of 2.60. In addition, the students' computed mean academic performance in the three sessions studied are 2.65, 2.75 and 2.80 respectively indicating insignificant difference. Therefore the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the academic performances of all students in Physics in the academic sessions studied is hereby accepted and retained.

#### Hypothesis 6

There is no significant difference between the academic performance of male and female students in Physics.

#### Table 3b. T-test of the gender based performances in Physics

| Variable                  | Types  | N   | Mean   | STD     | Std.Err | Mean<br>Difference | df   | t<br>calculated | t<br>critical | р     |
|---------------------------|--------|-----|--------|---------|---------|--------------------|------|-----------------|---------------|-------|
|                           | Male   | 621 | 2.7359 | 1.01337 | .04067  |                    |      |                 |               |       |
| Performance<br>in Physics |        |     |        |         |         | 0.025              | 1175 | 0.447           | 1.96          | 0.655 |
|                           | female | 556 | 2.7104 | .93228  | .03954  |                    |      |                 |               |       |

Table 3b reveals that the calculated p-value of 0.66 is greater than the 0.05 alpha level of significance and the computed t-value of 0.45 is lower than the t-critical value of 1.96 at df1175. Their computed mean academic performances also are 2.74 and 2.71 for the male and female students respectively which show insignificant difference. This shows that the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the male and female students in Physics was accepted and retained.

#### Hypothesis 7

There is no significant difference between the academic performances of overall students in Science in the period studied.

# Table 4a.Descriptive and ANOVA of the difference between the academic performances of overall students in Science

| Descriptive |      |        |                |            |
|-------------|------|--------|----------------|------------|
|             | Ν    | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Std. Error |
| 2011/2012   | 796  | 2.6683 | .99080         | .03512     |
| 2012/2013   | 631  | 2.7306 | 1.03295        | .04112     |
| 2013/2014   | 737  | 2.7381 | 1.05710        | .03894     |
| Total       | 2164 | 2.7103 | 1.02609        | .02206     |

| ANOVA          |                |      |             |       |      |  |  |  |
|----------------|----------------|------|-------------|-------|------|--|--|--|
|                | Sum of Squares | Df   | Mean Square | F     | Sig. |  |  |  |
| Between Groups | 2.232          | 2    | 1.116       | 1.060 | .347 |  |  |  |
| Within Groups  | 2275.101       | 2161 | 1.053       |       |      |  |  |  |
| Total          | 2277.332       | 2163 |             |       |      |  |  |  |

The descriptive and ANOVA of the difference between the academic performance of overall students in

Science in the three academic sessions studied presented in Table 4a reveal that the calculated p-value of 0.35 is greater than the 0.05 alpha level of significance and the computed F-value of 1.06 is lower than the F-critical value of 2.60. Their computed mean academic performance in addition are 2.67, 2.73 and 2.74 in the 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 academic sessions respectively which show insignificant difference. Therefore the null hypothesis which states that there is no significance difference between the academic performances of overall students in Science in the period studied is hereby accepted and retained.

#### Hypothesis 8

There is no significant difference between the academic performances of overall male and female students in Science

#### Table 4b. T-test of the gender-based performances of overall students in Science Group Statistics

| Group Statistics |        |      |        |                |                 |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|--------|------|--------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
|                  | gender | Ν    | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |  |  |  |  |
| performance      | male   | 1205 | 2.7394 | 1.06756        | .03075          |  |  |  |  |
|                  | female | 402  | 2.6269 | 1.01857        | .05080          |  |  |  |  |

|                                      | Levene's Te<br>Equality of V | t-test for Equality of Means |       |         |                 |                    |                          |                                                 |        |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------|
|                                      | F                            | Sig.                         | t     | Df      | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean<br>Difference | Std. Error<br>Difference | 95% Confidence<br>Interval of the<br>Difference |        |
|                                      |                              |                              |       |         |                 |                    |                          | Lower                                           | Upper  |
| Equal<br>variances<br>assumed        | .872                         | .351                         | 1.851 | 1605    | .064            | .11255             | .06080                   | 00669                                           | .23180 |
| Equal<br>variances<br>not<br>assumed |                              |                              | 1.895 | 716.709 | .058            | .11255             | .05939                   | 00404                                           | .22914 |

#### Independent Samples Test

Table 4b reveals that the calculated p-value of 0.36 is higher than the 0.05 alpha levels of significance and the computed t-value of 1.85 is lower than the t-critical value of 1.90. Their computed mean academic performances also are 2.74 and 2.63 for the male and female students respectively. This shows that the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the overall male and female students in Science was accepted and retained.

#### 4. Discussion of Results

Tables 1a, 2a, 3a, and 4a reveal that there were no statistically significant difference in the academic performances of students in Chemistry, Physics and overall Science in the NCE level in Federal College of Education, Zaria in the period studied. There is however significant difference in the performances of students in Biology. This is as a result of better performances of the students in the 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 sessions than in 2011/2012 session. Sequential better achievement of students could be attributed to the conducive learning environment the students have been exposed to and which has continued till present. Ajayi, Ekundayo, & Osalusi (2010) and Lakpini & Atadoga (2012) empirically attested that learning environment has direct influence on students' academic performance.

Results from Tables 1b, 2b, 3b and 4b further goes to reveal that the male and female students of the tertiary institution studied have no significant difference also in their Biology, Chemistry, Physics and overall Science performances even though the male students had slightly higher mean scores than the female students in all cases. This finding supports those of Olasehinde and Olatoye (2014) and Tambaya, Subitu & Mutazu (2016) who also found no gender differences in the performance of students in the same subjects and overall Science in Katsina State, Nigeria. The finding is also in agreement with the works of Irheogbu (1998); Abe (2004); Bichi (2004); McGreith & Lapointe (2005); Atadoga (2005); Lynn and Jaan (2008) who also indicated that there was no significant difference in the performances between the male and female students in their various science subjects studied.

This finding however negates the findings of Ayodele (2001); Raimi & Adeoye (2002). Kolawole (2002); Okwo & Otumba (2007); Umar (2008); Amoo (2011) and Olorunkooba, Lawal & Jiya (2012) who asserted significant differences between male and female students' performances and vice versa in science subjects studied.

#### 5. Conclusion

The study compared the performance of students in Biology, Chemistry, Physics and overall Science at the NCE level in Federal College of Education, Zaria in 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 academic sessions. Based on the findings of the study, there is no significant difference in the academic performance of students in Chemistry, Physics and overall Science; significant difference however exits in the performance of students in Biology in the period studied. The study further reveals that these achievements of students in the subjects and overall science show no gender disparity since male and female students performances were at par implying that there are no longer distinguishing differences in the academic performances of students in respect to gender. This also implies that the belief that male students perform better than the female counterpart in science subjects does not hold at the NCE level in Federal College of Education, Zaria (and perhaps in all the other Colleges of Education) as in other tertiary institutions in Nigeria as suggested in literature. It further supports global trending literature on the narrowness of gender gap between students' achievements in all levels of educational institutions. This may not be unconnected with the encouragement in various forms from the different levels of Nigerian Government, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) and concerned individuals/ Philanthropists, given to the girl child to develop positive attitudes towards science education.

#### 6. **Recommendations**

Based on the findings of this study the following recommendations were made:

- 1. Admission of students into Colleges of Education and other Nigerian tertiary institutions should be done without gender bias.
- 2. There should always be provision for well qualified teachers who will teach the science subjects with suitable teaching strategies in Colleges of Education and other tertiary institutions to continuously improve the performance of student teachers and sustain the gender equality.
- 3. Adequate facilities should be provided by the relevant authorities for the teaching and learning of all science subjects in Colleges of Education and other Nigerian tertiary institutions.
- 4. Female students in Colleges of Education and other Nigerian tertiary institutions, should be encouraged to read Science through special awards of scholarship by philanthropists, State and Federal Governments so as to have more representations.

#### Acknowledgement

We wish to thank the Examination Officers of the departments of Biology, Chemistry and Physics, Federal College of Education, Zaria and Mr. U. Ojo of Iya Abubakar Institute of Computing and ICT, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, for their immense contributions.

#### References

- Abe, T.O. (2004. Comparative study of family type and sex difference on academic achievement of students in Mathematics. *Journal of Curriculum Studies Department*, 3,33-44.
- Adigun I., Onihunwa, J., Irunokhai, E., Sada, Y, & Adesina, O. (2015).Effect of gender on students' academic performance in Computer Science in secondary schools in New Bussa, Borgu Local Government of Niger State. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(33), 1-7. [Online]Available files.eric.ed.gov
- Aguele, I.I & Agwugah, N.V. (2007). Female participation in Science, Technology and Mathematics (STM) Education in Nigeria and national development. *Journal of Social Science*, 15(2), 121-126.
- Ajayi, I.A., Ekundayo, H.T., & Osalusi, F.M. (2010).Learning environment and secondary school effectiveness in Nigeria. *Study Home Comm. Sci.*, 4(3) 137-142. [Online] Available www.krepublisher.com/.../HCS-4-3-137-10-137-Ajayi-I.A.-Tt.pdf
- Amoo, E.D. (2011). Gender and academic performance in Nigerian universities: Economic implications. International Journal and Research in Education, 8(1), 159-172.
- Arighabu, A.A., & Mji, A. (2004). Is gender a factor in Mathematics performance among Nigerian pre-service teachers? *Role* 51(11 & 12), pp. 749.
- Atadogo, M.M. (2005). Gender related problem solving strategies and senior secondary students achievements in Physics. *Nigeria Journal of Science and Educational Research*, 1, 69-73.
- Ayodele, M.O. (2009). Gender differences in Mathematics and Integrated Science achievement among junior secondary school students *MJLI* 6, 41-53. [Online] Available mjli.uum.edu.my
- Ayodele, M.O. (2001). Assessment of implementation of the integrated science curriculum at the primary school level in Ikere Local Government Area of Ekiti State, Nigeria. *Ikere Journal of Science Education*, 2, 54-61.
- Bamidele, A.F., Odusola, O., & Ojerinde, D. (2006). Review of the environment and performance of male and female students in Education Economics programme of Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife. *Nigerian Journal of Social Science*, 12(2), 143-146.
- Bichi, S.S. (2004). The effect of gender on academic achievement in evaluational concepts among secondary

school students using problem solving instruction strategy. Zaria Journal of Studies in Education, 3(1), 123-138.

- Christine, P. (2004). Gender proves large factor in academic performance: The pendulum [Online]. March 11, edition.
- Ericikan, K., McCreith, T., & Lapointe, V. (2005). Factors associated with Mathematics achievement and participation in Advanced Mathematics scores: An examination of gender difference from an international perspective. *School and Mathematics*, 105, 5.
- Ezeliora, B. (1998). Comparative study of the academic performance of boys and girls from special science secondary schools in Anambra State. Paper presented at the 13<sup>th</sup> annual congress of the Nigerian Academy of Education at Federal College of Education, Kano from  $16^{th} 20^{th}$  November.
- Federal Government of Nigeria, (2004). National Policy on Education. Lagos, NERDC.
- Hedges, L.V., & Nowell, A. (1995). Sex difference in mental test scores, variability and numbers of high scoring individuals. *Science*, 269, 41 45.
- Hyde, J.S., Fennema, E., & Lamon, S.J. (1990). Gender differences in Mathematics performance: A metaanalysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107, 139-155.
- Irohegbu, T.O. (1998). Problem-based learning, Numerical Ability and Gender as Determinants Skill in Senior Secondary Physics in Ibadan. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Teacher Education Department, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Kolawole, E.B (2002). Sex differences in academic achievement in science subjects in Nigeria tertiary institution. *Research in Curriculum Studies*,2,168-173.
- Lapkini, M.A., & Atadoga, M.M. (2012). Learning environment on senior secondary school students academic performance in science in public secondary schools in Kaduna State. *Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> Africa Forum of Teaching Regulatory Authorities (AFTRA)* held in Cotonou, Benin Republic, May 23-25.
- Lawal, F.K. (2009). Acquisition of entrepreneurial skills through Biology Education and the role of Biology teacher. *Proceedings of the 50<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the Science Teachers Association of Nigeria (STAN).*
- Lynn. R,. & Jaan, M. (2008), Science: Sex differences in attainment. *The Journal of Social, Political and Economic Studies*, 33(1), 101-124.
- National Bureau of Statistics, (2016). NBS: Universal Basic Public Education and Key Statistics in Nigeria [Online] Available https://www.proshare.com
- Nneji, G.N. (2012). The new face of teaching and the challenges for teacher education in Nigeria. Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> Africa Forum of Teaching Regulatory Authorities (AFTRA) held in Cotonou, Benin Republic, May 23-25.
- Offorma, G.C. (2012). Re-engineering: Teacher Education in Nigeria for quality assurance. *Proceedings of the* 1<sup>st</sup> Africa Forum of Teaching Regulatory Authorities (AFTRA) held in Cotonou, Benin Republic from May 23-25.
- Ogunboyede, M.O. (1996). Correlates of continuous assessment in Agricultural Science in senior secondary schools in Ijero Local Government Area of Ekiti, Nigeria. *Unpublished Master's Thesis*, Ondo State University, Ado Ekiti.
- Okebukola, O.O. (2002). Beyond the stereotype to new trajectories in Science teaching. Ibadan: Science Teachers Association of Nigeria, (Appendix A4).
- Okwo, F.A., & Otunba, S. (2007). Influence of gender and cognitive styles in science achievement in Physics essay test. *Journal of Science Teachers Association of Nigeria*, 42(1&2), 85-88.
- Olasehinde, K.J., & Olatoye, R.A. (2014).Comparison of male and female senior secondary school students' learning outcomes in science in Katsina State, Nigeria. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Science*, 5(2), 517-523.
- Olatoye, R.A. (2002). A Causal Model of School Factors as Determinants of Science Achievement in Lagos State Secondary Schools. *Unpublished Ph.D Thesis*, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Olorukooba, S.B., Lawal, F.K., & Jiya, A. (2012). Effects of teaching with an analogy on academic achievement and retention of evolution concepts among male and female NCE Biology Students. *Journal of Studies in Science and Mathematics Education* 2(1), 81-91.
- Peterson, P., & Fennema, E. (1985). Effective teaching, students' engagement in classroom activities and sexrelated differences in learning Mathematics. *American Educational Research Journal*, 22, 309335.
- Raimi, S.A., & Oduwaye, J.OM.(1997). College students attitude towards Integrated Science as a predictor of achievement in the subject. *Journal of Science Education*, 2(2), 10-17.
- Randhawa, B.S. (1994). Self-efficacy in Mathematics, attitudes and achievement of boys and girls from restricted samples in two countries. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 79, 1011-1018.
- Tambaya, S.I., Sabitu, A., & Mutazu, Y.M. (2016).Comparative analysis of gender performances in Biology, Chemistry and Physics among pre-degree students of Federal University, Dutsinma. *International Journal* of *Educational Benchmark* (IJEB). (5(1), 108-118. [Online] Available

https//benchmarkjournals.com>2016/08

Umar, A.Y. (2008). Comparative study of the enrolment and academic performance in Physics of male and female students in some selected secondary schools in Katsina State. *Nigerian Journal of Science and Educational Research*, 4(1), 131-139.