

The Roles of Dialogue and Negotiation as Strategies for Educational Conflict Resolution in Mission Secondary Schools in Okigwe Education Zone II, Imo State, Nigeria

Cajetan Ebuziem Sandra Chiaka Emenyonu
Gregory University, Uturu, Abia State Nigeria

Abstract

Conflict is a reality of life and a human predicament, including the school environment. The good news is that conflict can be managed with good outcomes. This study was conducted to test the roles of dialogue and negotiation as strategies for educational conflict resolution in mission secondary schools in Okigwe Education Zone II of Imo State, Nigeria. A descriptive survey was the design. Four research questions were raised congruent with the purpose of the study. Correspondingly, four null hypotheses were formulated and tested at 0.05 level of significance. A questionnaire titled “Strategies for Educational Conflict Resolution” (SECR) was used as the instrument for data collection. It was made up of 25 items modified in 4-point Likert scale, validated and tested for reliability. The population of the study consisted of twenty-five (25) schools with eight thousand and three hundred students (8,300) and three hundred and ten (310) teachers including principals. From this target population, twelve (12) schools were purposively selected for the study and two hundred and sixty-eight (268) students and teachers (including principals) were also purposively selected as sample size. Data collected were analyzed using mean scores while z-test statistics was employed in testing the hypotheses. The study found out that, there is no significant difference between the mean ratings of the responses of students and staff regarding conflicts in schools and the roles of dialogue and negotiations as strategies to combat them. Based on the findings it was recommended among others that schools should adopt policies that ensure the success of educational objectives in schools and at the same time strengthen conflict awareness and competence in its management.

Keywords: Conflict, school, strategies, resolution

DOI: 10.7176/JEP/10-26-11

Publication date: September 30th 2019

1. Introduction

Conflict is a *sine qua non* in life and the human predicament. By its very nature, conflict is ubiquitous, pervasive and persistent. The *raison d'être* for conflict being inevitable is because disagreements are obvious. However, Obi and Obiledi (2015) believe that if conflict is handled well, it can be productive, leading to deeper understanding, mutual respect and closeness.

The school environment is not spayed in the reality of conflicts. This is because the school is viewed as a social system (Ukeje, Okorie, and Nwagbara, 1992). To substantiate this claim, these authors (p.119), posit that the school “consists of a clearly defined population; for instance, all members of the school organization such as students, teachers, the principal, and other school personnel working to achieve the goals of the school”. These members give rise to four kinds of a network of relationships in the school organization, namely principal-teacher relationship, teacher-teacher relationship, teacher-student relationship, and student-student relationship.

In the school environment, conflicts do arise in four levels: Intrapersonal or Intrapsychic level; Interpersonal Conflict; Intragroup Conflict; and Intergroup Conflict. All these four levels of conflict are the primary areas of concern in this paper.

Educational conflicts could also be experienced in various forms. Emenalo (2018) observes that this happens principally when the organization’s homothetic (role expectations) and individual’s idiographic (individual’s personality need dispositions) confront each other while trying to realize the school objectives. It could come in the form of insult; name-calling; escalation; defamation of character or blackmailing; stepping into another’s shoes; sarcasm; false accusations; withdrawal of love and support; as well as the withdrawal of services, salaries, fringe benefits or incentives. Others are strikes, sudden transfer, suspension, termination of appointment, demotion, marginalization, deprivation, boycott of classes, violent demonstration, detention, and open remarks.

Among the veritable strategies for managing academic conflicts include dialogue, negotiation, mediation or arbitration, conciliation, boxing the problem (argumentation), building virtuous cycles, confrontation, as well as neglect or silence. This study focuses on dialogue and negotiation as the basic and most effective strategies for resolving educational conflict in mission secondary schools in Okigwe Educational Zone II, Imo State, Nigeria.

Dialogue is a mutual conversation between two or more parties with a common interest. Within the educational or school environment, the kind of dialogue that is pragmatically advantageous is facilitated dialogue.

Facilitated dialogue according to the Office of Student Conflict Resolution (OSCR), University of Michigan, United States of America (2018) is a structured conversation between two or more parties involved in a conflict – the disputants. Primarily, negotiation is a form of international dialogue, but it is not only about inter-governmental affairs, for virtually there are many other areas of human effort such as the interpersonal conflict situations that yearn for negotiation as a diplomatic method. Negotiation rests on the premise that dialogue is a better alternative than violence (Kelapile, 2014). Thus, negotiation can be adapted in the classroom or schoolwide conflict situation.

By its nature, educational conflict is a managerial or administrative task and calls for proper planning by the school administrator. In our case, it calls the attention of the school principal or the rector to plan and formulate policies or programs for handling potential conflicts within the school and outside the school environments that may militate against the achievement of the school objectives. In mission secondary schools, it is the onus of the principal or the rector to see to the establishment and maintenance of a good and cordial student-student, teacher-student, teacher-teacher, and teacher-parent as well as school-community relationships.

2. Purpose and Significance of the Study

The purpose of this study includes:

- a) To establish the reality of conflict and its resolution in Mission Secondary Schools.
- b) To ascertain the use of dialogue, especially facilitated dialogue as a strategy for conflict resolution in mission schools.
- c) To check the awareness of teachers and students of using negotiation as a mutual tool for resolving conflicts in the school environment.
- d) To discover the impacts of addressing or not addressing conflict problems that arise in Mission Secondary Schools

The significance of this study, among others, first and foremost is that it will bring to the awareness of educational stakeholders, the reality, and dynamics of conflict in the school environment. Secondly, it will bring to the consciousness of education stakeholders within the Okigwe zone and beyond to proffer solutions to the ugly phenomenon of unmanaged conflicts. Thirdly, this study intimates the educational administrators and policymakers to take into cognizance programs that address conflict prevention and resolution in schools. Furthermore, it highlights the positive impact of addressing conflict problems that arise in schools such as: the improvement of learning and better outcomes; improvement of students' self-esteem, self-confidence, and communication when they are directly involved in managing conflicts successfully; and the experience of deeper understanding, mutual respect and closeness after the resolution of conflicts. Further, still, it emphasizes the consequences of failure to address educational conflicts adequately such as disillusionment or discouraging behaviors among students, teachers and parents/guardians; poor academic performance; and negative impact on school climates such as social relationships of teachers and students. Lastly, this study tends to open the way for further research leading to the development of the agenda for successful prevention and management of conflicts in the school environment.

3. Research Questions and Hypotheses

In conformity, with the purpose of this study, the research questions for this study are:

- a) What is the reality of conflict and its resolution in Mission Secondary Schools?
- b) What is the use of dialogue, especially facilitated dialogue as a strategy for conflict resolution in mission schools?
- c) Are teachers and students aware of using negotiation as a mutual tool for resolving conflicts in the school environment?
- d) What are the impacts of addressing or not addressing conflict problems that arise in Mission Secondary Schools?

The following null hypotheses tested at 0.05 level of significance were formulated to guide this study:

H₀₁: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of the responses of students and staff regarding the reality and dynamics of conflicts in schools.

H₀₂: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of dialogue in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

H₀₃: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of negotiation in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

H₀₄: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff on the positive impact of addressing adequately educational problems that emanate from the reality of conflict in schools.

4. Conceptual Framework

The word strategy, as used in this study, is in its literal and ordinary sense. It is a managerial term used to

designate a plan of action mapped out to achieve a long-term or lasting objective. In other words, a strategy is a master plan, a plan of action, blueprint, or tactics. It comes from the Greek word, *strategos* meaning the art of the general – the art of war where the aim is to win and not to lose. A good number of strategies have been adduced for managing education conflict and among them are dialogue and negotiation.

Concerning conflict as a concept, Galtung (1958, p.35) defines conflict as “a social system of actors with incompatibility between their goal-states”. Similar concepts are contradiction, which when taken in its literary sense, *contra dicere*, means “to speak against”; compatibility; dichotomy; and dissociation. In the same manner, interdependent relationship is seen as one potential consequence of conflict.

The concept of conflict resolution has other synonyms such as conflict management, conflict transformation, conflict negation, conflict repression, peacebuilding, etc. Although experts would point at subtle and nuanced distinctions between these terms, they are mostly used interchangeably. Scholars of conflict and or peace argue that conflict should not always be depicted as if it is entirely negative. Among these scholars, Faleti (2006) opines that depending on how it is handled, conflict can either be constructive (positive) or destructive (negative).

As a concept, the word “dialogue” is a derivative from two Greek words: *διά* (*dia*) meaning through or across and *λόγος* (*logos*) meaning study or word, and by extension speech or reason. Thus, we have *διάλογος* (*dialogos*) meaning conversation. From the Greek, the Latin rendered it as *dialogus*, meaning also conversation. The opposite of dialogue is monologue which is a solitary speech. The idea of dialogue, therefore, involves two or more people in speech or conversation which is an exclusively human or rational exercise.

For negotiation, a good aim of it in the words of Lewicki, Barry, and Saunders (2001, 3) is to “resolve a problem or dispute between the parties”. Negotiation is a formal process with rules. In this sense, there are two levels of negotiation – personal level and diplomatic/corporate level. It is at the personal level that this study talks of negotiation. Negotiation is not a win-win or lose-lose situation. A good negotiation involves making compromises. In this case, one-party wins some and loses some, and likewise the other party.

The word “mission” in this paper has a religious background; it originates from the Latin verb, in its four principal parts: *mitto mittere misi missium*, meaning “to send”. A person who is sent does the will of the sender; the sent are called missionaries in the sense that they are in the missions (land or territory) and carry out the work of the missions. Missionaries are found among Church hierarchy as well as the rank and file of the Clergy, Religious and the Lay Faithful. Among the work which the missionaries have associated themselves with is the opening and running of schools.

Secondary school is the level of education after the primary or elementary school education. In Nigeria, the National Policy on Education (2013) divides secondary education into two, namely Junior Secondary Education (Classes 1 to 3) and Senior Secondary Education (Classes 4 to 6). The policy puts the former (junior secondary) in the basic education and the former (senior secondary) in post-basic education.

5. Review of Empirical Studies

The topic of conflict resolution in schools using the strategies of dialogue and negotiation abound but not so robust. In the course of this study, we reviewed three of the related works previously carried out by other researchers.

Oboegbulem and Idoko (2013) carried out a related research entitled, “Conflict Resolution Strategies in Non-Government Secondary Schools in Benue State, Nigeria”. The study investigated what the researchers termed perceived Conflict Resolution Strategies (CRSs) for the resolution of conflicts in non-government secondary schools in Benue State, Nigeria. Their study was guided by three research questions and three hypotheses. They employed proportionate stratified random sampling technique in drawing 15% of the population with a total of 500 respondents comprising principals, teachers, proprietors, and students. Also, they made use of a CRSs questionnaire as their instrument. A four-point scale was used for the ratings of the respondents. Mean, and Standard Deviation (SD) were used to answer the research questions. T-test statistic was used to test hypotheses 1 and 2, while one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test hypothesis 3 at significance level of 0.05. In this study, the authors discovered two major sources of conflicts in schools: unnecessary interferences with the administration of the school by the proprietors and arbitrary increase of school fees by the school management. Concerning the strategies for resolving conflicts, they revealed the following: agreeing on the procedure taken for the resolution of conflicts, encouraging parties to work together, taking staff and students’ comments and suggestions, and involvement of school disciplinary committee and public complaint commission, etc. Based on their findings, they made the following recommendations: establishment of effective disciplinary committee, functional board of governors and cordial school community relations in all schools by the government; the regulations on the functions and meeting of the board of governors of secondary schools should be strictly adhered to and enforced by the government; Proprietors of schools and principals should avoid arbitrary increase of school fees and other levies to avoid unnecessary demonstration by students; etc.

Also, in a related study, Ajai (2017) delved into the “Assessment of conflict management strategies in

public secondary schools in Delta State, Nigeria". In this study, three research questions were raised to guide them. The researcher adopted a descriptive survey design and purposive sampling to select 140 public secondary school heads out of the 159 public secondary schools in Delta north senatorial district. The questionnaire was the instrument used for data collection. Consequently, the study arrived at the following as the major causes of conflict in secondary schools: principal's illegal collection of levies, principals delegating duties meant for senior teachers to junior teachers, principals tempering with staff salary, communication without feedback, and irregular payment of staff salary. Ajai's study found that the area most affected by conflict in secondary school administration were financial administration and student personnel. It also revealed that the most effective resolution strategies were the confrontational, compromising, setting up committee, free flow of communication between members of staff, proper school record keeping, and dialogue. As a recommendation the study made the following prescriptions: that Government should initiate compulsory workshops for principals to enhance their knowledge in financial management, conflict resolution strategies for conflict situation and authority boundaries; and that the Government should include conflict management as part of the curriculum of teachers' training education.

Further still, Kalagbor and Nnokam (2015) carried out research entitled, "Principals' and Teachers' Use of Conflict Management Strategies on Secondary Students' Conflict Resolution in Rivers State- Nigeria." The study was designed to identify the principals' and teachers' level of utilization of conflict management strategies: integrating, dominating, compromising and avoiding strategies on secondary students' conflict resolution and their related implications in the internal school administration. The authors used four research questions and four hypotheses to address the study. They used questionnaire as their instrument for the generation of data which they administered to a sample of 7 principals and 147 teachers. These, they randomly selected from a target population of 14 principals and 294 teachers in Government Junior Secondary Schools in Port Harcourt Local Government Area of Rivers State. To provide answers to the research questions, they constructed tables, frequency counts, percentage and computed the means. Their results revealed that the principals use the integrating and compromising strategies more frequently than the teachers; the teachers' level in the use of avoiding strategies is higher than that of the principals, and teachers tend to overlook to a large extent the use of dominating strategies in the management of students' conflict. Based on these findings, their study concludes that school managers are beginning to understand the legal implications associated with their administrative duties bordering on students' management. They thus recommend that relevant institutional frameworks should be appropriately put in place to enable the principals and teachers further appreciate the emerging ideas and innovations concerning students' conflicts management in school.

6. Research Design and Procedure

This study uses a descriptive survey. The area is the Okigwe Educational Zone II in Imo State, Nigeria. Okigwe Education zone has six local government areas, namely, Okigwe, Onuimo, Isiala-Mbano, Ehime-Mbano, Ihitte-Uboma, and Obowo. This is further divided educationally into zones I and II. The first three: Okigwe, Isiala-Mbano, and Onuimo are in Zone I, while the last three: Ehime-Mbano, Ihitte-Uboma, and Obowo belong to Zone II. In geo-politics, Okigwe Education Zone II is referred to as Okigwe South Federal Constituency.

Even though education remains the biggest industry of the people of this area, farming and trading are the most enterprising for the general population. Based on the 2006 national census, the zone had a population of about four hundred and ninety thousand (490,000) people. But today, based on estimation, the population of the people of the area is over seven hundred thousand (700,000) people. The choice for Okigwe zone II for this study is due in part to the researchers' identity, interest, and proximity with the area.

6.1 Population of the Study

The population of the study comprises principals, teachers, and students. The number of schools is twenty-five (25) with eight thousand and three hundred (8,300) students and three hundred and ten (310) teachers including principals.

6.2 Sample and Sampling Technique

From the target population, twelve (12) schools were purposively selected for the study, and two hundred and sixty-eight (268) students and teachers (including principals) were also purposively selected as sample size. Their breakdown is as follows: twelve (12) Principals, one hundred and forty-six (146) Teachers, and one hundred and ten (110) Students. In terms of gender, one hundred and forty (140) were female while one hundred and twenty-eight (128) were males. The twelve (12) schools were distributed as follows: Ehime-Mbano 5, Obowo 4, Ihitte-Uboma 3. Also, nine (9) were Catholic schools, and three (3) were Protestant schools. Both principals, teachers, and students were used in the study because as active members of the school community, they are the subjects as well as objects of conflict situations and their resolutions.

6.3 Instrument of Data Collection

The instrument used for data collection in this research work is the Questionnaire. The instrument is titled “Strategies for Conflict Resolution in Schools (SCRS). The instrument comprises two sections: Section I seeks information on personal data of the respondents, Section II has thirty-four (25) items put into four (4) clusters: A to D representing the four-study purpose/research questions, and the four null hypotheses. Cluster A ascertains the reality of conflict in mission secondary schools in Okigwe Education Zone, Imo State Nigeria. This cluster has nine (9) items. Cluster B asks questions about dialogue as a strategy for conflict resolution in schools. It has seven (7) items. Cluster C seeks information about negotiation as a strategy for conflict resolution in schools. It has three (3) number of items. Lastly, Cluster D seeks for the consequences of not addressing adequately educational problems that emanate from the reality of conflict in schools. It has a total number of six (6) items. The questionnaire items were structured on a four-point Likert scale rating of Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD). Numerical values were assigned as follows: Strongly Agree (SA) = 4 points, Agree (A) = 3 points, Disagree (D) = 2 points and Strongly Disagree (SD) = 1 point. The scores from all the responses were added up to obtain the total of each respondent.

6.4 Validation of Instrument

To ensure the validity of the instrument, the initial draft of the instrument was subjected to face validation. The instrument was delivered by experts, two in Educational Management and planning, and one in measurement and evaluation – both are from Imo State University, Owerri. They were requested to study the instrument and assess the suitability of language as well as the adequacy and relevance of the items in addressing the research questions and the hypotheses bearing in mind the purpose of the study. Corrections were made, which were later used by the researcher to get the final draft that was administered to the respondents.

6.5 Reliability of the Instrument

To safeguard the reliability of the instrument, a trial test exercise was carried out with a mission secondary school outside the population of the study. It was with Christus Dominus Secondary Technical School, Ezelu Okwe, Onuimo LGA, Imo State within Okigwe Education Zone 1. Four (4) teachers (2 females and 2 males) and ten (10) students (5 females and 5 males) were used for the preliminary study.

Considering the nature of the instrument and the question designs, the Cronbach Alpha (α) method was used. The instrument has an overall reliability estimate of $\alpha > 0.90$ which shows a high indicative that the instrument is highly reliable for the study.

6.6 Method of Data Collection

The copies of the questionnaire were administered to principals, teachers and students in these twelve (12) schools sampled. The researcher used the direct delivery and retrieval system such as personal drop off and pick up. This helped the researcher to recover all but two of the instruments from the respondents with near accuracy.

6.7 Method of Data Analysis

The researcher used mean score and standard deviation in answering the five research questions of this study. The degree of agreement and disagreement were determined by finding the mean of the nominal values assigned to the options. In rating, the computed mean scores that ranged from 2.5 and above was regarded as being accepted while the item that falls below 2.5 was regarded as having been rejected. The criterion mean of 2.5 was got by summing up the weighted options ($4+3+2+1 = 10$) and dividing it by the total number of response options (4) as follows: $10/4 = 2.5$. The Z-test statistics was used to test the null hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance.

7. Data Analysis and Results

Data analysis and results are presented in line with the research questions and the hypotheses that guided the study. The data collected, and their analysis are shown in tables 1-5, whereas their decisions are presented in tables 6-9 below:

Table 1: Personal Data

NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	TEACHERS	STUDENTS	MALE	FEMALE
268	158	110	128	140

Cluster A - Table 2: Research Question 1
 What is the reality of conflict and its resolution in Mission Secondary Schools?

S/N	ITEM		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}
1	Conflict is a discomfoting difference or a perceived difference.	TEACHERS	571	158	3.61	STUDENTS	378	110	3.44
2	Conflict is bound to happen anywhere (it is inevitable)		567	158	3.59		398	110	3.62
3	Interdependence between parties is an element of conflict		508	158	3.22		398	110	3.62
4	Conflict arises mostly from two or more good people with common interests		537	158	3.40		390	110	3.55
5	Sense of opposition or frustration characterizes conflict and goes with some form of negative emotion, such as anger.		592	158	3.75		426	110	3.87
6	People react differently to conflict situations		574	158	3.63		413	110	3.75
7	Conflict always involves risks and costs		613	158	3.88		426	110	3.87
8	Conflict can be resolved effectively through some strategies		612	158	3.87		386	110	3.51
9	We learn better lessons from managing conflicts		583	158	3.69		359	110	3.26

Cluster B - TABLE 3: RESEARCH QUESTION 2

What is the use of dialogue, especially facilitated dialogue as a strategy for conflict resolution in mission schools?

S/N	ITEM		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}
10	Dialogue is an effective strategy for combating conflict in schools.	TEACHERS	573	158	3.63	STUDENTS	408	110	3.71
11	Structured Dialogue, that is, a structured conversation between two or more parties involved in a conflict (the disputants) is effective in shooting conflicts.		591	158	3.74		394	110	3.58
12	The parties involved in this process are not only the disputants; there are also facilitators – student or professional staff members.		554	158	3.51		410	110	3.73
13	Through Facilitated Dialogue, disputants can:		612	158	3.87		388	110	3.53
14	(a) share their thoughts, feelings, and experiences with one another in a confidential space;		573	158	3.63		434	110	3.95
15	(b) work toward a mutual understanding of one another's opinions and beliefs;		601	158	3.80		402	110	3.65
16	(c) identify areas of common ground and make decisions about how differences of opinion could be managed;		596	158	3.77		370	110	3.36
	(d) explore the possibility of reaching mutually agreeable solutions.								

Are teachers and students aware of using negotiation as a mutual tool for resolving conflicts in the school environment?

S/N	ITEM		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}
17	Negotiation is a concerted effort by protagonists to reach a mutual resolution of what is in dispute through cordial means.	TEACHERS	562	158	3.56	STUDENTS	418	110	3.80
18	A good negotiation involves making compromises. In this case one party wins some and loses some, and likewise the other party.		581	158	3.68		411	110	3.74
19	In negotiation, there is preference for peace rather than fighting.		575	158	3.64		343	110	3.12

Cluster D - TABLE 5: RESEARCH QUESTION 4

What are the impacts of addressing or not addressing conflict problems that arise in Mission Secondary Schools?

S/N	ITEM		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}		ΣFX	N	\bar{X}
20	Improves learning and better outcomes at school	TEACHERS	486	158	3.08	STUDENTS	363	110	3.30
21	When students engage in successful conflict resolutions themselves, it improves their self-esteem, self-confidence, and communication skills.		591	158	3.74		361	110	3.28
22	If the conflict is handled well, it can be productive, leading to deeper understanding, mutual respect and closeness.		478	158	3.03		421	110	3.83
23	It could prevent the school from reaching its goals and objectives		531	158	3.36		406	110	3.69
24	It will have a negative impact on school climate marring social relationships of staff and students.		596	158	3.77		272	110	2.47
25	It affects academic performance.		534	158	3.38		405	110	3.68

DECISIONS

Following the mean of every item in the table, the mean responses of teachers and the students in the items from the research questions revealed that all the item statements fall within the average mean score of 2.50 apart from the mean score in item 24 which has the lowest mean score of 2.47 and falls below the cut-off average of 2.5. This revealed that the students are not in agreement with the fact that not addressing conflicts properly could influence Education or may have a negative impact on school- climate- marring the social relationships of the teachers and students.

Testing of Hypotheses

H01: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of the responses of students and staff regarding the reality and dynamics of conflicts in schools.

Summary of Z-test analysis of the significant differences between the mean ratings of the teachers and students regarding the reality and dynamics of conflicts in mission secondary schools.

Table 6:

Respondents							
Teachers and students	N	\bar{X}	SD	DF	Z_{Cal}	Z_{crit}	Decision
	268	3.38	0.283	266	0.31	1.96	Do not Reject Ho

The result in the table above reveals that the calculated value of Z(0.31) is lower than the Z- critical value of Z(1.96) at 0.05 level of significance. Which shows that the Z-test was significant. The null hypothesis is then not rejected, and then we conclude that there is no difference between the mean ratings of teachers and students regarding the reality and dynamics of conflicts in mission secondary schools.

H02: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of dialogue in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

Summary of Z-test analysis of the significant differences between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of dialogue in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

Table 7:

Respondents							
Teachers and students	N	\bar{X}	SD	DF	Z_{Cal}	Z_{crit}	Decision
	268	3.42	0.126	266	0.88	1.96	Do not Reject Ho

The result in the table above reveals that the calculated value of Z(0.88) is lower than the critical value of Z(1.96) at 0.05 level of significance. The null hypothesis is not rejected, and then we conclude that there is no difference between the mean ratings of teachers and students about the positive impact of dialogue in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

H03: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of negotiation in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

Summary of Z-test analysis of the significant differences between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of negotiation in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

Table 8:

Respondents							
Teachers and students	N	\bar{X}	SD	DF	Z_{Cal}	Z_{crit}	Decision
	268	3.50	0.140	266	0.36	1.96	DO not Reject Ho

The result in the table above reveals that the calculated value of Z(0.36) is lower than the critical value of Z(1.96) at 0.05 level of significance. The null hypothesis is not rejected, and then we conclude that there is no difference between the mean ratings of teachers and students about the positive impact of negotiation in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.

H04: There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of addressing adequately educational problems that emanate from the reality of conflict in schools.

Summary of Z-test analysis of the significant differences between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of addressing adequately educational problems that emanate from the reality of conflict in schools.

Table 9:

Respondents							
Teachers and students	N	\bar{X}	SD	DF	Z_{Cal}	Z_{crit}	Decision
	268	3.57	0.179	266	0.04	1.96	Do not Reject Ho

The result in the table above reveals that the calculated value of Z(0.04) is lower than the critical value of Z(1.96) at 0.05 level of significance. The null hypothesis is not rejected, and then we conclude that there is no difference between the mean ratings of teachers and students about the positive impact of addressing adequately educational problems that emanate from the reality of conflict in schools.

8. Summary of Findings

Based on the research questions and the null hypotheses which guided the study, many findings were made, such as the following:

1. There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of the responses of students and staff

- regarding the reality and dynamics of conflicts in schools.
2. There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of dialogue in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.
 3. There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff about the positive impact of negotiation in addressing conflict problems that arise in schools.
 4. There is no significant difference between the mean ratings of students and staff on the positive impact of addressing adequately educational problems that emanate from the reality of conflict in schools.

9. Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations were made:

1. School management should identify strategies for resolving conflicts in schools. These should not be limited to the ones studied here. By so doing, they will develop a constructive agenda for successful prevention and management of conflicts in the school environment.
2. Institutions of learning should adopt policies that ensure the success of educational objectives in schools and at the same time strengthen conflict awareness and competence in its management.
3. Teachers and students should establish a good relationship between themselves, and by so doing, students can easily speak with the teachers without being afraid or showing inferiority complexes.
4. There should be teachers/students' forum from time to time which should be adopted by the management where students are free to speak out, and teachers are willing to listen and advise them properly

10. Conclusion

The study concludes that the mission secondary schools in Okigwe Education Zone II Imo-State, Nigeria should adopt different approaches and strategies for resolving conflicts in the system. These different means can only be achieved by identifying the ones that are suitable for schools. Furthermore, avenues should be created which will make easy access in implementing these strategies like having good relationships existing between the teachers and students.

11. References

- Ajai, A. E. (2017). "Assessment of conflict management strategies in public secondary schools in Delta State, Nigeria". *International Journal of Research Studies in Management*. Volume 6 Number 1, 99-115.
- Catholic Diocese of Okigwe. (2018). *Official diary and directory*. Okigwe: Immaculata Press.
- Emenalo, F. C. (2018). "Conflict management in schools". In Oku, O. O.; Emenalo, F. C.; and Okeke, F. N.; Edited. *Fundamental issues in educational administration and supervision*. Owerri: Joe Mankpa.
- Faleti, S. A. (2006). "Theories of Social Conflict". In *Introduction to peace and conflict studies in West Africa*. 35-60. Shedrack Gaya Best (Ed.). Ibadan: Spectrum.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria (2013). *National Policy on Education* (6th ed.). Lagos, Nigeria: NERDC Press.
- Galtung, J. (1958). *Theories of conflict: Definitions, dimensions, negotiations, formations*. New York: Columbia University.
- Kalagbor, L. D. and Nnokam, N. C. (2015). Principals' and teachers' use of conflict management strategies on secondary students' conflict resolution in Rivers State-Nigeria. *Journal of Education and Practice*. Vol.6, No.13, 148-153. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1080516>.
- Kalepile, C. V. (2015). "Negotiation: The Most Important Function of Diplomacy." 1-34. Unpublished Euclid Student Paper.
- Krscynski, D. (2015). "What is Strategy?"; Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TD7WSLeQtVw> on August 29, 2019.
- Lewicki, R. J.; Saunders, D. M.; and Minton, J. W. (2001). *Essentials of negotiation*, second edition. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Obi, S. C. and Obineli, A. S. (2015). Psychological strategies for resolving interpersonal conflicts among administrators in tertiary institutions: A case of Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Nigeria." *Journal of Education and Practice*. Vol.6, No.26, 46-51. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1077400>
- Oboegbulem, A. and Adoko, A. (2013). "Conflict resolution strategies in non-government secondary schools in Benue State, Nigeria." *US-China Education Review B*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 91-102.
- Office of Student Conflict Resolution, University of Michigan, United States of America (2018). *Facilitated dialogue*. Retrieved from <https://oscr.umich.edu/article/facilitated-dialogue> on August 29, 2019.
- Porter, M. E. (2012). "What is strategy?"; Speech at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zq9-JT8moU4> on August 29, 2019.
- Smith, A. L. and Smock, D. R. (2008). *Managing a mediation process*. Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace.

- Ukeje, B. O., Okorie, N. C. and Nwagbara, U. A. (1992). *Educational administration: theory and practice*. Owerri: Totan.
- United Nations. (2012). *Guidance for effective mediation*. New York: United Nations.
- Wilgus, J. K., & Holmes, R. C. (2009). Facilitated dialogue: An overview and introduction for student conduct professionals. In J. M. Schrage & N. G. Giacomini (Eds.), *Reframing campus conflict: Student conduct practice through a social justice lens* (pp.112-125). Sterling, VA: Stylus.

About the Authors

Cajetan Ebuziem, a Roman Catholic Priest from Okigwe Diocese, Nigeria, is Adjunct Professor of Philosophy, Theology and Interfaith Studies, EUCLID (Euclid University). He is also the University Chaplain as well as Associate Dean, College of Humanities at Gregory University, Uturu, Nigeria, where he teaches Philosophy, Logic, and Human Existence. He holds, Post-Doctoral Diploma in Education (PDDE) from Imo State University Owerri, Nigeria (2018); Ph.D. in Interreligious Dialogue and Diplomacy from Euclid University (2017); Doctor of Ministry (D.MIN.) from Catholic Theological Union at Chicago (2007); Bachelor of Sacred Theology (B.D) from Urban University, Rome (1996); and Bachelor of Philosophy (B.PHIL.) from Urban University, Rome (1991). He is a researcher/faculty member of the Academic Council of the United Nations System (ACUNS) and a member of World Congress of Faiths, London, UK.

Sandra Chiaka Emenyonu, a lecturer in Statistics at Gregory University Uturu Abia State. She holds a bachelor's degree in mathematics and Statistics from Anambra state University Uli Anambra state Nigeria (Chukwuemeka Odimegwu University Uli) (2009) and a master's degree in Biostatistics from Universiti Putra Malaysia (2016).