Effect of Qualification and Experience of Biology Teachers on the Status of Ecology Teaching in Kwara State

Isaac O. Abimbola Ph.D and Florence O. Abidoye Department of Science Education, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State.

Abstract

This study examined the effect of qualification and experience of Biology teachers on the status of ecology teaching in Kwara State. This study sample included one hundred and forty two (142) Secondary Schools selected by random sampling technique. The researcher designed teachers' questionnaire which were administered to four hundred and fifty (450) teachers selected from eight (8) Local Government Areas of Kwara State. Researcher-designed validated questionnaire was used to elicit information from the respondents on the status of ecology teaching. Three research questions and two hypotheses were formulated. Frequency counts and chi- square statistics were used to analysis the data collected. Finding of this study revealed that 68% of Biology teachers agreed with the contents while 32% disagreed. However both qualified and unqualified teachers had viewed ecology teaching the same way that is ecology teaching was not properly done due to the fact that some Biology teachers skip certain areas of the syllabus that they find difficult to teach. Similarly, experienced and less experienced teachers had the same views about ecology teaching in Kwara State. Based on the findings, the following recommendations were made: fieldwork and practicals on Ecology should be carried out satisfactory in the schools, teachers should be sponsored to seminars and workshops specifically on Ecology teaching.

Introduction

Biology deals with the study of all the varied aspects of living organisms. It is one of the science subjects, offered by candidates sitting for the senior school certificate (SSC) ordinary level examinations. Biology is the most popular subject among the pure science subjects (Idodo, 1996). Biology at the senior secondary school level can be grouped under such headings as: Cell and Unicellular Organisms, simple multicellular animals, insects, the arthropods, higher animals, reptiles and birds, mammals, flowerless plants, flowering plants, physical processes found in living organisms, respiration, food substances, nutrition of green plants, transport systems, excretion, ecology, etc. Ecology is the study of interactions of organisms with their physical environment and with each other. No plant or animal is independent of its environment.

If organisms must survive, then the environment must provide suitable conditions for their existence because they are likely to be affected by conditions around them (Stone, 1990). Ecological studies deal with any of the three levels of the organization of the organism, the individual, the population and communities. It deals also with the way in which the organisms at each of these levels of organization function in harmony with the non living physical environment. Ecology has many useful applications aimed at maintaining a healthier and more productive biosphere for the life of humans and other living organisms. It provides for the judicious use of natural resources often referred to as conservation. (Ndu, 1990). The sub topics under ecology at the senior secondary school level are basic ecological concepts, Aquatic habitants, Fresh water, Swamps, Marsh, Estuary, Grass land, Major biomes of the world, ecology of Population study and Problem of survival etc.

- The following are the objectives for teaching senior secondary school Biology:
- 1. To provide basic literacy in Biology for functional living in the society.
- 2. To acquire essential scientific skills and attitudes as a preparation for the technological application of Biology.
- 3. To acquire basic concepts and principles of Biology as a preparation for further studies.
- 4. To stimulate and enhance creativity (National Biology Curriculum, 1993 page 20-22.

The focus of the secondary schools is to produce students that will proceed to tertiary institutions for further education. Some researchers reports shows that teachers found some concepts in ecology difficult (Ndukwe, 1988). Okeke and Ochuba (1986) worked on the level of understanding of ecological concepts. The study revealed low understanding of the three selected concepts by secondary school certificate students on some selected ecology concepts such as population, habitant and ecosystem. Apart from the three selected concepts, the findings call for further investigations of the other ecology concepts so as to improve the understanding of these concepts among secondary school students and to know the best method to be employed in teaching them. Jegede and Lagoke (1986) worked on the status of ecological field works in Nigerian secondary schools and found out the teachers did not have a positive attitude towards the teaching of ecological concepts and found out that the absence of Yoruba equivalent of the ecological concepts was a factor for such misconception held by students. Ugwuandu (1984) worked on problems of teaching ecology and genetics at the secondary school level

and found that teachers found some concepts difficult to teach. Abidoye (2010) found the results of students in Biology Examination conducted by WAEC discouraging. It is shown below in table 1. **Table 1:**

The Nation-Wide statistics of performance in Science and related subjects in May/June, 2008-2010 Senior
School Certificate Examinations.

Year	Subject	Total	Total	A1- C6	D7- E8	TOTAL	F9
	-	Enrolment	Sat	(% Pass)	(% Pass)	NO	%
				× ,		A1-E8	Failure
2008	Biology	1285048	1259965	427844	329961	757605	484071
			(98.1)	(33.9)	(26.2)	(60.1)	(38.4)
	Chemistry	428513	418423	185949	114697	300646	110417
			(98.0)	(44.4)	(27.4)	(71.9)	(26,4)
	Physics	424893	415113	200345	91116	291461	116776
			(97.7)	(44.3)	(22.0)	(70.2)	(28.1)
2009	Biology	1364655	1340206	383112	413014	796126	471312
			(98.2)	(28.6)	(30.8)	(59.4)	(35.2)
	Chemistry	478235	468546	204726	114020	318746	119260
	-		(98.0)	(43.7)	(24.3)	(68.0)	(25.5)
	Physic	474887	465636	222722	141595	364317	79919
			(98.1)	(47.8)	(30.4)	(78.2)	(17.2)
2010	Biology	1325408	1300418	427644	329961	757605	484071
			(98.1)	(33.9)	(26.2)	(60.1)	(38.4)
	Chemistry	477573	465643	236043	109944	346003	988165
	·		(97.5)	(50.7)	(23.6)	(74.3)	(21.1)
	Physic	475414	463755	237756	122417	360173	84716
	-		(97.6)	(51.3)	(26.4)	(77.7)	(18.3)

Source: Statistics Section West African Examination Council (WAEC) Office Yaba, Lagos (2011).

The results in table 1 show a decline in students' performance in years sampled, 2008-2010. The percentage of students that had credits was very low compared to the total entry. In 2008-33.9%, in 2009-28.6% and in 2010-33.9% throughout the examination results, the performance of biology students was always below 60% of the total number students who offered biology in the external examination. In the years 2008, 2009 and 2010 . The percentage failures were 38.4%, 35.2% and 38.4%. It could therefore be seen from the table that percentage failure of students' performance increased yearly. The result above showed are the same for all the senior secondary schools in Nigeria. Many reasons have been advanced for the poor performance such as

- i. Negative attitude of both teachers and students (Akintoye & Shofuyi, 2002)
- ii. Lack of adequate laboratory equipment (Jimoh, 1992)
- iii. Some teaching methods adopted by Biology teachers (Harbor Peters & Ogomeka, 1991)
- iv. Teachers' difficulty in understanding and teaching of certain Biology concepts (Abimola, 1998)
- v. Inadequate coverage of the Biology syllabus (WAEC, 1985).

Ecology is one of the difficult concepts to both teachers and students of Biology (Abimbola 1998: NERDC, 1993: Oyeyemi 1991 and Ugwuandu, 1984, Soyinka 1983 and WAEC, 1998 – 2000). Researchers reported that most teachers in Nigeria secondary schools grossly neglect the teaching of ecology like that of genetics. The Chief Examiner's report on Ecology revealed low achievement in ecology by students (WAEC 2004). In all the studies examined, ecology was difficult due to the following reasons:

- i. Neglect of ecology by most secondary school teachers
- ii. Academic ability and area of specialization of teachers

None of the studies above examined knowledge of teachers and experience of biology teachers. Hence, the need for this study.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to determine the influence of qualification and experience of Biology teachers on their views about the status of ecology teaching in Kwara State.

Research Questions

There were three research questions and two hypotheses. They are

- 1. What is the status of ecology teaching in senior secondary schools in Kwara State as viewed by Biology teachers?
- 2. Do the views of Biology teachers' on ecology teaching vary with their qualification?
- 3. Do the views of Biology teachers' on ecology teaching vary with their teaching experience? The hypotheses are
- 1. There is no significant difference between qualified and unqualified biology teacher on the status of ecology teaching
- 2. There is no significant difference in the view of experienced and less experienced biology teachers on the status of ecology teaching

A total of one hundred and forty two (142) public schools were sampled for the study. Five hundred questionnaire copies were given to Biology teachers across the sampled public schools for study, but four hundred and fifty copies were filled and returned.

Data Analysis and Results

Table 2

Table 2: Number and Percentages of Teachers' Responses

0	L		
Variable	Number of teachers	Total	Percentage distributed
Qualified	209	450	46.4
Unqualified	241	430	53.6
Less-experience	182	450	40.4
Experienced	268	450	59.6

Table 2 shows the distribution of four hundred and fifty (450) respondents involved in the study. Four hundred and fifty respondents were distributed into four variables i.e. qualification and teaching experience. There were two hundred and nine (209) Qualified and 241 unqualified respondents. And also there were 268 experienced and 182 less-experienced Biology teachers.

Research Question One

What is the status of Ecology teaching in senior secondary schools in Kwara State as viewed by Biology teachers?

Table 3:

The number and percentages of Teachers' responses on Ecology Teaching

CONTENTS	Agreed	Disagreed	Total
	%	%	%
General responses of the teachers	68	32	100
The company to the contents and	a + 1 + a + (00) + a + 1 + a	Dialages togelage	annead that too shine of

The general response to the contents was that 68% of the Biology teachers agreed that teaching of Ecology was not done properly and 32% said it was alright. This shows that there should be improvement on the status of Ecology teaching by the Biology teachers. The total respondents percentages was hundred percent. **Research Question Two:** Do the views of Biology teachers' in Ecology teaching vary with their qualification? **Research Question Three:** Do the views of Biology teachers' in Ecology teaching vary with their teaching experience?

Table 4:

Chi- square Analysis of Average overall of the Responses on the basis of Qualification (qualified and lessqualified) and Teaching Experience (Less experienced and experienced) Biology Teachers on the Status of Ecology Teaching.

QUALIFICATION	χ^{2}	TEACHING EXPERIENCE	χ^{2}
Qualified		Less-experienced	
	0.41		0.56
Unqualified		Experienced	

The average results of the analysis related to the research questions as are shown in table 4. From Table 4, the Chi-square calculated (X^2 Cal) was 0.41, while the table value was 3.84 at 0.05 alpha levels, with degree of freedom of 1 this shows that the views of Biology teachers on the teaching of Ecology did not vary significantly with qualifications. With this, the null hypothesis (H_{02}) was not rejected.

Similarly, the calculated X^2 for experience of teachers was 0.56 while the table value was 3.84. Therefore H₀₃ was not rejected. This table 4 shows that both the Qualified and Unqualified have similar opinion

to that of the experienced and less experienced Biology teachers about the teaching of Ecology in Kwara State. Summary of Major Findings

In this study, the major findings are

- 1) Ecology teaching was accorded low status in Kwara State with 68% agreement of Biology teachers that said that there should be improvement in the Biology curriculum of some Ecology concept that are lacking.
- 2) Both qualified and unqualified biology teachers agreed that Ecology teaching was not due to the fact that some Biology teachers skip certain areas of the syllabus that they find difficult to teach.
- 3) Experienced and less experienced teachers agreed that ecology teaching was not properly done.

Discussion of Results of Status Ecology Teaching

In this study, it was found out that ecology teaching had low status in Kwara State, and has effect on students' performance. The finding agreed with Adeshina (2007), Ogundele (1995) and Ojaleye (1997).

The results of the study indicated that many Biology teachers had the views that the status of teaching is very important in the teaching of Ecology. With respect to the qualification, the qualified agreed with unqualified Biology teachers that some teachers skip certain areas of the syllabus that they find difficult to teach. The findings agreed with Mgbako-Ezemia (1992), Ogundele (1995) and Ojaleye (1997).

The experienced and less experienced teachers agreed that ecology teaching was not properly done as a result of not exposing the Science teachers to seminar and workshop. The finding agrees with those of Ndukwe (1988), Abdullahi (1983), Fafunwa (1974), Balogun 1982 and Saha (1983).

Recommendations

Field work and practicals on Ecology should be carried out satisfactorily in the schools.

Teachers should be sponsored to seminars and workshops specifically on Ecology teaching.

Suggestions for further Studies

The following suggestions are made for further studies: this research work can be replicated in other part of Kwara State of the country since this study is limited to eight Local Government Areas of Kwara State. Also the Science education students could start from where this work end by creating many instructional aids that could be used to teach Ecology and other concept that are perceived difficult by many Biology teachers.

Moreover, other researchers can equally examine other variables such as area of specialization, type of schools and others that have not been addressed in this study.

Appendix
Chi- square Analysis of the Responses on the basis of Qualification (qualified and less-qualified) and
Teaching Experience (Less experienced and experienced) Biology Teachers on the Status of Ecology
Teaching.

Annendiv

C/NO	C	OUALERCATION		TEACHINIC	
S/NO	CONTENTS	QUALIFICATION	χ^{2}	TEACHING EXPERIENCE	χ^{2}
1	Ecology in the curriculum is arranged in a conceptual manner	Qualified Unqualified	1.001	Experienced Less experienced	0.02
2	Learning about ecosystems should involve field work	Qualified Unqualified	0.12	Experienced Less experienced	0.26
3	Specific samples illustrative of adaptive features of organisms should be brought into the class room	Qualified Unqualified	1.55	Experienced Less experienced	0.004
4	Emphasis should be placed on identifying adaptive features of organisms.	Qualified Unqualified	2.37	Experienced Less experienced	0.004
5	Food scarcity as an ecological problem should be emphasized.	Qualified Unqualified	0.13	Experienced Less experienced	1.98
6	Some important ecology concepts are lacking in the Biology curriculum.	Qualified Unqualified	0.40	Experienced Less experienced	1.00
7	In studying an ecosystem, sizes of ecosystem and population of many organisms should be determined.	Qualified Unqualified	0.85	Experienced Less experienced	1.04
8	The biology curriculum is loaded	Qualified	0.09	Experienced	0.70

association.

	with too many ecology concepts.	Unqualified		Less experienced	
9	The arrangement of ecological	Qualified		Experienced	
	concepts in such a way that they	Unqualified	0.05	Less experienced	0.63
	run throughout the three year				
10	course is convenient for teaching.			F · 1	
10	Non availability of Biology	Qualified		Experienced	
	textbooks affects the teaching of	Unqualified	0.35	Less experienced	0.011
	ecology in senior secondary				
1.1	schools.	0 1.6 1		т · 1	
11	Actual measurements of ecological	Qualified	0	Experienced	0
10	factors should be taken.	Unqualified		Less experienced	
12	The students should be guided to	Qualified	0	Experienced	0
12	study an ecosystem.	Unqualified		Less experienced	
13	A field trip should be made to a	Qualified	0	Experienced	0
14	biotic community.	Unqualified		Less experienced	
14	Students should collect organisms	Qualified		Experienced	
	from the ecosystems and classify them as producers, consumers or	Unqualified	0	Less experienced	0
	1 ,				
15	decomposers. Students should be able to measure	Qualified		Experienced	
15		Unqualified	0.27	Less experienced	0.34
		Unquanned	0.27	Less experienced	0.54
16	ecosystems. Students should be able to give	Qualified		Experienced	
10	names of organisms typical of each	Unqualified	0.08	Less experienced	0.55
	community	Uliqualitieu	0.08	Less experienced	0.55
17	Students should be able to describe	Qualified		Less experienced	
1 /	each of the factors and point out	Unqualified	0.08	Experienced	0.16
	their relative importance.	Uliqualitieu	0.08	Experienceu	0.10
18	The factors which affect water	Qualified		Experienced	
10	retentivity of soil-types and	Unqualified		Less experienced	
	determine the amount of water	Oliqualitieu	0.61	Less experienced	0.11
	each soil type can hold should be		0.01		0.11
	known by the students.				
19	Students should be guided to study	Qualified		Experienced	
17	land ecosystem.	Unqualified	0.90	Less experienced	0.01
20	Interpretation of graphs showing	Qualified		Experienced	
20	ecological factors of an area should	Unqualified	0.15	Less experienced	0.86
	be determined.	onquannea	0.15	Less experienced	0.00
21	Students should be able to name	Qualified		Experienced	
21	soil types and discuss their effects	Unqualified	0.00	Less experienced	0.28
	on vegetation.	onquannea	0.00	Less experienced	0.20
22	Students should be able to	Qualified		Experienced	
	demonstrate how climatic factors	Unqualified	0.67	Less experienced	0.55
	are measured.	enquanneu	0.07	Less enpeneere	0.00
23	Students should be able to state the	Qualified		Experienced	
	sources of energy in an ecosystem.	Unqualified	0.15	Less experienced	1.13
24	Students should be able to	Qualified		Experienced	
	recognize that food relationship	Unqualified	1.85	Less experienced	0.55
	exists among living things.	1		1	
25	Students should be able to use the	Qualified		Experienced	
	knowledge of energy losses in the	Unqualified	0.15	Less experienced	1 1 2
	ecosystem to explain the pyramidal	-	0.15	-	1.13
	shape of feeding relationships.				
26	Students should be able to identify	Qualified		Experienced	
	some associations which are	Unqualified		Less experienced	
	beneficial, harmful and neutral to		1.29		0.51
	each of the organisms of the				
	association.				

27	Students should be able to	Qualified		Experienced	
	recognize that living things possess	Unqualified	0.00	Less experienced	2.43
	a range of tolerance to	-	0.00	-	2.43
	environmental factors.				
28	Students should be able to	Qualified		Experienced	
	recognize that the availability of	Unqualified	0.00	Less experienced	0
	water is the principal factor for the		0.00		0
	distribution of plants and animals.				
29	Students should be able to observe	Qualified		Experienced	
	characteristics of the mode of life	Unqualified	0.15	Less experienced	0.86
	of a given organism				
30	Students are to copy and memorize	Qualified	0.74	Experienced	0.02
	notes for examination.	Unqualified	0.74	Less experienced	0.02
31	Students are to find out definitions	Qualified	0.49	Experienced	0.01
	of terms from textbooks.	Unqualified	0.49	Less experienced	0.01
32	Ecological pyramids should be	Qualified	0.74	Experienced	0.86
	drawn for the students.	Unqualified	0.74	Less experienced	0.80
33	Tests, quizzes and examinations	Qualified	0.12	Experienced	
	should require students to know	Unqualified	0.12	Less experienced	0.00
	definitions.				
34	Skills in using equipment like	Qualified		Experienced	
	quadrat, barometer and rain gauge	Unqualified	0.43	Less experienced	0.44
	are tested and used as students'		0.43		0.44
	evaluation.				
35	Sometimes, I use demonstration	Qualified		Experienced	
	method to teach the students	Unqualified	0.30	Less experienced	1.32
	ecology.				
36	I always accept class discussion	Qualified		Experienced	
	when teaching the students	Unqualified	0.11	Less experienced	2.37
	ecology.				
37	I never used lecture method to	Qualified	0.07	Experienced	0.003
	teach the students	Unqualified	0.07	Less experienced	0.005
38	I give assignments to the students.	Qualified	0.62	Experienced	1.27
		Unqualified	0.02	Less experienced	1.2/
39	I rarely accept individual projects	Qualified	0.12	Experienced	0.02
	from the students	Unqualified	0.12	Less experienced	0.02
40	The graphic method is used to	Qualified	1.48	Experienced	0.59
	interpret the graph.	Unqualified	1.40	Less experienced	0.57
41	The field work of teaching method	Qualified	0.78	Experienced	0.01
	is used for field studies	Unqualified	0.76	Less experienced	0.01
42	Laboratory method is used to teach	Qualified	0.003	Experienced	0.38
	the practical lesson.	Unqualified	0.005	Less experienced	0.50
43	Some of the ecological habitats	Qualified		Experienced	
	recommended for study are	Unqualified	0.14	Less experienced	0.02
	available in the school		0.11		0.02
	environment.				
44	Biology laboratory is always	Qualified	2.07	Experienced	0.30
	available for practical lessons.	Unqualified	2.07	Less experienced	0.50
45	There is ample space to construct	Qualified		Experienced	
	an ecological garden on the school	Unqualified	0.09	Less experienced	0.05
	compound.				
46	There is space for field studies	Qualified	0.20	Experienced	0.12
	within the school environment.	Unqualified	0.20	Less experienced	0.12
47	Students are not more than fifty in	Qualified	0.02	Experienced	0.04
	Biology lessons	Unqualified	0.02	Less experienced	0.01
48	The minimum number of basic	Qualified		Experienced	
	ecological materials possessed in	Unqualified	0.29	Less experienced	1.79
	my school is sufficient.				

49	Instructional materials in the	Qualified		Experienced	
	school are sufficient for the Biology teacher.	Unqualified	0.03	Less experienced	0.23
50	The principal's support for biology	Qualified		Experienced	
20	teaching in my school is	Unqualified	0.08	Less experienced	0.12
	encouraging.	0		r	
51	Biology textbooks in my school are	Qualified	-	Experienced	0.07
-	enough.	Unqualified	0.07	Less experienced	0.06
52	The number of Biology teacher(s)	Qualified	0.02	Experienced	0.10
	in my school is sufficient.	Unqualified	0.03	Less experienced	0.18
53	Many of the ecological instruments	Qualified		Experienced	
	(rain gauges, wind-vane) are	Unqualified	0.29	Less experienced	1.08
	available in the school.	1		1	
54	There is a biology laboratory in my	Qualified	0.07	Experienced	2.22
	school	Unqualified	0.27	Less experienced	2.32
55	The biology laboratory is used for	Qualified	0.20	Experienced	0.04
	practical lessons.		0.28	Less experienced	0.94
56	Practical on ecology is carried out	Qualified	0.07	Experienced	0.25
	in the laboratory.	Unqualified	0.07	Less experienced	0.25
57	There is enough equipment in the	Qualified	0.01	Experienced	0.12
	laboratory.	Unqualified	0.01	Less experienced	0.13
58	There are laboratory enough	Qualified	0.71	Experienced	0.07
	attendants in my school.	Unqualified	0.71	Less experienced	0.07
59	There are puddles and a pond in	Qualified	1.33	Experienced	0.001
	my school.	Unqualified	1.55	Less experienced	0.001
60	Stream and river which can be used	Qualified		Experienced	
	to study ecosystems are available	Unqualified	0.02	Less experienced	1.63
	in my school.				
61	Bushes are present in the school	Qualified	0.40	Experienced	1.01
	premises to study ecosystems.	Unqualified	0.40	Less experienced	1.01
62	Measuring instruments for sizes of	Qualified	0.85	Experienced	1.04
	the ecosystem are present.	Unqualified	0.05	Less experienced	
63	There are various charts,	Qualified		Experienced	0.70
	photographs, films of different	Unqualified	0.09	Less experienced	
	biotic communities in Nigeria in		0.07		

References

the school.

- Abdullahi, A. (1985). *Educational investment and the 6-3-3-4 structure. the score board''*. An address delivered on the Kwara State College of Education Ilorin 7th December, 1985.
- Abidoye, F. O. (2005). Investigation into the understanding of food chain in ecology by senior secondary school biology students in Ilorin metropolis. Unpublished P.G.D.E. Research Project, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.
- Abimbola, I. O. (1998). Teachers' perceptions of important and difficult Biology content. Journal of functional Education 1(1) 10,12
- Adeniyi, E. O. (1985). Misconceptions of selected ecology concepts held by Nigeria students. *Journal of Biological Education*, 19 (4), 311-316.
- Adeshina, G. A. (2002). *Chemistry teachers' perception of the characteristics of an effective teacher*. Unpublished masters thesis, University of Ilorin, Ilorin.
- Akintoye, S & Shofuyi, M (2002,16th December). Nigeria students record worst score in West Africa. Punch Newspaper. Pg 1 & 8
- Balogun, T. (1982). An analysis of some student teachers' conceptions of science. *Ilorin Journal of Education*, 2 (1): 31-41.
- Fafunwa, A. B. (1974). The preparation of teachers of African University. West African Journal of Education, 19 (1): 159-165.
- Harbo Peter, V. F & Ogomeka, P. M. (1991). Survey of primary school mathematics content. Abacus, 21(1), 45 58.
- Idodo, U. G. (1996). College biology. Benin city, Edo state, Nigeria.

- Jegede, O. J., & Lagoke, B. A. (1986). An investigation into the status of ecological fieldwork in Nigeria secondary schools. a case study of Kaduna State. *Nigeria Education Forum, 2* (2), 63-68.
- Jimoh, A. T. (1992). Influence of Teachers and social variables on senior secondary schools performance in Chemistry. Unpublished M. Ed. Project. University of Ilorin, Ilorin.
- Mgbako-Ezemia, R. C. (1992). Teachers training for the secondary school system. Challenges belong the 1990s. Journal of the Nigeria Teacher Today, 1 (2), 22-29.
- National biology curriculum for senior secondary schools (1985). Federal Ministry of Education. volume 3 science.
- Ndu, F. O. C. (1990). Planning and organization of practical work in biology in secondary school. Methodology papers 49-60.
- Ndukwe, U. N. (1988). Identification and comparison of area of difficulty in two ordinary level Biology Syllabuses. Journal of Research in Curriculum, 6 (1), 1.
- Ogundele, M. B. (1995). *The influence of teachers' qualification and experiences on students achievement in biology*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Ilorin, Ilorin.
- Ojaleye, A. O (1997). Difficult topic in Zambia Basic School mathematics curriculum as perceived by in-service, mathematics Teachers. *Nigeria Journal of Research in Education*, 1 (1) 152-257
- Okeke, E. A. C., & Ochuba, C. V. (1986) The level of Understanding of selected ecology concepts among Nigeria school certificate graduate. *Journal of the Science Teachers' Association of Nigeria*, 25 (1), 96-102.
- Oyeyemi, F. O. (1991). Conceptions of selected genetics topics held by senior secondary schools students in *Kwara State*. Unpublished M.ed Thesis, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.
- Saha, L. J. (1983). Social structure, teachers effects on academic achievement comparative education review, 27 (7).
- Stone, R.H, & Cozens, A. B. (1990). New biology for senior secondary schools. University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria 2nd Edition pp 208.
- Ugwuandu, O. R. (1984). Problem of teaching ecology and genetics at the secondary school level in Ibadan area of Oyo State. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- WAEC, (2005). Chief Examiner's Report. Lagos, Nigeria: WAEC
- WAEC research report, WAEC 1998-2004. Lagos, Nigeria: WAEC WAEC Research Report, WAEC 2007. Lagos, Nigeria: WAEC
- WAEC Research Report, WAEC 2009. Lagos, Nigeria: WAEC

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/journals/</u> The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <u>http://www.iiste.org/book/</u>

Recent conferences: <u>http://www.iiste.org/conference/</u>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

