

Evaluation of Mixture Productivity and Economic Profit of Inter Cropped Garden Egg and Okra as Influenced by Application of *Moringa oleifera* Extracts, Poultry Manure and N.P.K Fertilizer in Cropping Systems of Farmers in North Central Nigeria.

Anyaegbu Polycarp Ozobia
anyaegbupoly@yahoo.com

Abstract

The plant height of Okra was significantly ($p > 0.05$) greater under intercropping than in sole cropping. The highest plant height, number of leaves and leaf area per plant were obtained from stands of Okra that were treated with aqueous foliar spray of *Moringa* extracts though not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from those that received poultry manure and N.P.K fertilizer. The growth parameters of garden egg were not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affected by intercropping in both 2012 and 2013 respectively. Stands of Okra applied with *Moringa oleifera* extracts under sole cropping system produced 76% and 70% more pods than the stands in the control plots in 2012 and 2013 while those under intercropping produced 76% and 74% than those in the control plots in 2012 and 2013 respectively. Also in 2012 and 2013, the pod yield of okra treated with *Moringa* extracts was 90% and 86% greater than those in the control plots under sole cropping situations while under inter cropping it was 90% greater than the control. Intercropping depressed number of pod per plant in 2012 by 4% and 2013 by 2% while pod yield was depressed in 2012 and 2013 only by 6% respectively. Like in Okra, the fruit production of garden egg was significantly ($p > 0.05$) influenced by *Moringa oleifera* extracts. The highest fruit yield was recorded from stands treated with Poultry manure although not significantly different from those given N.P.K. fertilizer and aqueous *Moringa* leaf extracts. The Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) values of the okra/garden egg intercrops except those of the control plots were greater than unity in both years of cropping. On the average, the LER of the intercrops that were applied with *Moringa* extracts in 2012 and 2013 were 1.44 and 1.46, indicating 44% and 46% yield advantage over the sole crops. There is economic prospect in the use *Moringa* extracts in the production of okra and garden egg under both cropping systems.

Keywords: Okra, Garden egg, *Moringa oleifera*, NPK fertilizer, cropping systems, Land Equivalent Ratio

Introduction

Any system of cropping that can increase the rate of crop yield and lower the cost of production will provide economic opportunity for farmers. Intercropping has been identified as a promising system that results in an effective use of land and other resources (Remison, 1982b), efficient utilization of water and soil nutrients (Sharma et al., 1979) and reduction in the cost of production (Bijay et al., 1978). It has also gained wide acceptability among farmers in tropical countries (Norman, 1970; Okigbo and Greenland, 1976; Willey, 1979) because of its economic advantages (Ilobinso 1976; Baker, 1979; Dittoh; 1985, Adeniyi; 1988, 1990) resulting from the symbiotic association of legumes intercropped with other crops (Enyi, 1973; Dalal, 1974; Haizel, 1974; Ahmed and Gunasema, 1979). The system, (Wolfe, 2000) allows complementary interaction of component crops that have greater system resilience, reduce disease transfer, (Wolfe 2000) and deliver environmental benefits such as soil and water conservation, (Gilley et al 2002).

Statistics has shown that 60% of Okra grown in Nigeria are produced under intercropping system, (Ofosu and Lambert, 2007; Ijoyah and Jimba, 2011). In the North central zone of Nigeria especially the Federal Capital Territory (FCT), Abuja, majority of the farmers grow most of their arable crops under sole cropping situation. Some however practice block farming; a situation where a piece of land is divided into blocks and sole cropping is done in each block. However few of the farmers, especially non indigenes who migrated from the Southern part of the country and settled in FCT for farming, practice intercropping systems. Presently a greater number of the indigenes farmers have embraced inter cropping system as a result of concerted efforts of the Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) through extension service programmes and short term training mounted by Federal Ministry of Agriculture and International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, (IITA), Abuja.

Presently the pressure on land in FCT for urbanization and other infrastructural development is significantly affecting the available land area for farming; hence it becomes imperative for the farmers to adopt intercropping as the major cropping system in FCT. Tariah and Wahua, (1985) indicated that land use is maximized by growing many crops on the unit of land. Garden egg and Okra are among the major vegetable crops being grown in the FCT under sole cropping situation. Garden egg is greatly consumed in the FCT and represents the main source of income by producing households. Giri junction in FCT, Abuja, located along Zuba/Lokoja road is a very popular international market for garden egg and okra respectively. Travelers to the southern part of the country buy in large quantities, tones of garden egg fruits and okra either for sale or for different forms of celebrations.

The farmers, mostly subsistence farmers, have been maintaining sustained productivity of both crops in the FCT. Thus farmers' effort needs to be encouraged especially by looking for a cheap alternative means of soil fertility maintenance. Anyaegbu, (2013), reported that while farmers in the FCT spend 20% for purchase of inorganic fertilizers, 15% percent goes into weed control.

Moringa oleifera is one of such alternative for soil fertility maintenance being investigated to ascertain its effect on growth and yield of crops and thus can be promoted among farmers as a possible supplement or substitute to inorganic fertilizer, (Phiri, 2010).

Therefore the trial was designed to assess the effect of *Moringa oleifera* extracts on garden egg and Okra production under intercropping and sole crop situations, looking into the mixture productivity of the crops and evaluating the economic profit of using *Moringa oleira* as an alternative to NPK fertilizer in the production of garden egg and okra.

Materials and Method

The study, an on – farm trial was carried out at Giri, Federal Capital Territory (FCT), Abuja, Nigeria during the planting seasons of 2012 and 2013 respectively. The study area ($8^{\circ} 21'N$ and $6^{\circ} 25'E$), falls within the Guinea Savannah agro ecological zone of Nigeria. The metrological information of the area during the planting season showed an average temperature of $33^{\circ}C$, 14% humidity and annual rainfall 1300 – 1600mm. The experimental site was under one year fallow following *Ipomea batata* sole cropping. In each year the experimental site was cleared manually and land preparation done according to the traditional farming system of the area, (clearing, burning of stubborn grasses, herbs and packing). Following land preparation, the experimental layout of Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications was established. Each replicate contained 18 plots and a plot measured 2 x 2m separated from each within the replicate with a 0,5m pathway and between replicates by one meter alley. Thus a total of 54 plots were used in the study.

Prior to planting, soil samples for proximate soil analysis to determine the initial nutrient status of the soil were collected within 0 -15cm and 15cm – 30cm. After harvest, soil samples were collected to assess the effects of the *Moringa oleifera* extracts, N.P.K fertilizer and poultry manure on the soil properties. Each composite was air dried and sieved through a 2mm mesh before the chemical analysis, (Tables 3 and 4). Soil pH was determined at the ratio of 1:1 in distilled water. The pH in the 1MKCL solution was also determined.

Garden egg seedlings were first raised in temporary nursery prior to the period of planting. Planting of both crops was done simultaneously at the first week of April. Planting spacing for both crops in both sole crop and intercrop plots was 50cm x 50cm giving a population of 40,000 stands per hectare. Thus a sole crop plot of either *Solanium* or *Abelmoschus esculentus* contained 25 stands while the inter crop plot contained 50 stands. The experimental

treatments of which effects were estimated include, Factor A; Cropping Systems, (Sole crop *Solanium*, sole crop *Abelmoschus*, and intercropping of *Solanium* and *Abelmoschus*) and Factor B; (Aqueous solution of *Moringa* leaves and twigs, Solid extracts of *Moringa* leaves and twigs, Solution from the decoction of the *Moringa* barks and cut branches, N.P.K. (200 Kg ha⁻¹) Poultry droppings of layers (5 t ha⁻¹) as recommended by *Anyaegbu* (2013) and Control). The various treatment were factorially organized and fitted into the Experimental Design (RCBD) used in the trial. The treatment combinations (18) used in the trial include; Sole crop Okra/ Aqueous solution of leaf extract, sole crop Okra/ solid leaf extracts, sole crop Okra/ Bark decoction, sole crop Okra/ N.P.K., Sole crop Okra/ Control, Solecrop Garden egg/ Aqueous solution of leaf extract, Sole crop Garden egg/ Solid leaf extract, Sole crop Garden egg/ Bark decoction, Solecrop Garden egg/ N.P.K., Sole crop Garden egg/ Control, Inter crop (garden egg+ Okra)/ Aqueous solution of leaf extract, Inter crop (Garden egg+ Okra)/Solid leaf extract, Inter crop/Bark decoction, inter crop/N.P.K., and Inter crop/ Control. The inclusion of N.P.K. fertilizer and Poultry manure was to compare their individual effects with those of *Moringa* extracts.

The Aqueous solution of *Moringa* leaf extract was prepared by pounding measured quantity of *Moringa* leaves and twigs in a mortar. For each bundle of 2 kg crushed 20 liters of water was poured into it, stirred properly for about 5 minutes and allowed to stay for 30 minutes and then filtered by placing in a pot and wringing out the liquid. The solid substance left after filtration was also kept as experimental treatment, (Solid extract). For bark and cut stem decoction, the barks of the felled stands of *Moringa* and cut branches (4kg) were cut into smaller bits, put into a bowl of water (20 liters) and allowed to stay for 48 hours.

In treatment application, for plots receiving poultry manure, application was done 4 days before planting to allow the manure to set properly. Each plot received 2 kg of the manure. For Foliar application, the aqueous solution of the leaves and twig extracts was sprayed evenly on the stands from the tip to the base of the plants. 10 liters of the aqueous solution was sprayed per plot at two weeks interval until the plants started fruiting and then once in 3 weeks during the fruiting period. For the stands receiving the solution obtained from the decoction of the barks and cut branches 10 liters of the solution was poured evenly at the base of the stands in the plot at two weeks interval until fruiting commenced and at 3 weeks interval until the trial was called off. In solid leaf extract application. Each stand received a heap of the extract around it, 2cm away from the base and in 10cm radius. The process was repeated as done in the foliar application. In N.P.K. application, each plot received 0.08kg of the fertilizer. In all control plots, no application was done in them.

Data taken for okra included plant height at flowering (measured at the distance from the soil surface to the tip of the top most leaf), number of leaves /plant, leaf area at 50% flowering (determined by the length – width method as described by *Wahua 1985*), number of pods/plant and fruit yield. Data taken for *Solanium melongina* included plant height at flowering, number of leaves per plant, leaf area per plant, number of fruits/plant and fruit yield. Data on pre-planting soil analysis and post harvest analysis, Land Equivalent Ratio (LER), Land Equivalent Coefficient (LEC) and Gross margin analysis were also collected. All data were statistically treated using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD), $X_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \beta_j + \sum_{ij}$; where X_{ij} = Trial SS, μ = Population mean = 0, T_{ij} = Experimental Treatment effects, β_{ij} = Block effect and \sum_{ij} = Error terms. Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT >0.05) was used for treatment separation. Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) and Land Equivalent Co efficient (LEC) were determined as described by *Willey (1985)* using the formula;

$$LER = \frac{\text{Intercrop yield of crop A} + \text{Inter crop yield of crop B}}{\text{Sole crop yield of A} \quad \text{Sole crop yield of crop B}}$$

$$LEC = \frac{\text{Intercrop yield of crop A} \times \text{Inter crop yield of crop B}}{\text{Sole crop yield of A} \quad \text{Sole crop yield of crop B}}$$

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the mineral contents of the *Moringa oleifera* parts used in the trial and Table 2, the chemical properties of the Poultry droppings of 48 weeks old layers. From the analysis, there is an indication that the various parts of the plant contained high amount of both macro and micronutrients apart from the carbon and hydrogen components of the organic matter. Thus from the pre planting and post harvest soil analyses as compared with the

control, there is an increase in the mineral content of the soil as a result of the application of the *Moringa* extracts which in turn improved the growth performance of the crops. A report by *FAO (2010)* suggested that the use of organic fertilizers derived from *Moringa oleifera* seed processed with the right procedure can increase the density and richness of indigenous invertebrates, specialized endangered soil species, beneficial arthropods, earthworms, symbionts and microbes. *Anyaegbu, et al (2013)* had earlier reported that after the nutrient up- take by the plants, the nutrient status of the soil including the soil pH remained higher than its original status prior to planting. Their report was exactly in line with the results of this study on the fertility status of the soil. Higher contents of the macro and micro nutrients recorded in the plots applied with poultry manure also indicate that the soil was significantly improved by the application. *Hussein (1996)* had earlier reported that application of poultry manure increased soil pH, organic matter and available phosphorous, microbial activity in the nutrient metabolism. The soil pH in plots treated with N.P.K fertilizer was considerably low compared with the areas that received *Moringa* extracts and poultry manure.

Remarkably the nutrient status of the soil after post harvest analysis was higher in the sole crop plots than in the intercrop plots. In the intercrop plots, as the two crops were growing on a unit of land unlike in the sole crop, they would compete favourably for the available nutrients and by operating on different trophic levels, the volume of the nutrients depleted in the intercrop plots would definitely be higher than that taken from the sole crop plots. The nutrient status of the soil was extremely poor in the control plots especially after harvest as observed in the control plots of the inter crop plots.

The growth parameters of Okra as influenced by *Moringa oleifera* extracts, Poultry and N.P.K fertilizer in both inter cropping and sole cropping situations is shown in Table 5 while that of Garden egg is shown in Table 6. The plant height of Okra was significantly ($p > 0.05$) greater under intercropping than in sole cropping. The greater population of plants under intercropping and competition for light and other growth resources might have induced higher plant growth of Okra. Although the number of leaves per plant was not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affected by intercropping, the leaf area per plant was significantly ($p > 0.05$) greater in sole crop stands than in the intercropped stands. This might be competitive effect for growth resources when both crops were in a mixture. Garden egg could have exhibited shading effect on Okra due to its branching systems. *Muoneke and Mbah (2007)* in their work on productivity of cassava/okra intercropping systems, reported that the reduction in Leaf Area Index of intercropped cassava stands was due to reduction in their surface leaf area even with more leaf production.

Conversely the growth parameters of garden egg were not significantly ($p > 0.05$) affected by intercropping in both 2012 and 2013 respectively. This implied that the inter specific competition between the two crops did not affect garden egg significantly. Irrespective of the cropping systems, the highest plant height, number of leaves and leaf area per plant were obtained from stands of Okra that were treated with aqueous foliar spray of *Moringa* extracts though not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from those that received poultry manure and N.P.K fertilizer. This was followed by those stands that were treated with solid leaf extracts and solution from *Moringa* bark decoction. The aqueous foliar spray on the leaves would be assimilated directly in to tissues of the affected plants and hence trigger off immediate and enhanced vegetative development of the plants. The vegetative growth of both crops was also improved with the application of solid leaf extracts and the solution from the bark decoction. The results obtained on the vegetative growth of okra as influenced by the *Moringa* extracts (Table 5) were similar to that of garden egg, (Table 6).

The effects of the *Moringa oleifera* extracts, Poultry manure and N.P.K. fertilizer on the yield and yield components of Okra and Garden egg in both cropping systems and years (2012 and 2013) of cropping were significant ($p > 0.05$), Tables 7 and 8. Stands of Okra applied with *Moringa oleifera* extracts under sole cropping system produced 76% and 70% more pods than the stands in the control plots in 2012 and 2013 while those under intercropping produced 76% and 74% than those in the control plots in 2012 and 2013 respectively. Also in 2012 and 2013, the pod yield of okra treated with *Moringa* extracts was 90% and 86% greater than those in the control plots under sole cropping situations while under inter cropping it was 90% either way. *Rao et al (1983)* reported that juice extracted from the leaves of *Moringa oleifera* can be used to make foliar nutrient capable of increasing crop yield by 38%. *Anyaegbu, (2013)* reported enhanced growth performance of *Telfaria occidentalis* with the application of *Moringa* extracts. Intercropping depressed number of pod per plant in 2012 by 4% and 2013 by 2% while pod yield was depressed in 2012 and 2013 only by 6% respectively. The results implied that inter cropping did not significantly ($p > 0.05$) influence yield of okra.

Similarly, intercropping did not affect the fruit production and fruit yield of Garden egg, (Table 8). Like in Okra the fruit production of garden egg was significantly ($p>0.05$) influenced by *Moringa oleifera* extracts. However, the highest fruit yield was recorded from stands treated with Poultry manure although not significantly different from those given N.P.K. fertilizer and aqueous *Moringa* leaf extracts. The superiority of poultry manure has been confirmed by *Hsieh and Itsu (1993)* and *Jinadasa et al (1997)*. The yields of both crops in the control plots were greatly poor and this was an indication that the soil nutrients had been seriously depleted due to continuous cropping in the area.

The mixture productivity of okra and Garden egg as influenced by *Moringa* extracts, poultry manure and N.P.K. fertilizer is shown on Table 9. Generally the effects of the treatments when compared with the controls were significant, ($p>0.05$). The Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) values of the okra/garden egg intercrops except those of the control plots were greater than unity in both years of cropping. While the yield advantage in this study ranged from 36% to 55% in 2012, it ranged from 36% to 60% in 2013. On the average, the LER of the intercrops that were applied with *Moringa* extracts in 2012 and 2013 were 1.44 and 1.46, indicating 44% and 46% yield advantage over the sole crops. In the control plots, the LERs of 0.8 in 2012 and 0.7 in 2013 signified very poor performance of the crops, an indication that the soil in the area needs amendment. Thus using extracts from any part of *Moringa* plant will help immensely in boosting the fertility of the soil hence increased crop yield. However highest LER of 55% in 2012 and 60% in 2013 were recorded from stands that were given poultry manure. The superiority of poultry manure over other experimental treatments may be due to its higher nitrogen contribution.

To additionally evaluate the mixture productivity of the mixture, LEC, was used in this study, Table 9. As explained by *Adetiloye, et al (1982)*, a LEC of 0.25 is the minimum expected value from a mixture before a yield advantage is declared. Otherwise in addition to yield disadvantage of the mixture, the neighborhood effect between them is highly competitive. In this study, the LEC ranged from 0.23 in the control plots to 0.78 in poultry treated inter crops in 2012 and from 0.21 to 0.77 in 2013. The above minimum expected value of LEC which signified good neighborhood effects or competitive complementarity, obtained from okra/garden egg mixtures given *Moringa* extracts confirmed the assertion that *Moringa oleifera* is a fertility crop. *Jason (2013)*, noted in his write-up that *Moringa* leaf extract contains a plant growth hormone called Zeatin which has been reported to increase yields by 25 – 30% for nearly any crop. Jason added that the compound leaves and stems of *Moringa* make excellent fertilizer.

Economically the Benefit Cost Ratio in all control plots was less than those that were treated with *Moringa* extracts, poultry manure and N.P.K fertilizer respectively, (Table 10). This indicates that using *Moringa* extracts as fertilizer is economically acceptable. Thus while a farmer makes a gain of ₦11.6, ₦8.9 or ₦11.8 for every one kobo when he used *Moringa* extracts as fertilizer, he incurs a loss of ₦- 2 for every kobo he invested without improving the soil fertility of the farm.

Table 1. Proximate Analysis of Parts of *Moringa oleifera* used in the study per 100 gram.

| Components | Fresh Leaves | Bark Powder |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Moisture (%) | 75.0 | 45.4 |
| Calories | 92 | 35 |
| Protein (g) | 6.7 | 5.8 |
| Fats (g) | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Carbohydrate (g) | 13.7 | 5.3 |
| Fiber (g) | 0.9 | 23.3 |
| Ca(mg) | 440 | 1,221 |
| Cu(mg) | 1.1 | 0.87 |
| Fe (mg) | 7.0 | 19.8 |
| K(mg) | 259 | 456 |

| | | |
|---------|-----|-----|
| Mg (mg) | 24 | 25 |
| P(mg) | 70 | 136 |
| S(mg) | 137 | 23 |

Table 2. Chemical Properties of the Poultry Manure used in the study

| Element | Percentage(%) |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Magnesium | 1.95 |
| Calcium | 6.96 |
| Phosphorus | 1.30 |
| Sodium | 0.62 |
| Nitrogen | 1.37 |
| Potassium | 0.52 |
| Organic Carbon | 27.2 |
| Organic matter | 50.5 |
| Carbon- Nitrogen Ratio | 19.8 |

Table 3. Pre – planting Soil physic –chemical properties of the experimental site in 2012 and 2013.

| Parameters | Value |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| pH in water (1:2.5) | 5.4 |
| % Organic matter | 0.55 |
| % Nitrogen | 0.41 |
| P(ppm) | 6.20 |
| K (Cmol kg ⁻¹) | 0.45 |
| Ca (Cmol kg ⁻¹) | 0.48 |
| Mg (Cmol kg ⁻¹) | 2.15 |
| Na (Cmol kg ⁻¹) | 1.14 |
| Clay (%) | 28.5 |
| Silt (%) | 14.3 |

Table 4. Soil physic- chemical Properties of the Experimental Site after harvest in 2012

| | | Parameters | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|------------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| Cropping Systems | Applications | pH | N | P | K | Ca | Mg | Na | OM | Clay | Silt | |
| | Foliar | 5.6 | 0.43 | 7.2 | 0.47 | 0.51 | 2.41 | 0.87 | 0.52 | 28.5 | 14.3 | |
| | Solid leaf extract | 6.3 | 0.45 | 7.4 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 2.45 | 0.56 | 0.66 | 28.5 | 14.3 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Sole | Bark decoction | 6.3 | 0.46 | 7.1 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 2.40 | 0.56 | 0.54 | 28.3 | 14.2 |
| Okra | N.P.K | 5.1 | 0.45 | 8.6 | 0.59 | 0.31 | 1.87 | 1.12 | 0.46 | 28.2 | 14.4 |
| | Poultry | 6.5 | 0.57 | 9.1 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 2.23 | 0.56 | 0.66 | 28.1 | 14.4 |
| | Control | 5.0 | 0.26 | 4.5 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 1.18 | 1.32 | 0.26 | 28.4 | 14.5 |
| | Foliar | 5.4 | 0.42 | 7.0 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 2.38 | 0.81 | 0.51 | 28.1 | 14.1 |
| Sole | Solid leaf extract | 6.2 | 0.46 | 7.4 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 2.43 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 28.4 | 14.3 |
| Garden egg | Bark decoction | 6.3 | 0.44 | 6.8 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 2.33 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 28.2 | 14.1 |
| | N.P.K. | 5.0 | 0.47 | 7.8 | 0.56 | 0.37 | 1.76 | 1.12 | 0.46 | 28.3 | 14.2 |
| | Poultry | 6.4 | 0.56 | 8.6 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 2.11 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 28.1 | 14.0 |
| | Control | 5.0 | 0.24 | 4.2 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 1.16 | 1.33 | 0.24 | 28.1 | 14.2 |
| | Foliar | 5.3 | 0.38 | 6.5 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 2.14 | 0.81 | 0.48 | 28.1 | 14.1 |
| | Solid leaf extract | 6.3 | 0.54 | 6.3 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2.10 | 0.68 | 0.58 | 28.1 | 14.3 |
| Inter crops | Bark decoction | 6.4 | 0.47 | 7.4 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 2.08 | 0.67 | 0.48 | 28.3 | 14.0 |
| | N.P.K. | 4.8 | 0.52 | 9.87 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 2.13 | 1.01 | 0.38 | 28.3 | 14.32 |
| | Poultry | 6.2 | 0.50 | 7.12 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 1.87 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 28.3 | 14.21 |
| | Control | 5.0 | 0.38 | 5.52 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 1.76 | 1.69 | 0.32 | 28.2 | 14.22 |

Table 5. Growth parameters of Okra as influenced by *Moringa* extracts in both sole and Intercropped with Garden egg in 2012 and 2013.

| | | Parameters | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cropping Systems | Applications | 2012 | | | 2013 | | |
| | | Height(cm)/ plant | No. of leaves/ Plant | leaf area/ (cm ²) plant | Height(cm)/ plant | No. of leaves/ plant | Leaf area (cm ²)/ plant |
| Sole Okra | Foliar | 80.5a | 14.6a | 220.2a | 75.8b | 14.8a | 146.07 |
| | Solid leaf extract | 78.3b | 13.8a | 189.3c | 71.4b | 12.3a | 151.6 c |
| | Bark decoction | 78.9b | 11.6b | 212.5b | 74.2b | 12.2a | 154.8c |
| | N.P.K | 78.6b | 12.8a | 221.3a | 76.2b | 13.1a | 176.4b |
| | Poultry | 79.2ab | 14.8a | 223.8a | 78.8ab | 13.7a | 188.5a |
| | Control | 56.7e | 9.6c | 76.5d | 58.4c | 6.4b | 55.8 |
| | Mean | 75.4 | 12.9 | 190.6 | 72.6 | 12.1 | 145.53 |
| Inter crop Okra | Foliar | 83.1a | 14.3a | 187.6c | 83.1a | 14.6att | 140.7 |
| | Solid leaf extract | 71.2c | 12.8a | 209.4b | 80.3a | 13.0a | 155.9c |
| | Bark decoction | 75.5c | 13.6a | 215.9b | 73.7b | 12.7a | 154.3c |
| | N.P.K. | 80.3a | 13.2a | 212.1b | 81.4a | 13.5a | 169.8b |
| | Poultry | 82.6a | 14.9a | 218.8b | 80.5a | 13.9a | 182.6a |
| | Control | 61.4d | 8.6c | 47.9e | 60.1c | 7.9b | 52.8 |
| | Mean | 75.7 | 13.1 | 182.0 | 76.5 | 12.6 | 142.27 |
| | | DMRT (P>0.05) | | | | | |

Values bearing the same letters (a – f) in each column are not significantly different, DMRT(p>0.05)

Table 6. Growth parameters of Garden egg as influenced by *Moringa* extracts in both sole and intercropped with Garden egg in 2012 and 2013.

| | | Parameters | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cropping Systems | Applications | 2012 | | | 2013 | | |
| | | Height (m)/ plant | No. of leaves/ Plant | leaf area/ (cm ²) plant | Height(cm)/ plant | No. of leaves/ plant | Leaf area (cm ²)/ plant |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| | Foliar | 2.15a | 38.4a | 2.61a | 2.12a | 34.8b | 2.37a | |
| | Solid leaf extract | 1.14c | 31.7b | 2.22b | 1.20b | 33.3b | 2.04ab | |
| Sole | Bark decoction | 1.43b | 36.4ab | 2.23b | 1.33b | 33.2b | 2.11b | |
| Garden egg | N.P.K. | 2.10a | 38.7a | 2.69a | 2.02a | 35.1b | 2.48a | |
| | Poultry | 2.22a | 40.2a | 2.81a | 2.33a | 38.7a | 2.50a | |
| | Control | 0.65d | 12.5c | 0.74c | 0.68c | 10.4c | 0.66c | |
| | Mean | 1.62 | 32.32 | 2.15 | 1.61 | 25.37 | 1.94 | |
| | Foliar | 2.11a | 32.8 | 187.6 | 2.13a | 33.6 | 2.09a | |
| Inter crop | Solid leaf extract | 1.09c | 37.2a | 2.57a | 1.18b | 38.4b | 1.92b | |
| Garden egg | Bark decoction | 1.43b | 35.3bc | 2.21b | 1. | 32b | 34.8b | 1.47b |
| | N.P.K. | 2.11a | 37.8a | 2.66a | 2.16a | 38.8a | 1.89a | |
| | Poultry | 2.09a | 39.6a | 2.84a | 2.18a | 40.1a | 2.14a | |
| | Control | 0.61d | 11.3c | 0.71c | 0.70c | 12.2c | 0.61c | |
| | Mean | 1.57 | 26.37 | 1.83 | 1.61 | 26.38 | 1.21 | |
| DMRT (P>0.05) | | | | | | | | |

Table 7. Yield and Yield Components of Okra as influenced by *Moringa* extracts in both sole and intercropped with Garden egg in 2012 and 2013.

| Cropping Systems | Applications | Parameters | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | | No. of pods/ plant | Pod yield (t ha ⁻¹) | No. of pods/ plant | Pod yield (t ha ⁻¹) |
| | Foliar | 14.4a | 5.2a | 14.8a | 4.8a |
| | Solid leaf extract | 12.2c | 4.4b | 10.3c | 3.2b |
| Sole Okra | Bark decoction | 14.5a | 4.8ab | 12.2b | 4.6a |
| | N.P.K. | 14.9a | 5.1a | 14.6b | 5.0a |
| | Poultry | 15.3a | 5.3a | 14.8b | 5.1a |
| | Control | 5.6d | 0.8d | 6.7d | 0.9c |
| | Mean | 12.8 | 4.3 | 12.2 | 3.9 |
| Inter crop Okra | Foliar | 13.3b | 4.5b | 12.3b | 4.1a |
| | Solid leaf extract | 12.2c | 3.3c | 10.2c | 3.2b |
| | Bark decoction | 13.3b | 4.2b | 12.5b | 3.4b |
| | N.P.K. | 13.3b | 4.8ab | 14.4a | 4.2a |
| | Poultry | 13.5b | 5.0a | 14.9a | 4.6a |
| | Control | 5.1d | 0.7d | 5.4e | 0.6c |
| | Mean | 11.8 | 3.8 | 11.6 | 3.4 |
| DMRT (P>0.05) | | | | | |

Table 8. Yield and Yield Components of Garden egg as influenced by *Moringa* extracts in both Sole and intercropped with Okra in 2012 and 2013.

| Cropping Systems | Applications | Parameters | | | |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | | No. of fruits/ plant | fruit yield (t ha ⁻¹) | No. of fruits/ plant | fruit yield (t ha ⁻¹) |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| | Foliar | 14.2a | 4.8a | 11.8b | 4.8a | |
| | Solid leaf extract | 10.7c | 3.4b | 10.3c | 3.2c | |
| Sole | Bark decoction | 13.0b | 4.8a | 12.2b | 4.6a | |
| Garden egg | N.P.K | 14.4a | 5.1a | 13.6a | 5.0a | |
| | Poultry | 14.8a | 5.3a | 13.8a | 5.1a | |
| | Control | 5.6d | 0.5c | 6.7d | 0.9e | |
| | Mean | 12.1 | 4.0 | 11.6 | 3.9 | |
| | Foliar | 14.6a | 3.6b | 12.4b | 4.2b | |
| Inter crop | Solid leaf extract | 11.8c | 3.2b | 10.5c | 2.7d | |
| Garden egg | Bark decoction | 14.5a | 3.6b | 13.2a | 3.8b | |
| | N.P.K. | 14.2a | 4.8a | 13.6a | 4.8a | |
| | Poultry | 14.8a | 5.0a | 13.9a | 5.0a | |
| | Control | 4.6e | 0.2c | 6.5d | 0.4f | |
| | Mean | 12.35 | | 3.4 | 12.18 | 3.5 |
| DMRT (P>0.05) | | | | | | |

Table 9. Mixture Productivity of Okra and Garden egg as influenced by *Moringa oleifera* extracts and cropping systems in 2012 and 2013.

| Cropping Systems | Applications | Parameters | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | 2012 LER | 2012 LEC | 2013 LER | 2013 LEC |
| Sole Okra | Foliar | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Solid leaf extract | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Bark decoctions | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | N.P.K | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Poultry | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Sole Garden egg | Control | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Foliar | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Solid leaf extract | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Bark decoction | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | N.P.K. | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Inter crops | Poultry | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Control | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| | Foliar | 1.52 | 0.72 | 1.51 | 0.74 |
| | Solid leaf extract | 1.36 | 0.65 | 1.36 | 0.70 |
| | Bark decoction | 1.44 | 0.76 | 1.52 | 0.76 |
| | N.P.K. | 1.53 | 0.77 | 1.52 | 0.77 |
| | Poultry | 1.55 | 0.78 | 1.60 | 0.81 |
| | Control | 0.8 | 0.23 | 0.7 | 0.21 |

Table 10. Economic analysis of the performance Okra and Garden egg as influenced by *Moringa oleifera* extracts under different cropping systems . Average of 2012 and 2013 trials

| Cropping Systems | Applications | Total Revenue | Cost (N) '000 | Variable Gross Margin | Cost Ratio | Benefit |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|
| | | (N) '000 | (N) '000 | (N) '000 | (N) '000 (BCR) | |
| Sole | Foliar | 171 | | 31 | 140 | 4.5 |
| | Solid leaf extract | 164 | | 31 | 133 | 4.3 |
| | Bark decoctions | 185 | | 31 | 154 | 5.0 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-----|----|-----|------|
| Okra | N.P.K | 186 | 33 | 153 | 4.6 |
| | Poultry | 188 | 34 | 154 | 4.5 |
| | Control | 15 | 21 | -6 | -0.3 |
| Sole | Foliar | 189 | 31 | 158 | 5.1 |
| | Solid leaf extract | 195 | 31 | 164 | 5.3 |
| | Control | 17 | 21 | -4 | -0.2 |
| Garden egg | Bark decoction | 201 | 31 | 170 | 5.4 |
| | N.P.K. | 216 | 34 | 182 | 5.4 |
| | Poultry | 297 | 36 | 191 | 5.3 |
| | Control | 17 | 21 | -4 | -0.2 |
| | Foliar | 402 | 32 | 370 | 11.6 |
| | Solid leaf extract | 346 | 32 | 284 | 8.9 |
| Inter crops | Bark decoction | 409 | 32 | 377 | 11.8 |
| | N.P.K. | 412 | 38 | 374 | 9.8 |
| | Poultry | 426 | 40 | 386 | 9.7 |
| | Control | 18 | 23 | -5 | -0.2 |

References

- Adeniji, O.R. (1988); Farm Management Decisions of Small- Holder Farmers in Isoya group of Villages. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Department of Agric. Econs. Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile – Ife, p.229.
- Adetiloye, P.O., Ezedima, F.O.C. and B.N.Okigbo, (1984); A Land Equivalent Coefficient (LER) Concept for the evaluation of Competitive and Productive interactions in simple and Complex crop mixtures. *Ecological Modeling*, 119: 27 – 39.
- Ahmed, S. and Gunasena, H.P.M., (1979); Nitrogen utilization and Economics of some Intercropped systems in Tropical Countries. *Trop. Agric. (Trinidad)* 56(2):115 -123.
- Anyaegbu, P.O., Iwuanyanwu, U.P. and Omaliko, C.P.E.,(2013); Comparative Evaluation of Effects of *Moringa oleifera* Extracts and different fertilizers on the Growth Performance of *Telfaria occidentalis*. *International Journal of Applied Research and Technology*. 2(11); 127 – 134.
- Baker, E.P.I., (1979); Mixed cropping in Northern Nigeria; Cereals and Groundnuts. *Samaru Research Bulletin*, 297, Institute of Agricultural Research, Zaria, p.46.
- Bijah, S., Rana D.S. and Shekhon G.S. (1978); Some measures of reducing leaching loss of Nitrate Beyond rooting zone. *Intercropping Plant Soil*, 49(3)633 – 639.
- Dalal, R.C.,(1974); Effects of intercropping maize and pigeon pea on grain yield and nutrient Uptake. *Exp. Agric.* 10: 219 – 244.
- Dittoh, J.S.,(1985); A Simulation analysis of the Economic Potential of small farmers under Niger Basin Development Projects. Unpublished PhD thesis, Department of Agric. Econs. University of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Enyi, A.C.(1973); Effects of intercropping Maize with cowpea pigeon pea or beans. *Exp. Agric.*,9:83 -90.
- Gilley, J.E., Kramer, I.V. and Eghball, B. (2002); Managing runoff following manure application. *Journal of Soil water conservation*, 57; 530 -533.
- Haizal, K.A. (1974); The Agronomic Significance of mixed cropping maize and cowpea in Ghana *Ghana J. Agric. Sci.*, 7: 169 – 178.
- Hsich, C.F. and K.N. Itsu (1993); An Experiment on the Organic Farming of Sweet Corn and vegetable soya beans. *Bull Taichung district Agric. Improvement Sta. no. 39: 29 -39.*
- Hussein, T.O.,(1996); Effects of poultry manure on the growth Of tomato. *Proceedings*, 15th Annual conference HORTSON,
- Ilobinson, S. F. (1976); Economics of Food Production in traditional Agriculture- A Case study of Orlu Division of Imo State. Unpublished Thesis, Department of Agric. Econs., University Of Agriculture of Ibadan, p. 62.

- Ijoyah M.O. and Jimba J., (2011); Effects of planting methods, planting dates and intercropping Systems on sweet portato and okra yields in Makurdi, Nigeria. *Agric. Sci. Res. J.* 1(8)184.
- Jason “Pepe”, (2013); Pepe’s Fruit Trees. Haga Clic aqui para comprar Semillas de Moringa.
- Jinadasa, P.N., G.J.Gupta and O. Okin (1997); Effects fo different levels of poultry manure on the yield Of cassava and soil properties. *Experimental Agric.* Vol. 38, p160 -165.
- Muoneke, C.O. and Mbah, E.U., (2007); Productivity of Cassava/Okra Intercropping systems As influenced by Okra planting density. *African Journal of Agric. Research*, vol.2(5): pp223 -231.
- Norman, D.W. (1970); Labour Imputs of Farmers : a case study of Zaria province of the North Central State of Nigeria, Samaru Research Bulletein 116, Zaria Institute of Agricultural Research, p.15.
- Ofosu, A.J. and Lamber, N.V.(2007); Effects of intercropping on the growth and yield of cucumber and okra. *Int. Jour. Of Agriculture and Biology*, 9(4);594 – 597.
- Okigbo, O.A. and Greenland D.J. (1976); intercropping systems in Tropical Africa. In M.S. Stelly et al eds. *Multipl Cropping. Am. Soc. Agron. (ASA) Spec. Publ. (27):* 63-101.
- Phiri, C.(2010); Influence of Moringa oleifera leaf extracts on germination and early seedling development of major cereals. *Agric. Biol. J.N Amer.* 1(5):774 -777.
- Remison, S.U., (1982b); Interaction between Maize and Cowpea at various frequencies. *J. Agric. Sci. Cambridge*, 94: 617 – 212.
- Roa, C.A., Foland, G. and Southerland, j., (1983); Water Clarification, *Moringa oleifera* seed Coagulant. Technical brief, no.60, Water Liners, 17: 4 -6.
- Sharma, K.N., Rama, D.S., Bishhioni, S.R. and Sodhj, J.S., (1979); Effects of fertilizer application In an intercropping systems. *Ind. J. Agric. Res.* 13(1): 41 – 50.
- Tariah, N.M. and Wahua, T.A.T., (1985); Effects of component population on the yield and land Equivalent Ratios of inter cropped maize and cowpea. *Field Crop Res.*12: 81-89.
- Wahua, T.A.T.,(1985); Effects of melon population density on intercropped maize and melon. *Exp. Agric.* 16; 117 – 125.
- Willey, R.W. (1979); Intercropping – its importance and Research Needs. 1. Competition and Yield Advantage s. 2. Agronomy and Research Approaches. *Field Crops Abst.*, 32(10), 73 – 85.
- Willey, R.W.(1985); Evaluation and presentation of intercropping advantages. *Exp. Agric.* 21, 129 – 133.
- Wolfe M.S.,(2000); Crop strength through diversity. *Nat* 406, 681 – 682.