

Application of Branch and Bound Method for Optimal Two Stage Flow Shop Scheduling Problem with Group Job-Restrictions

Deepak Gupta* , Payal Singla, Harminder Singh

Department of Mathematics Maharishi Markandeshwar University Mullana, Ambala (India)

* E-mail of the corresponding author: guptadeepak2003@yahoo.co.in

Abstract:

This paper studies two stage flow shop scheduling problem in which equivalent job for group job, processing times on each machine are given. The objective of the study is to get optimal sequence of jobs in order to minimize the total elapsed time. The given problem is solved with branch and bound method. The method is illustrated by numerical example.

Keywords: Equivalent job, processing time, elapsed time, Branch and Bound.

1. Introduction:

A flowshop scheduling problem has been one of classical problems in production scheduling since Johnson [6] proposed the well known Johnson's rule in the two-stage flowshop makespan scheduling problem. Yoshida and Hitomi [11] further considered the problem with setup times. Yang and Chern [10] extended the problem to a two-machine flowshop group scheduling problem. Maggu and Dass[9] introduced the concept of equivalent job for a job-block when the situations of giving priority of one job over another arise. Kim, et al.[7] considered a batch scheduling problem for a two-stage flowshop with identical parallel machines at each stage. Brah and Loo [1] studied a flow shop scheduling problem with multiple processors. Futatsuishi, et al. [4] further studied a multi-stage flowshop scheduling problem with alternative operation assignments.

Lomnicki [8] introduced the concept of flow shop scheduling with the help of branch and bound method. Further the work was developed by Ignall and Scharge [5], Chandrasekharan [3] , Brown and Lomnicki [2], with the branch and bound technique to the machine scheduling problem by introducing different parameters. In this paper we consider a two-stage flowshop scheduling problem with job-block with the help of branch and bound method. The given method is very simple and easy to understand. Thus, the problem discussed here has significant use of theoretical results in process industries.

2. Assumptions:

- i. No passing is allowed.
- ii. Each operation once started must be performed till completion.
- iii. Jobs are independent to each other.
- iv. A job is entity, i.e. no job may be processed by more than one machine at a time.

3. Notations:

We are given n jobs to be processed on three stage flowshop scheduling problem and we have used the following notations:

A_i	:	Processing time for job i th on machine A
B_i	:	Processing time for job i th on machine B
C_{ij}	:	Completion time for job i^{th} on machines A and B
S_0	:	Optimal sequence

J_r : Partial schedule of r scheduled jobs
 J_r' : The set of remaining $(n-r)$ free jobs

4. Mathematical Development:

Consider n jobs say $i=1, 2, 3 \dots n$ are processed on two machines A & B in the order AB. A job i ($i=1,2,3\dots n$) has processing time A_i & B_i on each machine respectively. Let an equivalent job β is defined as (k, m) where k, m are any jobs among the given n jobs such that k occurs before job m in the order of job block (k, m) . The mathematical model of the problem in matrix form can be stated as :

Jobs	Machine A	Machine B
i	A_i	B_i
1	A_1	B_1
2	A_2	B_2
3	A_3	B_3
4	A_4	B_4
-	----	---
-	--	--
n	A_n	B_n

Tableau – 1

Our objective is to obtain the optimal schedule of all jobs which minimize the total elapsed time, using branch and bound technique.

5. Algorithm:

Step 1: (i) $A_\beta = A_k + A_m - \min(A_k, B_m)$

(ii) $A_\beta = A_k + A_m - \min(A_k, B_m)$

Step 2: Calculate

(i) $l_1 = t(J_r, 1) + \sum_{i \in J_r'} A_i + \min(B_i)$

(ii) $l_1 = t(J_r, 2) + \sum_{i \in J_r'} B_i$

Step 3: Calculate

$$l = \max(l_1, l_2)$$

We evaluate l first for the n classes of permutations, i.e. for these starting with 1, 2, 3..... n respectively, having labelled the appropriate vertices of the scheduling tree by these values.

Step 4: Now explore the vertex with lowest label. Evaluate l for the $(n-1)$ subclasses starting with this vertex and again concentrate on the lowest label vertex. Continuing this way, until we reach at the end of the tree represented by two single permutations, for which we evaluate the total work duration. Thus we get the optimal schedule of the jobs.

Step 5: Prepare in-out table for the optimal sequence obtained in step 3 and get the minimum total elapsed time.

6. Numerical Example:

Consider 5 jobs 2 machine flow shop problem whose processing time of the jobs on each machine is given.

Job i	Machine A	Machine B
	A_i	B_i
1	11	17
2	15	21
3	22	25
4	34	19
5	27	38

Tableau – 2

Our objective is to obtain optimal schedule for above said problem in which jobs 2,4 are to be processed as a group job (2,4)

Solution:

Step1: Calculate

$$(i) A_{\beta} = A_2 + A_4 - \min(A_4, B_2) \\ = 28$$

$$(ii) B_{\beta} = B_2 + B_4 - \min(A_4, B_2) \\ = 21$$

The problem reduced as in tableau-3.

Step2: Calculate

$$(i) l_1 = t(J_r, 1) + \sum_{i \in J'_r} A_i + \min(B_i)$$

$$(ii) l_1 = t(J_r, 2) + \sum_{i \in J'_r} B_i$$

For $J_1 = (1)$. Then $J'(1) = \{2,3,4\}$, we get $l_1 = 43$, $l_2 = 37$

$LB(1) l = \max(l_1, l_2) = 112$

we have $LB(\beta) = 129$, $LB(3) = 123$, $LB(5) = 128$

similarly,

Step 3 & 4:

Now branch from $J_1 = (1)$. Take $J_2 = (1\beta)$. Then $J'_2 = \{3,4\}$ and $LB(1\beta) = 123$

Proceeding in this way, we obtain lower bound values as shown in the tableau- 4

Step 5 :

Therefore the sequence S_1 is 1-3-5- β i.e. 1-3-5- 2 - 4 and the corresponding in-out table on sequence S_1 is as in tableau-5:

Hence the total elapsed time is 138 units.

7. Remarks:

The study may further be extended by considering various parameters such as transportation time, mean weightage time etc.

References:

- [1] Brah, S.A. and Loo, L.L.,(1999), "Heuristics for Scheduling in a Flow Shop with Multiple Processors,"European Journal of Operation Research, Vol. 113, No.1, pp.113-122.
- [2] Brown, A.P.G. and Lomnicki, Z.A. (1966), "Some applications of the branch and bound algorithm to the machine scheduling problem", Operational Research Quarterly, Vol. 17, pp.173-182.
- [3] Chander Shekharan, K, Rajendra, Deepak Chanderi (1992), "An efficient heuristic approach to the scheduling of jobs in a flow shop", European Journal of Operation Research Vol. 61,pp. 318-325.

- [4] Futatsuishi, Y., Watanabe, I., and Nakanishi, T. (2002), “A Study of the Multi-Stage Flowshop Scheduling Problem with Alternative Operation Assignments,”*Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, Vol. 59, No. 3, pp.73-79.
- [5] Ignall, E. and Schrage, L. (1965), “Application of the branch-and-bound technique to some flowshop scheduling problem”, *Operations Research*, Vol. 13, pp.400-412.
- [6] Johnson, S.M. (1954), “Optimal Two and Three Stage Prouction Schedules with Setup Times Include,”*Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 61-68.
- [7] Kim, J.S., Kang, S.H.,and Lee, S.M.(1997), “ Transfer Batch Scheduling for a Two-Stage Flowshop with Identical Parallel Machines at Each Stage,” *Omega*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 547-555
- [8] Lomnicki, Z.A. (1965), “A branch-and-bound algorithm for the exact solution of the three-machine scheduling problem”, *Operational Research Quarterly*, Vol. 16, pp.89-100.
- [9] Maggu & Das (1981), “On n x 2 sequencing problem with transportation time of jobs”, *Pure and Applied Matematika Sciences*, 12-16.
- [10] Yang, D.L. and Chern, M.S. (2000), “Two-Machine Flowshop Group Scheduling Problem,”*Computers and Operations Research*, Vol.27, No.10, pp.975-985.
- [11] Yoshida, T. and Hitomi, K., (1979), “Optimal Two-Stage Production Scheduling with Setup Times Separated,”*AIIE Transactions*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 261-273.

Tables and Figures:

Table 3: The reduced as in tableau-3:

Job	Machine A	Machine B
I	A_i	B_i
1	11	17
B	28	21
3	22	25
5	27	38

Tableau –3

Table 4: lower bounds for respective jobs are as in tableau-4

Tableau-4

Table 5: In-out table for S_1 and the minimum total elapsed time as in tableau-5.

Job	Machine A	Machine B
I	In-out	In-out
1	0 - 11	11 – 28
3	11 – 33	33 – 58
5	33 – 60	60 - 98
3	60 -75	98 - 119
4	75 - 109	119 - 138

Tableau- 5

Node Jr	LB (Jr)
(1)	112
(β)	129
(3)	123
(5)	128
(1 β)	123
(13)	117
(15)	122
(13 β)	126
(135)	119

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:**

<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

