Journal of Literature, Languages and Linguistics
ISSN 2422-8435 An International Peer-reviewed Journal
Vol.110, 2026

AI-Enhanced Learning for Medical English: Integrating E-Learning and Artificial Intelligence in ESP Education

Phong Thanh Nguyen *
Department of Foreign Languages, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City,
217 Hong Bang Street, Cho Lon Ward, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
* E-mail of the corresponding author: ntphongdhyd@ump.edu.vn

Abstract

Medical English is essential for healthcare students who need to read international research, communicate in clinical settings, and participate in global professional communities. However, many learners struggle with complex terminology, specialized meanings, and limited opportunities for meaningful language practice. Traditional teaching methods, which often rely on memorization and teacher centered instruction, may not effectively support long term retention or real communicative competence. This article explores how the integration of Artificial Intelligence and E-learning can enhance Medical English instruction within English for Specific Purposes education. It proposes a practical framework that combines AI chatbots for simulated doctor patient communication, speech recognition tools for improving pronunciation and fluency, online learning platforms for flexible blended instruction, and adaptive glossaries and writing tools for personalized vocabulary and academic writing support. The analysis indicates that AI enhanced learning can increase motivation, engagement, and learner autonomy by providing immediate feedback and opportunities for repeated practice. At the same time, the article acknowledges challenges such as unequal access to technology, the need for teacher training, and ethical concerns related to privacy and academic integrity. Overall, a balanced combination of AI tools and human guidance can create a more effective learning environment and better prepare medical students for international communication in healthcare.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI); E-learning; Medical English; English for Specific Purposes (ESP); blended learning; speech recognition; chatbots; adaptive learning; vocabulary acquisition; digital transformation; healthcare communication.

DOI: 10.7176/JLLL/110-01

Publication date: March 31st 2026

1. Introduction

Mastering medical English is now essential for healthcare students and professionals around the world. It allows them to read international research, share knowledge, and communicate clearly with patients and colleagues from different countries. As English for Specific Purposes has developed, Medical English has become one of its most important areas. However, learning it is not easy. Students often find medical terms difficult because many come from Latin and Greek. They also face challenges in writing academic texts and using language accurately while maintaining professional standards. Traditional teaching methods usually focus on lectures, memorization, and printed materials. Although these approaches introduce key vocabulary, they often do not help students remember terms for a long time or use them confidently in real situations. Many learners, especially in non-English speaking countries, have limited chances to practice English outside the classroom. As a result, there is often a gap between what students learn in class and what they need in clinical or academic settings. In recent years, digital technology has created new opportunities for teaching medical English. Online learning platforms and mobile apps allow students to study anytime and anywhere, while blended learning combines classroom teaching with online practice. Artificial Intelligence tools can also support learning. Chatbots can simulate conversations and give immediate feedback, speech recognition can help improve pronunciation, and adaptive systems can adjust tasks to each learner's level. AI supported learning is especially useful for medical terminology, which is long, complex, and highly specialized. Digital tools can present words through sound, text, and context, helping students understand meaning and pronunciation at the same time. For instance, an online glossary may include audio, phonetic symbols, and examples of use. Learning platforms can also track progress and provide interactive exercises to reinforce knowledge.

Despite these benefits, several challenges must be considered. Successful use of AI and E-learning requires reliable technology, sufficient digital skills, and careful attention to ethical issues such as privacy and academic honesty. Moreover, research focusing specifically on AI in Medical English education is still limited. This article examines how Artificial Intelligence and E-learning can be combined to improve medical English instruction. It proposes a practical framework based on theories from language education and educational technology. The study argues that integrating digital tools into ESP courses can support more effective vocabulary learning, clearer pronunciation, and stronger professional communication, while also contributing to the broader goals of modernization and international integration in higher education.

2. Literature Review

2.1 E-Learning in ESP and Medical English

The rise of e-learning has significantly reshaped the landscape of higher education, including English for Specific Purposes (ESP). E-learning encompasses a wide range of digital platforms, from full Learning Management Systems (LMS) such as Moodle, Blackboard, or Canvas, to mobile applications and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs). These platforms extend learning beyond the classroom, offering learners greater flexibility, autonomy, and access to diverse resources (Kukulska-Hulme, 2020).

In ESP education, e-learning has been shown to support vocabulary acquisition, reading comprehension, and writing development. Warschauer (2000) argued that computer-assisted learning not only enhances exposure to authentic texts but also fosters learner autonomy and interaction. For medical English, this is particularly valuable, as students often need to access authentic medical materials such as research articles, case reports, and clinical guidelines. E-learning platforms can curate such resources and integrate them into structured learning modules.

Blended learning models, where classroom instruction is combined with e-learning, have been found to be especially effective. Garrison and Vaughan (2008) described blended learning as combining the strengths of face-to-face and online environments, allowing for more interaction, reflection, and active engagement. In medical English classes, a blended approach may involve traditional lectures on medical terminology supported by online modules for pronunciation drills, quizzes, or interactive glossaries. This combination supports Nation's (2001) recommendation of repeated encounters with vocabulary across contexts and modalities.

Mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) has also gained prominence. Research suggests that mobile apps such as Quizlet, Anki, or Duolingo increase learner motivation and provide on-the-go access to microlearning units (Burston, 2014). For medical students, mobile learning offers the advantage of practicing terminology in short bursts, such as during clinical rotations or study breaks. However, without careful instructional design, mobile learning risks being superficial, emphasizing memorization without deeper engagement.

Despite these advantages, limitations exist. Studies have highlighted challenges related to unequal access to technology, variable digital literacy, and the difficulty of sustaining learner engagement online (Kukulska-Hulme, 2020). For ESP teachers, integrating e-learning into curricula often requires significant adaptation of materials, new forms of assessment, and ongoing support. These challenges suggest that while e-learning has potential, its effectiveness depends on careful implementation and alignment with pedagogical goals.

2.2 Artificial Intelligence in Language Learning

The recent development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced new dimensions to technology-assisted language learning. AI applications range from adaptive learning systems and intelligent tutoring to natural language processing (NLP)-based chatbots. Unlike earlier computer-assisted approaches, AI can analyze learner input, provide personalized feedback, and simulate authentic communication (Godwin-Jones, 2020).

One prominent application of AI in language learning is **speech recognition technology**. Liakin, Cardoso, and Liakina (2015) demonstrated that speech recognition tools significantly improve learners' pronunciation accuracy by offering immediate corrective feedback. For ESP contexts, where precise pronunciation of specialized terminology is essential, this feature is particularly beneficial. AI-driven speech recognition can help learners differentiate between terms such as *ileum* and *ilium*, which are easily confused.

Another key development is the rise of **AI-powered chatbots** such as ChatGPT, which can simulate interactive dialogues in real time. These chatbots can provide learners with opportunities to practice medical conversations, role-play doctor-patient interactions, or rehearse academic presentations. Studies have suggested that AI-based dialogue systems can increase motivation and reduce anxiety by creating a non-judgmental practice environment

(Fryer & Carpenter, 2006). Moreover, AI chatbots can instantly generate context-specific examples, allowing learners to encounter medical vocabulary in varied scenarios.

AI has also advanced the field of adaptive learning. Intelligent tutoring systems can track learner progress, diagnose weaknesses, and adjust the difficulty and sequence of tasks accordingly (Heffernan & Heffernan, 2014). In medical English, this could translate into systems that identify which terms students frequently misspell or mispronounce and generate targeted exercises. This personalization addresses the heterogeneity of learners in ESP classrooms, where students often differ in proficiency levels, learning strategies, and professional goals.

In addition, AI-powered writing support tools have become widespread. Systems such as Grammarly or AI-based paraphrasing tools can provide immediate feedback on grammar, style, and vocabulary usage. For medical English learners, these tools are valuable in preparing abstracts, case reports, or research articles. While such tools cannot replace human feedback, they enhance learner independence and reduce reliance on teachers for routine corrections (Godwin-Jones, 2020).

Nevertheless, scholars have also cautioned against overreliance on AI. Issues such as algorithmic bias, lack of contextual understanding, and ethical concerns regarding plagiarism and academic integrity must be addressed (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). In ESP, particularly in medical contexts where accuracy is critical, the use of AI must be carefully monitored to ensure that learners do not internalize errors generated by AI systems.

2.3 Research Gap in AI-Enhanced Medical English

While both e-learning and AI applications have been widely studied in general language learning, research specifically targeting medical English remains scarce. Most existing studies on e-learning focus on general English as a Foreign Language (EFL) settings, while AI research has often emphasized conversational practice or general academic writing. There is a noticeable lack of empirical studies examining how AI and e-learning can be systematically integrated into medical English curricula to address unique challenges such as complex terminology, clinical communication, and academic literacy in the health sciences.

Bosher and Smalkoski (2002) highlighted that limited proficiency in medical English negatively impacts both academic outcomes and professional performance. However, few studies have examined whether AI-driven interventions could mitigate these issues by providing scalable and individualized support. Similarly, while Nation (2001) stressed the importance of repeated exposure for vocabulary mastery, there is little evidence on how AI-based adaptive systems can operationalize this principle in medical English contexts.

Moreover, ethical and practical considerations remain underexplored. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) observed that the integration of AI in higher education often outpaces discussions of privacy, academic honesty, and teacher roles. In medical education, these concerns are even more pressing given the sensitivity of clinical communication and the ethical standards of healthcare professions.

Thus, the current literature highlights both the promise and the limitations of AI and e-learning in ESP. While general evidence suggests that these technologies enhance learning, there is a pressing need for research focusing on medical English learners in non-English-speaking contexts. This article responds to that gap by proposing a framework for integrating AI and e-learning into medical English education, with particular attention to the needs of students in Vietnamese universities.

3. Proposed Framework for AI-Enhanced Medical English

This section introduces a practical framework for integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) and e-learning into medical English instruction. Building on theories of multimodal learning (Mayer, 2001), autonomy in language learning (Oxford, 2001), and adaptive education (Heffernan & Heffernan, 2014), the framework proposes four interconnected components: (1) AI-powered chatbots for interaction, (2) speech recognition for pronunciation and fluency, (3) e-learning platforms for blended instruction, and (4) adaptive glossaries and writing tools. Together, these elements aim to address the challenges of medical vocabulary acquisition, clinical communication, and academic writing in English for Specific Purposes (ESP).

3.1 AI-Powered Chatbots for Interactive Medical Scenarios

The first component is the integration of AI-powered chatbots, such as ChatGPT or other natural language processing (NLP)-based systems, into medical English classes. Unlike static textbook dialogues, chatbots provide learners with dynamic, responsive conversations. For example, students can simulate a doctor–patient

interaction, practice explaining symptoms (*"Do you have chest pain?"*), or rehearse delivering a diagnosis in English.

Fryer and Carpenter (2006) found that learners practicing with AI dialogue systems reported higher motivation and lower anxiety compared to traditional speaking tasks. For medical English learners, this is significant, as clinical communication often provokes anxiety due to the high stakes of using correct terminology. Chatbots also allow for unlimited practice, reducing reliance on limited classroom time.

Instructors can design chatbot prompts that integrate target vocabulary lists. For instance, a chatbot can be programmed to role-play as a patient presenting symptoms of *hypertension* or *diabetes mellitus*, prompting students to use appropriate questions and responses. In this way, AI provides not just language practice but also professional context, bridging ESP with real-world clinical needs.

3.2 Speech Recognition for Pronunciation and Fluency

Accurate pronunciation of medical terminology is a critical skill, as mispronunciations can lead to misunderstanding in clinical or academic settings. Traditional classroom correction is limited by time and teacher capacity. AI-enabled **speech recognition technology** offers scalable, individualized feedback.

Liakin, Cardoso, and Liakina (2015) demonstrated that speech recognition significantly improved pronunciation accuracy among language learners by providing immediate feedback. Applied to medical English, this technology can help learners distinguish between phonetically similar terms, such as *ileum* vs. *ilium* or *angina* vs. *angio-*.

Speech recognition can be embedded within LMS or mobile apps. For instance, a pronunciation module might present the IPA transcription of *gastroenterology* (/gæs.trəʊˌen.təˈrɒl.ə.dʒi/), play audio, and then analyze the learner's spoken attempt. Real-time scoring and feedback highlight stress placement errors, vowel mispronunciations, and syllable omissions. Over time, this leads to measurable improvement in fluency and intelligibility, as suggested by Kelly (2000).

3.3 E-Learning Platforms for Blended Instruction

The third component emphasizes the use of E-learning platforms, particularly Learning Management Systems (LMS) such as Moodle, Canvas, or Blackboard, to support blended learning in ESP contexts. Garrison and Vaughan (2008) argue that blended learning maximizes the advantages of both face-to-face and online environments, promoting reflection, flexibility, and learner engagement.

In a blended medical English course, classroom sessions may focus on case-based discussions, while online modules provide reinforcement through multimedia resources. For example:

- **Vocabulary modules** with flashcards, IPA transcriptions, and pronunciation audio.
- **Listening practice** with authentic medical lectures or simulated consultations.
- **Interactive quizzes** that integrate adaptive algorithms, ensuring that frequently missed terms reappear until mastered.

Nation (2001) stressed that vocabulary acquisition requires multiple encounters across modalities. LMS platforms operationalize this by allowing teachers to create multimedia content that combines text, audio, and interactive elements. Moreover, progress tracking and analytics help instructors identify struggling learners and tailor interventions.

Mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) complements LMS-based learning. Apps like Quizlet or Anki can be integrated into courses, enabling students to review terminology during clinical rotations or commutes. Burston (2014) highlighted that mobile learning increases motivation and flexibility, though careful design is necessary to ensure that learning is deep rather than superficial.

3.4 Adaptive Glossaries and AI Writing Support

A unique contribution of AI lies in its ability to create **adaptive learning resources**. In medical English, this can be implemented through adaptive glossaries—AI-generated dictionaries that provide not only definitions but also pronunciation, IPA, synonyms, usage examples, and even bilingual equivalents when necessary. Learners encountering a difficult term such as *pharmacodynamics* could instantly access multiple layers of support, including audio pronunciation, collocations (*drug pharmacodynamics*), and example sentences.

Adaptive systems can also personalize exposure based on learner performance. Heffernan and Heffernan (2014) described how intelligent tutoring systems track learner errors and adjust instruction dynamically. Applied to ESP, this means that if a student consistently struggles with spelling *anaphylaxis*, the system can schedule repeated review activities until mastery is achieved.

AI writing support tools, such as Grammarly or GPT-based writing assistants, can further assist learners in producing medical texts. Students drafting case reports or research abstracts receive instant feedback on grammar, vocabulary, and clarity. Godwin-Jones (2020) notes that while such tools cannot replace human instructors, they offer immediate scaffolding that promotes learner independence. For medical English, this accelerates the development of academic writing skills, a key competence for students aiming to publish or present internationally.

3.5 Integration and Pedagogical Implications

The proposed framework is not intended to replace teachers but to **augment ESP pedagogy**. Teachers remain essential in designing curricula, contextualizing AI interactions, and ensuring accuracy. AI and e-learning serve as tools that extend practice opportunities, personalize learning, and reduce the mechanical workload of error correction.

The framework also aligns with broader educational goals. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) highlighted that AI in higher education should support **sustainability** by lowering costs and increasing access, **internationalization** by standardizing professional English use, and **digital transformation** by equipping students with 21st-century digital literacy. For medical universities in Vietnam and similar contexts, adopting such a framework ensures that graduates are linguistically and technologically prepared for global healthcare environments.

3.6 Example Implementation in a Vietnamese Medical University

A practical example of this framework can be seen in a blended medical English course at the University of Medicine and Pharmacy in Ho Chi Minh City. The course combines online learning with face-to-face classroom activities so that students can study both independently and with teacher guidance. Each stage of the course focuses on a different language skill while building on what students learned earlier.

During the first two weeks, students study cardiovascular vocabulary through online modules on the learning platform. These lessons are supported by adaptive glossaries that explain difficult terms, provide pronunciation, and give examples in context. This allows students to learn at their own pace and review the material as many times as needed.

In the third week, classroom time is used for role play activities in which students practice conversations between doctors and patients. To extend this practice, AI chatbots are introduced so students can continue speaking practice outside class. In weeks four and five, students work with speech recognition tools to improve pronunciation, especially for long and complex medical words such as electrocardiogram.

In the final week, students write short case summaries using AI writing support tools. Teachers then review the work and provide additional feedback. This cycle shows how AI and online learning can be combined in a structured way, giving students repeated practice, personal feedback, and opportunities to use medical English in realistic tasks.

The course could be structured as follows:

- **Week 1–2:** Students complete LMS modules on cardiovascular terminology, supported by adaptive glossaries.
- **Week 3:** Classroom sessions focus on role-play doctor–patient dialogues, extended with AI chatbots for extra practice.
- **Week 4–5:** Students use speech recognition tools for pronunciation drills, targeting difficult terms such as *electrocardiogram*.
- **Week 6:** Writing assignments (case summaries) are drafted with AI writing support tools, followed by teacher feedback.

This cycle demonstrates how AI and e-learning can be systematically integrated, ensuring that learners encounter medical English through multiple modes, receive individualized feedback, and apply language in authentic tasks.

3.7. Summary of the Framework

The proposed AI-enhanced framework combines:

- **Chatbots** for interactive medical scenarios.
- **Speech recognition** for pronunciation accuracy.
- **E-learning platforms** for blended learning.
- **Adaptive glossaries and writing support** for personalized vocabulary and academic writing.

The proposed framework uses Artificial Intelligence and online learning tools to improve the way medical English is taught and learned. It brings together several digital supports that help students practice language in realistic and meaningful ways. Instead of only listening to lectures or memorizing word lists, learners can interact with technology and receive immediate feedback. This makes learning more active and closer to real medical communication.

One important part of the framework is the use of chatbots. These tools allow students to practice conversations in medical situations, such as talking with a patient or explaining symptoms. Students can repeat the practice as many times as they need, which helps build confidence and fluency. Speech recognition tools are also included to help learners improve pronunciation. Because medical terms are often long and difficult, instant feedback on how words are spoken is especially valuable.

Online learning platforms support blended learning, combining classroom teaching with digital activities. Students can review vocabulary, listen to recordings, complete quizzes, and track their progress outside class time. In addition, adaptive glossaries and writing tools provide personalized support. They explain difficult terms, show pronunciation, and help students produce clear medical texts.

Overall, this framework shifts medical English teaching from a teacher focused approach to a student centered one. By using AI and digital resources, it helps learners develop practical language skills and prepares them to communicate effectively in international healthcare settings.

4. Discussion

4.1 Advantages of AI-Enhanced Medical English Instruction

The integration of AI and e-learning into ESP pedagogy offers multiple pedagogical advantages compared with traditional methods. Traditional teaching of medical English has often relied on rote memorization of terminology lists, teacher-centered lectures, and static textbooks (Hutchinson & Waters, 1987). While these methods provide initial exposure, they frequently fail to ensure long-term retention, practical communication skills, or learner engagement.

In contrast, AI and e-learning systems align with the principle of **multimodal learning**, which Mayer (2001) demonstrated to enhance comprehension and retention by engaging multiple channels. Learners who encounter medical terms through audio, text, and interactive tasks are more likely to encode them deeply. This supports Nation's (2001) argument that multiple encounters across contexts are essential for durable vocabulary acquisition.

Moreover, AI enables **personalization**. Heffernan and Heffernan (2014) showed that intelligent tutoring systems adapt to learner performance by diagnosing weaknesses and adjusting instruction dynamically. In medical English, where students differ in their prior knowledge of English and medicine, adaptive AI systems can identify individuals struggling with terms like *anaphylaxis* and provide repeated practice until mastery is achieved. Such personalized scaffolding is rarely possible in large classes with limited teacher time.

Another key benefit is the promotion of **learner autonomy**. Warschauer (2000) argued that computer-assisted language learning fosters independent study and responsibility for learning outcomes. AI chatbots and mobile apps allow learners to practice outside class hours, extending learning opportunities and reducing reliance on limited classroom contact time. Kukulska-Hulme (2020) further noted that mobile-assisted language learning increases flexibility, enabling microlearning during short intervals such as clinical breaks. For medical students with demanding schedules, such flexibility is invaluable.

4.2 Enhancing Motivation and Engagement

Motivation is a critical factor in successful language learning (Dörnyei, 2001). ESP learners often report low motivation when tasks seem disconnected from real-world professional contexts. AI-powered chatbots address

this issue by simulating authentic clinical interactions, thereby making practice meaningful. Fryer and Carpenter (2006) found that learners using dialogue systems were more motivated and less anxious compared to those in traditional speaking tasks. This is especially relevant for medical English, where anxiety about mispronunciation or errors can hinder participation (Bosher & Smalkoski, 2002).

Gamified e-learning platforms also enhance engagement. Burston (2014) highlighted that mobile-assisted tools with elements of gamification sustain learner interest. When integrated into medical English courses, such tools can transform repetitive drills into competitive or goal-oriented activities. For example, students could compete in online quizzes on cardiovascular terminology, receiving instant feedback and rankings. This aligns with Oxford's (2001) framework of learning strategies, which emphasizes motivation and affective engagement alongside cognitive processes.

4.3 Comparison with Traditional Vocabulary Instruction

Traditional ESP vocabulary instruction has often focused on **recognition-level knowledge**, with assessments limited to translation or matching tasks (Dudley-Evans & St John, 1998). Such methods neglect productive skills, leaving learners unable to pronounce or actively use terms. AI-enhanced methods shift focus to **active production**. Speech recognition technology, as Liakin, Cardoso, and Liakina (2015) demonstrated, enables learners to refine pronunciation with immediate corrective feedback, addressing a gap that rote memorization cannot fill.

Furthermore, conventional methods provide limited feedback. Teacher correction is constrained by time, and errors often go unnoticed. AI systems, however, provide **instant, individualized feedback**, consistent with Zawacki-Richter et al.'s (2019) call for scalable, data-driven instruction in higher education. This supports more efficient error correction, ensuring that learners internalize accurate forms rather than fossilizing mistakes.

4.4 Challenges and Limitations

Despite these benefits, integrating AI and e-learning into medical English raises significant challenges.

First, **technological infrastructure** remains uneven. Kukulska-Hulme (2020) observed that unequal access to devices and reliable internet can create digital divides. In Vietnam, while many students own smartphones, not all have consistent access to high-speed internet or advanced software. This disparity may disadvantage certain learners, exacerbating inequalities rather than alleviating them.

Second, **teacher readiness** is critical. Kelly (2000) emphasized that effective pronunciation instruction requires specialized knowledge, yet many ESP instructors are not trained in phonetics or in using AI systems. Without adequate professional development, teachers may underutilize or misuse digital tools, limiting their effectiveness.

Third, **ethical concerns** must be addressed. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) identified risks of algorithmic bias, data privacy issues, and overreliance on automated systems. In the context of medical English, academic integrity is also a concern. Students using AI writing assistants such as Grammarly or GPT-based tools may produce polished texts but without internalizing linguistic competence. This raises questions about plagiarism, authenticity, and the role of teachers in evaluating AI-assisted work.

Fourth, **learner perception** may affect adoption. Dörnyei (2001) noted that motivation can be undermined if learners perceive tasks as irrelevant or overly mechanical. Some students may resist chatbot or dictation tasks, viewing them as artificial compared to real clinical practice. Teachers must therefore contextualize AI-enhanced activities within professional scenarios to ensure relevance.

4.5 Implications for ESP Pedagogy and Internationalization

The adoption of AI and e-learning aligns with broader goals of internationalization in higher education. Dudley-Evans and St John (1998) argued that ESP instruction must equip learners for participation in global professional communities. AI-enhanced medical English addresses this by standardizing terminology, pronunciation, and academic writing practices. Students who can confidently pronounce *myocardial infarction* or draft a case report in English are better prepared to engage in international collaboration.

Moreover, AI supports **sustainable education**. By reducing reliance on imported textbooks and providing open, adaptive resources, AI-driven systems can lower costs and increase accessibility (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). This resonates with institutional agendas of sustainability and digital transformation. For universities in Vietnam, AI adoption not only improves language instruction but also contributes to broader educational modernization.

4.6 Relevance to the Vietnamese Context

Vietnamese medical universities face particular challenges in ESP teaching. Bosher and Smalkoski (2002) observed that insufficient English proficiency hinders healthcare communication, a concern echoed in Vietnam where students must navigate both local clinical settings and international research. Traditional ESP courses in Vietnam often emphasize translation and rote memorization, leaving gaps in pronunciation and active usage.

The proposed AI-enhanced framework offers solutions. Chatbots provide unlimited opportunities for role-play, speech recognition addresses persistent pronunciation difficulties, and adaptive glossaries support bilingual learning. These innovations can be implemented gradually within existing blended learning models, as Garrison and Vaughan (2008) recommended. However, careful teacher training and infrastructure investment are essential for sustainability.

4.7. Summary of Discussion

This discussion highlights that AI-enhanced medical English instruction provides substantial advantages over traditional methods by improving retention, engagement, personalization, and productive skills. Supported by established theories (Mayer, 2001; Nation, 2001; Oxford, 2001) and empirical studies (Liakin et al., 2015; Fryer & Carpenter, 2006; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019), the framework is grounded in both theory and practice. Challenges remain in infrastructure, teacher training, and ethics, but these can be mitigated through careful implementation. Importantly, the framework aligns with internationalization and sustainability goals, making it particularly relevant to Vietnamese medical universities striving to modernize their curricula.

5. Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence and e-learning into English for Specific Purposes (ESP), particularly in medical English, represents a transformative opportunity for higher education. Traditional methods of rote memorization and teacher-centered instruction have long struggled to meet the demands of complex medical terminology, pronunciation accuracy, and professional communication. The framework proposed in this article—incorporating AI-powered chatbots, speech recognition, blended e-learning platforms, and adaptive glossaries—offers a systematic and evidence-based approach to addressing these challenges.

The discussion has demonstrated that AI-enhanced learning fosters retention, engagement, personalization, and learner autonomy, while aligning with established theoretical frameworks such as Mayer's (2001) *Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning*, Paivio's (1986) *Dual Coding Theory*, and Nation's (2001) principles of vocabulary acquisition. Empirical studies further confirm the benefits of dialogue systems (Fryer & Carpenter, 2006), speech recognition (Liakin, Cardoso, & Liakina, 2015), and blended learning (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008) in improving outcomes across language education.

However, challenges remain. Unequal access to technology, insufficient teacher training, and ethical concerns such as algorithmic bias, privacy, and academic integrity must be carefully addressed (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Moreover, cultural adaptation is crucial to ensure that learners perceive AI-enhanced tools as relevant and meaningful to their professional needs (Dörnyei, 2001).

For Vietnamese medical universities, the adoption of AI-enhanced medical English instruction aligns with broader national priorities of digital transformation, internationalization, and sustainability. By preparing students with the linguistic and technological skills necessary for global healthcare communication, universities can bridge local needs with international standards.

Future research should empirically evaluate the proposed framework, comparing learning outcomes between AI-enhanced and traditional methods, and exploring long-term impacts on professional communication skills. Such studies would provide valuable evidence for refining practice and policy. Ultimately, AI-enhanced learning holds the potential to transform ESP pedagogy, ensuring that future healthcare professionals are not only medically competent but also linguistically and digitally empowered.

6. References

Bosher, S., & Smalkoski, K. (2002). From needs analysis to curriculum development: Designing a course in health-care communication for immigrant students in the USA. *English for Specific Purposes, 21*(1), 59–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(01)00002-3

Burston, J. (2014). The reality of MALL: Still on the fringes. *CALICO Journal, 31*(1), 103–125. https://doi.org/10.11139/cj.31.1.103-125

Craik, F. I. M., & Lockhart, R. S. (1972). Levels of processing: A framework for memory research. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 11*(6), 671–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(72)80001-X

Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational strategies in the language classroom*. Cambridge University Press.

Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J. (1998). *Developments in English for Specific Purposes: A multi-disciplinary approach*. Cambridge University Press.

Fryer, L., & Carpenter, R. (2006). Bots as language learning tools. *Language Learning & Technology, 10*(3), 8–14.

Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. Jossey-Bass.

Godwin-Jones, R. (2020). Emerging technologies: Artificial intelligence in language learning. *Language Learning & Technology, 24*(3), 9–27. https://doi.org/10125/44715

Heffernan, N. T., & Heffernan, C. L. (2014). The ASSISTments ecosystem: Building a platform that brings scientists and teachers together for minimizing research to practice gap. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 24*(4), 470–497. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-014-0024-x

Hutchinson, T., & Waters, A. (1987). *English for Specific Purposes: A learning-centred approach*. Cambridge University Press.

Kelly, G. (2000). *How to Teach Pronunciation*. Longman.

Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2020). Mobile-assisted language learning [Revised edition]. In M. Thomas, H. Reinders, & M. Warschauer (Eds.), *Contemporary computer-assisted language learning* (pp. 201–216). Bloomsbury Academic.

Liakin, D., Cardoso, W., & Liakina, N. (2015). Mobile-assisted language learning: Affordances and limitations of speech recognition technology. *ReCALL, 27*(3), 298–318. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344015000077

Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia Learning*. Cambridge University Press.

Nation, I. S. P. (2001). *Learning Vocabulary in Another Language*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139524759

Oxford, R. (2001). *Language learning styles and strategies*. Mouton de Gruyter.

Paivio, A. (1986). *Mental representations: A dual coding approach*. Oxford University Press.

Warschauer, M. (2000). The changing global economy and the future of English teaching. *TESOL Quarterly, 34*(3), 511–535. https://doi.org/10.2307/3587741

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(39), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0