

# Investigating the Effects of Customer Complaints and Feedback Management on Customer Satisfaction in Select Banks in Anambra State, Nigeria

Oranusi, Ifeanyichukwu N

Department of Marketing, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli – Nigeria

Okolo, Victor O

Department of Marketing, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu Campus – Nigeria

Wali, Kemkamma

Ken Saro-wiwa Polytechnic, Bori – Nigeria

Mmamel Zita U

Department of Marketing, Institute of Management and Technology, Enugu - Nigeria

Obikeze, Chinedum O

Department of Marketing, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli – Nigeria

## Abstract

This work examined the effect of customer compliant and feedback management on customer satisfaction in the Nigerian banking sector. In this study, turnaround time of banks to resolve complaints, banks response to customer complaints (responsiveness), employee courtesy, communication of service failures and handling process and service recovery were employed as the explanatory variable while customer satisfaction was employed as the dependent variable. In line with this, five hypotheses were formulated for the study. Relevant conceptual, theoretical and empirical literatures were reviewed in order to identify the knowledge gap. The study is anchored on equity theory. The study adopted survey research design. Primary data were used in the study. A total of 400 customers of five selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District were sampled for the study. Questionnaire was employed as the instrument of data collection. The data generated were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis and multiple regression analysis. Turnaround time of banks to resolve customer complaint, banks response to customer complaints (responsiveness), communication of service failures and handling process and service recovery were found to have significant effect on customer satisfaction, while employee courtesy was found to have an insignificant effect on customer satisfaction in selected sampled banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. The study concludes that complaints and feedback management has significant effect in customer satisfaction in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. The study recommends that banks should reduce their turnaround time to resolve compliant, ensure service recovery and retrain their employees on effective and quality service delivery to customers.

**Keywords:** Customer satisfaction, Equity theory, Customer complaint, Feedback, Service recovery and Communication

## INTRODUCTION

### Background of the Study

The contemporary advancement of commerce and emerging of markets has led to radical economical fluctuations and inflamed fierce competition (Narteh, 2013). As a result, the consumer today has a multitude of alternatives to exceed his expectations when making a purchasing decision, whereas, rivalry has reached its climax (Leal & Pereira, 2003). In the present scenario of competitive banking, excellence in customer service is the most important tool for sustained business growth. Customer service has been the challenging job in the banking industry, specifically, after the financial sector reforms and implementation of new age technology. Providing prompt and efficient service is on the top agenda of commercial banks to attract and retain the new customers (Malyadri & Sirisha, 2015). Consequently, given the assumption that satisfied customers are a vital ingredient of a sustainable business operation, therefore, satisfying and retaining these customers is no doubt an interactive catalyst that will systematically influence the long-term success of any enterprise in today's service-driven economy (Wasfi & Kostenko, 2014). In this regard, customer satisfaction strategy signifies the vaccine that must be utilized by enterprises to diminish the risk of substitutes (Komunda & Osarenkhoe, 2012).

One of the effective ways of satisfying customers is through effective compliant and feedback management. Customer complaints and feedback management has become an integral part of the banking industry, both from the regulatory perspective and a customer service standpoint. Simply stated, feedback management is, according

to customerexpressions.com, the formal process of recording and resolving a customer complaint and responding to complements and suggestions. It means listening to unsatisfied customers and taking actions to remedy issues, where appropriate. Customer complaints and feedback management is just one initiative under a large strategy called customer experience management. By listening to the customers, banks can develop service standards and delivery processes to meet the standards (Zairi, 2000).

Understanding the nature of the service one provides to customers allows for an appreciation of how the customers see the services provided. According to Tumi (2005), in any customer service interaction, the perception of customers is crucial to one's ability to ensure that they are satisfied beyond expectation. This helps to give customers not what is obvious but also fulfill a multitude of less obvious customer needs (Tumi, 2005). Porter (2008) explains that customer expectations and demand for services have substantially increased. As consumers become better educated, they demand new products, better and more reliable delivery, as well as more responsive services; As a consequence, to improve competitiveness, banks have to understand the customers' needs and expectations and satisfy them by providing excellent services. The banks have endeavored to create a culture to reinforce complaints and feedback strategy. Commercial banks have adopted an open-door and technological customer feedback approach where its senior personnel are readily available to its clients. There exists a customer care help desk that works round the clock. Commercial banks have assisted their agents to create a fulfilling working environment each by developing an organizational architect that motivates its staff even at branch level and virtual support to its customers through automatically coded responses to some of the standard queries. This step not only generates job satisfaction but also creates customer satisfaction due to the swift decision-making. Firms have now realized that long-term growth and survival is dependent on a good customer's satisfaction (Nguli, 2016).

Customer complaints and feedback management is a valuable source of important market intelligence which companies should use to correct the root cause of the problem and to improve the service or product (McCullough, Berry and Yadav, 2000). Ndulilo (2014) maintained that complaints and the processes for handling them are important issues for service providers because they have the potential to have an adverse effect on customer satisfaction and loyalty. Research has shown that, the way a company handles company complaints can affect its business success in the long term (Robert-Lombard, 2011).

Most often, banks customers complain about the services they receive. Shammout and Haddad (2014) noted that "almost half of consumers' complaints about banking services relate to opening, closing or managing their accounts. Another quarter of complaints are about deposits and withdrawals. Problems in this category include transaction holds and unauthorized transactions. Difficulties caused by low funds, such as overdraft fees and bounced checks, are another significant complaint category". Forbes (2013) noted that "about 11% of consumers are frustrated with sending or receiving payments, and 6% have gotten fed up with trying to use their ATM or debit cards". Most banks hardly assess their customer complaint procedures to find out if it is delivering in terms of handling customer complaints effectively. Due to this, some banks adopt a nonchalant approach to customer complaints, especially if it is related to customer service, oblivious of the latent harm being caused to the bank.

Despite the fact that organizations appreciate the importance of managing complaints, overall customer satisfaction after a failure has not improved (Michel, Bowen & Johnston, 2009). Organizations should encourage dissatisfied customers to complain so that they can solve the problem and retain the customer. Unfortunately, organizations that do not rise to the challenge of complaining customers are turning down the important opportunity of reclaiming and improving a relationship. Owing to the apparent importance of effective complaints handling, there is a research gap on how organization management should treat all complaining customers to create complaint satisfaction.

### **Statement of the Problem**

Customers are increasingly becoming self-aware of the need to receive high quality service and to have their complaints over goods or services addressed by companies they deal with (Mensah, 2016). Effective handling of customers' complaints has become a source competition for banks. Ineffective handling of customer complaints could result to high customer turnover and low customer retention. When bank fails to effectively handle customer complaints, that particular customer could be lost in the process, sparking a chain reaction involving the customer telling others, who might also continue to spread negative news about the bank involved. Consequently, the loss of one customer could cause a chain reaction where a lot more customers are lost: reducing market share, reducing bank profitability and increasing negative perceptions about the bank (Morrisson & Huppertz, 2010).

Gelbrich & Roschk (2010) assert that poor complaint handling procedures could damage company-customer relationship and cause customer dissatisfaction. It could promote negative word of mouth advertising causing potential customers to refrain from doing business with the company. It could cause low customer loyalty and significantly chances of customer retention. Awara (2010) opines that poor customer complaints handling ultimately cause companies to lose customers thereby losing market share, whilst the recruiting of new

customers through marketing promotions cost money, effectively reducing company profitability. Komunda and Oserankhoe (2012) advocates for a comprehensive customer complaints management system, complete with complaint handling procedures, customer satisfaction checks, customer feedback acquisition and customer retention measures.

Given these dynamics, and in order to attain and sustain the state of customer satisfaction, this research underlines the nexus between complaint and feedback management strategy in relation to customer satisfaction. Meanwhile, the objective in this study is to provide empirical evidence that effective complaint and feedback management strategy has a positive impact on customer's satisfaction, research shows that the correlation between complaint and feedback management and customer satisfaction are important for two reasons. Primarily, customers who voice their complaints embody the most loyal of customers and consequently it should be the firm's top priority to enhance their service in order to satisfy these loyal customers (Eccles & Durand, 1998). Also, satisfied customers will enhance the company's market share by spreading positive word-of-mouth and performing as a costless marketing power, whereas, their counterpart will have the opposite impact (Hocutt, Bowers & Donavan, 2006). This argument is further reinforced by studies that show that dissatisfied customers tell about ten to twenty people about their bad experience (Edvardsson, Tronvoll & Höykinpuro, 2011), conversely, satisfied customers will trust the firm to a large extent and are more likely to repeat their purchase and talk positively about their successful purchase to their acquaintances (Fecikova, 2004). Within the banking industry, 'complaints management' has become an integral part of business, both from a regulatory perspective and a customer service standpoint. Simply stated, complaints management is the formal process of recording and resolving a customer complaint. It means listening to dissatisfied customers and taking actions to remedy issues, where appropriate. Base on the foregoing, this study examined the effect of complaints and feedback management on customer satisfaction in the Nigerian banking sector.

### **Objectives of the Study**

The major objective of this study is to examine the effect of compliant and feedback management on customer satisfaction in the banking sector. The specific objectives include to:

1. Determine the effect of turnaround time of banks to resolve complaints on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.
2. Ascertain the effect of response to customer complaints (responsiveness) on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.
3. Examine the effect of employee courtesy on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.
4. Determine the effect of communication of service failures and handling process on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.
5. Ascertain the effect of service recovery on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### **Research Hypotheses**

The following research questions stated in null form guided this study.

Ho<sub>1</sub>: The turnaround time of banks to resolve complaints has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

Ho<sub>2</sub>: The banks response to complaints (responsiveness) has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

Ho<sub>3</sub>: Employee courtesy has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

Ho<sub>4</sub>: Communication of service failures and handling process has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

Ho<sub>5</sub>: Service recovery has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### **Significance of the Study**

The findings of this study will be beneficial to bank employees, management, customers and academia.

**Employees:** The findings of this study will equip the employees of the bank with knowledge on better customer complaint processes that would serve to improve their impact on customer service and subsequently improve their customer relationship management skills. A better complaint handling system would also serve to increase customer satisfaction.

**Management:** The findings of the study will help bank management to understand the effect of complaints and feedback management on customer satisfaction. This will help them in their policy formulation especially as it relates to handling customers complaints. This will help banks to avoid the harsh repercussions of losing

customers to competitors. To this end, the study would help banks not only avoid cost, but increase market share and profitability in the long term.

**Customers:** The findings of this study will help them to ensure that their complaints about customer service, bank procedures and certain unexplained charges are given the needed attention and appreciation to enhance their dealings with banks. It will also improve the services they receive from the banks through their complaints about service short-falls.

**Academia:** The findings of this study will provide conceptual, theoretical and empirical foundation for future research work. The findings of this study would add to extant literature and serve as a reference material to future researchers.

### **Scope of the Study**

This work examined the effect of complaints and feedback management on customer satisfaction in banks. The study will specifically examine the effect of independent variables like turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint, banks response to complaints (responsiveness), employee courtesy, communication of service failures and handling process and service recovery on customer satisfaction in banks which is the dependent variable.

The geographical location of the study is Anambra State Central. Anambra Central is one of the senatorial zones in Anambra State. Commercial banks namely; Guarantee Trust Bank Plc, Fidelity Bank Plc, Zenith Bank Plc, First Bank of Nigeria Plc and Union Bank Plc all located at Anambra Central were studied. The units of study in this research work consist of customers of these selected banks who are present in the banking hall at the time of carrying out this research and are willing to participate in the study

## **REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

### **Conceptual Framework**

#### **Customer Complaints and Feedback Management**

Customer complaint is expression of dissatisfaction on a consumer's behalf to a responsible party (Landon 1980), While consumer complaints are clearly expressions of dissatisfaction, at the same time, they present an opportunity for management to respond in such a way that dissatisfaction is reduced and repeat business is encouraged (Ngai, Heung, Wong and Chan, 2000). Customer complaint is a customer's expression of dissatisfaction towards a product, service or purchasing situation (Nakibin et al., 2011). Complaint can be defined as an expression of disagreement between the customer and the company. Solutions must be seen as being fair and impartial, and this is the main criterion when customers select or remain with a company (Cho, Im & Hiltz, 2003). A complaint mostly results from some disruption to expected services (Reinartz, Thomas & Kumar, 2005). Complaints represent a comprehensive set of behavioral and non-behavioral responses (non-standard behaviors) made by customers who are engaged in the communication of contradictory understandings, and begin with dissatisfaction with a situation (Heung & Lam, 2003). According to Stauss (2010), a complaint is a verbal or written articulation of discontent that sends a warning to a company or service provider about its behaviors, service or product(s). It is usually created quite deliberately. Customers usually complain if they are confident that there is a chance of compensation and this would be one of the benefits of lodging a complaint. Tronvoll (2012) calls it a formal or informal customer report regarding a problem with a product or service. Tronvoll (2012) further noted that "it is an action taken by an individual which involves communicating something negative regarding a product or service". Crié and Ladwein (2002) assert that it will be based on the perceived dissatisfaction with a product, a service or an event in the course of the buying process or during the consumption. Hoyer & McInnis (2010) further argues this dissatisfaction is based on the customer's feelings and perception. This view is very significant in the services domain since quality evaluation to a certain degree is based on customer's subjectivity and failure in services can affect customer outcome and service process (Hansen et al., 2009).

Zemke and Bell (1990) defined complaints and feedback management "a thought-out, planned, process for returning aggrieved customers to a state of satisfaction with the organization after a service or product has failed to live up to expectations". Jeschke et al (2000) see it as the "the way in which companies systematically handle problems in customer relations". Hansen et al. (2009) maintained that complaints and feedback management "involves the receipt, investigation, settlement and prevention of customer complaints and recovery of the customer. A customer complaint is a report from a consumer providing documentation about a problem with a product or service or again, is any expression of dissatisfaction by a customer or potential about customer delivery or a product by the company or its agents (Landon, 1980). Tronvoll (2012) argue this processes can be likened to information processing in an organization. The goal is to stabilize customer relationships that are at risk and to assure a specific level of quality by creating a consistent business environment regarding personnel.

Complaints and feedback management is majorly characterized by the following actions: encouraging complaints to enhance service quality; creating a special complaint department; ensuring a quick and fast

response on lodged complaints; installing a complaint database, affirming that all unsatisfactory service encounters are identified; and using complaint database for tracking trends for further improvements (Bendall-Lyon & Powers, 2001). That said, effective complaints and feedback management implies developing and employing thoughtful procedures that implies encouraging customers to lodge complaints and returning disgruntled customers into delighted one.

Complaints and feedback management efforts should be regarded as investments which help to retain customers and protect long-term stream of profit. Policies of complaint handling management may imply apologizing, excusing or material compensation (Dube & Maute, 1994) as well as redoing core service right at the second try correcting what went wrong (Zemke & Bell, 1990). In this regard, Miller *et al.* (2000) divide complaint handling strategies into two types: psychological and tangible strategy. The psychological approach is focused on actions like explaining the problem to the customer and apologizing whereas, in this case, emphasis is applied on communication; meanwhile, the tangible approach is concentrated on refunding and material compensation.

The problem resolution process consists of two dimensions: a technical and a functional dimension. The technical dimension is considered as an outcome of complaint handling, more specifically what customer receives eventually after the service provider's efforts to fix the problem, whereas, the functional dimension relates to process of its execution (Berry and Parasuraman (1991). Furthermore, Berry et al (1991) emphasize the prominence of functional dimension in complaint handling process and point out that the outcome is more essential while delivering original service; yet it can depend on type of service at the point.

### **Customer Complaint Procedures**

It is essential for a firm to have an effectual response when a complaint takes place. This should be seen in the form of the quality of the reply, ability to address customers concern and perception and plan for future prevention (Behrens et al, 2007). Various authors have separately tried to conceptualized complaint management process. For example, Wysocki et al. (2010) identifies three important aspects of the complaint process which includes activity seeking customer complaints; recognizing the type of customer that is complaining; and responding appropriately based on the type of complainants. Complaint management should be evolved through a four-stage process starting with an in-depth analysis and strategic use of past complaints and results (Adams, 1993). Cook & Macaulay (1997) deal with the rather normative concept of empowered complaint management. Important elements in this concept include a positive and proactive (non-defensive) attitude towards complaints, fast reply and simple solution, and that complaint handlers should be regarded as an important part of the company. Johnston (2001) however, supports the operational view on complaint management which advocates for the process by which complaints are handled and customers recovered.

The proponents of operational view on complaint management process argued for speedy response, reliability, and consistency of response, ease of access to the complaint process, keeping the complainant informed, and well-trained staff who understand the complaint process (Johnston, 2001) for successful implementation of complain management process. Hansen et al. (2009) categorized operational view on complaint management into three sub-dimensions: complaining accessibility; retailer-customer interaction; and compensation policy. It is important that the retailer convinces his/her customers that complaints are welcome and that they will be handled seriously (Hansen et al, 2009). Since Johnston (2001) asserted mistakes are an unavoidable feature of all human endeavor and complaints are a natural consequence of any service. This may imply that if a retailer receives only few complaints, it could be dissatisfied consumers are just switching to a competitive retailer without voicing a complaint or if the customer is uncertain on where/or how to deliver the complaint or, even worse, if the customer doubts the retailers interest in receiving the complaint (Hansen et al., 2009).

Retailer-customer interaction stress a complaint process where customers are more interested in obtaining a fair and serious procedural treatment rather than specific result of the complaint process (Kim et al., 2010). Saxby et al. (2000) supported this view and argue that consumers are always occupied with obtaining procedural justice, which according to Sheppard et al. (1992) can be termed perceived fairness of a process that culminates in an event, decision, or action. Hansen et al. (2009) suggested a situation where customers feels exposed to a poor retailer-customer interaction cannot necessarily be compensated by a favorable outcome of the process. Davidow and Dacin (2007) asserted customer dissatisfaction can also take place during the complaint process. It is therefore imperative retailers welcome complaints and treats them seriously with positive attitude during the process. Hansen et al. (2009) further suggested the principal motive for complaining is his/her perceived loss and consequently would be expectant of reimbursement or compensation in any of the following forms offering price reductions, repair or exchange of poor products ( Hui & Au, 2001). Blodgett et al. (1995) suggested interactive justice carries a heavier weight which implies fair settlements or distributive justice is not enough. It is therefore imperative for customers to be treated with such values including empathy, courtesy, honesty, and politeness (Tax et al., 1998). Chase & Dasu (2001) further argue that whether compensation and reaction is perceived as

appropriate is dependent on the kind of failure that occurred.

### **Turnaround Time**

Turnaround time can be defined as the time that an institution takes to process a request from the time it is made to the time it is delivered. In the banking industry, if the approach for serving clients or employees is ineffective and the processing time for account opening and on-boarding of staff is slow, the bank risks losing its customers to the competitor banks. There are four main functional areas to be considered when reducing the turnaround time in the service industry (Olagunju, 2014). This is the time taken to complete a task. In compliant management in the banking sector, "it includes the time taken to resolve the customers' complaints. This can affect customer satisfaction because time is a resource. The faster the transactions, the more the customer was satisfied for saving time" (Nguli, 2016).

### **Service Recovery**

Service recovery can be defined as an action undertaken by service provider in response to service failure in attempt to deliver service right at the second try (Hamer, 2006). Lovelock and Wirtz (2011) defined Service recovery as "an umbrella term for systematic efforts by a firm to correct a problem following a service failure and to retain a customer's goodwill". Having said that, service recovery is a broad concept that is regarded as a proactive customer retention tool, service recovery is supposed to address the failure encounters before complaints are lodged (Bendall-Lyon & Powers, 2001). Shammout and Haddad (2014) see service recovery as "the actions by a bank to restore a client to a state of satisfaction after a service failure and complaint. Shammout et al (2014) see service recovery as "a thought-out process for returning aggravated customer to a state of satisfaction with the firm after a service or product has failed to live up to the customer's expectations".

### **Communication**

Communication is an important element in compliant and feedback management. Typically there are several communication channels available for customers to address their complaints to companies: writing a letter (mail), sending an e-mail, calling a hotline (phone), or visiting a shop (face-to-face) (Halstead 1991). All channels entail specific characteristics and are basically expected to be suitable for providing customer satisfaction with the complaint management process in most cases (Mattila and Wirtz 2004). According to past and recent research, complaints are most frequently addressed through a face-to-face dialogue at a shop, followed by phone, e-mail, and regular mail complaints (Matos et al. 2009). The availability of communication channels is a compulsory component of corporate complaint management (Halstead 1991). The term complaint channel can be defined as the medium by which a customer submits a complaint to a company (Mattila et al 2004). These channels are also used by companies to respond to customer complaints (Gilly and Gelb 1982). Building upon this research and in line with the suggestions of Mattila et al (2004) this study examines four conventional channels: face-to-face, phone, e-mail, and mail.

Mattila et al (2004) distinguish the tendency towards a type of communication channel only between interactive and remote communication channels. The first typology, interactive communication channels, represents all channels in which customers have direct contact with a company's employees and can discuss and interact immediately, as for example by means of face-to-face and complaints on the phone. On the one hand, not all customers favour direct interaction. According to research, most customers are afraid of confronting companies with an interactive complaint (Lerman 2006). Especially face-to-face confrontations are likely to escalate because of impoliteness between a customer and the employee (van Jaarsveld et al. 2010). On the other hand, some customers prefer phone calls, one reason being to experience individualised, personal treatment (Johnston and Mehra 2002). The second typology, remote communication channels, comprises written communication such as mail and e-mail complaints. Upon notifying the company customers have to wait for a company response (Mattila et al 2004). For instance, some male complainers are found to be comfortable complaining in writing a mail or e-mail, which allows them to structure their complaints more accurately (Grougiou and Pettigrew 2009). Female customers sometimes wish to obviate the potential embarrassment inherent in interactive channels and are thus also likely to choose remote channels (Grougiou, et al 2009). Although the majority of companies support most of the mentioned communication channels and customers have general channel preferences, the implementation, execution, and supervision of each channel affects customers' satisfaction levels (Blodgett et al. 1995).

### **Responsiveness**

Responsiveness refers to the ability and willingness of service providers to be always in customer service and their ability to perform service for them when they need it. Responsiveness concerns the willingness or readiness of employees to provide service (Parasuraman et al., 1985). This dimension is concerned with dealing with the customer's requests, questions and complaints promptly and attentively. A firm is known to be responsive when

it communicates to its customers how long it would take to get answers or have their problems dealt with. To be successful, companies need to look at responsiveness from the view point of the customer rather than the company's perspective (Zeithaml et al., 2006). Responsiveness is defined as "the willingness to help customers and provide prompt service" (Parasuraman et al., 1988). Furthermore, Johnston (1997) defines responsiveness such as speed and timeliness of service delivery. This consists of processing speed and service capabilities to respond promptly to customer service requests, and wait a short and queuing time. More specifically, responsiveness is defined as the willingness or readiness of employees to provide services. It contains the timeliness of service (Parasuraman et al., 1985). It also contains understanding the needs and requirements of the customer, easy operation time, individual attention provided by the staff, attention to the problem and customers' safety in their dealings (Kumar et al., 2009).

Metwally (2013) noted that keeping the complainant updated with the outcomes of the investigation is highly influential in raising customers' satisfaction and building an effective system of handling complaints. The more complex is the complaint, the better it is to respond in writing. An oral explanation is usually used if this is the method of communication preferred by the complainant or adopted in previous dealings. The response should include a detailed explanation of all issues of the complaint. This aims to ensure the complainant that his/her complaint has been handled properly and to build trust between the customers and the company. Privacy should not be a barrier to transparency and accountability. Providing a remedy to the complaint should be taken into consideration. Potential remedies include a better or fuller explanation, an apology, changing decision, expediting action, and providing financial compensation.

### **Customer Satisfaction**

Customers satisfaction is the customers overall feeling of contentment in a business interaction (Elaine 2005). Customer satisfaction is defined as a measure of how products and services supplied by an organization meet or surpass customer satisfaction. The customer satisfaction is defined as a judgment that a product or service feature, or the product or service itself, provide (or is providing) a pleasurable level of consumption related fulfillment, including levels of under or over fulfillment (Caruana, & Malta, 2002). Moreover, customer satisfaction is considered to be one of the most important competitive factors and will be the best indicator of a company's profit ability. In addition, customer satisfaction will drive company to improve their reputation and image, to reduce customer turnover, and to increase attention to customer needs. Such actions will help company create barriers to switching, and improve business relationships with their customers (Mandan, Bahram, & Maasomeh, 2013).

Customer satisfaction is a measure of how products and services supplied by a company meet or surpass customer expectation. It is matching consumer expectation with product performance (Nebo and Okolo, 2016). It is a measure of the chasm between expectation of product benefits and real or actual performance (Oranusi, Okolo, Obikeze, Mmamel, Ugonna and Okolo, 2017). Customer satisfaction is also defined as the number of customers, or percentage of total customers, whose reported experience with a firm, its products or its services (ratings) exceeds specified satisfaction goals (Farris, Bendle, Pfeifer & Reibstein, 2010). And yet another definition of customer satisfaction is it refers to the extent to which customers are happy with the products and/or services provided by a business. Further definition of customer satisfaction is it is a term generally used to measure a customer's perception of a company's products and/or services. It's not a straight forward science however, as customer satisfaction will vary from person to person, depending on a whole host of variables which may be both psychological and physical (Kumbhar, 2011).

According to Hansemark and Albinsson (2004), customer satisfaction is an overall customer attitude towards a service provider, or an emotional reaction to the difference between what customers anticipate and what they receive, regarding the fulfillment of some need, goal or desire. Oliver (1997) defined customer satisfaction as a judgment following a consumption experience-it is the consumer's judgment that a product provided (or is providing) a pleasurable level of consumption-related fulfillment. Kotler (2000) defined customer satisfaction as a person's feelings of pleasure or disappointment resulting from comparing a product's perceived performance (or outcome) in relation to his or her expectations. Customer satisfaction can be associated with feelings of acceptance, happiness, relief, excitement, and delight. Most research confirms that the confirmation or disconfirmation of pre-consumption expectations is the essential determinant of customer satisfaction. This means that customers have a certain predicted product performance in mind prior to consumption. During consumption, customers experience the product performance and compare it to their expected product performance level. Satisfaction judgments are then formed based on this comparison. The resulting judgment is labelled positive disconfirmation if the performance is better than expected, negative disconfirmation if it is worse than expected and simple confirmation if it is as expected. In short, customers evaluate product performance by comparing what they expected with what they believe they received (Kumbhar, 2011).

Harter, (2003) also indicates that highly satisfied customers are more forgiving than the unsatisfied customers. It, therefore, translates that the firm can occasionally slip up and not lose customers. Having highly

satisfied customers then, is like having an insurance policy against something going wrong in the service encounter. Mainly, customer satisfaction can be seen as a means of achieving business goals as well as being a source of sustainable competitive advantage.

### **Theoretical Framework**

This study is anchored on equity theory. Equity theory was first developed in the 1960s by Stacy J. Adams, a workplace and behavioral psychologist. This theory is built upon the argument that a “man’s rewards in exchange with others should be proportional to his investments”. An early recognition of this theory first came out of research by Stouffer and his colleagues in military administration. They referred to ‘relative deprivation’ (equity) as the reaction to an imbalance or disparity between what an individual perceives to be the actuality and what he believes should be the case, especially where his own situation is concerned. Consumers are prone to spread a negative word of mouth when they perceive an unfair response to a service failure. When customers perceive that they have not been sufficiently compensated for the damage, they may feel even more annoyed than they were subsequent to the failure. This is because a report of a service failure may imply unfair treatment of the customer; service recovery must therefore re-establish justice – from the customer's perspective. With effective handling of complaints, consumers will perceive fair treatment. In the case of a service failure, individuals will perceive inequity and they will try to restore equity by complaining.

Gruber (2011) maintained that complaining customers develop their equity or fairness perceptions by evaluating three facets of the complaint handling encounter: the fairness of the decision making criteria, procedures and policies used to accomplish the final outcome (procedural justice), the fairness of the obtained tangible outcomes (distributive justice) and the interactional justice or manner in which the service complaint handling process is carried out. Equity theory is relevant where exchange takes place because it is a notion of fairness; and it is conceivable that one or both parties perceive inequity in an exchange (Maxham and Netemeyer, 2003). Equity theory seems quite tenable in a service failure context because consumers usually perceive an inequity following a service failure. When inequities arise, equity theory provides a meaningful framework for shaping consumer perceptions of satisfaction and purchase intent and word of mouth. The relationship between equity sensitivity and recovery expectations has not been empirically established. Benevolent customers may hold less stringent expectations than entitled customers, but the existence of these groups has not been empirically established (Ruyter & Wetzels, 2000).

Several researchers have used equity theory in the explanation of service failure and consumer responses to the failure (Maxham & Netemeyer, 2003). The argument is that consumer ratings of failing organizations will increase when they offer fair recovery efforts. Researchers have suggested that consumers are prone to spread a negative word of mouth when they perceive an unfair response to a service failure. Therefore, when customers perceive that they have not been sufficiently compensated for the damage, they may feel even more annoyed than they were subsequent to the failure (Maxham & Netemeyer, 2003). This is because a report of a service failure may imply unfair treatment of the customer; service recovery must therefore re-establish justice – from the customer's perspective (Michel et al., 2009).

### **Theoretical Exposition**

#### **Compliant and Feedback Management and Customer Satisfaction**

At present, many firms pay great attention to customer complaint, and some ones even take the number of customer complaints as an important measure way about satisfaction. They try to increase customer loyalty by reducing customer complaints, but this approach is not satisfactory. Some statistics indicate that encouraging dissatisfied customers to complain directly is a cost efficient way to improve satisfaction and loyalty (Bodey & Grace, 2006). One reason for the fact is that these firms confuse the types of complaint behaviors. Customers, complaining directly with high expectations over a firm, are still in the hope of changing and unwilling to abandon the firm immediately. If their complaints could be dealt with well, some dissatisfied customers will be turned into satisfied ones and have a favorable view of the firms, then the overall customer satisfaction will increase. The complains contain a lot of important information such as product design, quality control and improvement of management, which are helpful to the firms for providing more satisfactory products and services (Lerman, 2006). Bodey & Grace (2006) also found that if the company can disseminate consumer direct complaint information in the organization and create remedies to preserve, customer satisfaction will be elevated.

On the contrary, if consumers are not given organizational channels and opportunities to complain, they will voice concerns to others outside the company and their satisfaction degree will decreased. McCole (2004) thought that the indirect complaint behavior normally indicates a degree of consumer dissatisfaction, company unresponsiveness or related factors, which can severely threaten marketing relationships and effectiveness. According to the viewpoint of Bart & Dirk (2005), if customers who do not complain to the firm when dissatisfied, the company will lose the opportunity to rectify the problem and to restore the customer’s



satisfaction level (Lerman, 2006). In addition, some dissatisfied customers may even tell their unpleasant experiences to others forming bad word of mouth, so the performance of advertisements and promotion would be abated as well as overall customer satisfaction. However, it is far from enough for the firms to only concern the significance of customer complaints. In order to improve satisfaction and retain customers effectively, they must deal with the complaints in a right way.

The customers, complaining directly, will be disappointed if encounter blenching, delay, negligence or pretermission, furthermore abandon the firms. In more serious cases, they will seek protection from a third party, which will do harm to the firms. If taking a positive attitude to handle the voice effectively, such as sincere apologies for the error, investigating the causes of events, and making generous compensations to customers, the firms can considerably increase their customer satisfaction, retain customers, win good public praises and unpredictable economic returns. However, complaint management appears to be a double edge blade: on the one hand there is a favorable opportunity to regain customers; on the other hand a poor complaint management procedure can alienate customers forever. The interesting and challenging side of complaint management is that the company can be aware of the given answer and of the future repurchase behavior, but how the response is perceived by a dissatisfied consumer, how this perception influences the satisfaction or dissatisfaction and, consequently, the repurchase intentions stay completely hidden (Gilly, 1987).

### **Turnaround Time and Customer Satisfaction**

The banking industry has been transformed into an overcomplicated one. Over the last few of years, the financial institutions have developed rapidly by acquisitions and mergers without full implementation of the shared services (Gemes, Fletcher, & Aggarwal, 2009). The application of shared services includes integration of new products, processes, and systems. The transition has led to complex matrix organizations, as well as broad and sophisticated product offering that are serviced by an assortment of incompatible information technology (IT) systems. Besides, the banking industry has made substantial investments in alternative distribution channels devoid of a significant increase in value from its networks (Naghibzadeh, 2005). Turnaround time may not be feasible under some circumstances. In other settings, the organization might lack the capabilities or resources to implement appropriate turnaround time correctly. Even if applied correctly, in a suitable setting, the organizational outcome of a turnaround time still depends on emergent factors (such as competition), which can prevent or delay in turnaround time. Factors that influence the turnaround time include severity of the distressed state, firm size and free resources available (Smith and Graves, 2005).

Overall simplification and concentrated efforts towards activities that add value can assist the banks to improve on cost-cutting, customer service, and enhance consumer retention. The benefits of short turnaround time can be substantial (Beyer & Holtzblatt, 1997). Gemes et al (2009) notes that “the banking industry has tremendous opportunities to enhance customer service and efficiency as compared to other service industries. Despite these potential, the growth in the sector with respect to the effectiveness and customer service. Compared to other industries offering services, the productivity in the banking sector has seen a varied growth rates. Reduction of the complexities of processes and product offering assists in improving the delivery of service. Improved delivery of services, in turn, improves the Turnaround time”. Banks can achieve quick and improved turnaround time by simplifying and focusing its efforts in activities that add value to the organization. Turnaround time can be defined as the time that an institution takes to process a request from the time it is made to the time it is delivered. In the banking industry, if the approach for serving clients or employees is ineffective and the processing time for account opening and on-boarding of staff is slow and if there is ineffective complaints and feedback management, the bank risks losing its customers to the competitor banks (Olagunju, 2014).

Creating an excellent customer or employee service experience is reliant on how fast and accurate their request is processed and delivered. If a bank’s approach to customer service or its employees is ineffective, it risks losing its customers to their rivals. The processing time for account opening, loan processing and faster access to other banking services should be shortened. Shortening of turnaround time, also known as processing time, includes reducing account opening and other banking processes, understanding of customer needs, migrating customer data electronically to various systems and ensuring ease of accessibility, and employing digital technology to replace the manual execution of processes (Nguli, 2016).

The top management develops and implements turnaround time mechanisms that address the imminent organizational crisis. Top management becomes the change agent to reverse the organizational performance. Arogyaswamy and Yasai-Ardekani (1997) investigated the role that cutbacks, efficiency improvements and investments in technology play in turnaround time process. They found that reductions and increase in efficiency were critical factors for successful turnaround time as these actions improve customer satisfaction in the short run and allow the company to release resources that may be used elsewhere. Faulin, Juan, Grasman, & Fry (2012) found a statistically significant relationship between turnaround time successes; that is turnaround companies were smaller than failed companies were. He suggests that smaller companies may be more successful in

enacting successful turnaround time as they can adapt to their changing environment more quickly than large firms can.

### **Communication of Service Failures and Handling Process and Customer Satisfaction**

In customer complaint and feedback management structure, a well-founded communication structure constitutes an elementary component of the complaint-handling process; as a result, effective communication is a significant variable in our paradigm (Andreassen, 2000). Following Boshoff (2005) the mass of customers who experience service failure do not report that dysfunction to the supplier. Consequently, as a result of unreliable communication tools, lots of firms lose clients without extending cognition of what went wrong. That being said, therefore, firms have to stimulate and facilitate communication channels with their clients so as to receive feedback and suggestions on their service.

In order to ensure that complaints are handled successfully, the service provider through effective communication is supposed to provide dissatisfied customers with feedback as for progress made to solve the problem through empowered frontline employees who are able to fix the problem on the spot, providing apologies and ensure that customer is not “out of pocket” and staff is dressed in proper way working in a tidy and professional environment (Boshoff, 2005). Additionally, findings of Boshoff (2005) draw attention that communication has the most significant impact on loyalty in case of complaint management. This discussion is further supported by Ruyter and Wetzels (2000), who suggest that effective communication and explanation have a positive effect on customer’s evaluation of complaint handling efforts. Similarly, Lewis and Spyropoulos (2001) based on their study argued that a list of factors which are important to consider in attempt to provide positive recovery experience satisfying customer complaints, among those the following: speedy and honest response, listening, attention, care, flexibility, courtesy, communication, fixing the problem and financial compensation.

### **Responsiveness and Customer Satisfaction**

Responsiveness is the willingness to help customers and provide prompt service. Johnston (1997) noted that “responsiveness ensures speed and timeliness of service delivery”. This consists of processing speed and service capabilities to respond promptly to customer service requests, and wait a short and queuing time. It also contains understanding the needs and requirements of the customer, easy operation time, individual attention provided by the staff, attention to the problem and customers’ safety in their dealings” (Kumar et al., 2009). Hocutt *et al.* (2006) while investigating the impact of effective complaint handling on customer assessment of supplied service have found that responsiveness and employee courtesy are key aspects of complaint management strategy. The authors emphasize that responsiveness and staff courtesy dramatically affect customer’s evaluation of provider’s complaint handling efforts enhancing customer satisfaction, and decreasing negative word of mouth intentions.

### **Service Recovery and Customer Satisfaction**

Service recovery has received a lot of attention by researchers and marketers due to the importance of service quality in the competitive environment of the contemporary service era. The attention of most service organizations have been turned toward unsatisfactory service encounters and complaint handling efforts has changed into proactive one. The recognition of the strategic role of service recovery in competitive environment requires creative thinking and well-deliberated strategy in attempt to retain customer’s goodwill (Lovelock & Wirtz, 2011).

Moreover, service recovery has been receiving more and more attention in response to the increasing customer expectations caused by the intensive competition in the marketplace. In an attempt to attract more customers, marketers tend to give outstanding promises to differentiate the service among rivals that consequently leads to escalating customer’s expectations (Brown, Cowles & Tuten, 1996). In this regard, Grönroos (1990) points out that it is much easier for marketers to give promises but it is much more difficult to manage employees to meet customer’s hopes; consequently over-promising contributes to creating a “gap” – the difference between customer expectations, based on exaggerated promises, and actual customer perception of offered service (Hamer, 2006). Given these dynamics, the necessity to assimilate high customer expectations and competition of service provider, results into an increasing need of employing effective service recovery strategies to address customers complaints (Brown, Cowles & Tuten, 1996). Thwaites and Williams (2006) maintained that “it is five times more expensive to attract new customers rather than retaining existing ones”. Additionally, disappointment with the offered service may lead to spreading negative word of mouth, this idea is further reinforced by the findings of Morrisson and Huppertz (2010) who claimed that, “dissatisfied customers may tell from ten to twenty people about their bad experience, whereas if the problem is resolved successfully, it usually is shared amongst up to five people on average”.

## Empirical Studies

Empirical literatures abound on the relationship between complaint and feedback management and customer satisfaction. For instance, Nguli (2016) examined the internal factors affecting customer satisfaction of commercial banks in Kitui town. The study adopted descriptive survey design. The study targeted the active customers who normally visit the 8 commercial banks in Kitui town on daily basis. In this study, Customer satisfaction was employed as the dependent variable while product innovation, relationship management, customers' feedback, turnaround time, and queuing management were employed as the explanatory variables. The data generated were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation and multiple regression analysis. The study found that the variations in customers' satisfaction are significantly explained by variations in product innovation, relationship management, customers' feedback, turnaround time, and queuing management.

Ndulilo (2014) carried out an assessment of customer satisfaction on complaints handling in Barclays Bank branches in Dar es Salaam region of Tanzania. The study adopted survey research design. The customers and staff of Barclays banks constitutes the population of the study. Descriptive statistics was employed in analyzing the data. The study results revealed that there are challenges that face management of the bank in complaint handling as about 45 percent of the customer responded that the service level in complaint handling is average, it is also revealed that time taken to handle complaint is not satisfactory about 44 percent opined that they are uncertain with the time taken to handle complaints. It also revealed that staffs receive training on customer service only once per month.

Wasfi and Kostenko (2014) examined the impact of complaint management on customer retention in banking industry in Sweden and Lebanon. The purpose of this study is to examine or inspect the aftermath of effective complaint management structure in relevance to customer's retention in banking industry. Correlation analysis and linear regression analysis was employed in analyzing the data. The study found that effective complaint handling has a positive effect on loyalty. The study also found that effective handling of customer complaints affects positively customer satisfaction. The study also found that customer satisfaction leads to repeat patronage behavior and favorable word of mouth reference to others.

Shammout et al (2014) examined the impact of complaints' handling on customers' satisfaction using commercial banks' clients in Jordan. The sample of the study consists of five commercial banks in Jordan (Housing Bank, Arab Bank, Bank of Jordan, Cairo Amman Bank And Ahli Bank). Complaint handling which is the independent variable was disaggregated to service recovery, service quality, switching cost, service failure, service guarantee and perceived value while customer satisfaction was employed as the dependent variable. Descriptive statistics, simple regression analysis and t-test was employed in analyzing the data. The results of the research showed that there is a statistically significant impact of the overall dimensions of complaint handling (service recovery, service quality, switching cost, service failure, service guarantee, and perceived value) on customer satisfaction.

Mensah (2016) examined the effectiveness of customer complaint handling and its impact on customer retention in Unibankghana Limited. This study was conducted using a quantitative research approach and an explanatory research design. The study population included both customers and management of Unibankghana Limited. 84 respondents were selected using convenient sampling technique. Data was collected through questionnaires. Factor and correlation analysis were used to analyze data. The study found that most complainants have made complaints just once or twice predominantly about automatic teller machine (ATM) failures and totally defective ATM machines. The study showed that the reactions of most customer complainants were mostly an expression of disappointment, negative perceptions about the bank, telling other people about their complainants and having an overall mindset of negativity against the bank. Finally, the study showed that majority of customer complainants still maintain accounts with Unibankghana Limited and still transact business with Unibankghana Limited despite making complaints.

Nofal, Alida, Hasanuddin, Patwayati and Hayat (2015) examined the effect of complaint handling towards customers satisfaction, trust and loyalty to bank Rakyat Indonesia. The study population comprise all customers of Bank BRI customers in Souteast Sulawesi who ever delivered complaints with more than two years saving account. The sample of this research was 168 respondents chosen through purposive sampling technique. Data analysis used path analysis. The study found that complaint handling has significant effect on customer satisfaction, trust and loyalty.

Ahmed, Iman, Hakim and Mamdouh (2015) examined the effect of justice in complaint handling on customer loyalty using empirical evidence from Egypt. The main objective of this research is to identify and validate the factors that significantly influence customer loyalty during the complaint handling process in Egypt. The current study explores how Egyptian customers in ten different industries perceive the quality and fairness of the complaint handling process, and how complaint-handling perceptions affect customer loyalty. Confirmatory factor analysis, ANOVA and correlation matrix was employed in analyzing the data. Procedural

justice and distributive justice were found to have significant effect on complaint satisfaction. The study also found that interactional justice has an insignificant effect on compliant satisfaction. Compliant satisfaction was found to have significant effect on customer satisfaction. The study also found that overall customer satisfaction after the complaint mediates the impact of complaint-handling justice on customer loyalty after the complaint.

Chikosha and Vutete (2016) examined the effectiveness of customer complaints handling systems in commercial banks in Harare. The study was carried out through the quantitative approach taking cross section survey as a research survey. A total of 200 customers were surveyed across 10 banks. The data generated were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The study found that the critical customer complaints from the study include high transaction charges, rigid credit facility application requirements, high interest rates, lack of product variety and inconsistencies in turnaround time for electronic transfers.

Metwally (2013) examined complaint handling in the airline industry and how it enhance customer loyalty in Egyptair. This study aims to understand how customer complaints are managed in the airline industry. Further, it provides in-depth analysis and understanding of the issues studied including customers' evaluation of complaints handling, staff commitment to complaints' handling, and the mechanism of receiving and handling complaints in Egyptair. Customers were randomly selected at the check in desk, as well as Egyptair office at Cairo airport. Data were collected using four main sources: documents, questionnaires, and interviews. The data generated were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The study found that managing complaints was unsatisfactory to customers. All customers included in the study reported their dissatisfaction with one or more issue related to handling their complaints in Egyptair.

Aihie and Komunda (2013) examined redress for customer dissatisfaction and its impact on customer satisfaction and customer loyalty. This study aims to explore the concept of customer complaint behaviour with a view to establishing relationship between handling customer complaints, customer satisfaction and loyalty. Regression analysis were employed to investigate customer complaint behaviour among retailers in four supermarkets in Kampala, Uganda. Findings show that there is a need for customer complaints to be handled by competent and service-minded staff. Issues such as lack of courtesy and professionalism characterize the retail sector in Uganda. The study concluded that complaints should be handled quickly, and feedback on complaint handling process should be encouraged by management in order to use the feedback as starting point for improving future complaint management.

Ateke and Kalu (2016) examined complaint handling and post-complaint satisfaction of customers of eateries in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The study adopted a descriptive research design and employed the use of questionnaire as the instrument of inquiry. Data collected from four hundred and fifteen (415) respondents was utilized in the final analysis of the study, using the Spearman's rank order correlation ( $\rho$ ) as the test statistic and relying on SPSS version 16.0. Based on the analyses, the study found that complaint handling and post-complaint satisfaction have a positive and significant correlation; as all the dimensions of complaint handling considered in the study were found to have strong positive links with post-complaint satisfaction. The study thus concludes that post-complaint satisfaction is significantly influenced by complaint handling through customer-firm interaction, complaining accessibility and compensation policy. The study recommends that eateries in Port Harcourt that desire a satisfied customer base should promote friendly, polite and honest interactions with complaining customers and institute accessible complaint procedures to enable customer lodge complaints when the need arise.

Morel, Faure and Moreau (2014) examined the critical factors of customer satisfaction in Nigerian service sector. The study covered 600 Nigerian telecom, banking and insurance, civil aviation and tourism, and health services customers. In this study, service interaction, service delivery process, customer complaint handling procedure, overall satisfaction levels and also customer's opinion on improvement on service providers ability in the last five years were used as the variables for the study. This study used both quantitative as well qualitative survey research designs. The study measured customer satisfaction levels with recalled service encounters and the method of data collection was convenience type. The data collected was analyzed using descriptive statistics with the help of Microsoft Excel software packages. The findings of the analysis showed that 36% customers of Nigerian service sector were dissatisfied with employees' interaction skills. Furthermore another 47% of the customers were also disappointed with service delivery system and 52 and 61% customers were not pleased with the service recovery process and complaint handling procedure, respectively. And 49% of the customers expressed overall dissatisfaction on the services provided by Nigerian service sector. Besides 94% respondents robustly acknowledged Nigerian service sector is improving immensely in providing all kinds of services in the last five years.

Orjiobele (2002) examined the influence of customer complaints handling on the marketing performances in private and public service industry in Nigeria. The major objective of this work is to establish the relevance of customer complaints on companies' preferences and how Nigeria private and public enterprises have fared or failed on handling customer complaints. In order to achieve the above aims, a comparative analysis of customer complaints handling in private and public service oriented enterprises in Nigeria was conducted. Research

questionnaire copies were designed and administered on 25 operators and 50 customers of the service industry. Chi-square and t-test were employed in analyzing the data. The study revealed that there is a significant difference in the operation and perception of customer complaints between the private and public service oriented companies in Nigeria. Both the public and private sectors of the economy are not properly handling customers' complaints.

Ateke and Harcourt (2017) examined the perceived satisfaction with organizational response to complaints and repeat purchase intention. The study aimed to investigate the link between customers' perceived satisfaction with organisational response and repeat purchase intention. The study utilised data collected from four hundred and fifteen (415) respondents who are customers of eateries, through questionnaire and used the Spearman's Rank order Correlation ( $\rho$ ) as the test statistic, relying on SPSS version 20.0. The study found that perceived satisfaction with organisational response correlate positively and significantly too, with repeat purchase intention, with interaction satisfaction having the strongest relationship with perceived satisfaction with organisational response. The study concludes that competent organisational response to customer complaints informs repeat purchase intention; and that repeat purchase intention depends on customers' perceived satisfaction with the interactions, the outcomes and the processes involved in the complaint resolution encounter.

Obasi (2012) examined the impact of customer complaints on marketing performance in the service industry (A case study of MTN Nigeria). The major objective of this work is to establish the relevance of customer complaints and how service companies have fared or failed in handling customers' complaints. Chi-square was employed in analyzing the data. The findings of the study revealed that there are significant differences in the operation, benefits and perception of customer complaints handling by service oriented companies in Nigeria when compared with non-service companies.

Aihie and Mabel (2013) examined redress for customer dissatisfaction and its impact on customer satisfaction and customer loyalty. This study aims to explore the concept of customer complaint behaviour with a view to establishing relationship between handling customer complaints, customer satisfaction and loyalty. Quantitative and qualitative approaches were employed to investigate customer complaint behaviour among retailers in four supermarkets in Kampala, Uganda. The data generated were analyzed using correlation analysis. The results revealed a positive relationship between customer compliant behaviour and customer loyalty. The study also found a significant relationship between customer compliant behaviour and customer satisfaction. The findings show that there is a need for customer complaints to be handled by competent and service-minded staff. The study also found that issues such as lack of courtesy and professionalism characterize the retail sector in Uganda. The study concluded that complaints should be handled quickly, and feedback on complaint handling process should be encouraged by management in order to use the feedback as starting point for improving future complaint management.

Nwokorie (2016) investigated service recovery strategies and customer loyalty in selected hotels in Lagos State, Nigeria. Data for the study were gathered through a structured questionnaire and analyses were carried out using inferential statistics, while the study hypotheses were tested using the regression technique. The study revealed that there is significant relationship between service recovery strategy, time, customer loyalty and customer satisfaction. The study concludes that effective recovery efforts have a significant impact; hence compensation was among recovery strategies adopted by most hotels studied.

Agu, Benson-Eluwa and Nto (2017) examined Employee complaint management systems in the Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry. The study assessed oil and gas employees' views of the employee complaint management systems in their firms vis-a-vis the Commonwealth Ombudsman Standard. Three oil and gas servicing firms in Lagos State were used for the study. Respondents were randomly selected to provide answers to the structured questionnaire. A sample size of 236 was adopted for the study while stated hypotheses were tested using paired samples *t*-test of difference. Also, variables in each element hypothesized were measured using the ordinal multiple regression analysis to ascertain contribution of each variable to the tested element. The study revealed that employees' rating of the complaint handling systems in their firms in terms of culture, principles, process, people and analysis were significantly lower when compared with international standards. The study recommend that oil and gas firms should adopt the new proposed model in evaluating their efforts in employee complaint handling and that complaint lodging and resolution systems should be transparent, fair and devoid of victimization as this will enhance productivity and overall organizational performance.

### **Summary and Gap in Literature**

Empirical evidence on the effect of complaints and feedback management on customer satisfaction is mixed. For instance, Ahmed et al (2015) found that overall customer satisfaction after the complaint mediates the impact of complaint-handling justice on customer loyalty after the complaint. Wasfi and Kostenko (2014) found that effective handling of customer complaints affects positively customer satisfaction. Also, Shammout et al (2014) found that there is a statistically significant impact of the overall dimensions of complaint handling (service recovery, service quality, switching cost, service failure, service guarantee, and perceived value) on customer

satisfaction. Nofal et al (2015) found that compliant handling has significant effect on customer satisfaction, trust and loyalty. On the contrary, Mensah (2016) found that the reactions of most customer complainants were mostly an expression of disappointment, negative perceptions about the bank, telling other people about their complainants and having an overall mindset of negativity against the bank. Metwally (2013) found that managing complaints was unsatisfactory to customers. Also, not much has been done in measuring compliant and feedback management and customer satisfaction especially within the Nigerian context. For instance, Ateke and Kalu (2016) found that post-complaint satisfaction is significantly influenced by complaint handling through customer-firm interaction, complaining accessibility and compensation policy. Orjiobele (2002) study revealed that there is a significant difference in the operation and perception of customer complaints between the private and public service oriented companies in Nigeria. Ateke and Harcourt (2017) concludes that competent organisational response to customer complaints informs repeat purchase intention; and that repeat purchase intention depends on customers' perceived satisfaction with the interactions, the outcomes and the processes involved in the complaint resolution encounter. Aihie and Mabel (2013) found also found a significant relationship between customer compliant behaviour and customer satisfaction. Nwokorie (2016) study revealed that there is significant relationship between service recovery strategy, time, customer loyalty and customer satisfaction in selected hotels in Lagos State. The present study will improve on the previous ones by examining the effect of turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint, banks response to complaints (responsiveness), employee courtesy, communication of service failures and handling process and service recovery on customer satisfaction in banks. Also none of the studies were done in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial Zone thereby exposing a knowledge gap.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This section entails the description of how the research was conducted. It includes the area of study, the research design, and the research tools used, procedures for data collection, and methods of data analysis applied in the study.

### **Research Design**

This study adopted survey design. Use of survey was applied because of its capability to summarize large quantities of data using understood measures in form of graphical and numerical techniques (Mugenda and Mugenda, 2003). Survey design can give specific or group characteristics for a sampled population (Kothari, 2006). It determines the frequency with which something occurs or its association or correlation with something else. It also minimizes bias and maximizes reliability of the evidence collected if designed within precise objectives and on relevant data.

### **Area of the Study**

This study is carried out in Anambra State specifically Anambra Central Senatorial District. Anambra Central Senatorial District is made up of 7 local governments. They are as follows: Awka North Local Government Area; Awka South Local Government Area; Anaocha Local Government Area; Dunukofia Local Government Area; Idemili North Local Government Area; Idemili South Local Government Area; and Njikoka local government. Hence customers of commercial banks within the area constitute the population of the study.

### **Population of the Study**

Population of study is made up of all conceivable elements, subjects or observation relating to a particular phenomenon that a researcher is interested in studying or describing (Asika 2006). The population of study is made up of all the customers of five selected commercial banks in Anambra Central Senatorial Zone. The banks include Guarantee Trust Bank Plc, Fidelity Bank Plc, Zenith Bank Plc, First Bank of Nigeria and Union Bank Plc.

### **Sample Size and Sampling Techniques**

The research employed purposive sampling technique to choose the respondents to get the desired target. Thus, a sample size of 400 respondents was chosen through purposive sampling. The sample comprised of 80 customers from each of the selected banks.

### **Sources of Data Collection**

With respect to this research work, the researcher made use of primary and secondary sources of data. The primary sources of data include the questionnaire and the personal interview, while the secondary sources of data include the journals, magazines, textbooks, and internet.

### **Instrument of Data Collection**

The researcher used structured questionnaires. The questionnaire was preferred tools of for this study since they enabled the researcher get views from a larger number of correspondents within a short time, thus making it easier to collect relevant information. The questionnaires contained closed-ended questions. Open-ended questions were used to get the views and opinions of respondents on complaints and feedback management in banks. Matrix questions that utilize the Likert rating scale were used. The researcher administered questionnaires were distributed by the researcher personally to the respondents. This instrument was pre-tested on a small group that is similar to one under study to check its validity and reliability.

### Validity of the Instrument

Validity is the accuracy and meaningfulness of inferences which are based on the research, results and the degree to which the results obtained from the analysis of data actually represent the phenomenon under study (Mugenda and Mugenda, 2002). Validity also refers to the extent to which an instrument asks the right questions in terms of accuracy. This study adopted face and content validity. The researcher consulted lecturers who were specialists and experts in the Department of Marketing in item analysis and research methodology. They assessed the relevance of the contents in the questionnaires and gave their opinions and suggestions that were incorporated to improve the validity of the questionnaires.

### Reliability of the Instrument

To check on the reliability of the instrument, the questionnaires were pre-tested through pilot study to ascertain their effectiveness in soliciting information intended. The researcher used test-retest method in order to test reliability of the research instruments. Research instruments were retested on a sample of ten respondents who were representatives draw from other commercial banks not studied. Cronbacch Alpha was employed in measuring the reliability of the instrument. A reliability coefficient of 0.790 was obtained, an indication of high measure of reliability.

## DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

In this section, the data generated from the customers of the sampled banks were presented, analyzed and interpreted. A total of four hundred questionnaires were distributed to the respondents, out of which three hundred and thirty two was properly filled and found relevant to the study. Therefore, the analysis in this section will be based on the three hundred and thirty two relevant copies. The first part of this section covers the demographic features of the respondents while the second section analyzed the data relevant to research questions.

### Demographic Data

In this section, the demographic features of the respondents such as gender, marital status, age bracket, educational qualification and number of years with the bank are presented and analyzed. Note that a total of three hundred and thirty two respondents were sampled and the result are presented in the table below.

**Table 4.1: Demographic Profile of the Respondents**

Demographic Variables	Particulars	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Gender	Female	185	56
	Male	147	44
Age Bracket	20 - 30 years	91	27
	31 - 40 years	118	36
	41 - 50 years	48	14
	51 years and above	75	23
Marital Statues	Single	122	37
	Married	176	53
	Others	34	10
Educational Qualification	O Level	64	19
	OND/NCE	93	28
	B.Sc./HND	73	22
	MSC/MBA	96	29
	PhD and others	6	2
Number of Years with the Bank	0 - 5 Years	91	27
	6 - 10 years	78	23
	11 - 15 years	94	28
	16 years and above	69	21

Source: Field Survey, 2018.

The table above shows the demographic profile of the customers of the sampled commercial banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. A higher proportion of the respondents (56%) are female while 44% of the respondents are male. The table further revealed the age bracket of the respondents. The distribution shows that 27% of the respondents are between the age bracket of 20 to 30 years while 118 respondents representing 36% are within the age bracket of 31 - 40 years. On the same note, 14% of the respondents are within the age bracket of 41 - 50 years while the remaining respondents representing 23% are within the age bracket of 51 years and above.

The table further revealed the marital status of the respondents. Table 4.1 also shows that 37% of the respondents are single, 53% are married while the remaining 10% of the respondents are either divorced or separated. Table 4.1 further shows that 19% of the respondents have O'level as their educational qualification. The table also shows that 28% of the respondents have either Ordinary National Diploma or National Certificate on Education as their educational qualification. 22% of the respondents agreed that they have either Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.) or Higher National Diploma (HND). It also shows that 29% of the respondents have M.Sc./MBA as their educational qualification while 2% of the respondents has PhD as their educational qualification. The table also shows that 27% of the respondents have been banking with the banks between zero to five years while 23% of the respondents have banked with them between 6 to 10 years. On a similar note, 28% of the respondents have bank with them between 11 to 15 years while the remaining 4% have bank with them between 6 years and above.

### Descriptive Analysis

This section presents the descriptive statistics on the compliant and feedback management and customer satisfaction. The aim of the analysis is to examine the performance of the compliant and feedback management variables in relation to customer satisfaction. The analysis of the individual characteristics of these variables is presented in the table below:

**Table 4.2 Descriptive Characteristics of the Variables**

Variables	Mean	Standard Deviation
Customer Satisfaction	20.33	3.307
Turnaround Time of Banks to Resolve Complaint	17.86	4.245
Banks response to complaints (responsiveness)	18.30	3.952
Employee Courtesy	14.20	2.036
Communication of service failures and handling process	17.91	3.699
Service Recovery	18.25	4.059

**Source:** SPSS Version 21.0

The table above shows the summary of statistics used in the analysis. It provides information about the mean and standard deviation of the variables used in the study. The mean value for customer satisfaction is 20.33 while the standard deviation is 3.307. Turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint and banks response to complaints (responsiveness) recorded a mean value of 17.86 and 18.30 with a standard deviation of 4.245 and 3.952 respectively. Employee courtesy and communication of service failures and handling process have mean value of 14.20 and 17.91 with standard deviation of 2.036 and 3.699 respectively. Finally, Service recovery recorded a mean value of 18.25 with a standard deviation of 4.059.

### Correlation Analysis

Here, Pearson correlation was employed to measure the strength and relationship between independent variables. The Pearson correlation coefficient is a measure of the strength of a linear association between two variables and is denoted by  $r$ . Table 4.3 below shows the summary of correlation coefficient.



**Table 4.3 Correlation Matrix**

		CS	TTRC	BRC	EC	CSFHP	SR
CS	Pearson Correlation	1	.654**	.790	.219**	.554	.673
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.005	.001	.000	.000	.006
	N	332	332	332	332	332	332
TTRC	Pearson Correlation	.654**	1	.016	-.057	-.238**	-.103
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.005		.775	.301	.000	.061
	N	332	332	332	332	332	332
BRC	Pearson Correlation	.790	.016	1	.060	-.011	.023
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.775		.277	.841	.677
	N	332	332	332	332	332	332
EC	Pearson Correlation	.219**	-.057	.060	1	-.033	.121*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.301	.277		.546	.027
	N	332	332	332	332	332	332
CSFHP	Pearson Correlation	.554	-.238**	-.011	-.033	1	.004
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.841	.546		.947
	N	332	332	332	332	332	332
SR	Pearson Correlation	.673	-.103	.023	.121*	.004	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.006	.061	.677	.027	.947	
	N	332	332	332	332	332	332

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: SPSS 21.0

The table above shows the extent of association between the dependent and independent variables used in the study. Turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint (TTRC) has a correlation coefficient of 0.654 with a probability value of 0.005. This implies that Turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint (TTRC) has a positive strong effect on customer satisfaction (CS) in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. Banks response to complaints (responsiveness) (BRC) has a correlation coefficient of 0.790 with a probability value of 0.001. This implies that banks response to complaints (responsiveness) (BRC) have a very strong and positive relationship with customer satisfaction (CS) in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. Employee Courtesy (EC) recorded a correlation coefficient of 0.219 with a probability value of 0.000. This implies that Employee Courtesy (EC) has a positive weak effect on customer satisfaction (CS) in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

Furthermore, Communication of service failures and handling process (CSFHP) recorded a correlation coefficient of 0.554 with a probability value of 0.000. This implies that Communication of service failures and handling process (CSFHP) has strong positive effect of customer satisfaction (CS) in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. Service recovery (SR) recorded a correlation coefficient of 0.673 with a probability value of 0.006. This implies that Service Recovery (SR) has a very strong positive effect on customer satisfaction (CS) in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression result was employed to test the effect of independent or explanatory variables on the dependent variables. The result of the multiple regression analysis is presented in the tables below.

**Table 4.4 Summary of the Regression Result**

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics					Durbin-Watson
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.294 <sup>a</sup>	.686	.572	3.185	.086	6.151	5	326	.000	1.875

a. Predictors: (Constant), TTRC, BRC, EC, CSFHP, SR

b. Dependent Variable: CS

Source: SPSS 21.0

Table 4.4 shows that  $R^2$  which measures the strength of the effect of independent variable on the dependent variable have the value of 0.686. This implies that 69% of the variation in customer satisfaction is explained by variations in turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint (TTRC), banks response to complaints (responsiveness) (BRC), employee courtesy (EC), communication of service failures and handling process (CSFHP) and service recovery (SR). This was supported by adjusted  $R^2$  of 0.572. This implies that 57.2% of the variation in customer satisfaction is explained by variations in independent variable.

In order to check for autocorrelation in the model, Durbin-Watson statistics was employed. Durbin-Watson statistics of 1.875 in table 4.4 shows that the variables in the model are not auto correlated and that the model is

reliable for predications.

**Table 4.5 Analysis of Variance**

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	312.052	5	62.410	6.151	.000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	3307.502	326	10.146		
	Total	3619.554	331			

a. Dependent Variable: CS

b. Predictors: (Constant), TTRC, BRC, EC, CSFHP, SR

Source: SPSS 21.0

The f-statistics value of 6.151 in table 4.5 with f-statistics probability of 0.000 shows that the independent variables has significant effect on dependent. This shows that turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint, banks response to complaints (responsiveness), employee courtesy (EC), communication of service failures and handling process and service recovery can collectively explain the variations in customer satisfaction in the selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### Test of Hypotheses

Here, the five hypotheses formulated in this study were tested using t-statistics and significance value of the individual variables in the regression result. The essence of this is to ascertain how significant are the effect of individual independent or explanatory variables on the dependent variables. The summary of the result is presented in the table below.

**Table 4.7 T-Statistics and Probability Value from the Regression Result**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	18.916	1.918		9.863	.000
TTRC	.123	.043	.158	2.870	.004
BRC	.085	.044	.202	2.923	.005
EC	.171	.044	.209	1.901	.176
CSFHP	.076	.049	.185	2.562	.009
SR	.028	.044	.204	3.632	.000

a. Dependent Variable: CL

Source: SPSS 21.0

### Test of Hypothesis One

Ho: The turnaround time of banks to resolve customer complaint has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

Hi: The turnaround time of banks to resolve customer complaint has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

In testing this hypothesis, the t-statistics and probability value in table 4.7 is used. The turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint has a t-statistics of 2.870 and a probability value of 0.004 which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypotheses which state that turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### Test of Hypothesis Two

Ho: The banks response to customer complaints (responsiveness) has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

Hi: The banks response to complaints (responsiveness) has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

Banks response to complaints (responsiveness) has a t-statistics of 2.923 and a probability value of 0.005 which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypotheses which state that banks response to complaints (responsiveness) has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### Test of Hypothesis Three

Ho: Employee courtesy has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

Hi: Employee courtesy has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

Employee courtesy has a t-statistics of 1.901 and a probability value of 0.176 which is statistically

insignificant. Therefore, we reject the alternative hypotheses and accept the null hypothesis which states that employee courtesy has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

#### **Test of Hypothesis Four**

Ho: Communication of service failures and handling process has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks

Hi: Communication of service failures and handling process has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks

Communication of service failures and handling process has a t-statistics of 2.562 and a probability value of 0.09 which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypotheses and conclude that communication of service failures and handling process has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

#### **Test of Hypothesis Five**

Ho: Service recovery has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

Hi: Service recovery has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in banks.

Service recover has a t-statistics of 3.632 and a probability value of 0.000 which is statistically significant. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypotheses which state that service recovery has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

#### **Discussion of Findings**

This study examined the effect of complaints and feedback management on customer satisfaction. Data were sourced from the customers of five sampled commercial banks in Anambra Central Senatorial district. The data generated were subjected to inferential statistical tests. The study found that turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. This agrees with the findings of Nguli (2016) that turnaround time has significant effect on customer satisfaction. This also agrees with the assertion of Olagunju (2014) that if the processing time for account opening and on-boarding of staff is slow and if there is ineffective complaints and feedback management, the bank risks losing its customers to the competitor banks. Similarly, Arogyaswamy and Yasai-Ardekani (1997) found that reductions and increase in efficiency were critical factors for successful turnaround time as these actions improve customer satisfaction in the short run and allow the company to release resources that may be used elsewhere.

The study also found that banks response to complaints (responsiveness) has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. This agrees with the position of Hocutt *et al.* (2006) whose study indicates that responsiveness and staff courtesy dramatically affect customer's evaluation of provider's complaint handling efforts enhancing customer satisfaction, and decreasing negative word of mouth intentions.

The study further shows that employee courtesy has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. This agrees with the findings of Hocutt *et al.* (2006) that responsiveness and employee courtesy are key aspects of complaint management strategy.

Communication of service failures and handling process was found to have significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. This agrees with the assertion of Andreassen (2000) that communication is a significant variable in complaint and feedback management structure. It also tallies with the opinion of Ruyter and Wetzels (2000) that that effective communication and explanation have a positive effect on customer's evaluation of complaint handling efforts. It also tallies with the findings of Boshoff (2005) that communication has the most significant impact on loyalty in case of complaint management.

Finally, the study revealed that service recovery has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. This tallies with the findings of Shammout et al (2014) whose study indicated that service recovery has a significant impact on customer satisfaction.

#### **Summary of Findings**

In synopsis, the findings of the study are:

1. Turnaround time of banks to resolve customer complaints has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.
2. Banks response to complaints (responsiveness) has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.
3. Employee courtesy has no significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

4. Communication of service failures and handling process has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.
5. Service recovery has a significant effect on customer satisfaction in selected banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### Conclusion

The importance of customer complaints and feedback management in any organization especially service organization like bank cannot be overemphasized. Therefore, this study examined complaints and feedback management in commercial banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District in order to determine its effect on customer satisfaction. Data generated from customers of five selected commercial banks were analyzed using multiple regression analysis. The study found that turnaround time of banks to resolve complaint, banks response to complaints (responsiveness), communication of service failures and handling process and service recovery have significant effect on customer satisfaction in the sampled banks. Employee courtesy was found to have an insignificant effect on customer satisfaction in selected sampled banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District. Based on the significant f-statistics value, the study concludes that complaints and feedback management has significant effect in customer satisfaction in banks in Anambra Central Senatorial District.

### Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusion, the study recommends the following:

1. The bank should shorten the time that it takes to make decisions on customer requests. This can be done by improving the systems that are in use or through restructuring so as to get rid of what is not required.
2. The bank should frequently carry out customer satisfaction surveys to find out the opinions of its customers. Their feedback can be used to improve services and products offered.
3. The bank should also improve its turnaround time as this will help shorten queues and waiting time of customers.
4. Simplification and concentrated efforts towards activities that add value can assist the banks to improve on cost-cutting, customer service, and enhance consumer retention.

### Contribution to Knowledge

The major contribution of this study to knowledge is that it established that complaints and feedback management has significant effect on customer satisfaction thereby validating existing studies that has similar findings. The study also modified the model of Nwokorie (2016) which can be used in measuring the effect of complaints and feedback management on customer satisfaction within the banking industry in Nigeria. The study also closed the geographical gap identified in the empirical literature review thereby providing empirical evidence within the banking sector in Nigerian as the area is under researched. Finally, the study made recommendations that will help management and policy formulators within the banking industry in complaints and feedback management.

### References

- Adamson, C. (1993) "Evolving Complaint Procedures" *Managing Service Quality*, 3(2).
- Agu, G. A., Benson-Eluwa, V., & Nto, P. C. (2017) "Employee Complaint Management Systems in the Nigerian Oil and Gas Industry: A Comparison with the Commonwealth Ombudsman Standard" *International Journal of Business and Finance Management Research*, 5.
- Ahmed, T., Iman, S., Hakim, M., & Mamdouh, S. (2015) "Effect of Justice in Complaint Handling on Customer Loyalty: Evidence from Egypt" *Global Journal of Business Research*, 9(3).
- Aihie, O., & Komunda, M. B. (2013) "Redress for Customer Dissatisfaction and its Impact on Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty" *Journal of Marketing Development and Competitiveness*, 7(2).
- Aihie, O., & Mabel, B. K. (2013) "Redress For Customer Dissatisfaction and its Impact on Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty" *Journal of Marketing Development and Competitiveness*, 7(2).
- Andreassen, T.W. (2000) "Antecedents to Satisfaction with Service Recovery" *European Journal of Marketing*, 34(1).
- Ateke, B. W., & Harcourt, H. (2017) "Perceived Satisfaction with Organizational Response to Complaints and Repeat Purchase Intention" *International Journal of Economics and Business Management*, 3(6).
- Ateke, B. W., & Kalu, S. E. (2016) "Complaint Handling and Post-Complaint Satisfaction of Customers of Eateries in Port Harcourt, Nigeria" *International Journal of Research in Business Studies and Management*, 3(12).
- Awara, N.F. (2010) "Strengthening Customer Retention Through the Management of Customer Relationship in Services Marketing". University of Calabar (UNICAL), Nigeria; Retrieved on 23/08/2017 from [www.ssrn.com](http://www.ssrn.com).
- Behrens, B., Wilde, I., & Hoffmann, M. (2007) "Complaint Management Using the Extended 8D- Method along the Automotive Supply Chain" *Production Engineering*, 1(1), 91-95.
- Bendall-Lyon, D., & Powers, T.L. (2001) "The Role of Complaint Management in the Service Recovery Process" *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 27(5).
- Berry, L., & Parasuraman, A. (1991) *Marketing services: Competing Through Quality*. New York, NY: The Free Press.

- Beyer, H., & Holtzblatt, K. (1997) *Contextual Design: Defining Customer-Centered Systems*. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Elsevier.
- Blodgett, J.G., Wakefield, K.L., & Barnes, J.H. (1995) "The Effects of Customer Service on Consumer Complaining Behaviour" *Journal of services marketing*, 9 (4).
- Bodey, K., & Grace, D. (2006) "Segmenting Service "Complainers" and "Noncomplainers" on The Basis of Consumer Characteristics" *Journal of Services Marketing*, 20(3).
- Boshoff, C. (2005) "A Re-Assessment and Refinement of RECOVSAT: An Instrument to Measure Satisfaction with Transaction-Specific Service Recovery" *Managing Service Quality*, 15(5).
- Brown, S.W., Cowles, D.L., & Tuten, T.L. (1996) "Service Recovery: its Value and Limitations As A Retail Strategy" *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 7(5).
- Caruana, A., & Malta, M. (2002) "Service Loyalty - The Effects Of Service Quality and the Mediating Role of Customer Satisfaction" *European Journal of Marketing*, 36(7/8).
- Chase, R. B., & Dasu, S. (2001) "Want to Perfect your Company's Service? Use Behavioural Science" *Harvard Business Review*, 79.
- Chikosha, F., & Vutete, C. (2016) "The Effectiveness of Customer Complaints Handling Systems in the Commercial Banking Sector: A Case Study of Commercial Banks in Harare" *Greener Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 6(1).
- Cho, Y., Im, I., & Hiltz, R. (2003) "The Impact of E-Services Failures and Customer Complaints on Electronic Commerce Customer Relationship Management" *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction Dissatisfaction and Complaining Behavior*, 16.
- Cook, S., & Macaulay, S. (1997) "Practical Steps to Empowered Complaint Management" *Managing Service Quality*, 7(1).
- Crie, D., & Ladwein, R. (2002) "Complaint Letters and Commitment Theory: An Empirical Approach in Mail Order Selling" *Journal of Targeting, Measurement and Analysis for Marketing*, 11 (1).
- Davidow, M., & Dacin, P.A. (2007) "Understanding and Influencing Consume Complaint Behaviour: Improving Organizational Complaint Management" *Advances in Consumer Research*, 24.
- Dube, L., & Maute, M.F. (1994) "The Effect of Defensive Marketing Strategies on Customer Responses to Service Failure" Working paper presented at the Frontiers in Services Marketing Conference edn, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee.
- Eccles, G., & Durand, P. (1998) "Complaining Customers, Service Recovery and Continuous Improvement" *Managing Service Quality*, 8(1).
- Edvardsson, B., Tronvoll, B., & Höykinpuro, R. (2011) "Complex Service Recovery Processes: How to Avoid Triple Deviation" *Managing Service Quality*, 21(4).
- Farris, P. W., Bendle, N. T., Pfeifer, P. E., & Reibstein, D. J. (2010) *Marketing Metrics: The Definitive Guide To Measuring Marketing Performance (2nd Edn.)*, Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Faulin, J., Juan, A. A., Grasman, S. E., & Fry, M. J. (2012) *Decision Making In Service Industries: A Practical Approach* Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Fecikova, I. (2004) "An Index Method for Measurement of Customer Satisfaction" *The TQM Magazine*, 16(1).
- Gelbrich, K. and Roschk, H. (2010) "A Meta Analysis of Organizational Complaint Handling and Customer Responses" *Marketing Management*, 22(5-6).
- Gemes, A., Fletcher, L., & Aggarwal, R. (2009) "Keep It Simple: How Banks Can Do Less And Deliver More. Retrieved on 26/12/2017 from [www.strategyand.pwc.com](http://www.strategyand.pwc.com).
- Gilly, M.C. (1987) "Post-Complaint Process: From Organizational Response To Repurchase Behaviour" *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 21(2).
- Grönroos, C. (1990) *Service Management and Marketing: Managing the Moments of Truth in Service Competition* Massachusetts: Lexington Books.
- Grougiou, V., & Pettigrew, S. (2009) "Seniors' Attitudes To Voicing Complaints: A Qualitative Study" *Journal of Marketing Management*, 25(9).
- Gruber, T. (2011) "I Want to Believe They Really Care: How Complaining Customers Want To Be Treated By Frontline Employee" *Journal of Service Management*, 22(1).
- Halstead, D. (1991) "Consumer Attitudes Toward Complaining and the Prediction of Multiple Complaint Responses" *Advance Consumer Resources*, 18(1).
- Hamer, L.O. (2006) "A Confirmation Perspective on Perceived Service Quality" *Journal of Services Marketing*, 20(4).
- Hansemark, O. C., & Albinson, M. (2004) "Customer Satisfaction and Retention: The Experiences of Individual Employees" *Managing Service Quality*, 14.
- Hansen, T., Wilke, R., & Zaichkowsky, J. (2009) "Managing Consumer Complaints: Differences And Similarities Among Heterogeneous Retailers" *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 38(1).
- Heung, V., & Lam, T. (2003) "Customer Complaint Behaviour Towards Hotel Restaurant Services. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 15.
- Hocutt, M.A., Bowers, M.R., & Donavan, D.T. (2006) "The Art of Service Recovery: Fact or Fiction? *Journal of Services Marketing*, 20(3).
- Hoyer, W. D., & McInnis D. J. (2010) *Consumer Behaviour*. South Western: Cengage Learning.
- Hui, M.K., & Au, K. (2001) "Justice perceptions of complaint-handling: A cross-cultural comparison between PRC and Canadian customers. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(2).
- Jeschke, J., Jaccard, J.J., & Bond, B. (2000) "The Sources, Meaning, and Validity of Consumer Complaint Behavior: A Psychological Analysis" *Journal of retailing*, 57(3).
- Johnston, R. (2001) "Linking Complaint Management To Profit" *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 12(1).

- Johnston, R., & Mehra, S. (2002) "Best-Practice Complaint Management" *Academic Management Executive*, 4(4)."
- Kim, C., Kim, S., I. S., & Shin, C. (2003) "The Effect of Attitude and Perception on Consumer Complaint Intentions" *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 20(4).
- Komunda, M., & Oserankhoe, A. (2012) "Effects of Service Recovery on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty" *Business Process Management Journal*, 18, 1.
- Kotler, P. (2000) *Marketing management* (6th ed.) Longitudinal Assessment of Customer, LaBarbera, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Kumbhar, V. M. (2011) Factors Affecting Customer Satisfaction: Some Evidence from Indian Banks *Romania Knowledge Management Research & Practice* 3.
- Landon Jr, E. L. (1980) "The Direction of Consumer Complaint Research" *Advances in Consumer Research* 7(1).
- Lerman, D. (2006) "Consumer Politeness and Complaining Behavior" *Journal of Service Marketing*, 20 (2).
- Lewis, B.R., & Spyropoulos, S. (2001) "Service Failures and Recovery in Retail Banking: The Customers' Perspective" *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 19(1).
- Lovelock, C.H., & Wirtz, J. (2011) *Services Marketing: People, Technology, Strategy*, 7th ed. Boston: Prentice Hall.
- Malyadri, P., & Sirisha, S. (2015) "A Study on the Impact of Banking Ombudsman Scheme on Service Quality Provided by Banks" *American Journal of Business, Economics and Management*. 3(6).
- Mandan, M., Bahram, K., & Maasomeh, D (2013) "Analysis The Effects of Electronic Banking on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty (Case study: Selected Branches of Melli Bank in Tehran). *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 4(12).
- Mattila, A.S., & Wirtz, J. (2004) "Consumer Complaining To Firms: The Determinants of Channel Choice" *Journal of Service Marketing*, 18(2).
- Maxham, J.G., & Netemeyer, R.G. (2003) "Firms Reap What They Sow: The Effects of Shared Values and Perceived Organizational Justice on Customers' Evaluations of Complaint Handling" *Journal of Marketing*, 67.
- McCole, P. (2004) "Dealing with Complaints in Services" *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 16 (6).
- McCollough, M.A., Berry, L.L., & Yadav, M.S. (2000) "An Empirical Investigation of Customer Satisfaction after Service Failure and Recovery" *Journal of Service Research*, 3(2).
- Mensah, J. K. B. (2016) Effectiveness of Customer Complaint Handling and its Impact on Customer Retention: The Case of Unibankghana Limited. *MBA Thesis*, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology.
- Metwally, D. (2013) "Complaint Handling in the Airline Industry: The Way to Enhance Customer Loyalty" *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(10).
- Michel, S., Bowen, D., & Johnston, R. (2009) "Why Service Recovery Fails: Tensions among Customer, Employee, and Process Perspectives" *Journal of Service Management*, 20(3).
- Morel, J., Faure, B., & Moreau, M. (2014) "Critical Factors of Customer Satisfaction in Nigerian Service Sector" *Journal of Business and Management Research*, 03.
- Morrisson, O., & Huppertz, J.W. (2010) "External Equity, Loyalty Program Membership, and Service Recovery" *Journal of Services Marketing*, 24(3).
- Mugenda, O.M., & Mugenda, A. B. (2002) *Research Methods: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. Nairobi: Acts Press.
- Naghizadeh, M. (2005) *Operating System: Concepts and Techniques* Bloomington: Indiana: iUniverse.
- Nakibin, D., Ismail, I., Marimuthu, M., & Abu-Jarad, I. (2011) "The Impact of Firm Reputation on Customers' Responses to Service Failure: The Role of Failure Attributions" *Business Strategy Series*, 12(1).
- Narteh, B. (2013) "Determinants of Students' Loyalty in The Ghanaian Banking Industry" *The TQM Journal*, 25(2).
- Ndulilo, L. W. (2014) Assessment of Customer Satisfaction on Complaints Handling in Banks: A Case Study of Barclays Bank Tanzania. A Master Degree of Business Administration Dissertation, Open University of Tanzania.
- Nebo, G.N. and Okolo, V.O. (2016) "Effects of the Strategies for Customer Satisfaction on the Performance of Insurance Firms in Enugu Metropolis" *Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM) Vol 18 Issue 5*.
- Ngai, E. W., Heung, V. C., Wong, Y. H., & Chan, F. K. (2007) "Consumer Complaint Behaviour of Asians and Non-Asians about Hotel Services: An Empirical Analysis" *European Journal of Marketing*, 41(11/12).
- Nguli, R. K. (2016) Internal Factors Affecting Customer Satisfaction of Commercial Banks in Kitui Town. MBA Project, South Eastern Kenya University
- Nofal, S., Alida, P., Hasanuddin, B., Patwayati, E., & Hayat, J. (2015) "The Effect of Complaint Handling Towards Customers Satisfaction, Trust and Loyalty to Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Bri) Southeast Sulawesi" *The International Journal of Engineering and Science*, 4(6).
- Nworie, E. C. (2016) "Service Recovery Strategies and Customer Loyalty in Selected Hotels in Lagos State, Nigeria" *Net Journal of Business Management*, 4(1).
- Obasi, I. A. (2012) Impact of Customer Complaints on Marketing Performance in the Service Industry (A Case Study of MTN Nigeria). MBA Thesis, University of Nigeria Nsukka.
- Olagunju, M. M. (2014). *Effect Of Training On Employees' Performance in Nigerian Banking Industry* Bloomington, Indiana: Author House.
- Oliver, R. L. (1997) *Satisfaction: A Behavioral Perspective on the Consumer*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Oranusi, I. N., Okolo, V.O., Obikeze, C.O., Mmamel, Z.U., Ugonna, I.A. and Okolo, J.U. (2017) "Managing the Effects of Services Quality on Customer Satisfaction in Select Banks in Onitsha (Anambra State: Nigeria)" *International Journal of Research in Finance and Marketing (IJRFM) Vol 8 Issue 1*.
- Orjiobebe, B. (2002) Influence of customer complaints handling on the marketing performances in the service industry: A study of selected private and public enterprises in Nigeria. *MBA Dissertation*, University of Nigeria Nsukka.

- Reinartz, W., Thomas, J. S., & Kumar, V. (2005) "Balancing Acquisition and Retention Resources To Maximize Customer Profitability" *Journal of Marketing*, 69.
- Robert-Lombard, M. (2011) "Customer Retention Through Customer Relationship Management: The Exploration of Two-Way Communication and Conflict Handling" *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(9).
- Ruyter R. T., & Wetzels. K. N. (2000) "Return on Marketing: Using Customer Equity to Focus Marketing Strategy" *Journal of marketing*, 68(1).
- Ruyter, K.D., & Wetzels, M. (2000) "Customer Equity Considerations in Service Recovery: A Cross-Industry Perspective" *International Journal of Service Industry Management* 11(1).
- Saxby, C.L., Tat, P.K., & Johansen, J.T. (2000) "Measuring Consumer Perceptions of Procedural Justice in A Complaint Context" *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 34(2).
- Shammout, M. Z., & Haddad, S. I. (2014) "The Impact of Complaints' Handling on Customers' Satisfaction: Empirical Study on Commercial Banks' Clients in Jordan" *International Business Research*, 7(11).
- Stauss, B., & Seidel, W. (2010) *Complaint Management* Wiley Online Library.
- Tax, S.S. Brown, S.W. & Chandrashekar, M. (1998) "Customer Evaluations of Service Complaint Experiences: Implications For Relationship Marketing" *Journal of Marketing*, 62.
- Thwaites, E., & Williams, C. (2006) "Service Recovery: A Naturalistic Decision-Making Approach" *Managing Service Quality*, 16(6).
- Tronvoll, B. (2012) "A Dynamic Model of Customer Complaint Behaviour from The Perspective of Service Dominant Logic" *European Journal of Marketing*. 46(1/2).
- Van Jaarsveld, D.D., Walker, D.D., & Skarlicki, D.P. (2010) "The Role of Job Demands and Emotional Exhaustion in the Relationship Between Customer and Employee Incivility. *Journal of Management*, 36(6).
- Wasfi, H., & Kostenko, O. (2014) The impact of complaint management on customer retention: Banking Industry in Sweden and Lebanon Master Thesis in Business Administration, University of Gavel.
- Wysocki, A. F., Kepner, K. W., & Glasser M. W. (2001) Customer Complaints and Types of customers, Department of Food and Resource Economics, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida.
- Zairi, M. (2000) "Managing Customer Satisfaction: A Best Practice Perspective" *The TQM Magazine*, 12(6), 389 - 394.
- Zemke, R., & Bell, C. (1990) "Service Recovery: Doing it Right the Second Time" *Training*, 27(6).