

# Isolation and Identification of Microorganisms from Domestic Effluent in Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria

Eze, V.C\*. Nwaneti, C.C.

Department of Microbiology, College of Natural Sciences, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, P.M.B. 7267, Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria

\*E-mail of the corresponding author: mekus2020@gmail.com

## Abstract

The isolation and identification of the microorganisms from domestic effluent in Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike were carried out. The mean total aerobic heterotrophic plate count ranged from  $6.10 \pm 0.01 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$  to  $6.29 \pm 0.09 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$ , *Salmonella* count ranged from  $2.63 \pm 0.14 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$  to  $2.93 \pm 0.16 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$ , *Escherichia coli* count ranged from  $3.63 \pm 0.14 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$  to  $3.93 \pm 0.20 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$ , *Vibrio cholerae* ranged from  $1.54 \pm 0.10 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$  to  $1.87 \pm 0.20 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$  and fungal count ranged from  $3.48 \pm 0.33 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$  to  $3.88 \pm 0.07 \text{Log}_{10}\text{cfu/mL}$  while the coliform count ranged from  $35 \pm 2.0 \text{MPN/100mL}$  to  $160 \pm 5.0 \text{MPN/100mL}$ . The microorganisms isolated and their percentage occurrence were *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* species, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter* species, *Klebsiella* species, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Aspergillus* species, *Rhizopus* species, *Penicillium* species, and *Trichoderma* species. The results showed that the effluent samples were heavily contaminated with known pathogenic microorganisms and should therefore be treated before discharge.

**Keywords:** contaminated, pathogenic, heterotrophic, effluents, domestic, identification, microorganisms, Umudike

## 1.0 Introduction

Effluent is a liquid waste flowing out of a factory, farm, commercial establishment or a household into a water body such as a river, lake or lagoon or a sewer system or reservoir. Waste discharged into air is called emission (Kristen, 2009). Effluents may also be referred to as sewage. Effluent discharges from domestic, municipal, industrial and agricultural set-ups contain various pollutants such as debris, microorganisms and heavy metals and are transported in untreated forms through drains, water ways and soils into inland water-bodies (Anderson *et al.*, 2000; Uzor, 2001; Eze and Korie, 2012). The receiving water-bodies loads of pollutants are increased during the rainy season due to water run-off and atmospheric precipitation.

Domestic wastes are a consequence of housekeeping activities such as food preparation, sweeping and vacuum cleaning. They also contain fuel residue, empty containers and packaging wastes from repairs and redecorating. Microorganisms in domestic effluents use the waste constituents as nutrients, thus detoxifying the materials as their digestive processes breakdown complex organic molecules into simpler less toxic molecules (Al-A'ama *et al.*, 1995). A waste is hazardous if it is infectious, meaning containing viable microorganisms or their toxins, which are known or suspected to cause disease in animal or human (Abbaszadegan *et al.*, 1997a).

Therefore, whenever untreated domestic effluent is dumped into water bodies, it builds up certain pathogenic organisms and when the water is consumed, it causes major diseases such as dysentery, diarrhoea and other gastroenteritis caused by coliform and other related species of bacteria. The pollution of land and water environments with untreated domestic effluent can affect the inhabitant of the area (Abbaszadegan *et al.*, 1997b).

The aim of the study is to isolate and identify microorganisms from the domestic effluent samples in Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike

## 2.0 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Sample Collection

The domestic effluent samples were collected from four different sites in Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike. The sites were PG hostel, female hostel, school restaurant and male hostel. The samples were collected using clean sterile one litre plastic containers. They were transported in ice packed cooler to the Microbiology Laboratory of the institution and analysis was immediately carried out.

### 2.2 Chemical Reagents

The chemical reagents employed in the study were of analytical grade and were products of BDH Chemicals, Poole's England and Sigma Chemical Company St. Louis Missouri, USA. The microbiological media used were products of Oxoid and DIFCO Laboratories, England. They included nutrient agar used for the estimation of total heterotrophic aerobic bacteria, purification of isolates and for stock culture; Potato dextrose agar used for

the isolation of fungi; MacConkey broth for the isolation of coliforms; *Salmonella-Shigella* agar, eosin methylene blue agar and thiosulphate citrate bile salt sucrose agar for the isolation of *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli* and *Vibrio cholerae* respectively

### 2.3 Enumeration of Total Heterotrophic Bacteria and Fungi

Samples of the domestic effluents were serially diluted in ten folds. Total viable heterotrophic aerobic counts were determined using pour plate technique. Then the molten nutrient agar, MacConkey, potato dextrose agar, *Salmonella-Shigella* agar, eosin methylene blue agar and thiosulphate citrate bile salt sucrose agar at 45°C were poured into the Petri dishes containing 1mL of the appropriate dilution for the isolation of the total heterotrophic bacteria and fungi and coliforms respectively. They were swirled to mix and colony counts were taken after incubating the plates at 30°C for 48h and preserved by sub culturing the bacterial isolates into nutrient agar slants which were used for biochemical tests.

### 2.4 Identification of Isolates

Bacteria isolates were characterized and identified after studying the Gram reaction as well as cell micro morphology. Other tests performed were spore formation, motility, oxidase and catalase production; citrate utilization, oxidative/fermentation (O/F) utilization of glucose; indole and coagulase production, starch hydrolysis, sugar fermentation, methyl red-Voges Proskauer reaction and urease production. The tests were performed according to the methods of (Cheesbrough, 2005; Adeoye, 2007; Ochei and Kolhatkar, 2007). Microbial identification was performed using the keys provided in the *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology* (1994). Fungal isolates were examined microscopically using the needle mouth technique. Their identification was performed according to the scheme of Barnett and Hunter (1972) and Larone (1986).

### 3.0 Results

The Table 1 shows the mean counts of the microorganisms from the effluent samples. The total heterotrophic bacterial plate count ranged from  $6.10 \pm 0.01 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  to  $6.29 \pm 0.09 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The sample from female hostel recorded the highest mean count of  $6.29 \pm 0.09 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  and sample from postgraduate hostel had the least mean count of  $6.10 \pm 0.01 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The *Salmonella Shigella* count ranged from  $2.63 \pm 0.14 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  to  $2.93 \pm 0.16 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The sample from male hostel recorded the highest count of  $2.93 \pm 0.16 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  while school restaurant had the lowest count of  $2.63 \pm 0.14 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The *Vibrio cholerae* count ranged from  $1.54 \pm 0.10 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  to  $1.87 \pm 0.20 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The sample from male hostel had the highest count of  $1.87 \pm 0.20 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  and sample from school restaurant recorded the least count of  $1.54 \pm 0.10 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The *Escherichia coli* count ranged from  $3.63 \pm 0.14 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  to  $3.93 \pm 0.20 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The sample from female hostel had the highest count of  $3.93 \pm 0.20 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  and that of school restaurant recorded the least count of  $3.63 \pm 0.14 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The fungal count ranged from  $3.48 \pm 0.33 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  to  $3.88 \pm 0.07 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The highest count of  $3.88 \pm 0.07 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$  was recorded by the female hostel while the school restaurant least count of  $3.48 \pm 0.33 \text{Log}_{10} \text{cfu/mL}$ . The coliform count ranged from  $35 \pm 2.0 \text{MPN/100mL}$  to  $160 \pm 5.0 \text{MPN/100mL}$ . The male hostel recorded the highest count of  $160 \pm 5.0 \text{MPN/100mL}$  and postgraduate hostel had the least count of  $35 \pm 2.0 \text{MPN/100mL}$ . The ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$  showed that there was significant difference in the mean microbial counts in the bacterial groups among the locations.

Table 2 shows the microorganisms isolated from the effluent samples and their percentage occurrence. The bacteria isolated were *Escherichia coli*, 57 (20.21%), *Staphylococcus aureus* 34(12.06%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 58(20.57%), *Enterobacter* species 29(10.28%), *Klebsiella* species 42(14.89%), *Vibrio cholerae* 25(8.87%) and *Salmonella* species, 37(13.12%). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* had the highest percentage occurrence of 20.57% while *Vibrio cholerae* had the lowest percentage occurrence of 8.87%. The fungi isolated were *Aspergillus* species, 6(20.7%), *Rhizopus* species, 8(27.6%), *Trichoderma* species, 11(37.9%) and *Penicillium* species, 4(13.8%). *Trichoderma* species had the highest occurrence of 37.9% and *Penicillium* species recorded the least occurrence of 13.8%.

### 4.0 Discussion

Domestic effluents are good sources of microorganisms which may be due to the nature of human activities generating the effluents. The increased microbial load observed may be attributed to the presence of organic and inorganic component of the waste, which could act as source of nutrients to the microorganisms contributing in their multiplication (Prescott *et al.*, 2008).

The bacterial genera isolated were *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* species, *Pseudomonas* species, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterobacter* species, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Salmonella* species. The presence of *Staphylococcus aureus* could be as a result of contamination from the normal flora of the generators of the effluent and because it survives for prolonged periods in the environment, it is readily present in effluent. The

presence *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter* species, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Salmonella* species show faecal contamination of the domestic effluents. *Escherichia coli* is also a part of the normal intestinal flora and might enter the effluent through other sources as it is not specifically confined to the human intestine. It is also present in the faeces of many domestic animals and birds and can be a source of contamination of the effluents (Amanchukwu, 1991; Eze and Okpokwasili, 2008). These organisms are transmitted through water and food and can cause illness which may have symptoms such as severe abdominal pain, fever, profuse bloody diarrhoea and mild intestinal upset. The bacteria isolated are in line with the work of Ekundayo (1997).

The fungi isolated were *Aspergillus* species, *Penicillium* species, *Rhizopus* species and *Trichoderma* species. These fungi are spore formers and therefore can survive unfavourable conditions than the non spore forming bacteria. This makes them to be more persistent in the environment and also resulted in the increase in their counts

(Madsen, 2006; Eze *et al.*, 2011). Domestic effluents should be properly treated before disposal in order to avoid the release of the spores of these organisms so as to avoid the spread of diseases such as aspergillosis, anthrax, gastroenteritis and food poisoning (Piet, 2009).

## 5.0 Conclusion

The study has shown that domestic effluent contains microorganisms of public health importance. It therefore becomes necessary the effluent should be treated properly before discharge into the environment to avoid contacting the diseases caused by these organisms as a result of contamination of water bodies and the soil environment.

## References

- Abbaszadegan, M., Hassan, M. N., Gerba, C.P., Roessler, P.F., Wilson, B.R. Kuennen, R. & Van Dellen, E. (1997a). The disinfection efficacy of a point-of use water treatment system against bacterial, viral and protozoan waterborne pathogens. *Water Research*; 31: 574 - 582.
- Abbaszadegan, M., Hassan, M. N., Gerba, C.P & Pepper, I. L. (1997b). Detection of viable *Giardia* cysts by amplification of heat shock-induced mRNA.. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*; 63: 324-260.
- Adeoye, A. (2007). *Medical Laboratory Practice*. (1st ed.), FEMCO Publishers Limited, Lagos, Nigeria. 153p
- Al-A'ama, M.S. & Nakhla, G. F. (1995). Wastewater reuse in Jubail, Saudi Arabia. *Water Research*; 29: 1579 - 1584.
- Amanchukwu, S.C. (1991). Effects of refinery effluent discharges on the water quality and microbiology of the Bonny estuary, Ph.D. Thesis University of Port Harcourt.
- Anderson, R, C., Buckley, S. A., Kubena, L. F. & Nisbet, D.J. (2000). Bactericidal effect of Sodium chlorate on *Escherichia coli* O157; H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium* DT 104 in rumen contents in vitro. *Journal of Food Protection*; 63: 1038 – 42.
- Barnett, H.L. & Hunter, B.B. (1972). *Illustrated genera of imperfecti fungi*, (3rd ed.) Burgess Publishing Company, Minnesota, U.S.A.
- Bergey,s Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. (1994). 9th ed. Holt, J.D. (Ed.), Williams Wilkins CO. Baltimore, 783p
- Cheesbrough, M. (2006). *District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries*; ELBS edition. University Cambridge; 143 – 157 pp.
- Ekundayo, J. A., (1997). Environmental Consequences of the pollution of the Lagos Lagoon. *Bull Science Association Nigeria*. 3 (2): 290 – 299.
- Eze, V.C. and Okpokwasili, G.C. (2008). Microbial and Heavy Metal Characteristics of a Niger Delta River receiving Industrial Effluents, *Tropical Journal of Biomedical and Allied Sciences Research*; 3: 238 – 249.
- Eze, V.C., Nwakwoke, C. & Uzor, B.C. (2011). Microbiological of dirt particles obtained from the floors of Madonna University Teaching Hospital (MUTH) wards, Elele, Rivers State, Nigeria, *International Journal of Recent Current Science Research*; 2(6): 153 – 156.
- Eze, V.C. & Korie, V.U. (2012). Enumeration and characterization of microorganisms from laboratory effluents in Madonna University laboratories, Elele Campus, Rivers State, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Science and Technology*, 4(11): 030 – 035.
- Kristen, G. (2009). BusinessDictionary.com, Reference Reviews, Emerald Group Publishing Ltd. USA; 25 – 26 pp
- Larone, B.H. (1986). *Important fungi: A Guide to Identification*. Harper and Row Publishers, Hagerstown, Maryland, 7 - 26pp
- Ochei, J.O. & Kolhatkar, A.A. (2007). *Medical Laboratory Science: Theory and Practice*, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New York, 637 – 745 pp.
- Prescott, L. M., Harley, J. P. Klein, D. A. (2008). *Microbiology*, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, The McGraw Co. Inc. New Delhi.
- Piet, K. (2009). *Waste Disposal Technology*, Mpumalanga, South Africa, 24 – 27 pp.

Uzor, M.D. (2001). *Microorganisms and the Environment*, ASM Press, Washington, D.C., 106 – 109 pp.

Table 1: The mean counts of microorganisms isolated from the effluents

Location	Log <sub>10</sub> cfu/mL					
	THBPC	SC	VC	EC	FC	CC (MPN/100mL)
Postgraduate Hostel	6.10 ± 0.01	2.70 ± 0.07	1.87 ± 0.20	3.64 ± 0.10	3.81 ± 0.12	35 ± 1.0
Female Hostel	6.29 ± 0.09	2.81 ± 0.05	1.64 ± 0.03	3.93 ± 0.20	3.88 ± 0.07	50 ± 2.0
School Restaurant	6.10 ± 0.01	2.63 ± 0.14	1.54 ± 0.10	3.63 ± 0.13	3.60 ± 0.21	90 ± 3.0
Male Hostel	6.13 ± 0.02	2.93 ± 0.16	1.63 ± 0.04	3.85 ± 0.09	3.48 ± 0.33	160 ± 5.0

**Legend:** THBC = Total heterotrophic bacterial count; SSC = *Salmonella-Shigella* count; VC = *Vibrio cholerae* count; EC = *Escherichia coli* count; FC = Fungal Count; CC= Coliform count

Table 2: Microorganisms isolated from the effluents and their percentage occurrence

Microorganisms	Number of isolates	% Occurrence
<b>Bacteria</b>		
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	57	20.21
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	34	12.06
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	58	20.57
<i>Enterobacter</i> species	29	10.28
<i>Klebsiella</i> species	42	14.89
<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	25	8.87
<i>Salmonella</i> species	37	13.12
<b>Fungi</b>		
<i>Aspergillus</i> species	6	20.7
<i>Rhizopus</i> species	8	27.6
<i>Penicillium</i> species	4	13.8
<i>Trichoderma</i> species	11	37.9

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

## CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

**Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

Academic conference: <http://www.iiste.org/conference/upcoming-conferences-call-for-paper/>

## IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

