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#### Abstract

The effects of seven variables-rainfall, water depth, salinity, turbidity, temperature, conductivity and $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{on}$ fish assemblages were evaluated in this study. Fish were sampled on a monthly basis using a barrier net deployed by artisanal fishermen at six physicochemical sampling stations. The Merbok estuary was influenced by variable river discharges and mainly affected by primary and secondary wet seasons in March-June and August-November, respectively. This impacted the salinity gradient which ranged from 3.50 ppt to almost 30.75 ppt, resulted in two different salinity regimes, i.e. mesohaline and polyhaline. The temperature varied with a pronounced peak in both the primary and secondary rainy seasons. Other parameters such as conductivity, turbidity and pH fluctuated temporally, but no significant differences were recorded among the sampling sites. Fish species accounted for $72.06 \%(897.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ ), while marine and freshwater shrimps accounted for $27.94 \%$ $(350.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t})$. Almost 80 species of fish, representatives of 45 genera from 36 were recorded in the present study. Temporally, the mean abundance of fish was lower during the primary wet season than during the secondary rainy periods while spatially, the mean abundance of fish species was higher in the middle zone of the estuarine systems. The correlations between species and variables, suggesting the importance of environmental parameters in determining fish distribution, abundance and assemblage. Some fish species such as Butis gymnopomus showed a strong correlation with turbidity and pH , whereas others such as Lates calcarifer were strongly correlated with salinity.
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## 1. Introduction

The scenario on tropical estuaries can be briefly explained based on the relationship between environmental factors in the estuaries and complex spatial and temporal patterns in the composition, abundance and distribution of fish assemblages (McLusky \& Elliott 2004, Pombo et al. 2005). Individual fish populations and communities have strong physiological and behavioural responses to environmental changes (Boesch \& Turner 1984). Fishes that inhabit this environment can be classified as permanent, cyclic or occasional (Velazquez-Velazquez et al. 2008). Many fish species that inhabit these types of ecosystems undergo unique physiological adaptations that allow them to tolerate extreme environmental conditions (Day et al. 1989, Whitefield 1999) in terms of salinity, pH , temperature, and dissolved oxygen (DO) (Akin et al. 2005). Moreover, the distribution and abundance of these fish species differs between the rainy and dry seasons (Rueda and Defeo, 2003) and between marine and freshwater environments (Simier et al. 2006). Changes in fish distribution and abundance will undoubtedly affect human communities that harvest these stocks, and global climate change will certainly continue to impact marine and estuarine fish and fisheries (Roessig et al. 2004, Gibbs 2006).

The Merbok estuary is a mangrove reserve in the north-west of Peninsular Malaysia. It lies between latitude $100^{\circ}$ $20^{\prime} 57.33^{\prime \prime}$ and longitude $5^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 53.74^{\prime \prime}$ seawards, facing the Straits of Malacca and between latitude $100^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ $24.56^{\prime \prime}$ and longitude $5^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 13.46^{\prime \prime}$ in the upper reaches. This estuary is associated with water body stretches for about 35 km . The width ranges from approximately 20 m at the upper reaches of the estuary to 2 km at the mouth of the estuary, and the estuary is supported by large and small tributaries with depths ranging from 3 to 15 m (Ong et al. 1991). This area experiences the primary maximum of the rainfall in September - November while the secondary maximum generally occurs in March - May and the primary minimum occurs in January February with the secondary minimum in June - July (www.met.gov.my).

Merbok estuary is regarded as an important nursery ground for fishes and prawns and as a habitat for mussels and mollusks which support artisanal capture fisheries and mollusk collection. Consequently, mariculture with fish cages and shrimp pond activities contributes to the income of local residents and entrepreneurs (FAO/BOBP 1984). It is currently believed that the Merbok estuary is impacted by chemicals and pesticides released by agricultural activities, effluents discharged from aquaculture, solid wastes dumped from residential areas and fishing of juvenile fishes by local fishermen. Moreover, barrier nets, set nets, crab pods and recreational fishing are used by major capture fisheries. Venus clam, Meretrix meretrix culture and seed collection, oyster and other bivalve collections are important and contribute to the unsustainable manner of exploitation (Mansor et al. in press). In addition the length-weight analysis of the estuarine fishes by Mansor et al. (2012a), demonstrated that the estuary was a preferable nursery ground for ariids, and mature ariids are found throughout the year with two spawning peaks in the pre- and post-rainy seasons (Mansor et al. 2012b). Moreover, the reproductive strategy of these ariids is in-synchronised.

Little is known about the assemblage patterns of fish and the environmental variables involved in the Merbok estuary. Moreover, the manner in which these variables determine the spatial and temporal structures of fish assemblages in the estuary is not well defined. Hence, the present study is a preliminary analysis to investigate the influence of environmental parameters on spatial and temporal variations in fish assemblages in the Merbok estuary.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study area

The sampling areas and sites are shown in Fig. 1, and these were divided into three different zones. The upper zone that stretches from Lalang River (St1) to Semeling River (St2) is associated with residential, pond culture, artisanal fishing and agricultural activities. The middle zone that extends from Keluang River (St3) to Teluk Wang (St4) is influenced by human activities, including agricultural, artisanal fishing, residential and cage culture activities. While the lower zone extends from Gelam River (St5) to Lubuk Pusing (St6) which is the seaward area is significantly affected by the effluent from cage culture, agricultural activity, pond culture, and artisanal fishing.

### 2.2. Physicochemical parameters

Between January and December 2010, samples were collected with appropriate equipment on a monthly basis at the six sampling stations (see Fig. 1) along the Merbok estuary to evaluate the following in-situ environmental parameters: water depth (WD), temperature (TEMP), salinity (SAL), $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{pH})$, conductivity (COND), and transparency/turbidity (TURB). The equipment used included a dissolved oxygen (DO) meter, salinity, conductivity and temperature (SCT) meter, pH meter, Secchi disc, and water sampler. Water samples were collected to analyse the levels of ammonium $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)$, nitrite $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$, nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$, phosphate $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$, total suspended solids (TSS), biological oxygen demand (BOD), and DO. Phytoplankton and zooplankton samples were also collected using a plankton net of mesh size $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ which was deployed during the in-flux tidal (i.e. synchronised with the influx of living organisms into estuary and tributaries) and out-flux tidal (i.e. synchronised with the influx of living organisms and effluent from human activities) and these samples were preserved for further analysis.

Data on rainfall distribution, one of the parameters considered in the study area, were obtained from the meteorological station located in Sungai Petani Hospital (www.met.gov.my). Whereas the salinity regimes were categorized as freshwater ( 0 to $<0.5$ ), oligohaline ( 0.5 to $<5.0$ ), mesohaline ( 5.0 to $<18.0$ ) and polyhaline ( 18 to 30.0), following to Paperno and Brodie (2004).

### 2.3. Fish sample collection

Fish were sampled using barrier nets that were $100-120 \mathrm{~m}$ long and $3-5 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, with a mesh size of 2.5 cm . This net is designed without any bag or bunt and is regarded as non-selective gear. The nets were deployed by artisanal fishermen in the mudflat creek infront of the mangroves vegetation along the Merbok estuary, and the samples were obtained from an area that was within a $2-\mathrm{km}$ radius of each physicochemical sampling stations (see Fig 1). Fishing operations were normally carried out 3-4 days before and after the full moon and 3-4 days before and after new moon associated with spring tides of the month. More importantly, deployment of the net requires strong water currents to effectively capture fish and shrimps in the net. Fishing activities were normally halted during neap tides. Net operations were usually conducted during low water, by securing the bottom of the net to the river bed of the tributaries. The head rope was then raised and secured to poles to stretch the net high
during high tide and the catches were harvested during low water, i.e. 12 h after the net was set. The fishing locations were always changed to improve effectiveness and obtain a better catch.
Every month from January to December 2010, 6 to 10 fishermen were interviewed at the fish landing site, and the fish landing data, including fishing locations and catches, were matched to the sampling stations, as shown in Fig. 1. Sub-samples of the catches were collected and sorted at the species level, as described by De Bruin et al. (1994), Mohsin and Ambak (1993, 1996), Mansor et al. (1998) and Ambak et al. (2010). As a counter check, fish samples were also collected on a quarterly year basis to determine the species composition of the trash fish (smaller sizes of commercial fish). The species composition of the sub-samples was then raised to the total catch of the sampled boats. Three fishing boats were selected for this purpose, and the fishermen were requested to fish at a selected location that was within the $2-\mathrm{km}$ radius of the physicochemical sampling stations. All necessary measurements such as the length and weight were recorded. The data were collated using Microsoft Excel. Fishes intended for population biology studies were randomly collected, kept on ice and transported to the laboratory for further analysis.

Fishes that inhabit the Merbok estuary were categorized as follows: marine (M), marine-estuarine-dependent (MED), estuarine resident (E), estuarine-freshwater-dependent (EFD), freshwater (FW), catadromous (C), and anadromous (A). Some marine species are also termed as occasional marine visitors (Day et al. 1989) because only a small proportion of their overall population uses estuaries (Potter et al. 1990, Whitfield 1999). Marine-estuarine-dependent species are also called marine migrants as these species use estuaries extensively during the juvenile and/or adult life stages (Potter et al. 1990, Whitfield 1999). Freshwater species are those that are restricted to rivers but occasionally enter estuaries when the conditions are favourable (Day et al. 1989). Estuarine residents refer to species of marine origin that reside in estuaries and can complete their life cycle within these systems (Whitfield 1999). Catadromous species are fishes that spawn in the sea but use freshwater catchment areas during the juvenile and sub-adult life stages and the opposite is true for anadromous fish species.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Species compositions on individual boats and trips were standardized to catch per unit effort (CPUE) in grams per boat per fishing trip ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ ). Physicochemical variables, fish species composition and community structure were analysed monthly, seasonally and per site. Prior to all analyses of variance, assessment of the assumptions of normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) and homogeneity of variances were performed for all the descriptors. Variables not fulfilling any of these assumptions were transformed with different functions and tested by nonparametric analysis of variance (Spearman correlation - Sokal \& Rohlf 1998).
Fish abundance at different sites and in various months was analysed using Spearman's correlation test (Sokal \& Rohlf 1998). Species abundance in relation to environmental variables (TEMP, COND, TURB, WD, rainfall, pH and SAL) was also analyzed using the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). This ordination method was used to detect patterns of species association directly related to environmental variables (ter Braak \& Verdonschot 1995). To reduce the effect of rare species, only species with a number of observation greater than $5(\mathrm{n}>5)$ were considered in the CCA. In the ordination diagram produced by CANOCO (ter Braak \& Verdonschot 1995), the importance of environmental factors is indicated by the relative length of vectors, i.e. the longer the vector, the greater the influence on species distribution. In addition, the closer the species on the vector, the greater the relationship with the environmental parameters (ter Braak 1986). Any species that is highly influenced by variables would be positioned along the axis created by two vectors rather than at the end of any single vector (ter Braak 1986). These univariates of non-parametric statistical technique enable analysis of the relationship between species abundance and abiotic factors on an individual level and also allow the identification of factors responsible for the structure of fish assemblages.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Temporal and spatial variations in physicochemical parameters

The sampling areas extended outward from the upper to the lower zones of the estuarine systems. The in-situ physicochemical parameters recorded on a monthly basis are summarised in Table 1. The temporal variation in rainfall and the temporal and spatial variations in the other physicochemical parameters, including TEMP, COND, TURB, SAL, pH and WD, are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively.
Rainfall ranged from 0.0 mm to 79.00 mm with a mean value of $3.5 \mathrm{~mm}( \pm 1.69)$. Temporal variations in the rainfall defined the seasons as follows. The dry season was the season occurring in January and February,
secondary rainy season was from March to May, primary rainy season was from September to November (see Fig. 2).
During the study period, the recorded TEMP ranged from $27.70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $32.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a mean value of $30.17^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm$ $1.15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The differences in the TEMP between stations were not significant (see Table 1). The lowest mean TEMP was at $\operatorname{St} 1\left(29.65^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 0.44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and the highest mean was at $\operatorname{St} 5\left(30.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 0.40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. TEMP differed markedly between the months, with a lower value $\left(27.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in September and a higher value $\left(32.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in May. Temporal variations in TEMP were significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) and were strongly influenced by the dry season and rainy season (see Figs. 2 and 3).
The COND readings ranged between 900 and $45,365 \mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, with a mean value of $29,265.41 \pm 8,740.76$ $\mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$. The highest mean COND value was recorded in May $\left(39,497.50 \pm 2,871.65 \mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the lowest in December $\left(14,833.33 \pm 4,142.59 \mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. The COND values differed significantly across months ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), but the difference was not spatially significant $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$. The variation was affected by continuous flush-off-effluent during the primary rainy season; these became lesser towards the end of the year, as demonstrated by the lower value (mean $20,027.08 \pm 8,563.13 \mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) in the upper areas ( St 1 and St 2 ) which was affected by the run-off sediment from adjacent tributaries. The COND readings gradually increased seawards (St6) with a mean value of $33,145.83 \pm 7,434.74 \mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Transparency or turbidity (TURB) in the estuary ranged from 2.5 to 25 cm (mean $9.23 \pm 4.87 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The lowest mean TURB value was recorded in June ( $4.17 \pm 1.08 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), while the highest was in October ( $19.5 \pm 1.87 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The fluctuation corresponded with rainfall distribution, and high TURB values were recorded during the rainy periods. The spatial variation in TURB was not significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ), with the highest value recorded in St3 ( $12.16 \pm 6.64 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

The SAL readings ranged from 3.50 to 30.75 ppt with a mean value of 21.36 ( $\pm 6.17 \mathrm{ppt})$. The value varied monthly (significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ ), with the lowest mean value of $13.04 \pm 7.19 \mathrm{ppt}$ in December and the highest $(25.54 \pm 4.50 \mathrm{ppt})$ in August. The SAL values drastically decreased during rainy periods and towards the end of the year. In comparison with the five other sampling sites towards the mouth of the estuary systems, St1 recorded the lowest salinity ( $13.15 \pm 6.13 \mathrm{ppt})$. However, no significant differences were recorded between months and sites. Generally, SAL fluctuated significantly between months, with higher values recorded at the beginning of the year (low rainfall) and lower values during the primary rainfall period. The SAL readings also gradually decreased towards the upper zone ( St 1 ) of the estuary due to freshwater inflow (see Figs. 2 and 3). Overall, SAL in the Merbok estuary ranged between 8 and 25 ppt . Therefore, the Merbok estuary was classified as mesohaline ( 5.0 to $<18.0 \mathrm{ppt}$ ) in the upper areas and polyhaline ( 18 to 30.0 ppt ) in the middle and lower zones.

The pH values ranged between 6.35 and 8.15 , with a mean value of $7.15( \pm 0.37)$. The pH was found to vary significantly across months ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), with a fluctuating mean value of $6.95 \pm 0.52$ in April and $7.63 \pm 0.45$ in August (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference in pH among the sampling sites (Table 1).
There were strong positive correlations between COND and SAL ( 0.603 ), followed by TEMP and COND (0.528), pH and COND ( 0.423 ) and SAL and $\mathrm{pH}(0.351)$. In contrast, there were strong negative correlations between TURB and $\mathrm{pH}(-0.363)$ at $\mathrm{P}>0.01$ (see Table 2 ). These correlations were probably strongly influenced by the rainfall distribution.

In general, rainfall distribution influenced TEMP, TURB and COND. The lowest mean values were recorded under low rainfall conditions and considerably higher values were observed during the rainy months (see Figs. 2 and 3).

### 3.2. Fish community structure

A total of 74 fishing boats were sampled. The fishermen were interviewed, and the catch composition was examined by species and size. Eleven boats were assessed from St1 in the Lalang River area, 14 from St2 in the Semeling River area, 14 from St3 in the Keluang River area, 11 from St4 in the Teluk Wang area, 11 from St5 in the Gelam River area and 13 from St6 in the Lubuk Pusing area.
Species occurrence in term of percentage, composition, catch rate, and habitat categories are tabulated in Appendix 1 which also lists the codes of the species. The data indicate that the Merbok estuary could be occupied by 69 species of fish, representatives of 45 genera and from 36 families of fish and two families of shrimps, 3 genera and 8 species of shrimps. The average CPUE of fishes and shrimps captured by barrier nets
was $1,248.58 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$, of which fish species contributed $72.06 \%(897.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t})$ and marine and freshwater shrimps $27.94 \%$ ( $350.68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ ).

Of the total fish caught, $31.56 \%$ belonged to Ariidae, $10.62 \%$ to Mugilidae, $6.23 \%$ to Gerreidae, $6.19 \%$ to Sciaenidae, $4.82 \%$ to Lutjanidae, $4.72 \%$ to Scatophagidae, $4.47 \%$ to Megalopidae, $3.79 \%$ to Sphyraenidae, $3.10 \%$ to Eleotridae, $2.66 \%$ to Latidae, and less than $2.5 \%$ to other families.

Although fish assemblage was structured by many species, only a few dominant species emerged (Fig. 4, Appendix 1). Arius spp; as estuarine-resident (E) such as $A$. argyropleuron, $A$. maculatus and $A$. caelatus was the most dominant species which estimated about $29.79 \%$, followed by $4.72 \%$ of Scatophagus argus (estuarine-freshwater-dependent, EFD), $4.47 \%$ of Megalops cyprinoids (estuarine-dependent, E), 4.15\% of Gymnura poecilura (marine-estuarine-dependent, MED), 3.19\% of Liza vaigensis (MED), 3.06\% of Johnius belangerii (MED), $2.79 \%$ of Butis gymnopomus (E), $2.73 \%$ of Gerres filamentosus (MED), $2.66 \%$ of Lates calcarifer (E) and below 2.5\% including Sphyraena barracuda, Lutjanus johni and L. russelli (marine, M) fish species (see Fig. 4).

### 3.3. Temporal and spatial variations in fish assemblages

The catch rate of fish decreased with an increase in the value of environmental variables such as TEMP. TURB and rainfall positively influenced fish distribution. The catch rate of fish decreased as the TURB and rainfall values increased (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 5). The highest mean CPUE value was recorded in October ( $33,810.88 \pm$ $23,319.80 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t})$, and the lowest in May $(4,737.50 \pm 4,140.73 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t})$. Some species such as $L$. calcarifer, $L$. russelli and Plotosus canius were recorded at each sampling station, with mean CPUE values ranging from $943.13 \pm 618.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ at St3 to $2,983.33 \pm 2,237.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ at St4, from $779.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}( \pm 403.34)$ at St2 to $1,569.2$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ at St 4 and from $746.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}( \pm 572.22)$ at St6 to $3,450 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}( \pm 4,503.75)$ at St 4 (see Appendix 1). A similar pattern was also observed for $S$. argus with the mean CPUE ranging from $1985.35 \pm 2069.65 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ at St6 to 4500 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ at St5. The mean CPUE of B. gymnopomus ranged from $318.85 \pm 362.99 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ at St6 to $3147.75 \pm 3441.79$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ at St2. L. russelli is a marine fish species but smaller sizes of these fish use the Merbok estuary as their nursery ground.
Fish catch rate was lower during the first half of the year but higher during the second half of the year. Coincidentally, it was associated with the rainy periods and type of migrant fish species. MED species were present in the primary rainy periods of the year, while marine species were found during the dry season towards the end of the year. Spatially higher abundance was recorded at $\mathrm{St} 3, \mathrm{St} 4$, and St 6 , probably due to the mixing of a larger volume of marine water. However, this finding differed from that observed for the shrimp population, which fluctuated throughout the year and sites.

### 3.4. Physicochemical parameters and fish and prawn assemblages

Associations between the environmental variables measured in-situ and the abundance of fish populations were analysed using the Spearman correlation (Table 2). A positive and strong correlation was observed between TURB and B. gymnopomus (EleBgym) $(0.659, \mathrm{P}=0.01)$, whereas a strong and inverse correlation was observed with $\mathrm{pH}(-751, \mathrm{P}=0.01)$. SAL was strongly correlated with L. calcarifer (LatLcal) $(-0.481, \mathrm{P}=0.01)$. However, other species such as Batrachomoeus trispinosus (BatBtri) and LatLcal were also positively correlated ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) with WD. The pH was another parameter that influenced the distribution of estuarine-dependent species such as Penaeus indicus (PenPind), Penaeus merguiensis (PenPmer), Pomadasys kaakan (HaePkaa), and Lutjanus russelli (LutLrus).

The CCA diagram in Fig. 6 indicates the longer the vector, the greater the influence of in-situ parameters on species distribution. Moreover, the closer the species to the vector or other species, the stronger the relationship. The relative position along the vector indicates the type of effect. COND was found to be the most important parameter affecting the distribution of $A$. caelatus (AriAcea), J. belengeri (SciJbel), and S. argus (ScaSarg). However, these did not show any significant correlation. L. calcarifer (LatLcal), P. kaakan (HaePkaa) and $L$. russelli (LutLrus) showed a high correlation with TEMP. None of the fish species showed strong positive correlations with pH except for a very weak correlation with L. vaigensis (MugLvai) and Sphyreana barracuda (SphSbar). Overall, the distribution of most of the species in the Merbok estuary was actually impacted by COND, TEMP, and TURB, but the correlations were inversed for SAL and pH .

## 4. Discussion

Estuaries serve as a nursery ground for many commercially important fish species and crustaceans (Sasekumar 1992, Elliott \& Dewailly 1995, Vasconcelos et al. 2010), including seagrass communities of resident and non-resident species (Laegdsgaard \& Johnson 1995). These water bodies are known to be impacted by biotic and abiotic factors (Weinstein \& Heck 1979). Abiotic factors associated with fish assemblages include salinity (Peterson \& Ross 1991, Szedlmayer \& Able 1996, Arceo-Carranza \& Vega-Cendejas 2009), temperature (Rakocinski et al. 1992, Arceo-Carranza \& Vega-Cendejas 2009), turbidity (Peterson \& Ross 1991), depth (Keskin 2007) and hydrology patterns (Pritchett \& Pyron 2011). In a tropical estuary, temperature is always inversely correlated with salinity, whereas transparency has a different structuring factor and is directly correlated with the salinity gradient during floods but has less correlation in the dry season (Simier et al. 2006). Temperature variation is normally triggered by rainfall, with was slightly increases during heavy rain and decreases in the dry season as recorded in the present study (see Figs. 2 and 3). These phenomena had significant effects in the Merbok estuary due to influx warm water from tributaries.

The distribution of juveniles of marine migrant species within estuarine grounds results from the responses of individuals to multiple environmental variables such as salinity, water temperature, food availability or sediment type such as the presence of seagrass which are highly dynamic (Stoner et al. 2001, Selleslagh et al. 2009). The distribution of fish was related to physicochemical parameters as can be observed in the Merbok estuary where most of fish species were strongly influenced by COND, TEMP and TURB and inversely correlated to SAL and pH .
Changing fish distribution and abundance will undoubtedly affect human communities that harvest these stocks (Roessig et al. 2004). Most of the fish caught from the Merbok estuary were at the juvenile stage (Mansor et al. 2011a) and exceptional for most estuarine-dependent species such as $L$. calcarifer. They were composed of juvenile marine migrant species, which were influenced by turbidity gradients in estuaries in agreement to Cyrus and Blaber (1987). Other factors such as calm water and food availability were also suggested to affect the distribution and abundance of juveniles (Cyrus \& Blaber 1992). The effects of climate change (Roessig et al. 2004) on estuarine fish individuals, populations, communities and assemblages have been widely addressed (Gibbs 2006).

In this study, a barrier net used to sample fishes in mangrove mudflat habitats with a mesh size of 2.5 cm was considered non-selective as it managed to capture the smallest fish (represented by $S$. argus, 2.2 cm in TL) and the largest fish (represented by $L$. calcarifer, 82.0 cm in TL) of body weights 0.5 g and $6,600 \mathrm{~g}$, respectively (Mansor et al. 2012a). Most of the estuarine-dependent fish collected in this study were juveniles, and fish abundance were higher during dry periods due to the fact that the mangrove sheltered the fish population from marine predators mingling around the coastal area. The dependence of many fish species on mangroves is species-specific (Nagelkerken et al. 2000, Hindell \& Jenkins 2004, Chittaro et al. 2005). The results presented in this study suggest that the dependence of some species on mangrove habitats is also site-specific (Nip \& Wong 2010).

Most of the parameters recorded in-situ, including TEMP, TURB, COND, SAL and pH , differed significantly on a monthly basis $(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$ but not spatially. COND was strongly correlated with WD, SAL and pH , and was strongly influenced by the distribution of rainfall that caused the inflow of freshwater from nearby tributaries to the estuary. The pH was found to strongly affect SAL and TURB. Thus, these parameters are inter-correlated and can influence fish distribution as suggested by Nip and Wong (2010).

The water temperature plays an important role in structuring fish communities in mangroves, estuaries and coastal areas (Whitfield 1999, Blaber et al. 2000). Relatively small temperature variations affected the distribution and abundance of fish as recorded in the present study suggesting that more species were recruited into the area during high temperature months during the second half of the year and during the first half of the year. This was in agreement with the results of Nip and Wong (2010). The large inter- and intra-month variation in water temperature was due to the southwest monsoon season in March-May and during the northeast monsoon season in September-February and tidal fluctuation in the estuary with cold incoming seawater and warm outgoing freshwater.

The Spearman correlations shown in Table 2 demonstrated that there was no correlation between fish species and COND, with the exception of $B$. gymnopomus which showed significance at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$. This suggested that COND had no significant effects on fish distribution. Although many species lie close to the line factor (see Fig.
6), these were probably physically adapted and tolerated the large variations in turbidity associated with organic-rich areas (Whitfield 1994, Laegdsgaard \& Johnson 1995, Kuo et al. 1999). For example, the upper reaches had a high abundance of FW species as observed for tilapia (see Appendix 1), but this area was apparently not preferred by marine migrants. Blaber et al. (2000) suggested that TURB had a positive effect on fish abundance. However, in the present study, there was a strong correlation between TURB and $B$. gymnopomus abundance (see Table 2 and Fig. 6), supporting the view that TURB is always a determinant factor in fish abundance (Whitfield 1994, Laroche et al. 1997, Strydom et al. 2002).

Most water bodies in tropical regions show two differentiable seasons (dry and wet), and the majority of these water bodies depend on seasonal changes to activate and deactivate environmental parameters (Fialho et al. 2007). Freshwater runoff increases during the rainy season, leading to a decrease in salinity. The dilution effect of marine water in the estuary is conducive for freshwater and brackish water species such as Scatophagidae, Eleotridae, and Cichlidae, which thrive in this environment. Similar effects were also observed by Simier et al. (2006). However, during the dry season, high salinity triggers the entry of some marine species (Carangidae) into the estuary due to the availability of food and shelter from predators (Blaber 1997, Marshall \& Elliot 1998). These species tend to migrate seawards as they grow bigger in size, just before the next rainy season. This was supported by the fluctuation in CPUEs, which was lower than $10,000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{t}$ in March through July and increased at the end of the year and in January of the following year. These phenomena reveal that the higher catch rates were contributed by marine fish species that tend to migrate inwards to the estuary during the dry season at the beginning and end of the year.

Heavy rain (wet season) will lead to alterations in water quality. For example, the water depth and turbidity will increase but conductivity, salinity and pH will decrease. The reverse is observed during the dry season. High precipitation during the primary wet season (March to June) and secondary wet season (August to November) increased the water velocity and volume. It also loaded the estuary with silt, organic and inorganic materials which accumulated in the soil for the next dry and transitional period. The rainy season was favoured by marine-estuarine-dependent species of fish and shrimps such as $L$. calcarifer and estuarine-dependent species like B. gymnopomus. Although all the species did not show a correlation with rainfall (Spearman Test), CCA clearly shows that there was a strong correlation with species such as S. argus. This indicates that rainfall has an indirect relationship with the species present. Roessig et al. (2004) described seasonal rainfall as the main factor that affects the strategies of the life cycle of fish, such as their movement, feeding, growth and spawning. Seasonal variations in rainfall create and/or eliminate micro-habitats which are important for fish (Olukolajo \& Oluwaseun 2008). In addition, precipitation promotes alterations in species abundance and richness over a large spatial scale, and this is also important over a small spatial scale such as in small creeks (Grossman et al. 1985). This was also observed in the present study.

Generally, salinity decreases gradually towards the upper reaches of the estuary where there is significant freshwater inflow. Salinity is regarded as a variable that influences the occurrence of some species (Akin et al., 2005); however, this was not the case in the Merbok estuary. This factor was not supported in the CCA diagram, where salinity was the weakest parameter to influence Merbok estuary fish assemblages. However, the Merbok estuary experiences fluctuation in salinity on both tidal and low frequency time scales. Three locations (St4, St5 and St6) were isohaline. Moreover, the estuary was considered mesohaline ( 5.0 to $<18.0 \mathrm{ppt}$ ) in April, September and December and polyhaline ( 18 to 30 ppt ) from January to March, May to August and October to November. This significant result was supported by the presence of euryhaline species such as the family of Sphyraenidae (marine-dependent) in the upper ( $\mathrm{St} 1-\mathrm{St} 3$ ) and lower regions ( St 6 ) near the river mouth.

Fish assemblages varied among locations, mainly in the upper zone, where estuarine-dependent fish species (such as Triachantidae and Labridae) and marine-estuarine-dependent fish species (such as Mugilidae) were more abundant, and in the lower zone, where marine-dependent species (such as Ariidae, Carangidae) were abundant. This finding is supported by Akin et al. (2005) who showed that the longitudinal position (location) was an important variable for fish in streams. In the Merbok estuary, fish species tended to be more abundant in the middle reaches ( St 3 and 4 ) than in the upper reaches ( St 1 and 2) due to the mixing of meso- and polyhaline sea nutrient sources. Most species in the upper reaches were estuarine-dependent species, while the species composition in the lower reaches was related to other factors, such as the migration of marine-dependent species, rather than to salinity and temperature (Smith \& Parrish 2002). These variations were probably influenced by the phytoplankton biomass and nutrient availability in the spring tide due to out-welling from the mangrove swamp and creek (Tanaka \& Choo 2000). Marine-dependent species in the Merbok estuary were mostly restricted to the lower reaches of the estuary, while more estuarine-dependent species were found in the upper reaches. These results were consistent with those of several other studies in which it was shown that the
dependence of fish on mangrove is species-specific and is also site-specific (Nagelkerken et al. 2000, Smith \& Parrish 2002, Hindell \& Jenkins 2004, Chittaro et al. 2005).

Factors that contribute to high precipitation and contamination of water bodies include aquaculture activities along the Merbok estuary, influx of sediment from tributaries that link to residential areas and the release of agricultural waste. As the mature and immature individuals of many estuarine-dependent fish species are utilized commercially, preservation of estuarine habitats is critical for the maintenance of marine and estuarine fisheries. Anthropogenic effects on the Merbok estuary basin, arising from practices such as deforestation, agriculture, pond and cage culture together with significant use of fishing gears of artisanal fishing, require thorough monitoring because these factors affect fish assemblages in the area. The smaller catches of fish species, decreasing size of commercially important fishes and decreasing diversity and abundance of fish species coupled with the high contamination levels of the water body are indicators of the degradation level of the estuary and cannot be ignored (Gamito \& Cabral 2003). Moreover, these wide variations in estuarine functioning are partly elevated by sharp increases in urban and agricultural pollution (Scheren et al. 2004) and in the case of the Merbok estuary, by highly diversified fishery exploitation.

In conclusion, this study has shown that rainfall is the most important environmental factor governing fish community structure in estuarine systems because it is associated with changes in turbidity, conductivity, salinity, temperature, water depth, and pH . These factors have significant effects on temporal fluctuation in fish abundance but not on spatial fluctuation. These distinctive physical characteristics indicate that the Merbok estuary has low seasonality in terms of the discharge of inland and marine waters, resulting in mesohaline and polyhaline waters that generate a stable environmental gradient. These gradients determine the persistent extension and penetration of marine-dependent species into the estuary and lead to the formation of fish assemblages in particular estuarine zones in the case of estuarine-dependent species. However, anthropogenic activities have had a much greater impact on the estuary than natural events. These needs further monitoring because this area is an important nursery ground for fishes, crustaceans, and molluscs, and local communities depend on it for their livelihood.
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Table 1. The in-situ physicochemical parameters of the Merbok estuary with the chi-square values of temporal and spatial differences. Temperature (TEMP, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), Conductivity (COND, $\mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), Water depth (WD, m), Turbidity/transparency (TURB, cm), Salinity (SAL) and $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{pH})$.

| Parameters | N | Mean | S.D. | Minimum | Maximum | Chi-square <br> Temporal <br> $(\mathrm{df}=11)$ | Chi-square <br> Spatial <br> $(\mathrm{df}=5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| TEMP | 74 | 30.17 | 1.15 | 27.70 | 32.35 | $62.88^{* * *}$ | 2.84 |
| COND | 74 | 29265.41 | 8740.76 | 900.00 | 45365.00 | $43.28^{* * *}$ | 9.70 |
| TURB | 74 | 9.24 | 4.87 | 2.50 | 25.00 | $41.81^{* * *}$ | 5.87 |
| SAL | 74 | 21.36 | 6.17 | 3.50 | 30.75 | $44.75^{* * *}$ | 8.64 |
| pH | 74 | 7.15 | 0.37 | 6.35 | 8.15 | $34.52^{* * *}$ | 5.10 |
| WD | 74 | 3.73 | 2.03 | 0.48 | 10.75 | $17.06^{* * *}$ | $13.26^{*}$ |

Notes: * significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.05,{ }^{* *}$ significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.01,{ }^{* * *}$ significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.001, \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{D} .=$ standard deviation.

Table 2. Spearman correlation of fish abundance with physicochemical parameters in the Merbok estuary; Temperature (TEMP, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), Conductivity (COND, $\mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), Water depth (WD, m), Turbidity/transparency (TURB, cm), Salinity (SAL) and $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{pH})$.

| Species name | Species <br> Code | TEMP | COND | WD | TURB | SAL | pH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Batrachomoeus trispinosus | BatBtri | 0.450 | -0.357 | $0.821^{*}$ | 0.321 | -0.679 | -0.214 |
| Butis gymnopomus | EleBgym | -0.369 | $-0.540^{*}$ | -0.038 | $0.659^{* *}$ | -0.389 | $-0.751^{* *}$ |
| Pomadasys kaakan | HaePkaa | 0.055 | 0.158 | 0.576 | 0.483 | -0.067 | $-0.648^{*}$ |
| Hyporhamphus quoyi | HemHquo | $0.900^{*}$ | -0.300 | 0.600 | -0.051 | -0.800 | -0.100 |
| Lates calcarifer | LatLcal | 0.260 | -0.142 | $0.336^{*}$ | 0.019 | $-0.481^{* *}$ | 0.006 |
| Lutjanus russelli | LutLrus | -0.104 | -0.048 | -0.326 | 0.127 | 0.122 | $-0.573^{*}$ |
| Liza subviridis | MugLsub | 0.237 | 0.146 | 0.600 | 0.0 | 0.152 | -0.539 |
| Liza tade | MugLtad | 0.060 | 0.135 | 0.066 | 0.077 | 0.140 | -0.151 |
| Plotosus canius | PloPcan | 0.189 | 0.082 | -0.076 | -0.107 | 0.186 | 0.287 |
| Scatophagus argus | ScaSarg | -0.193 | -0.102 | $-0.582^{*}$ | 0.024 | 0.084 | -0.316 |
| Dendrophysa russelii | SciDrus | $0.714^{*}$ | -0.216 | 0.690 | 0.265 | -0.619 | -0.524 |
| Terapon jarbua | TerTjar | -0.086 | -0.174 | 0.516 | 0.152 | $-0.829^{*}$ | -0.543 |
| Notes: * significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.05, ~ * *$ significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.01$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: * significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.05,{ }^{* *}$ significant at $\mathrm{P}=0.01$.

Appendix 1. Fish composition in terms of catch per unit effort (CPUE, gram/boat/trip), collected using barrier nets in the Merbok estuary, Kedah. The Family Code (FmCode) and Species Code (SppCode) are given, and the organisms are categorised as follows; M: marine, MED: marine-estuarine-dependent, E: estuarine, FED: freshwater-estuarine-dependent, FW: Freshwater, CA: Catadromous, A: Anadromous.

| Family name | FmCode | Fish species | SppCode | Category | Mean CPUE (g/b trip) | SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ariidae | Ari | Arius argyropleuron | AriAarg | E | 8879.87 | 2714.82 | 13.36 |
| " | Ari | Arius caelatus | AriAcae | MED | 4800.00 |  | 7.22 |
| " | Ari | Arius maculatus | AriAmac | MED | 5592.68 | 3921.36 | 8.42 |
| " | Ari | Arius platystomus | AriApla | M | 130.00 |  | 0.20 |
| " | Ari | Arius sagor | AriAsag | MED | 1572.23 | 2364.16 | 2.37 |
| Batrachoididae | Bat | Batrachomoeus trispinosus | BatBtri | MED | 628.23 | 849.83 | 0.95 |
| Belonidae | Bel | Strongylura strongylura | BelSstr | E | 218.85 | 189.76 | 0.33 |
| Carangidae | Car | Carangoides praeustus | CarCpra | M | 10.66 |  | 0.02 |
| " | Car | Carangoides talamporoides | CarCtal | M | 40.00 |  | 0.06 |
| " | Car | Carangoides uii | CarCuii | M | 143.80 | 182.72 | 0.22 |
| " | Car | Caranx sexfasciatus | CarCsex | M | 33.09 |  | 0.05 |
| Cichlidae | Cic | Oreochromis mossambious | CicCmos | FW | 566.95 | 460.00 | 0.85 |
| Clupeidae | Clu | Anodontostoma chacunda | CluAcha | M | 45.60 | 20.36 | 0.07 |
| Cynoglossidae | Cyn | Cynoglossus bilineatus | CynCbil | M | 40.00 |  | 0.06 |
| " | Cyn | Cynoglossus lingua | CynClin | M | 472.70 | 155.14 | 0.71 |
| " | Cyn | Grammatobothus polyphthalmus | CynGpol | M | 36.15 | 24.25 | 0.05 |
| Dasyatidae | Das | Himantura walga | DasHwal | MED | 9.80 |  | 0.01 |
| Eleotridae | Ele | Butis butis | EleBbut | E | 227.44 | 167.01 | 0.34 |
| " | Ele | Butis gymnopomus | EleBgym | E | 1736.86 | 1018.52 | 2.61 |
| Elopidae | Elo | Elops hawaiensis | EloEhaw | E | 376.50 | 372.65 | 0.57 |
| Engraulidae | Eng | Encrasicholina punctifer | EngEpun | M | 37.50 |  | 0.06 |
| " | Eng | Stelophorus tri | EngStri | M | 34.90 | 16.97 | 0.05 |
| Gerreidae | Ger | Gerres filamentosus | GerGfil | MED | 2040.45 | 1489.61 | 3.07 |
| " | Ger | Gerres kapas | GerGkap | M | 1318.40 | 2149.25 | 1.98 |
| " | Ger | Gerres oyena | GerGoye | M | 920.00 | 1187.94 | 1.38 |
| " | Ger | Pentaprion longimanus | GerPlon | MED | 49.28 | 23.79 | 0.07 |
| Gobiidae | Gob | Acentrogobius audax | GobAaud | MED | 595.85 | 332.77 | 0.90 |
| " | Gob | Acentrogobius viridipunctatus | GobAvir | MED | 49.50 |  | 0.07 |
| " | Gob | Boleopthalmus pectinirostris | GobBpec | MED | 40.00 |  | 0.06 |
| Gymnuridae | Gym | Gynura poecilura | GymGpoe | M | 2700.00 |  | 4.06 |
| Haemulidae | Hae | Pomadasys kaakan | HaePkaa | M | 1336.28 | 1046.10 | 2.01 |
| Hemiramphidae | Hem | Hemiramphus far | HemHfar | E | 96.40 |  | 0.15 |
| " | Hem | Hyporhamphus quoyi | HemHquo | E | 63.02 | 38.62 | 0.09 |
| Latidae | Lat | Lates calcarifer | LatLcal | E | 1782.84 | 729.86 | 2.68 |
| Leiognathidae | Lei | Leiognathus nuchalis | LeiLnuc | M | 90.08 | 92.03 | 0.14 |
| " | Lei | Leiognathus smithursti | LeiLsmi | M | 340.00 |  | 0.51 |
| Lethrinidae | Let | Letrinus lentjan | LetLlen | E | 65.00 |  | 0.10 |
| Lutjanidae | Lut | Lutjanus russelli | LutLrus | M | 1311.41 | 664.58 | 1.97 |
| " | Lut | Lutjanus argentimaculatus | LutLarg | M | 1195.83 | 734.89 | 1.80 |
| " | Lut | Lutjanus johni | LutLjoh | M | 762.96 | 542.73 | 1.15 |
| Megalopidae | Meg | Megalops cyprinoides | MegMcyp | EFD | 2460.35 | 1911.24 | 3.70 |
| Mugilidae | Mug | Liza subviridis | MugLsub | MED | 1518.38 | 824.98 | 2.29 |
| " | Mug | Liza tade | MugLtad | MED | 973.94 | 481.92 | 1.47 |
| " | Mug | Liza vaigiensis | MugLvai | MED | 1851.39 | 1664.94 | 2.79 |
| " | Mug | Valamugil buchanani | MugVbuc | MED | 919.44 | 263.57 | 1.38 |
| " | Mug | Valamugil engeli | MugVeng | MED | 709.97 | 685.67 | 1.07 |
| " | Mug | Valamugil speigleri | MugVspe | MED | 741.26 | 839.62 | 1.12 |
| Platycephalidae | Pla | Platycephalus indicus | PlaPind | M | 652.20 | 664.60 | 0.98 |
| Plotosidae | Plo | Plotosus canius | PloPcan | M | 1490.36 | 1016.92 | 2.24 |
| Polynemidae | Pol | Eleutheronema tetradactylum | PolEtet | M | 1450.00 |  | 2.18 |
| Scatophagidae | Sca | Scatophagus argus | ScaSarg | EFD | 3293.58 | 874.25 | 4.96 |
| Sciaenidae | Sci | Dendrophysa russelii | SciDrus | MED | 245.34 | 84.70 | 0.37 |
| " | Sci | Johnius amblycephalus | SciJamb | MED | 30.65 | 0.78 | 0.05 |
| " | Sci | Johnius belangerii | SciJbel | MED | 2437.99 | 3787.31 | 3.67 |
| " | Sci | Johnius borneensis | SciJbor | MED | 1711.50 |  | 2.58 |
| " | Sci | Paranibea semiluctousa | SciPsem | MED | 20.50 |  | 0.03 |
| Serranidae | Ser | Epinephelus coioides | SerEcoi | E | 304.31 | 76.81 | 0.46 |
| Siganidae | Sig | Siganus canaliculatus | SigScan | MED | 895.00 |  | 1.35 |
| " | Sig | Siganus guttaus | SigSgut | MED | 305.00 |  | 0.46 |
| " | Sig | Siganus javus | SigSjav | MED | 152.84 | 103.34 | 0.23 |
| Sillaginidae | Sil | Sillago sihama | SilSsih | M | 218.81 | 218.77 | 0.33 |
| Sphyraenidae | Sph | Sphyraena baracuda | SphSbar | M | 1835.00 | 1027.07 | 2.76 |
|  | Sph | Sphyraena jello | SphSjel | M | 644.85 | 414.74 | 0.97 |
| Stromathidae | Str | Pampus argenteus | StrParg | M | 410.00 |  | 0.62 |
| Terapontidae | Ter | Terapon jarbua | TerTjar | M | 219.23 | 163.99 | 0.33 |
| Tetraodonthidae | Tet | Tetraodon fluviatilis | TetTflu | MED | 176.97 | 262.75 | 0.27 |
| " | Tet | Tetraodon nigroviridis | TetTnig | MED | 334.62 | 123.99 | 0.50 |


| Triachantidae | Tri | Pseudotriacanthus striglifer | TriPstr | M | 50.00 | 0.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Figure 1. The Merbok estuary located in Kedah state with the six sampling stations. These were divided into three zones: upper (St1, Lalang River and St2, Semeling River), middle (St3, Keluang River and St4, Teluk Wang) and lower (St5, Gelam River and St6, Lubuk Pusing).


Figure 2. Monthly mean value (■) and daily rainfall (o) distribution in the area of Merbok estuary recorded in 2010 (supplied by Meteorological Department of Malaysia).


Figure 3. Temporal and spatial variations in physicochemical parameters (Temperature, Conductivity, Turbidity, Salinity and pH ) in the Merbok estuary with mean ( $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ ).


Figure 4. Importance value index of dominant fish species collected from the Merbok estuary. The ranking is based on percentage CPUE (gram/boat/trip).



Figure 5. Temporal and spatial variations in fish abundance indicating by mean CPUE ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{boat} / \mathrm{trip}$ ) $\pm$ S.D. in the Merbok estuary.


Figure 6. Ordination diagram from the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) of fish species and environmental parameters; Temperature (TEMP, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), Conductivity (COND, $\mu \mathrm{mHos} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ),
Turbidity/transparency (TURB, cm), Salinity (SAL) and $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{pH})$. Abbreviations (species code) of the species are provided in Appendix 1.
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