www.iiste.org

# Effect of the Time and Rate of N-Fertilizer Application on Growth and Yield of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) at Gamo-gofa Zone, Southern Ethiopia

Bekalu Abebe<sup>1</sup> Arega Abebe<sup>2</sup> 1. Woliata Sodo University, Dawuro Terecha campus 2. Arbaminch Agricultural Research Center

#### Abstract

There is little knowledge among farmers on the time and rate of N-fertilizer application at Gamo-gofa. Therefore, there is a need to determine time and rate of N-fertilizer application on growth and yield of wheat. Accordingly, an experiment were conducted to evaluate the effect of the time and rate of N-fertilizer application on yield and yield components of wheat on two locations at Gamo-gofa, Southern Ethiopia in 2014 and 2015 cropping season. A factorial combination of the time (at planting, 15 and 30 days after planting) and rate (0, 23, 46, 69 and 92 k.gha<sup>-1</sup>) of N-fertilizer application were arranged in split plot. Time and rate of N-fertilizer and their interaction had significantly affected days to heading, plant height, number of tiller, panicle, thousand seed weight, grain, straw and total biomass yields. Also year and location had significantly affected days to maturity and spike length. Whereas location had significantly affected days to heading and spike length; but year had significantly affected plant height, biomass, straw yield, thousand seed weight and grain yield. Meanwhile time and rate of Nfertilizer and there interaction, and location had not significantly affected days to maturity and harvest index; but year had significantly affect. The additive effect of fertilizer applied with the rate of 23, 46, 69 and 92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at thirty days after planting; minimize the date of heading by six days compared with fertilizer applied at the time of planting in the rate of 0, 23, 46 and 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Planting at Bonke woreda had hastened the day for heading by one day compared with planted at Chencha woreda. Wheat planted in 2014 was delayed the date of maturity by twenty days compared with planted in 2015. Planting of wheat at Bonke woreda hasted the day for maturity by nineteen days compared with planting at Chencha woreda. The additive effect of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>N-fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting had contributed for 16.5 cm in increments in height than control. Wheat which, planted in 2014 had 6.8 cm more plant height than planted in 20015. Fertilizer applied at fifteen days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was decrease spike length by 3.8 cm compared with fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The additive effect of fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>had 70.3 % more tillers than control, but 12.4 % less tillers than fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Fertilizer applied 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at thirty days after planting contributed to 28.6 % increment in biomass than fertilizer applied 92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>at thirty days after planting. Fertilizer applied 92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> had 57.8 % less straw than fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The combined effect fertilizer applied 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>at thirty days later of planting had 19.2% more thousand seed weight than control. Fertilized applied at fifteen days after planting with rate of 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>had 25.5 % more grain yield than control; but 7.5 % less grain yield than 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting. Wheat planted in 2015 had 37 % less harvest index than which, planted in 2014. Thus, 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting is economically beneficial compared to the other treatments.

Keywords: Time of fertilizer application; Rate of fertilizer, Fertilizer, Nitrogen

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia is one of the largest producers of wheat in sub-Saharan Africa (Tanner and Mwangi, 1992). Wheat grows mostly in the highlands area of Ethiopian, at altitudes ranging from 1500 to 3000 m (Bekele *et al.*, 1994).

Though Ethiopian agro-climatic condition is suitable for white production, productivity is low (1.3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). This is because of depleted soil fertility (Asnakew *et al.*, 1991; Tanner *et al.*, 1993), low levels of chemical fertilizer usage, limited knowledge on time and rate of fertilizer application (Asnakew *et al.*, 1991; Amsal *et al.*, 1997; CSA, 2000), and the unavailability of other modern crop management inputs (Asnakew *et al.*, 1991). Therefore managing of soil fertility is crucial for improving wheat productivity.

Using of fertilizers which, containing N and P are affects wheat yield and quality (Fischer, 1989; Bacon 1995). Especially using of N fertilizer is considered to be a primary means of increasing wheat grain yield in Ethiopia (Tanner *et al.*, 1993; Amsal *et al.*, 2000).

Though appropriate time and rate of N fertilizer application have a number of merits, there is little knowledge in Ethiopia (Asnakew *et al.*, 1991). Particularly farmers of Chencha and Bonke woreda have no idea on appropriate rate and time of N fertilizer application. These resulted for reeducation in both yield and quality of wheat (Tilahun *et al.*, 1996b). Therefore, this study was initiated with the following objectives:

> To evaluate the effect of time and rate of N-fertilizer application on growth and yield of wheat.

- > To see the interactive effect of time and rate of N-fertilizer application on growth and yield of wheat.
- > To identify the optimum time and rate of N-fertilizer application on growth and yield of wheat.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 Site Description

These studies were conducted at Chencha and Bonke woredas, in Southern Ethiopia. The Chencha and Bonke woredas are situated at  $9^0$  5'N and  $39^0.45$ 'E and 50 9'N and  $37^024$ 'E respectively. Meantime Chencha and Bonke woredas are situated an elevation range of 1700- 3100 masl and 501-3500 masl respectively; which are ideal for the production of wheat. The mean annual rain fall varies from 900-1400 mm in Chencha woreda and 900-1400 mm in Bonke woreda. The dominant crops growing around the experimental area are enset (*Ensete ventricosum*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) (GGZRDO, 2016).

## 2.2. Experimental Treatments and design

The experiment consisted of two factors, time and rate of N-fertilizer application; were arranged in split plot design and replicated four times. Times of N-fertilizer consist of application (at planting, 15 and 30 days after planting) and Rat of N-fertilizer consists of application (0, 23, 46, 69 and 92k.gha<sup>-1</sup>).

# **2.3. Experimental Procedure**

Degelo wheat variety was used at 150kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as a test crop; and the experimental field was prepared by using oxen plow and plowed four times, before planting. Meanwhile DAP fertilizer was used at the rate of 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as source of N and P; and Urea was applied at the rate of 0, 23, 46, 69 and 92 k.g ha<sup>-1</sup> at the time of planting, 15and 30 days after planting.

# 2.4. Soil Sampling and Analysis

Sixteen random soil samples (0-30 cm depth) from the experimental field were thoroughly mixed to make a composite. The sample was air dried and ground to pass 2 mm sieve and necessary parameters such as soil texture, available P, pH and CEC were determined. For the determination of OC and N 1mm sieve was used. Soil texture was analyzed by Bouyoucos hydrometer method (Day, 1965). Available P was extracted with a sodium bicarbonate solution at pH 8.5 following the procedure described by Olsen et al. (1954).The pH of the soil was measured potentiometrically in the 1:2.5 soil: water mixture by using a pH meter and organic carbon was determined following Walkely and Black wet oxidation method (Walkely and Black, 1934).Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) was determined by Ammonium Acetate method (Jackson, 1973).

## 2.5. Data Collection

## 2.5.1. Phenological data

**Days to 50% heading**: number of days from sowing up to the date when the tips of the panicles first emerged from the main shoot, on 50% of the plant in a plot

**Days to 90% maturity**: number of days from the date of sowing up to the date when 90% of the crop stands in a plot changed to light yellow color.

## 2.5.2. Growth data

**Plant height (cm):** - It was taken at an interval of 20 days; by taking six randomly selected plants and measured from the base of the main stem to the tip of the panicle.

**Growth Rate:** - It was the ratio of the differences between two consecutive plant heights measured at difference  $\Delta x \div \Delta T_{2}$  and  $\Delta x \to \Delta T_$ 

# time [GR= ${}^{\Delta}$ H $\div$ $\Delta$ T] (Watson, 1952).

**Tillers number**  $(m^2)$ : - to determine the capacity of tillering per 1m<sup>2</sup>, 10 cm X 20 cm area was demarcated and the number of plants existed in that area was counted. Then recounting was done after at flowering on demarked area; because maximum tillers produced during vegetative phase and senescence occurs at maturity (Lafarge et al., 2004). Finally the difference between the first and second count was converted into 1m<sup>2</sup>.

**Panicles per plant:** - six plants were randomly taken and the average number of panicles per plant was considered.

**Panicle length (cm)**: - length of the panicle was measured by selecting six plants randomly and measuring from the node (the first panicle branch started) to the tip of the panicle.

# 2.5.3 Yield and yield components

Total above ground biomass (kg):- was measured after sun-drying for two days.

**Straw yield (kg):** - was measured by subtracting grain yield per plot from the total above ground biomass. **Grain yield (kg ha**<sup>-1</sup>):- yield from every plot

Thousand seed weight (g): - the seeds were taken from each plot and 1000 seeds counted by hand and then weighted.

Harvest index: - the ratio of grain yield to the above ground (shoot) biomass. [HI= Grain yield/Total biomass].

### 2.6. Economic Analysis

For economic analysis, a simple partial budget analysis was employed using CIMMYT approach (CIMMT, 1988). For partial budget analysis, the factors with significant effect were considered. The yield was adjusted by subtracting 10 % from average gain yield. Than after, gross yield benefit was obtained by multiplying the adjusted yield by the price of grain (13 birr kg<sup>-1</sup>). Net benefit was calculated, by subtracting labor cost from gross yield. Finally marginal rate of return (MRR) was obtained, by dividing marginal net benefit to the marginal cost and expressed as percentage (CIMMT, 1988). The mean market price of wheat was obtained by assessing the market at harvest (2014 cropping season).

## 2.7. Data Analysis

The various agronomic data were analyzed using the general linear model (GLM) procedures of the SAS statistical software (SAS Institute, 2000) to evaluate the effect of sowing method and time of fertilizer application and their interaction. Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at P $\leq$ 0.05 was used to separate means whenever there were significant differences.

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# **3.1.** Physicochemical Properties of the Experimental Soil.

The analytic results indicated that the experimental soil of Chencha and Bonke woredas were textured loam and clay loam respectively. Meantime both woredas having organic carbon content (OC) of 0.95 and 0.78% respectively (Table 1). Accordance with Sahlemedhin (1999), the soil in both locations had high OC, who rated OC between 1.74-2.90% as high. The CEC of the soil were 22.4 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> and 24.6 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> at Chencha and Bonke woredas respectively which, could be considered as medium. Because if CEC value ranges between 25 and 40 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> satisfactory response for applied fertilizer (Sahlemedhin, 1999). According to Olsen *et al*(1954) P rating (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), P content of < 3 is very low, 4 to 7 is low, 8 to 11 is medium, and > 11 is high. Thus experimental sites, available P content were medium in both locations. The pH of the soil were 5.23 and 5.88 on Chencha and Bonke woredas respectively, which is within the range of 4 to 8 suitable for wheat production (FAO, 2000).Total N of the soil on both locations (0.098 % and 0.070% ), are low; as rated by Havlin et al., (1999) who rated total N less than 0.15 % as low.

	ysio-chen	incar pro	per des or the	e experime	intal 3011.					
Location	Depth	pН	CEC	OC (%)	Total N	Av.P	Pa	article s	ize	Textural
	(cm)	(H20)	(cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )		(%)	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	dist	ribution	(%)	
							sand	clay	silt	Class
Chencha	0-30	5.23	22.4	0.78	0.070	7.8	26	26	48	Loam Clay loam
Bonke	0-30	5.88	24.6	0.95	0.098	8.4	36	28	36	Iodili

Table 1: - Physio-chemical properties of the experimental soil.

CEC= Cation Exchange Capacity, OC= Organic Carbon, Av.P= Available phosphorous.

# **3.2.** Crop Phenology

## 3.2.1. Days to heading

The time and rate of N-fertilizer application had a significant ( $P \le 0.001$ ); effect on days to heading; whereas there interaction and location had a significant ( $P \le 0.1$ ); effect on days to heading. But the year had non-significantly affected days to heading.

The additive effect of fertilizer applied with the rate of 23, 46, 69 and 92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at thirty days after planting; minimize the date of heading by six days compared with fertilizer applied at the time of planting in the rate of 0, 23, 46 and 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). The result in line with the finding of Cock and Ellis (1992) indicated that sufficient nitrogen at right time results in rapid growth and heading.

However too little N fertilizes application and excessive N applied at any time resulted in delayed heading, because excessive N kept vegetative growth active and finally resulted in delayed heading and flowering (Osman and Mohamed, 1981).

Planting at Bonke woreda had hastened the day for heading by one day compared with planted at Chencha woreda (Table 2). This is due to relatively better amount of available N and P at Bonke woreda compared with Chencha woreda (Table 1). Because increasing in elevation results for fast root and shoot growth; as well as facilitate rapid maturity of wheat (Amanuel *et al.*, 2002).

Treatments		50% Heading	
TF	FR	-	
Control	0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	56.50a	
at planting	$23 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	54.12ab	
at planting	$46 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	52.87abc	
at planting	$69 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	52.37abc	
at planting	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	50.37bcde	
15 DAP	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	48.12de	
15 DAP	$46 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	48.75cde	
15 DAP	$69 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	49.87cde	
15 DAP	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	50.87bcd	
30 DAP	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	47.62de	
30 DAP	$46 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	47.00de	
30 DAP	$69 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	46.37e	
30 DAP	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	49.75cde	
LSD (5%)		4.23	
CV (%)		4.94	
Year			
2007		50.38	
2008		50.33	
LSD (5%)		0.97	
CV (%)		4.94	
Location			
Chencha		50.88a	
Bonke		49.83b	
LSD (5%)		0.97	
CV (%)		4.94	
	ED_ E-++ili D-+- D (D_D	After Dimeting the same letter in a silver of a	1

# Table2:- Interactive effect of the time and rate of N-fertilizer application on 50% heading of wheat

TF= Time of Fertilizer FR= Fertilizer Rate, DAP=Days After Planting, the same letter in a column of each factor shows a non-significant difference at 5% probability level.

#### 3.2.2. Days to maturity

Main effect of the rate and time of N fertilizer application and their interaction were no significant effect on days  $(D \leq 0.01)$  ( $D \leq 0.01$ ).

to 90% maturity; whereas year and location had significant ( $P \le 0.001$ ); effect on days to 90% maturity.

Wheat planted in 2014 was delayed the date of maturity by twenty days compared with planted in 2015 (Table 3). This is due to limited amount of rain in 2015retured the growth rate and facilitates the day for maturity. Because the growth and maturity of wheat directly influenced by availability of essential nutrients (Hailu Geberemariam, 2003).

Planting of wheat at Bonke woreda hasted the day for maturity by nineteen days compared with planting at Chencha woreda (Table-3). This is due to relatively high elevation in Chencha woreda, results for maximum freezing effect. Because temperature has great influence on crop metabolism which, is directly affect growth and maturity of the crop (Amanuel *et al.*, 2002).

Table 3:- Effect of the time and rate of N-fer Treatments	90 % Maturity
Time of N-fertilizer	
at planting	156.17
15 DAP	152.34
30 DAP	153.68
LSD (5%)	6.26
CV (%)	7.05
Rate of N-fertilizer	
0 kg ha	158.75
23 kg ha	158.75
46 kg ha	154.54
69 kg ha	153.21
92 kg ha	152.96
LSD (5%)	10.34
CV (%)	7.05
Year	
2007	164.06a
2008	144.40b
LSD (5%)	4.23
CV (%)	7.05
Location	
Chencha	163.86a
Bonke	144.61b
LSD (5%)	4.24
CV (%)	7.05

TF= Time of Fertilizer FR= Fertilizer Rate, DAP=Days After Planting, the same letter in a column of each factor shows a non-significant difference at 5% probability level.

## 3.3. Growth data

## 3.3.1. Plant height

The interaction of rate and time of fertilizer application, time of fertilizer application and year had significant ( $P \le 0.001$ ) effect on plant height; while the rate of fertilizer application had significant ( $P \le 0.001$ ) effect on plant height. But location had non-significant ( $P \le 0.001$ ) effect on plant height.

The additive effect of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>N-fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting had contributed for 16.5 cm in increments in height than control (Table 4). This result is major indicator for the height of wheat mainly affected by the time of fertilizer application. Meanwhile the combined effect of optimum fertilizer application at right time had significant effect on height of wheat (Lemma *et al.*, 1992). But too early and let application of N, resulted in significantly reduced heights (Mekonnen, 1985; Zewdu *et al.*, 1992; Tilahun *et al.*, 1996a).

Wheat which, planted in 20014 had 6.8 cm more plant height than planted in 2015 (Table 4). This is because of adequate rain in 2014 contributed for increment in plant height. The result is in line with the finding of (Kumara *et al.*, 2006), the amount of water supplied for plants has direct effect on growth of height of plant. Meantime growth rate and height of wheat varies based on the season which planted (FAO, 2003).

Treatments		PH (CM)	SL (CM)	
TF	FR			
Control	0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	77.52d	5.07f	
at planting	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	79.40bcd	5.287f	
at planting	46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	80.12 bcd	5.42f	
at planting	69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	79.02cd	5.10f	
at planting	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	88.10abcd	6.64f	
15 DAP	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	83.35 abcd	5.85f	
15 DAP	46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	90.20ab	25.90b	
15 DAP	69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	88.75abc	13.737d	
15 DAP	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	80.47bcd	5.287f	
30 DAP	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	89.32abc	6.77f	
30 DAP	46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	89.97abc	29.70a	
30 DAP	69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	94.02a	17.27c	
30 DAP	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	80.75bcd	10.10e	
LSD (5%)		11.04	2.64	
CV (%)		7.66	14.18	
Year				
	2007	88.11a	11.83a	
	2008	81.27b	10.03b	
LSD (5%)		2.53	0.60	
CV (%)		7.66	14.18	
Location				
	Chencha	85.70	11.32a	
	Bonke			
		83.68	10.55b	
LSD (5%)		2.53	0.60	
CV (%)		7.66	14.18	

# Table 4:- Interactive effect of the time and rate of N-fertilizer application on growth and yield of wheat

PH=Plant Height, SL= Spike Length, TF= Time of Fertilizer FR= Fertilizer Rate, DAP=Days After Planting, the same letter in a column of each factor shows a non-significant difference at 5% probability level.

#### 3.3.2. Spike length

Main and interactive effect of the time and rate of N-fertilizer application, and year significantly ( $P \le 0.001$ ) affected spike length; also location had significant ( $P \le 0.01$ ) effect.

Fertilizer applied at fifteen days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was contributed 20.8 cm increment in spike length than control. Whereas fertilizer applied at fifteen days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was decrease spike length by 3.8 cm compared with fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). These findings are strongly justifies, N fertilizer application by itself do not have significant effect on panicle length of wheat. But the combined effect optimum amount of fertilizer at right time has significant effect on growth of spike length. Similar results have also been reported by (Alcoz *et al.*, 1993), higher spike length of wheat achieved by fertilizer applied 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>at one month later of planting (Table 4). Meanwhile excessive application of N fertilizer has toxic effect on wheat growth and results for stunted growth and reduced spike length (Smith and Hamel (1999).

Wheat which planted in 2014 had maximized spike length by 1.8 cm capered with planted in 2015 (Table 4). Inadequate and less uniform rain in 2015 resulted for reduction in spike length. Because spike length of wheat, directly affected by amount of available nutrients and water (Genene, 2003).

### 3.4. Yield and yield components

#### 3.4. 1. Tillers

Time of fertilizer, fertilizer rate and their interaction effect significantly (P<0.001) affected the number of tillers. But the year of planting and location were not significantly affected the number of tillers.

The additive effect of fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>had 70.3 % more tillers than control, but 12.4 % less tillers than fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Whereas fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> had 61.3 % less tillers than fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, but 32.7 % more tillers than fertilizer

applied at the time of planting with the rate of 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5). These variations on tiller number due to, the time fertilizer application had significant effect on the number of tiller (Bekalu.A and Tenaw.W, 2015).

The above result indicated that enhancement in tiller number when N fertilizer applied at right time with optimum amount. Meanwhile the result is agreement with that of Genene (2003) who reported higher tillering and maximum survival percentage of tillers with optimum time and rate of N fertilizer application in bread wheat. Agree with the results of this study, Botella *et al.* (1993) reported that stimulation of tillering with optimal application of nitrogen might be due to its positive effect on cytokinin synthesis. Generally maximum number of tiller achieved through 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N fertilizer applied thirty days later of planting (Haftom *et al*, 2009).

TFFRNT11ha <sup>-1</sup> GY (Qha <sup>-1</sup> )Control0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.02g11189b8966b46.62b20.75dat planting23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.20g10500b8345b47.50b21.54cdat planting46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.32fg12308ab9976ab49.00ab23.31 abcdat planting69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.86de12771ab9926ab51.50ab22.82bcdat planting92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.21d11903b9404ab49.37ab24.98abcd15 DAP23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.21d11903b9404ab49.37ab24.98abcd15 DAP46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.95c13559ab10775ab52.25ab27.84abc15 DAP69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.11de10969b8377b46.62b25.91abcd15 DAP92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.81de11415b9682ab51.62ab23.53abcd30 DAP23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de16512a13503a58.50a30.10a30 DAP46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7.76a12832ab9068b55.50ab26.44abcd30 DAP92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de11784b9161b47.62b22.54bcdLSD (5%)0.464209.14167.210.417.02CV (%)7.7620.0925.2211.9616.55LSD (5%)0.10963.4953.82.381.61Chencha3.5212475.69961.951.6825.50LSD (5%)0.10 <td< th=""><th colspan="2">Treatments</th><th></th><th>TBM (kg ha⁻</th><th>SY (kg ha⁻</th><th>TSW (g</th><th></th></td<>	Treatments			TBM (kg ha⁻	SY (kg ha⁻	TSW (g	
at planting 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.20g 10500b 8345b 47.50b 21.54cd   at planting 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.32fg 12308ab 9976ab 49.00ab 23.31 abcd   at planting 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.86de 12771ab 9926ab 51.50ab 22.82bcd   at planting 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.74ef 12119b 9567ab 53.12ab 25.51 abcd   15 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.21d 11903b 9404ab 49.37ab 24.98abcd   15 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.95c 13559ab 10775ab 52.25ab 27.84abc   15 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.11de 10969b 8377b 46.62b 25.91 abcd   15 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.81de 11415b 9682ab 51.62ab 23.53abcd   30 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 6.80b 12031b 9387 b 55.50ab 28.47abcd   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd	TF	FR	NT				GY (Qha <sup>-1</sup> )
at planting 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.20g 10500b 8345b 47.50b 21.54cd   at planting 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.32fg 12308ab 9976ab 49.00ab 23.31 abcd   at planting 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.86de 12771ab 9926ab 51.50ab 22.82bcd   at planting 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.74ef 12119b 9567ab 53.12ab 25.51 abcd   15 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.21d 11903b 9404ab 49.37ab 24.98abcd   15 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.95c 13559ab 10775ab 52.25ab 27.84abc   15 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.11de 10969b 8377b 46.62b 25.91 abcd   15 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.81de 11415b 9682ab 51.62ab 23.53abcd   30 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 6.80b 12031b 9387 b 55.50ab 28.47abcd   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd	Control	0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.02g	11189b	8966b	46.62b	20.75d
at planting at planting $69 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $2.86de$ $12771ab$ $9926ab$ $51.50ab$ $22.82bcd$ at planting $92 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $2.74ef$ $12119b$ $9567ab$ $53.12ab$ $25.51abcd$ 15 DAP $23 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $3.21d$ $11903b$ $9404ab$ $49.37ab$ $24.98abcd$ 15 DAP $46 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $3.95c$ $13559ab$ $10775ab$ $52.25ab$ $27.84abc$ 15 DAP $69 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $3.11de$ $10969b$ $8377b$ $46.62b$ $25.91abcd$ 15 DAP $92 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $2.81de$ $11415b$ $9682ab$ $51.62ab$ $23.53abcd$ 30 DAP $23 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $6.80b$ $12031b$ $9387 b$ $55.50ab$ $26.44abcd$ 30 DAP $46 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $7.76a$ $12832ab$ $9068b$ $55.50ab$ $28.77ab$ $30 DAP$ $69 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $3.00de$ $16512a$ $13503a$ $58.50a$ $30.10a$ $30 DAP$ $69 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ $2.92de$ $11784b$ $9161b$ $47.62b$ $22.54bcd$ LSD (5%) $0.46$ $4209.1$ $4167.2$ $10.41$ $7.02$ CV (%) $7.76$ $20.09$ $25.22$ $11.96$ $16.55$ Year $2007$ $3.52$ $14336.8a$ $11969.3a$ $53.44a$ $26.18a$ LSD (5%) $0.10$ $963.4$ $953.8$ $2.38$ $1.61$ CV (%) $7.76$ $20.09$ $25.22$ $11.96$ $16.55$ LSD (5%) $0.10$ $963.4$ $953.8$	at planting	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.20g	10500b	8345b	47.50b	21.54cd
at planting 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.74ef 12119b 9567ab 53.12ab 25.51abcd   15 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.21d 11903b 9404ab 49.37ab 24.98abcd   15 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.95c 13559ab 10775ab 52.25ab 27.84abc   15 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.11de 10969b 8377b 46.62b 25.91abcd   15 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.81de 11415b 9682ab 51.62ab 23.53abcd   30 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 6.80b 12031b 9387 b 55.50ab 26.44abcd   30 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7.76a 12832ab 9068b 55.50ab 28.77ab   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd   LSD (5%) 0.46 4209.1 4167.2 10.41 7.02   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Year 2007 3.52 14336.8a	at planting	46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.32fg	12308ab	9976ab	49.00ab	23.31abcd
15 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.21d 11903b 9404ab 49.37ab 24.98abcd   15 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.95c 13559ab 10775ab 52.25ab 27.84abc   15 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.11de 10969b 8377b 46.62b 25.91abcd   15 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.81de 11415b 9682ab 51.62ab 23.53abcd   30 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 6.80b 12031b 9387 b 55.50ab 26.44abcd   30 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7.76a 12832ab 9068b 55.50ab 28.77ab   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd   LSD (5%) 0.46 4209.1 4167.2 10.41 7.02   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Year 2007 3.52 14336.8a 11969.3a 53.44a 26.18a   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38	at planting		2.86de	12771ab	9926ab	51.50ab	22.82bcd
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	at planting	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.74ef	12119b	9567ab	53.12ab	25.51abcd
15 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.11 de 10969b 8377b 46.62b 25.91 abcd   15 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.81 de 11415b 9682ab 51.62ab 23.53 abcd   30 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 6.80b 12031b 9387 b 55.50ab 26.44 abcd   30 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7.76a 12832ab 9068b 55.50ab 28.77 ab   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd   LSD (5%) 0.46 4209.1 4167.2 10.41 7.02   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Year 2007 3.52 14336.8a 11969.3a 53.44a 26.18a   2008 3.51 10261.8b 7436.4b 48.83b 23.67b   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Location	15 DAP	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	3.21d	11903b	9404ab	49.37ab	24.98abcd
15 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.81de 11415b 9682ab 51.62ab 23.53abcd   30 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 6.80b 12031b 9387 b 55.50ab 26.44abcd   30 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7.76a 12832ab 9068b 55.50ab 28.77ab   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd   LSD (5%) 0.46 4209.1 4167.2 10.41 7.02   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Year 2007 3.52 14336.8a 11969.3a 53.44a 26.18a   2008 3.51 10261.8b 7436.4b 48.83b 23.67b   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 <td< td=""><td>15 DAP</td><td>46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup></td><td>3.95c</td><td>13559ab</td><td>10775ab</td><td>52.25ab</td><td>27.84abc</td></td<>	15 DAP	46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	3.95c	13559ab	10775ab	52.25ab	27.84abc
30 DAP 23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 6.80b 12031b 9387 b 55.50ab 26.44abcd   30 DAP 46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 7.76a 12832ab 9068b 55.50ab 28.77ab   30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd   LSD (5%) 0.46 4209.1 4167.2 10.41 7.02   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Year 2007 3.52 14336.8a 11969.3a 53.44a 26.18a   2008 3.51 10261.8b 7436.4b 48.83b 23.67b   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Location 3.51 12475.6 9961.9 51.68	15 DAP	69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	3.11de	10969b	8377b	46.62b	25.91abcd
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15 DAP	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.81de	11415b	9682ab	51.62ab	23.53abcd
30 DAP 69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 3.00de 16512a 13503a 58.50a 30.10a   30 DAP 92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de 11784b 9161b 47.62b 22.54bcd   LSD (5%) 0.46 4209.1 4167.2 10.41 7.02   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Year 2007 3.52 14336.8a 11969.3a 53.44a 26.18a   2008 3.51 10261.8b 7436.4b 48.83b 23.67b   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Location 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Location 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Location 3.51 12475.6 9961.9 51.68 25.50   Bonke <	30 DAP	23 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	6.80b	12031b	9387 b	55.50ab	26.44abcd
30 DAP   92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> 2.92de   11784b   9161b   47.62b   22.54bcd     LSD (5%)   0.46   4209.1   4167.2   10.41   7.02     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Year   2007   3.52   14336.8a   11969.3a   53.44a   26.18a     2008   3.51   10261.8b   7436.4b   48.83b   23.67b     LSD (5%)   0.10   963.4   953.8   2.38   1.61     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     LSD (5%)   0.10   963.4   953.8   2.38   1.61     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Location   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Location   3.51   12475.6   9961.9   51.68   25.50     Bonke   3.51   12123.0   9443.8   50.58   24.35	30 DAP	46 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.76a	12832ab	9068b	55.50ab	28.77ab
LSD (5%) 0.46 4209.1 4167.2 10.41 7.02   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Year 2007 3.52 14336.8a 11969.3a 53.44a 26.18a   2008 3.51 10261.8b 7436.4b 48.83b 23.67b   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   LSD (5%) 0.10 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61   CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Location 3.52 12475.6 9961.9 51.68 25.50   Bonke 3.51 12123.0 9443.8 50.58 24.35	30 DAP	69 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	3.00de	16512a	13503a	58.50a	30.10a
CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Year   2007   3.52   14336.8a   11969.3a   53.44a   26.18a     2008   3.51   10261.8b   7436.4b   48.83b   23.67b     LSD (5%)   0.10   963.4   953.8   2.38   1.61     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Lscation   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Location   3.52   12475.6   9961.9   51.68   25.50     Bonke   3.51   12123.0   9443.8   50.58   24.35	30 DAP	92 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.92de	11784b	9161b	47.62b	22.54bcd
Year   2007   3.52   14336.8a   11969.3a   53.44a   26.18a     2008   3.51   10261.8b   7436.4b   48.83b   23.67b     LSD (5%)   0.10   963.4   953.8   2.38   1.61     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Location   Chencha   3.52   12475.6   9961.9   51.68   25.50     Bonke   3.51   12123.0   9443.8   50.58   24.35	LSD (5%)		0.46	4209.1	4167.2	10.41	7.02
2007   3.52   14336.8a   11969.3a   53.44a   26.18a     2008   3.51   10261.8b   7436.4b   48.83b   23.67b     LSD (5%)   0.10   963.4   953.8   2.38   1.61     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Location   Chencha   3.52   12475.6   9961.9   51.68   25.50     Bonke   3.51   12123.0   9443.8   50.58   24.35	CV (%)		7.76	20.09	25.22	11.96	16.55
2008   3.51   10261.8b   7436.4b   48.83b   23.67b     LSD (5%)   0.10   963.4   953.8   2.38   1.61     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Location   Chencha     Bonke   3.51   12475.6   9961.9   51.68   25.50	Year						
LSD (5%)   0.10   963.4   953.8   2.38   1.61     CV (%)   7.76   20.09   25.22   11.96   16.55     Location   Chencha   3.52   12475.6   9961.9   51.68   25.50     Bonke   3.51   12123.0   9443.8   50.58   24.35		2007	3.52	14336.8a	11969.3a	53.44a	26.18a
CV (%) 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55   Location Chencha 3.52 12475.6 9961.9 51.68 25.50   Bonke 3.51 12123.0 9443.8 50.58 24.35		2008	3.51	10261.8b	7436.4b	48.83b	23.67b
Location Chencha 3.52 12475.6 9961.9 51.68 25.50 Bonke 3.51 12123.0 9443.8 50.58 24.35	LSD (5%)		0.10	963.4	953.8	2.38	1.61
Chencha3.5212475.69961.951.6825.50Bonke3.5112123.09443.850.5824.35	CV (%)		7.76	20.09	25.22	11.96	16.55
<b>Bonke</b> 3.51 12123.0 9443.8 50.58 24.35	Location						
		Chencha	3.52	12475.6	9961.9	51.68	25.50
LSD (5%) 0.11 963.4 953.8 2.38 1.61		Bonke	3.51	12123.0	9443.8	50.58	24.35
	LSD (5%)		0.11	963.4	953.8	2.38	1.61
<b>CV (%)</b> 7.76 20.09 25.22 11.96 16.55			7.76	20.09	25.22	11.96	16.55

TF= Time of Fertilizer FR= Fertilizer Rate, DAP=Days After Planting, NT= Number of Tillers, TBM = Total Bio Mass, SY = Straw Yield, GY = Grain Yield, the same letter in a column of each factor shows a non-significant difference at 5% probability level.

# 3.4.2. Total biomass

Main and interactive effect of the time and rate of N fertilizer application were significantly ( $p \le 0.01$ ) affected

biomass yield of wheat, whereas year was significantly ( $p \le 0.001$ ) affected biomass yield of wheat. But location was no significant effect on biomass yield of wheat.

Fertilizer applied 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at thirty days after planting contributed to 28.6 % increment in biomass than fertilizer applied 92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at thirty days after planting (Table 5). N fertilizer application at optimum amount and right time significantly enhanced biomass yield of wheat (Amanuel *et al.*; 1991). But application of highest level of N resulted in less biomass yield. This might be due to the effect of lodging resulted from too high amount of N fertilizer that encourage vegetative growth and height leading to lodging before the translocation of dry matter to economic yield since biomass includes the economic yield (Albert *et al.*; 2005).

Adequate amount of rain in 2014 contributed for 28.4 % increment in biomass yield compared with panted in 2015 (Table 5). This result in line with the finding of (Kumara *et al.*; 2006), biomass yield of onion depends on seasonal rainfall availability. Meantime biomass yield of the crop linearly correlated with the amount of essential nutrient (Genene, 2003.).

## 3.4.3. Straw yield

The interaction of time and rate of fertilizer application and the year of planting were significantly ( $p \le 0.01$ ) and

 $(p \le 0.001)$  respectively affected straw yield of wheat. But time and rate of fertilizer application and planting location were non-significant effect on straw yield of wheat.

Fertilizer applied 92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> had 57.8 % less straw than fertilizer applied thirty days after planting with the rate of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, but no significant variation in straw yield compared with all other treatments (Table 5). These might be due to right time and rate of N fertilizer application on treatment of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting, resulted for maximum vegetative growth (Alcoz *et al.*;1993). But excessive N fertilizer application had toxic effect on crop and resulted for less vegetative growth and straw than control. Similarly, Abdo (2009) reported highest straw yield of durum wheat in response to applying nitrogen at the rate of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### 3.4.4. Thousand Seed weight

Thousand seed weight is an important yield determining component and reported to be a genetic character that is influenced least by environmental factors (Ashraf *et al*, 1999). The analysis of variance showed that the main

effects of rate and timing of N fertilizer application, and timing of N fertilizer had significant ( $p \le 0.01$ ) effect on

thousand seed weight, meanwhile year of planting had significantly effect at ( $p \le 0.001$ ). But the rate of N fertilizer application and location had no significant effect on thousand seed weight.

The combined effect fertilizer applied 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>at thirty days later of planting had 19.2% more thousand seed weight than control, fertilizer applied 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at planting and 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at thirty days after planting (Table 5). Generally maximum thousand seed weight obtained exceeded the minimum by about 20.3 %. This result is agree with that of Channabasavanna and Setty (1994) who reported positive response of rice grain weight to N application. However, in contrast to the finding of this study, Melesse (2007) reported no significant effect of application of different rates of N fertilizer on thousand seed weight of bread wheat. But the optimum amount of N fertilizer within right time gave better grain weight (El-Kramany, 2001).

Wheat planted in 2014 had 8.6 % more thousand seed weight than which planted in 2015 (Table 5). This is due to sufficient amount of rain in2014 contributed for increment in thousand seed weight, since of thousand seed weight strongly influenced by nutrient availability and environmental like rainfall (Ashraf *et al*, 1999).

## 3.4.5. Grain yield

Grain yield of wheat was highly significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) influenced by the interaction of time and rate of N fertilizer application, and rate of N fertilizer application. Meanwhile the time of N fertilizer application and year

of planting were significant at  $(P \le 0.001)$  and  $(P \le 0.01)$  respectively. But location of planting had non-significant effect on grain yield.

Fertilized applied at fifteen days after planting with rate of 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>had 25.5 % more grain yield than control; but 7.5 % less grain yield than 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting (Table 5). In line with the result of this study, Temesgen (2001) reported that application of different levels of N significantly affected grain yield of tef on farmer's field. This is because of well-balanced supply of N at right time, results in higher net assimilation rate and increased grain yield (Sage and Pearcy, 1987). According to this study to maximizing the grain yield wheat, applying of 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N at thirty days after planting appropriate, because proper rate and time of application are critical for meeting crop needs. Also it has considerable opportunities for improving grain yields (Blankenau *et al.*; 2002). Consistent with this study, also Ashraf and Azam (1998) reported that growth stage of plants at which fertilizer is applied determines the final yield of the crop. In agreement with the results of this study, Michael *et al.* (2000) and Anthony *et al.* (2003) indicated that split N application 69 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at thirty days after planting is effective in attaining higher grain yield of wheat.

Greater grain yield of wheat was achieved in 2015 than in 2014. In 2015, 9.6 % reduction in grain yield occurred due to uneven and little amount of rainfall at planted area; because the amount of moisture availability directly influence the growth and grain yield (Samson, 2007).

# 3.4.6. Harvest index

The year of planting had significant ( $P \le 0.001$ ) effect on harvest index of wheat. But main effect of rate and time of N fertilizer application, their interaction and location had no significant effect on harvest index of wheat.

Harvest index is describes plant capacity to allocate biomass (assimilates) into the formed reproductive parts (Mazid et al., 2013). Since the year of planting affects harvest index of the most cereals (Wnuk, 2013). So wheat planted in 2015 had 37 % less harvest index than which, planted in 2015 (Table 6). The result is in line with finding of Reynolds et al (2013), the year of planting has direct effect on harvest index of wheat.

Treatments	HI
Time of N fortilizer	
Time of N-fertilizer	0.22
at planting	
15 DAP	0.23
30 DAP	0.23
LSD (5%)	0.02
CV (%)	17.00
Rate of N-fertilizer	
0 kg ha	0.24
23 kg ha	0.22
46 kg ha	0.22
69 kg ha	0.23
92 kg ha	0.22
LSD (5%)	0.04
CV (%)	17.00
Year	
2007	0.27a
2008	0.17b
LSD (5%)	0.01
CV (%)	17.00
Location	
Chencha	0.23
Bonke	0.23
LSD (5%)	0.01
CV (%)	17.00

TF= Time of Fertilizer, FR= Fertilizer Rate, DAP=Days After Planting, HI= Harvest Index, the same letter in a column of each factor shows a non-significant difference at 5% probability level.

### 3.5. Correlation of grain yield with yield and yield components

Grain yield considered as dependant, whereas plant height, growth rate, tillers, spike length, thousand seed weight, straw yield, total biomass and harvest index were taken as explanatory variables (Table 7).

Grain yield was positively and significant (P < 0.001) associated with date of plant height, tillers, spike length, total biomass, thousand seed weight, r= 0.98, 0.87, 0.88 and 0.50, respectively; but significant (P < 0.05) and (P < 0.01) associated with straw yield and harvest index, r= 0.32 and 0.36 respectively. Similar correlations were reported in barley by Mekonnen (2005) and Alam *et al.* (2005). On the other hand, grain yield was associated negatively with day to heading and maturity, r= 0.89\*\*\* and -0.78\*\*\* respectively; which was in line with the report of Getachew (2004) on bread wheat.

DM 1.0 -0.79*** -0.53*** -0.18 ns -0.02ns -0.78*** -0.65*** -0.33   PH 1.0 0.86*** 0.90*** 0.47*** 0.29* 0.98*** 0.94*** 0.34   TN 1.0 0.96*** 0.71*** 0.58*** 0.87*** 0.91*** 0.25   SL 1.0 0.65*** 0.52*** 0.88*** 0.93*** 0.27   TBM 1.0 0.94*** 0.50*** 0.50*** 0.54*** 0.15	Χ	DH	DM	PH	TN	SL	TBM	SY	GY	TSW	HI
<b>GY</b> 1.0 0.97*** 0.36	DM PH TN SL TBM SY GY		0.96***	-0.85*** -0.79***	-0.64*** -0.53*** 0.86***	-0.66*** -0.55*** 0.90*** 0.96***	-0.27* -0.18 ns 0.47*** 0.71*** 0.65***	-0.09 <sup>ns</sup> -0.02 <sup>ns</sup> 0.29* 0.58*** 0.52***	-0.89*** -0.78*** 0.98*** 0.87*** 0.88*** 0.50*** 0.32*	-0.8*** -0.65*** 0.94*** 0.91*** 0.93*** 0.54*** 0.36*** 0.97***	HI -0.36*** -0.33*** 0.25* 0.25* 0.27* 0.15 ns 0.01 ns 0.36** 0.35**

Table-7:-	Correlation	between <sup>•</sup>	vield and	vield com	nonents of	'wheat

ns = not significant, \* \*\* &\*\*\* significant at 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 respectively, DHD= Date of Heading, DM= Date of Maturity, TN= Tillers Number, SL = Spike Length TBM = Total biomass, GY = Grain Yield, SY = straw yield, TSW = Thousand Seed Weight and HI= Harvest Index.

#### 3.6. Partial Budget Analysis

The net benefit obtained in response to N fertilizer applied 0, 23, 46, 69 and 92 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> thirty days after planting

were 24,271, 29,427, 30,367, 32,217 and 21,877 birr respectively. The higher marginal rate of return with least cost was obtained from 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Because the marginal rate of return was above the minimum level (100%). According to CIMMYT (1988) the recommendation is not necessarily based on the treatment with the highest marginal rate of return compared to that of neither next lowest cost, the treatment with the highest net benefit, and nor the treatment with the highest yield. The identification of a recommendation is based on a change from one treatment to another if the marginal rate of return of that change is greater than the minimum rate of return. Thus, 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting is economically beneficial compared to the other treatments.

Treatment	Av.Y (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	ADTY (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	GFB (birr ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Total variable	Net benefit	MRR (%)
				cost (Birr ha-1)	(Birr ha-1)	
0	20.75	18.67	24,271	0	24,271	
23, T <sub>3</sub>	26.44	23.79	30,927	1,500	29,427	343.73
46, T <sub>3</sub>	28.77	25.89	32,617	2,250	30,367	125.33
69, T <sub>3</sub>	30.10	27.09	35,217	3,000	32,217	246.67
92, T <sub>3</sub>	22.54	20.29	26,377	4,500	21,877	-

Av.Y= Average Yield, ADTY=adjusted yield, GFB= Gross Field Benefit and MRR=Marginal Rate of Return.

### **3.7 Conclusion**

In this study it was found that, the rate of N-fertilizer application had significant effect on growth and yield of wheat. Especially 69 kg ha-1 N applied thirty days after planting, gave maximum biological yield, but 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> fertilizer applied at thirty days after planting is economically beneficial compared to the other treatments. Meantime fertilizer applied 23 kg ha-<sup>1</sup> had acceptable MRR (343.73 %); and net benefit 29,427 birr ha-<sup>1</sup> from grain yield. Thus, it is possible to recommend that; N application 23 kg ha-<sup>1</sup> is effective in attaining higher grain yield and economic benefit of wheat in the trail area. However, it is advisable to undertake further research across soil type, years and locations to draw sound recommendation on a wider scale.

## Reference

- Abdo Woyema. 2009. Effect of different rates of nitrogen fertilizer on yield, yield related traits and Quality of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.var durum). M.Sc. Thesis, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia.
- Albert, S., K. Skirvin, and D. Long, 2005. Winter wheat responses to nitrogen fertilization in a direct-seed, summer fallow management system. pp. 87-91. In: D.A. Long, S.E. Pertrie and P.M. Frank (eds.). Dryland Agric Res. Annual reports. SR 1061. Corvallis.
- Alcoz, M., M. Frank, and V. Haby. 1993. Nitrogen fertilizer timing effect on wheat production, nitrogen uptake efficiency, and residual soil nitrogen. *Agron. J.* 85: 1198-1203
- Alam, M. Z., Haider, S. A. and Paul. N. K., 2005. Effects of sowing time and nitrogen fertilizer on barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Bangladesh Journal Boanyt* 34: 27-30.
- Amanuel G, Kefyalew G, Tanner DG, Asefa T, Shambel M. 2002. Effect of crop rotation and fertilizer application on wheat yield performance across five years at two locations in southeastern Ethiopia. pp. 264-274. In: The Eleventh Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and Southern Africa. CIMMYT, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Amanuel Gorfu., Asefa Tana, Tanner, D. G. and Mwangi, W. 1991. On farm research derive fertilizer recommendations for small scale bread wheat production: Methodological issues and technical results. Research Report No. 14. IAR, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 37p.
- Amsal Tarekegn, D.G. Tanner, Taye Tessema, and Chanyalew Mandefro. 2000. Agronomic and economic evaluation of the on-farm N and P response of bread wheat grown on two contrasting soil types in central Ethiopia. pp. 239-252. In: 88*The Eleventh Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central, and Southern Africa*. CIMMYT, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Anthony G, Woodard, B and Hoard, J. 2003. Foliar N application timing influence on grain yield and protein concentration of hard red winter and spring wheat. *Agronomy Journal* 95: 335-338.
- Ashraf, A., Khaid, A. and Ali, k. 1999. Effects of seeding rate and density on growth and yield of rice in saline soil. *Pak. Biol. Sci.*, 2(30): 860-862.
- Ashraf, M. and Azam, F. 1998. Fate and interaction with soil N of fertilizer 15N applied to wheat at different growth stages. *Cereal Research Communication* 26: 397-404.
- Asnakew Woldeab, Tekalign Mamo, Mengesha Bekele, and Teferra Ajema. 1991. Soil fertility management studies on wheat in Ethiopia. pp. 137-172. *In*: Hailu Gebre-Mariam, Tanner, D.G. and Mengistu Hulluka (eds.). *Wheat Research in Ethiopia: A Historical Perspective*. IAR/CIMMYT, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Bacon, P.E. 1995. Nitrogen Fertilization in the Environment. II. Series. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.

- Bekalu.A and Tenaw.2015. Effect of Method of Sowing and Time of Di-Ammonium Phosphate (DAP) Fertilizer Application, on Yield and Yield Components of Tef ((*Eragrostic tef) Trotter*) At Shebedino, Southern Ethiopia. Adv Crop Sci Tech 3: 168. doi:10.4172/2329 8863.1000168
- Bekele Geleta, Amanuel Gorfu and Getinet Gebeyehu. 1994. Wheat production and research in Ethiopia: constraints and sustainability. In: Tanner, D.G. (ed.). Developing Sustainable Wheat Production Systems. The Eighth Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and Southern Africa. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia:CIMMYT.
- Blankenau, K., Olfs, H.W. and Kuhlmann, H. 2002. Strategies to improve the use efficiency of mineral fertilizer nitrogen applied to winter wheat. *Journal Agronomy and Crop. Sci.*, 188:146-154.
- Botella, M.A., Cerda, A.C. and Lips, S.H. 1993. Dry matter production, yield and allocation of carbon-14 assimilate by wheat as affected by nitrogen source and salinity. *Agronomy Journal* 35(5):1044-1049.
- Channabasavanna, A. S. and Setty, R. A. 1994. Response of broadcast rice (*Oryza sativa*) to level of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium and time of N application, *Indian Journal Agronomy* 39(3):457–459.
- Chencha Woreda Agriculture and Rural Development Office (SWARDO) (2013)(Unpubelished)
- CIMMYT (International Maize and Wheat Improvement center) (1988) An Economic Training Manual: from agronomic data recordation. CYMMT. Mexico.pp. 79.
- Cock, R.L. and B.G. Ellis. 1992. Soil management, a world view of conservation Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida. 413p.
- Day.P. R. 1965. Hydrometer method of particle size analysis. In: Back, C.A. (eds.), Method of Soil Analysis. Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison, Wisconsm . Agron. No 9, Part 2. pp.562.
- El-Karamity, A.E. 1998. Response of some wheat cultivars to seeding and nitrogen fertilization rates. *Environment*. 22: 859-866.
- El-Kramany, M.F, 2001. Effect of organic manure and slow-release N fertilizers on the productivity of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in sandy soil. Acta Agronomica Hungarica. 49: 379-385.
- FAO. 2003. Optimizing soil moisture for plant production. FAO soils Bulletin number 79, Rome, pp.22-23.
- Food And Organization (FAO). 2000. Fertilizers and Their Use 4th ed. International fertilizer industry association, FAO, Rome, Italy.34pp.
- Fischer, R.A. 1989. Developments in wheat agronomy. pp. 249-269. In: Heyne, E.G. (ed.). *Wheat and Wheat Improvement. Second Edition*. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Genene Gezu. 2003. Yield and quality response of bread wheat varieties to rate and time of nitrogen fertilizer application at Kulumsa, southern Ethiopia. MSc Thesis, Alemaya University, Alemaya Ethiopia.
- Getachew Fisseha, 2004. Soil characterization and bread wheat *(Triticum aestivum)* response to N and P fertilization on Nitisols at Ayehu Research Substation in Northwestern Ethiopia. MSc Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Alemaya University. 71p.
- Haftom Gebretsadik, Mitiku Haile and Yamoah, CH. 2009. Tillage frequency, soil compaction and N-fertilizer rate effects on yield of tef (*Eragrostis tef (Zucc.) Trotter*). *Ethiopia Journal of Science* 1(1): 82-94.
- Hailu Geberemariam.2003. Wheat production and research in Ethiopia, IAR, Addis Ababa Ethiopia
- Havlin.J.L., Beaton .J.D, Tisdale .S.L and Nelson W.L.1999.Functions and forms of N in plants. In Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. 6thEd.Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Janckson, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall of India, New Delhi.
- Kumara, S, M. Imtiyazb and A. Kumarc, 2006. Effect of differential soil moisture and nutrient regimes on postharvest attributes of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Scientia Horticulturae*, 112:121-129.
- Lafarge.T, Tubana.B and Pasuquin.E.2004.Yield advantage of hybrid rice induced by its higher control in tiller emergence. In: proceedings of the 4th International Crop Science Congress. May 2004, pp. 16-17, Brisbane, Australia.
- Lemma Zewdie, Zewdu Yilma, D.G. Tanner and Eyasu Elias. 1992. The effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and application timing on bread wheat in Bale region of Ethiopia. pp. 495-502
- Mazid, M.S., Rafii, M.Y., Hanafi, M.M., Rahim, H.A., Latif, M.A. (2013). Genetic variation, heritability, divergence and biomass accumulation of rice genotypes resistant to bacterial blight revealed by quantitative traits and ISSR markers. *Physiologia Plantarum* 149(3), 432–447.
- Mekonnen Asrat. 2005. Response and uptake of barley (*Hordem irregulare* L.) to Different Rates of organic P and N fertilizer. M.Sc. Thesis. Haramaya University. Haramaya. 63p
- Mekonnen Tefera. 1985. Soil test and the response of bread wheat (Enkoy) *Triticum aestivum* L. to applied nitrogen and phosphorus on Kembata and Hadiya Awraja soils. M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University.
- Melesse Harfe .2007. Response of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties to N and P fertilizer rates. M.Sc. Thesis, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia.
- Michael, J., Ottman, T., Doerge, A., Edward, C. and Martin, E. 2000. Durum grain quality as affected by N-fertilizer near anthesis and irrigation during grain fills. *Agronomy Journal* 92: 1035-1041.

Olsen.S.R., Cole. C.V., Watanabe.F. S. and Dean,L. A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular 939: 1-19.

- Osman, A.M. and Z.M. Mohamed. 1981. Yield and yield component of wheat and their inter relation ships as influenced by N and seed rate in Sudan. J. Agri. Sci. Camb. 97: 611-618.
- Read, D.W.L. and F.G. Worder. 1981. Wheat and barley response to rates of seeding and fertilizer in southern Sasketchewan. *Agron. J.* 74: 33-36.
- Reynolds, M., Calderini, D., Condon, A., Vargas, M. (2007). Association of source/sink traits with yield, biomass and radiation use efficiency among random sister lines from three wheat crosses in a high-yield environment. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 145, 3–16.
- Sage, RF. and Pearcy, R.W. 1987. The nitrogen use efficiency of C3 and C4 plants. *Plant Physiol.* 84: 954-958.
- Sahlemedhin Sertsu.1999. Draft guideline for regional soil testing laboratories. NFIA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- SAS Institute. 2000. SAS User's Guide, Statistics version 8.2 ed. SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA.
- Smith, D.L., and C. Hamel. 1999. Crop Yield: Physiological Processes. Spring-Verlag, Germany.
- Samson Bekele and Ketema Tilahun, 2007. Regulated deficit irrigation scheduling of onion in a semiarid region of Ethiopia. *Agricultural Water Management*, 89: 148-152.
- Tanner, D.G., and W.M. Mwangi. 1992. Current issues in wheat research and production in Eastern, Central and Southern Africa: Constraints and achievements. pp. 17-36. In: Tanner, D.G., and W.M. Mwangi (eds.). *The 101Seventh Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and Southern Africa*. Nakuru, Kenya: CIMMYT.
- Tanner, D.G., Amanuel Gorfu and Asefa Taa. 1993. Fertilizer effects on sustainability in the wheat-based smallholder farming systems of southeastern Ethiopia. *Field Crops Res.* 33: 235-248.
- Temesgen Kassahun. 2001. Effect of sowing date and nitrogen fertilization on yield and related traits of tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] on Vertisols of Kobo area, Northern Wollo. MSc Thesis, Alemaya University, Alemaya, Ethiopia.
- Tilahun Geleto, Tanner, D.G., Tekalign Mamo and Getinet Gebeyehu. 1996a. Response of rainfed bread and durum wheat to source, level and timing of nitrogen fertilizer at two Vertisol sites in Ethiopia. pp. 127-147. In: *The Ninth Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and Southern Africa*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: CIMMYT.
- Tilahun Geleto, Tanner, D.G., Tekalign Mamo and Getinet Gebeyehu. 1996b. Response of rainfed bread and durum wheat to source, level and timing of nitrogen fertilizer on two Ethiopian Vertisols. II. N uptake, recovery and efficiency. *Fertilizer Research* 44: 195-204.
- Watson D.J. 1952. The physiological basis of varieties in yield. Adv. Agron., 4:101-145.
- Walkley.A and Black.A. 1934. An examination of Degtjareff Method for Determine Soil Organic Matter and Proposed Modification of the Chromic Acid Titration Method. Soil Science 37:29-38.
- Wnuk, A. (2013). Data visualization in the multiplicative model in agronomy and plant breeding.
- PhD thesis, Warsaw University of Life Sciences SGGW (in Polish).
- Zewdu Yilma, Lemma Zewdie and D.G. Tanner. 1992. A study of several factors limiting wheat yields on farmers' fields and on-station in Bale region of Ethiopia. pp. 510-516. In: Tanner, D.G. and Mwangi, W. (eds.). *The Seventh Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and Southern Africa*. Nakuru, Kenya: CIMMYT.