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Abstract
Different relevant studies have been held across the county parts or regions to assess the effect different organic fertilizers like compost, farm yard manure, green manure, crop residue and biogas slurry application and use in experiment field and smallholder farming without upsetting their usual living. Results supposed to the benefit of farmers and come up with a policy briefing that policy makers give a better support for its implementation. The experiments conducted in different regions by different researchers like Maichew District of Tigray Region by Hailu Araya, Gozamen Woreda Eastern Gojam Amhara Region by Tadesse Dejene, Haraghe zone Oromia region Eastern Ethiopia by Zelalem Bekeko and Sirinka Eastern Amara region by Abebe Getu and Yalemtshay debebe in Sebeta Oromia Region indicated that the application compost Farm yard manure, green manure and Biogas slurry enhances different crop production and productivity by improving of soil physical and chemical property and also bring additional benefit in terms of minimizing inorganic fertilizers cost. Therefore this visualized or tell us for the future as country level promoting of organic fertilizers in well-organized form is very important to enhance cereal crop productivity and there quality by improving soil and soil fertility issues.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Agriculture in Ethiopia has long been a priority and focus of national policy such as Agricultural Development Led Industrialization (ADLI) and various large scale programs such as the Plan for Accelerated and Sustained Development to End Poverty (PASDEP) (Alemayehu, 2008). However, the sector is characterized by low productivity and the prevalence of a fragmented smallholder/subsistence farmer population that is relegated to highly degraded/marginal land (WB, 2010). Low productivity can be attributed to limited access by small farmers to agricultural inputs, financial services, improved production technologies, irrigation and agricultural output markets and, more importantly, to poor land management practices that have led to severe land degradation in some areas. Therefore, the sector is also characterized by low input-low output and labor-intensive rain fed farming systems reliant on the use of animal power. Ethiopia faces a wider set of soil fertility issues beyond inorganic fertilizer use which has historically been the major focus for extension workers, researchers, policymakers and donors. These issues interact and include loss of soil organic matter, macronutrient (N, P and K) and micronutrient (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, B, Mo and Cl) depletion, topsoil erosion, acidity, salinity and deterioration of other physical soil properties (Gete, et al., 2010).

In terms of soil nutrients and fertility, Ethiopia has one of the highest rates of nutrient depletion in Sub-Saharan African. The estimated annual nationwide loss of phosphorus and nitrogen resulting from the use of dung and crop residues for fuel is equivalent to the total amount of commercial fertilizer use. However, use of organic fertilizer such as animal manures, human waste, food wastes, backyard waste, sewage sludge, and composts has long been recognized in agriculture as beneficial source for plant nutrients and thereby improving, yield of crops. Traditional composting of organic wastes has been known for many years but new methods of thermophilic composting have become much more popular since it eliminates some detrimental effects of organic wastes in the soil and it is also cost effective and environmentally sound process for treatment of many organic wastes (Hoitink and Keener, 1993). Many researchers stated that unavailability and low quality of organic materials, and shortage of labour constrained the use of organic materials for soil fertility management in the tropics.

However, considerable amounts of organic materials are wasted without proper use especially in humid and sub-humid agro-ecosystem of Ethiopia. These locally available organic materials such compost, crop residues, FYM, appropriate crop rotation, and improved fallow are used based on socio-economic circumstances of farming communities, the investment in organic fertilizers processing and application is not more than the cost of inorganic fertilizers. The sole application of either organic or inorganic fertilizers on degraded soils can hardly increase crop yield in the tropics (Palm et al., 1997). So long as agriculture remains a soil-based industry, there is no way that required yield increases of the major crops can be attained without ensuring that plants have an adequate and balanced supply of nutrients (Gruhn et al., 2000). This call for alternative cropping systems that address key aspects of nutrient management such as increasing plant-available nutrients and soil organic matter by organic fertilizers.
2. Cereal Crop production

Among the major cereal crops, teff, wheat, maize, barley and sorghum represent 95 percent of total cereal planted area and 96 percent of total cereal production. Since the 1960s, teff has accounted for the largest share of cereal cultivated area. However, the teff share has been declining gradually over the last four decades to make room for other cereals, mainly maize, whose share increased by almost 8 percent over the same time period and Wheat also experienced a slight increase, while barley decreased about 3 percent and sorghum and other cereals experienced slight changes within the same time period (Taffesse et al., 2011).

3. Organic fertilizers use and application in cereal production

Various studies in Ethiopia have also shown the importance of Organic Fertilizer (OF) in improving soil productivity (Wakene et al., 2001). Organic Fertilizer can serve as an alternative practice to mineral fertilizers by improving soil structure (Dauda et al., 2008) and microbial biomass. However; its sources such as cow dung and crop residues have been declined from time to time mainly due to their demand for domestic energy consumption and removal for animal feeding. In addition, use organic matter is also limited due to lack of awareness and technical knowledge, high labor demand for preparation, its requirement in large quantities due to low nutrient contents and slow release as well as its tediousness for transporting to crop fields.

Jones (1971) found that annual applications of 7 to 8 ton per hectare farm yard manure (FYM) are needed to maintain a 1% soil Organic Matter (OM) level in sandy top soils at Samaru, Nigeria which indicated a need for bulk application of OM to soils. Due to the continuous increase in the cost of inorganic fertilizers, application of inorganic fertilizers is becoming difficult to be afforded by small and marginal farmers in Ethiopia. Because of this use of sole organic fertilizer or combined with inorganic fertilizer on nutrient depleted soils can increase crop yields in Ethiopia (Wakene et al., 2007).

To sustain high crop yields without deteriorating soil fertility, it is important to work out optimal combination of inorganic fertilizers and Organic fertilizer in cropping system (Rekhi et al., 2000) as the interaction of organic and inorganic fertilizers improves the absorption, distribution and function of another nutrient. Affordable, resilient, renewable and low cost sources of plant nutrients from Organic fertilizer supplement and complement chemical fertilizers. Adequate soil fertility for sustained crop yields can be obtained with the combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizers. Heluf (2002) reported that integrated use organic and inorganic fertilizers are pertinent enough to improve plant nutrients under the Ethiopian conditions.

3.1. Advantage of Using Organic Fertilizer over Inorganic Fertilizers

Maintenance of high crop yield under intensive cultivation is possible only through the use of fertilizers. Inorganic fertilizers are usually rather expensive for the low income and small scale farmers. Organic manures such as cow dung, poultry manure, crop residues and biogas slurry in liquid and composted form can be used as an alternative for the inorganic fertilizer (Dong and Li, 2010). Nutrients contained in organic manures are released more slowly and are stored for a longer time in the soil, thereby ensuring a long residual effect, supporting better root development, leading to higher crop yields even better than the yield of inorganic fertilizer (Eadwards et al., 2007). Soil fertility status is improved by activating the soil microbial biomass. To meet crops’ nutrient supply, organic fertilizers even if, they required in large quantities there is no adverse side effect of excessive application of organic manure to the soil since the excess of nutrients present in it becomes available for subsequent crops due to its residual effect.

On the contrary, if inorganic fertilizers are applied in very large amount, it is detrimental to the soil condition, thereby affecting crop production. Besides, it will also have adverse indirect impact on food chain through land, water, and air pollution resulting from leaching, run off, and spraying respectively (Menale et al., 2009). Application of organic manures sustains cropping systems through better nutrient recycling and plays a direct role in plant growth as a source of all necessary macro and micronutrients in available forms during mineralization, thereby improving both the physical and bio chemical properties of the soil (Menale et al., 2009).

3.2. Compost

Generalized definition of compost is a recycled or decomposed organic waste from different crop residues, animal manure and wastes and sludge being stabilized by the work of macro- and micro-organisms through aerobic, semi-aerobic and anaerobic biological processes inside a pit or on surface (Elias, 2002).

3.2.1. Quality and Application rate of Compost

Compost is becoming widely used by many farmers in the Sub-Saharan Africa to improve soil fertility and crop production (Mugwe et al., 2007). By 1995 compost has been expanded into 11 percent in Southern Ethiopia (Elias, 2002) while by 2005 it has been using by about 25 percent farmers in Tigray (SSNC, 2008). Dry matter application rates of compost are variable from the lowest 10 and 11.2 t.ha-1 yr-1 (Smiciklas et al., 2008). The equivalent amounts of macronutrient for the 10 and 11.2 t.ha-1 yr-1 compost as the lowest application is vary very much from 60, 13 and 17 kg.ha-1 of NPK respectively while the highest application of compost, which is 134 t.ha-1 compost,
gives 1,478 (N), 540 (P) and 940 (K) kg.ha-1 (Table 1). These applications are much higher than the usual macro-nutrient applications through organic and inorganic fertilizers.

Table 1. Compost application rates (t.ha-1.yr-1) and their corresponding nutrients (kg.ha-1.yr-1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application rate t/ha</th>
<th>Nutrient application kg.ha-1.yr-1</th>
<th>Place and soil type</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>N 60  P 13.1  K 16.7</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Manna et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>N 123.2  P 44.8  K 78.4</td>
<td>Illinois, USA</td>
<td>Smiciklas et al, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>N 246.4  P 89.6  K 156.8</td>
<td>Illinois, USA</td>
<td>Smiciklas et al, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>N 205  P  -  K  -</td>
<td>Australia-Luvisol</td>
<td>Erhart et al, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>N 369.6  P 134.4  K 235.2</td>
<td>Illinois, USA</td>
<td>Smiciklas et al, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>N 492.8  P 179.2  K 313.6</td>
<td>Illinois, USA</td>
<td>Smiciklas et al, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>N 739.2  P 268.9  K 470.4</td>
<td>Illinois, USA</td>
<td>Smiciklas et al, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.4</td>
<td>N 1478.4  P 537.6  K 940.8</td>
<td>Illinois, USA</td>
<td>Smiciklas et al, 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Studies show composts contain about 12-20 percent organic carbon (Asmelash, 2001), which are sources of energy for bacteria, fungi, earthworms and other organisms in the soil. They break-down dead plant and animal remains by releasing carbon dioxide, water and mineral salts, including nitrates, phosphates, etc., which are the nutrients for growing plants (Asmelash, 2001). The nitrogen content of compost is reported as high as 15.3 g kg⁻¹ (Wahba, 2007). Carbon: Nitrogen (C/N) ratio <21 is compost maturity indicator (Getinet et al., 2008). From a study by Manna et al., (2001) in the semi-arid tropics of India, C/N ratios of 8-22. Compost with a higher C/N ratio is not recommended for application because C/N ratio >15 is an indication of limited N availability due to immobilization.

In matured compost the lowest C/N ratio, below 6-7 (Gutser et al., 2005) is an indication of materials to be humified and stable. They are suitable for field application, Improving N and C/N ratio of compost is related to the proportion of the green plants and dry materials used for the compost-making. The optimum C/N proportion of different composting materials is 30:1 (Getinet et al., 2008). Because composting has high carbon materials additional nitrogen (mineral fertilizer) may be required to reduce the C/N ratio to the optimal range. It facilitates the establishment of micro-organisms for the quick decomposition of biomass into compost. Generally dry materials (woody materials or dead leaves) have higher C/N ratios while green materials usually have lower C/N ratios. This is because the dry, coarse materials such as straw, wood chips, etc. are high in C and low in N while the green materials such as grass clippings, fresh plant material, kitchen scarps and manure, are high in nitrogen and low in carbon. Animal wastes are also more N rich than plants (Cyber-north, 2004).

### 3.2.2. Compost Production capacity

The compost production capacity of farmers varies very much. It varies from farmer to farmer mainly dependent on the animal holding (Tulema et al., 2007). This is because animal feed waste and animal manure are available best to the farmers who own cattle (Kikafunda et al., 2001). According to Manyong et al., (2001) more livestock holding encourages families to use organic manure and owning domestic animals are common in Africa. The availability of biomass in Ethiopia is estimated to 22.7x10⁶ t yr⁻¹ of dry manure, 12.7x10⁶ t yr⁻¹ crop-residue and various other organic by-products (Tulema et al., 2007). While the study by Devi et al., (2007) reported that recyclable resources in Ethiopia are abundant. They estimated the total amount available as 1.6x10¹¹ t yr⁻¹ (compost/vermicomposting), 8.5x10⁹ t yr⁻¹ (poultry manure) and 1.8x10¹⁰ t yr⁻¹ (FYM).

While the required amounts for the total agricultural land per year is 3.25x10⁹ t yr⁻¹ (compost/vermicomposting), 3.2x10⁹ t yr⁻¹ (poultry manure) and 9.7x10⁸ t yr⁻¹ (FYM) (Devi et al., 2007). This is mainly because Ethiopia is the highest in livestock population in Africa (Zinash, 2001). Biomass availability in moisture stress areas is dependent in the biomass management. For example, farmers keep manure accumulated in cattle pen until it is cleaned or used for composting (Miner et al., 2001). Manure management increases not only the quantity but also the quality of the manure (Lekasi et al., 2001). But the production capacity varies based on the animal holding. However, so far the production capacity of compost is not studied at family level.

### 3.2.3. Compost application by smallholder farmers

The rate of application of organic manure differs according to the climatic conditions of the area. In the western part of Ethiopia the recommended application rate is 8-12 t/ha but in other areas applying 3.5-6.0 t/ha can give good yield (Fentaw, 2010). The average amount of compost application ranged from 5-15 t/ha, depending on availability of materials (Menale et al, 2009). According to Hailu Araya (2010) Over 88% of the farmers in Tigray region Maychew use compost. About 39 percent of them produce between 1 and 2 t compost annually (Figure 1). The average cultivated landholding of the area is 0.8 hectare per family, which is fragmented into two or more pieces. The average amount of compost sieved and weighed from one 1.0mx1.5mx1.5m pit is 800kg i.e., 16-18 Quinta (a traditional quantity measurement sack equivalent with 50 kg). Usually it is used in one plot of land,
which is called Tsimdi/Kert (equivalent with 0.25 hectare).


Figure 1. Percentage of farmers (n=103) who use compost and amount of compost produced.

However, the amount of compost applied per unit area varies based on the type of the soil and crop. But generally more compost is applied in sandy soil and for higher plants, while less amount of compost is applied in clay soil and for smaller plants. For example, when a field is sown with teff, which is a very small type of crop, the application of compost is 2.8 t.ha\(^{-1}\) in clay soil and 4.8 t.ha\(^{-1}\) in sandy soil. On the other hand when a field is sown with barley, wheat or finger millet higher amounts of compost is applied than they apply for teff (Table 2). According to the farmers this is important application amount because they are getting better yield without lodging problem in the different crops.

Over 39 percent farmers used mix compost and animal manure with mineral fertilizer. 24 percent of the farmers prepare and use only compost and 13.5 percent use only animal manure in their fields. There are only 13.5 percent farmers who use mineral fertilizer alone in their fields. About 10 percent they do not use any type of input in their farms. It is because they have fertile fields, which does not need any input to be applied (Hailu Araya, 2010).

Table 2. Average amount of compost applied per crop and soil type (t.ha\(^{-1}\).yr\(^{-1}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop type</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Reddish</th>
<th>Sandy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teff</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley, wheat, finger millet</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize /sorghum</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes</td>
<td>No application</td>
<td>No application</td>
<td>No application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3.2.4. Effect of Compost on Yield of Cereals

Compost enhances soil fertility, soil structure and water storage capacity for two or more years, unlike inorganic fertilizer (Fentaw, 2010). Jagadeeswari and Kumasawamy (2000) noted that use of composts with mineral fertilizer increased yield and production of wheat, green beans, gram and rice. Grain and straw yields of rice were significantly higher in amendments that received compost application with NPK than in no compost with NPK amendments, thereby highlighting the beneficial effects of compost to increase the crop yield.

The study conducted ada’a district Eastern Shewa Oromia region by Genizeb Ayaye (2015) indicated that; the grain yield value of bread wheat 666.67 gm\(^{-2}\) or 66.67 Qt/ha was recorded in the application of dry matter compost along with inorganic fertilizers. However, the lowest grain yield (260 gm\(^{-2}\) or 26 Qt/ha) was obtained under control field or plot. Similar research which conducted by Hailu Araya in Tigray region grain yields of teff and barley from plots applied with mineral fertilizer and 6.4 t.ha\(^{-1}\).yr\(^{-1}\) compost show they are
particularly, where no or low inputs are used, is essential in slowing down nutrient losses. However, crop residues by themselves are not enough to offset nutrient mining in sub-Saharan Africa. Crop residue management influences biological life of the soil are well recognized particularly at high rates of application in on-station trials. There is plot. The farmers on the other side advise that crop residues negatively affect farmlands’ productivity in different ways. For example residues like maize stalks and wheat residues can transmit crop diseases from place to place if they remain on the soil surface for a long period.

Crop residues contain large quantities of plant nutrients and, if properly managed and returned to soil from which it was grown, could serve as a significant source of nutrients and organic matter, soil water conservation, maintenance of favorable soil properties, and enhance subsequent crop yields. Other benefits of retaining crop residues on the soil surface include an increase of organic matter and nutrient levels, moderation of soil temperature and increased soil biological activity, all of which are important for crop production.

Crop residues are also used for other purposes, such as to provide vital livestock feeds during long dry seasons, fuel and construction material. Use of crop residues as a soil amendment is often limited due to its obstacle to mechanical and hand tillage, negative effects on crop productivity arising from incidence and carryover of pests, diseases, allopatic and short term nutrient deficiency. For these reasons, much of crop residues are either fed to cattle or burnt.

When all crop residues are used as animal feed or removed for other purposes, the above mentioned soil related benefits are lost. As a result, sustaining soil productivity becomes more difficult. Crop residues contain large quantities of plant nutrients and, if properly managed and returned to the soil from which it was grown, could serve as a significant source of nutrients and organic matter, soil water conservation, maintenance of favorable soil properties, and enhance subsequent crop yields. Other benefits of retaining crop residues on the soil surface include an increase of organic matter and nutrient levels, moderation of soil temperature and increased soil biological activity, all of which are important for crop production.

Crop residues are also used for other purposes, such as to provide vital livestock feeds during long dry seasons, fuel and construction material. Use of crop residues as a soil amendment is often limited due to the obstacles of mechanical and hand tillage, negative effects on crop productivity arising from incidence and carryover of pests, diseases, allopatic and short term nutrient deficiency. For these reasons, much of crop residues are either fed to cattle or burnt.

Proper usage of crop residues could therefore result in less importation of chemical fertilizers with great savings in scarce foreign exchange. In most countries of Africa the nutrient balances of cropping systems are negative, with off take being greater than input, indicating that farmers are mining the soils. Larson et al. (1972) estimated that crop residues contain on average 30, 10, and 80% of the N, P, and K currently applied as fertilizer. For example a ton of maize residue contains 4-8 kg N, 1.5-1.8 kg P, 13-16 kg K, 3.8-6.6 kg Ca, and 1.5-3.4 kg Mg. Residues of cereal crops comprise 60 to 75 % of the total biomass production and have lower nutrient concentrations than the grain. Therefore, returning of them to the soil systems particularly, where no or low inputs are used, is essential in slowing down nutrient losses. However, crop residues by themselves are not enough to offset nutrient mining in sub-Saharan Africa. Crop residue management influences the availability of nutrients especially N.

According to the study conducted by Tadesse Dejene (2011) In Gozamen Woreda Eastern Gojam Amhara Region, crop residues are commonly used for maintaining soil fertility and crop production in two ways. The first one is through shifting of animal feeding beds. In this case, farmers feed their cattle in different parts of their farm plots at different times. The common fodders of the cattle are grasses and straws or crop residues. Animal manures are valuable sources of nutrients and the yield-increasing effect of manure is well established. Apart from the nutrients in manure, its effects on the improvement of soil organic matter, soil structure and the biological life of the soil are well recognized particularly at high rates of application in on-station trials. There is

3.3. Crop residue

Crop residues have a number of functions. When left in the field after grain harvesting, crop residues play a significant role in nutrient cycling, soil water conservation, maintenance of favorable soil properties, and enhance subsequent crop yields. Other benefits of retaining crop residues on the soil surface include an increase of organic matter and nutrient levels, moderation of soil temperature and increased soil biological activity, all of which are important for crop production.

Crop residues are also used for other purposes, such as to provide vital livestock feeds during long dry seasons, fuel and construction material. Use of crop residues as a soil amendment is often limited due to its obstacle to mechanical and hand tillage, negative effects on crop productivity arising from incidence and carryover of pests, diseases, allopatic and short term nutrient deficiency. For these reasons, much of crop residues are either fed to cattle or burnt.

Proper usage of crop residues could therefore result in less importation of chemical fertilizers with great savings in scarce foreign exchange. In most countries of Africa the nutrient balances of cropping systems are negative, with off take being greater than input, indicating that farmers are mining the soils. Larson et al. (1972) estimated that crop residues contain on average 30, 10, and 80% of the N, P, and K currently applied as fertilizer. For example a ton of maize residue contains 4-8 kg N, 1.5-1.8 kg P, 13-16 kg K, 3.8-6.6 kg Ca, and 1.5-3.4 kg Mg. Residues of cereal crops comprise 60 to 75 % of the total biomass production and have lower nutrient concentrations than the grain. Therefore, returning of them to the soil systems particularly, where no or low inputs are used, is essential in slowing down nutrient losses. However, crop residues by themselves are not enough to offset nutrient mining in sub-Saharan Africa. Crop residue management influences the availability of nutrients especially N.

According to the study conducted by Tadesse Dejene (2011) In Gozamen Woreda Eastern Gojam Amhara Region, crop residues are commonly used for maintaining soil fertility and crop production in two ways. The first one is through shifting of animal feeding beds. In this case, farmers feed their cattle in different parts of their farm plots at different times. The common fodders of the cattle are grasses and straws or crop residues. Animal manures are valuable sources of nutrients and the yield-increasing effect of manure is well established. Apart from the nutrients in manure, its effects on the improvement of soil organic matter, soil structure and the biological life of the soil are well recognized particularly at high rates of application in on-station trials. There is

3.4. Farm Yard Manure

Animal manures are valuable sources of nutrients and the yield-increasing effect of manure is well established. Apart from the nutrients in manure, its effects on the improvement of soil organic matter, soil structure and the biological life of the soil are well recognized particularly at high rates of application in on-station trials. There is
also some evidence that it may contain other growth-promoting substances like natural hormones and B vitamins (Leonard, 1986). Crop quality has also been improved by manure application (Pimpini et al., 1992).

When crop improvements with manure were greater than those attained with commercial fertilizer, response was usually attributed to manure supplied nutrients or to improved soil not provided by commercial fertilizer. It is also well known that the use of farmyard manure can reduce nutrient deficiency in soils. Koppen and Eich (1993) noted that K and P deficiencies were reduced when farmyard manure was applied, and with rising pH values, the Mn content of the soil declined. The potential of manure, especially poultry litter, to neutralize soil acidity and raise soil pH is less well known.

Application of animal manures to agricultural fields is a widely used method of increasing soil organic matter, fertility and crop production (Wakene et al., 2005). Most solid livestock manures can be applied directly to crop fields or piled for composting. In organic farming, Nitrogen (N) is supplied through organic amendments in the form of manure. Applying organic N fertilizer without prior knowledge of N mineralization and crop needs can result in nitrate nitrogen (NO3 N) leaching below the root zone and potential groundwater contamination (Debelle et al., 2001). Soil fertility depletion on smallholder farms is one of the fundamental biophysical root causes responsible for declining food production in eastern part of Ethiopia (Ararsa., 2012).

Highlands of Hararghe, eastern Ethiopia, where maize is grown among the major cereals in the high rainfall areas such as (Chiro, Doba, Tullo, Mesela, Gumechis, Kuni, Boke Habro and Daro Labu) soil fertility depletion is the number one problem stagnating crop productivity including maize Misanw (2014). Intercropping is widely used in this area by combining maize or sorghum with perennial crops like Chat (Chata edulis) which further exposes the soil to rampant nutrient degradation leading to poor crop yield (Ararsa, 2012). Due to this Crop residues and FYM are used for maize production. The low rates of NP fertilizers used for maize production under farmers’ conditions have aggravated the situation of soil fertility degradation and declining maize production. Consequently, training the farming community on the proper handling and use of FYM together with low rates of inorganic fertilizers taken as alternative solution for fertility management in Hararghe (Misganw, 2014).

Supplying of nutrients from chemical fertilizers has got certain limitations and inherent problems. Further, these chemical fertilizers can supply only a few plant nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus and potash and also they are becoming very expensive for resource poor farmers. Silvia et al. (2006) reported that non-inclusion of organic manures such as FYM, compost, green manures, etc. in the manuriial schedule have resulted in the depletion of fertility status of the arable soils and their consequent degradation. Debelle et al. (2001) also reported organic manures, especially FYM, have a significant role for maintaining and improving the chemical, physical and biological properties of soils and in sustaining maize yield in western part of Ethiopia. They also reported that 10 ton/ha of FYM are statistically at equivalence with current agronomic recommendation of inorganic fertilizers N and P for maize.

Another study by Zelalem Bekeko (2013) at Haraghe Zone Oromia Region Easten Ethiopia indicated that that 10 tons/ha of FYM and 100 kg/ha N + 100 kg/ha P showed no significant difference on maize grain yield but significantly differ from control Treatment (Table3). Wakene et al. (2005) also indicated that the urgency of using organic manure has been gaining ground in the wake of increasing cost of fertilizer with every passing year and certain other inherent limitations with the use of chemical fertilizers. FYM is the oldest organic manure used by man ever since he involved in farming. It has stood the test of time and is still very popular among the poor and marginal farmers.

It consists of litter, waste products of crops mixed with animal dung and urine. It contains all the nutrient elements present in the plant itself and returns these nutrients to the soil when it is applied to the field for the benefit of succeeding crop.

Table 3: Effect of enriched FYM on grain yield (mean values) of hybrid maize (BH-140) at Chiro, Western Hararghe from 2008 to 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean grain yield of maize (Kg/ha )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rep1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (0 FYM and 0 N and P)</td>
<td>1563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10t/ha FYM+0 N and P</td>
<td>6579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 t/ha FYM and 25 kg/ha N + 20 kg/ha P</td>
<td>5546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 t/ha FYM and 50 kg/ha N + 40 kg/ha P</td>
<td>5497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 t/ha FYM and 75 kg/ha N + 60 kg/ha P</td>
<td>7601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 t/ha FYM and 100 kg/ha N + 80 kg/ha P</td>
<td>7269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 kg/ha N + 100 kg/ha P</td>
<td>6568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The study conducted by Tadesse Dejene (2011) In Gozamen District Eastern Gojam Amhara Region indicated that the application of animal manures like fig (dry animal feces) combined with straws that are the remnants of
plants used for animal fodders is important farmland management to be practiced. It also includes the byproducts of local food production processes like atela and others which are daily swept out of a house in dirt forms. This is applied by (93.85%) of sample households (Table 4). According to Taddess (2011) most of the respondents or the farmers, used Farm yard manure with chemical fertilizers though they are not applied simultaneously. Unlike chemical fertilizers, manures are added to the soil long days ago before seeding either in the rainy or dry season. Nevertheless, it is mostly limited to farm plots which are nearer to homesteads, locally known as guaro, mainly due to long distance of the farm plots and this negatively affects soil fertility enhancement on farther farm plots.

Table 4. Indigenous soil fertility maintaining farmland management practices In Gozamen Woreda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variable</th>
<th>Chertekel kebele (N=87)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
<th>May Angetam kebele (N=43)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
<th>Total count (N=130)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manure</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes Cropping</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>55.81</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>53.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop rotation</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>97.67</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>97.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallowing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Tadesse, 2011

3.5. Green manure

Acknowledge the positive contributions of green manures or cover crops and allocated to grow is relatively small in Ethiopia only few legumes are integrated to the system. In the perennial-based farming systems the only most dominant legume in the cropping is common beans, intercropped with maize or grown sole as a second crop. However, cultivation of beans may not contribute much to soil fertility improvement mainly, because the crop is harvested by uprooting the whole plant as it needs to be stored by hanging bundles on a trellis and kept indoors to avoid sprouting (Eyasu, 2002); no residue is returned to the soil as pods and tops are fed to livestock with the stalk is used as feed or cooking fuel and beans has the least N-fixing potential particularly in low pH soil with low P availability.

Legume green manure crops highly practicable especially in southern nations and nationality and peoples of Ethiopia for a short term fallow. The major biophysical criterion used for selection of cover crop species is a position to produce higher biomass under degraded corners of the farm (Tilahun Amede, 2003). Farmers were not interested to grow the Legume cover crops in the fertile corners, as they were allocated for food crops. The land they wanted to get improved are the border strips, the abandoned corners, steeply slopes and the barren land, where the land failed to produce any reasonable crop yield. But most of the Legume cover crops, with strong history in improving soil fertility, demand relatively fertile soils to establish, produce large amount of biomass and to fix atmospheric nitrogen. That is the reason why farmers selected crotalaria for improving degraded farmlands over mucuna, canavalia, tephrosia and vetch on individual farmer’s field, Crotalaria was the best performing species regardless of soil fertility. Double cropping cereals with leguminous species have potential implications for the nitrogen requirement of and usage by the cereal component and, less frequently, on phosphorus use efficiency (Sinha et al 1983). The advantages of green manuring for increased crop productivity has also been reported elsewhere (Yeshanew and Asgelil, 1999). However, no work has been done so far in the region on the use and contribution of green manure to soil fertility improvement. The study conducted by Abebe Getu (2015) on the effect of green manure plants on sorghum Yield and soil fertility in eastern Amhara Region of Ethiopia revealed that there was statistically significant (P < 0.05) difference in the grain yield of sorghum due to the effect of intercropping with the green manures. However, there was no significant (p > 0.05) effect of intercropping green manures on the biomass weight of sorghum (Table 5). Combined analysis over the two experimental years as shown in Table 5 indicated that the mean effect of intercropping green manures on the grain yield and biomass weight of sorghum were statistically significant (p < 0.05).
Table 5. Effects of intercropping green manures on sorghum grain yield and biomass weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>2008 Grain yield (Kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>2009 Grain yield (Kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Combined over years Grain yield (Kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Biomass weight (Kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>2009 Biomass weight (Kg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Combined over years Biomass weight (Kg ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3535.2a</td>
<td>3211.6</td>
<td>3428.4a</td>
<td>22217</td>
<td>12431.5</td>
<td>17324.4ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tephrosia v.</td>
<td>3135.0a</td>
<td>3239.2</td>
<td>3187.1ab</td>
<td>21366</td>
<td>11500.7</td>
<td>16433.4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucenea p</td>
<td>3405.9a</td>
<td>2717.7</td>
<td>3061.8bc</td>
<td>23766</td>
<td>12893.2</td>
<td>1832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tithonia d.</td>
<td>2408.8b</td>
<td>3033.1</td>
<td>2783.4c</td>
<td>20281</td>
<td>11945.6</td>
<td>16113.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>3186</td>
<td>3077.9</td>
<td>3129.6</td>
<td>21907.8</td>
<td>12192.8</td>
<td>17050.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV%</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>468.6</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>349.4</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>1333.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Abebe, 2015.

Treatments within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly (p > 0.05) different; ns-non significant (p > 0.05).

3.6. Biogas Slurry

In response to the need for addressing the adverse impacts of the increasing trend in biomass energy consumption in Ethiopia, the last three recent decades have witnessed heightened interest in mobilizing national and international efforts towards the development of more efficient cooking stove technologies and alternative sources of household energy. Biogas as an alternative to the use of biomass for energy was introduced in Ethiopia since late 1970s even though on a fragmented manner and with limited success in penetration of the technology (Kidane et al., 2007). According to a feasibility study on domestic biogas in Ethiopia (Getachew et al., 2006), for example, from approximately 1000 biogas plants constructed since the 1970s across the country only 40 percent were functioning at the time of the field visit.

In the same study (Getachew et al., 2006), the report indicated that at least over one million households in Tigray, Amhara, Oromiya and Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples regional states qualify for the installation of a domestic biogas plant. The domestic biogas technology attracted interest mainly due to consideration of the animal dung, the raw material that is plenty in many rural households in the country. After the establishment of the National Biogas Program Ethiopia in 2009, close to 859 biogas plants have been constructed and are in regular use. Out of the 859 functional biogas plants, 206 are found in Tigray Region, 143 are in Amhara Region, 330 in Oromiya Region and 180 are found in SNNP regional states (Claudia and Yitayal, 2011).

Application of bio-slurry in liquid and composted form alone at the rate of 20 t/ha or with full dose of chemical fertilizer at the rate of 10 t/ha increase the yield of maize, soybean, wheat, sun-flower, cotton, ground nut, cabbage and potato in different percentages over the controls (IFPRI, 2010). The study by Dhibghat and Painyapani, (2006) indicated that the use of bio-slurry increased the yield of rice and maize by 34 percent and the yield of wheat by 25 percent. The use of bio-slurry in different forms improved not only the quantity but also the quality of yield of the crops, vegetables and fruits as well as the disease resistance capacity of the plants (Krishna, 2001).

The study conducted by Yalemshay Debebe (2013) Comparative study on the effect of applying biogas slurry and inorganic fertilizer on soil properties, growth and yield of white cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata f. alba) at Sebeta Hawas Woreda, South West Shewa zone Oromia Region indicated that combination of slurry compost and full dose of fertilizer (produced 38.4 percent higher yield than full dose of inorganic (Table 6). Likewise, the half dose of fertilizer with half of the slurry compost was 38.7 percent inferior to full dose of inorganic fertilizer with 80q/ha of slurry compost. This result is in line with the result of Singh et al. (1995) who reported that the combination of fertilizer and bio slurry significantly increased the yield of rice, corn, soybeans and okra.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Treatment detail</th>
<th>Yield Kg/9m²</th>
<th>Yield (Ton/ha)</th>
<th>Increment in yield over control (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Recommended dose of inorganic fertilizer (100kg of DAP, 50kg urea and 50Kg Murate of potash) per hectare</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>192.7</td>
<td>20.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>1/2 of recommended dose of inorganic fertilizer.</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>183.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Biogas slurry in liquid form (80q/ha)</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>216.7</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>Biogas slurry compost (80q/ha) compost at 80q/ha</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>Inorganic fertilizer (Recommended dose) + Biogas slurry compost at 80q/ha</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>266.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>Inorganic fertilizer (1/2 Recommended dose) + ½ Biogas slurry compost at 80q/ha</td>
<td>17.31</td>
<td>192.3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Yalemshay, 2013

4. Summary
The government of Ethiopia has launched an agricultural package during the previous decade focused on a package of mineral fertilizer and high yielding varieties to increase crop production. However, farmers have been unable to using mineral fertilizer because of the high price, weak delivery, and a sharp drop of crop prices after harvests and unreliable rainfall. Instead farmers are highly inclined into locally available soil fertility management and yield increment practices including composting, green manure, and farm yard manure, crop residue and biogas slurry because they require high labor and low capital, which are risk avoidance strategies of Ethiopian farmers. Different relevant studies have been held across the county parts or regions to assess the effect different organic fertilizers like compost, farm yard manure, green manure, crop residue and biogas slurry application and use in experiment field and smallholder farming without upsetting their usual living. Results supposed to the benefit of farmers and come up with a policy briefing that policy makers give a better support for its implementation. The experiments conducted in different regions by different researchers like Maichew District of Tigray Region by Hailu Araya, Gozamen Woreda Eastern Gojam Amhara Region by Tadesse Dejene, haraghe zone Oromia region Easten Ethiopia by Zelalem Bekeko and Sirinka Eastrern Amara rgegion by Abebe Getu and Yalemshay debebe in Sebeta Oromia Region indicated that the application compost Farm yard manure ,green manure and Biogas slurry enhances different crop production and productivity by improving of soil physical and chemical property and also bring additional benefit in terms of minimizing inorganic fertilizers cost. Therefore this revised seminar visualized or tell us for the future as country level promoting of organic fertilizers in well-organized form is very important to enhance cereal crop productivity and there quality by improving soil and soil fertility issues.
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