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Abstract
Thierry Migoul, head of municipal services for Cannes, said: "We are not talking about banning the wearing of religious symbols on the beach, but ostentatious clothing which refers to an allegiance to terrorist movements which are at war with us." Around 30 French coastal towns had issued bans for Muslims’ swimming clothes ("burkini"); which is one of the manifestations of Muslim cultures. Nevertheless, France's highest court ruled against them on 26th August, 2016, meaning that burkini bans are now illegal. These cultural differences between Muslims and French have been subjected to many debatable discussions. This paper tries to shed a light on such a conflict that may arise between both cultures. Topics in this article include the meaning of culture; the perspective of the French culture; the perspective of the Muslim culture; the consequences of the interaction between Muslims and French; the cultural differences between Muslims and French; and the insights drawn from the analytical views presented herein.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The world is not only divided by political boundaries but by cultural barriers as well. 1 Culture is a complex concept and is not easy to be defined. It refers to the “socially inherited, shared, and learned ways of living possessed by persons by virtue of their membership in social groups.” 2 Culture may be defined as the “total accumulation of many beliefs, customs, activities, institutions and communication patterns of an identifiable group of people.” 3 Everyone has its own culture and may have several cultures. Culture may function as a reservoir of common symbols that distinguishes the identity of each group. It attaches individuals to collective identities, and in the meantime defines the boundaries between different social groups.

Generally, culture is learned rather than inherited. 4 Each culture may be amenable to change to adapt to new situations, albeit such amenability may lead to social instability and conflict. 5 Conflict is a manifest feature of any society. It may find its roots in the competition between the social groups, which are identified by different cultural boundaries, over incompatible objectives. This conflict is considered a “cross-cultural conflict.” The entry point for this paper is the conflict over fundamentalists between Islamic culture and French culture, especially the hijab issue.

Muslim civilization shares a little with Western culture in terms of religion, social structure, and values. The Western culture suffers from the “Orientalism” that promotes the difference between the familiar (West) and the strange (East and Muslims) and assuming superiority of the former over the latter. 6 Conformity of the cultures to the existing social norms vary between groupness and individualism. 7 Islam is the reality for Muslims. Living without Islamic traditions means to be divorced from reality. One manifestation that is attached to Islamic culture is the Hijab that is viewed as threatening the secular and cultural identity of France. Muslim girls are seen as victims of suppression and inequality. 8

The growing number of Muslims in France reflects the cultural diversity in these societies between its social groups. 9 Immigrant Muslims in France brought their own traditions, values, and religions which constituted part of the French society and culture. 10 Muslims sometimes find themselves in a “cultural limbo”, by which they rebel and detach themselves from their parents’ culture and the French culture that appears to reject them. Although Muslim women who decide to wear the hijab are perceived as rejecting or attacking the Western

1 Grant Ackerman, CROSS CULTURAL NEGOTIATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION, at 3.
3 Ackerman, supra note 1, at 4.
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9 They constitutes 5-10% of the people, see, Adrien Katherine Wing & Monica Nigh Smith (2006), Critical Race Feminism Lifts the Veil?: Muslim Women, France, and the Headscarf Ban, 39 U.C. Davis L. Rev. 743, 752.
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cultures, they view the hijab as an affirmation of their cultural identity. The main objection to Muslims’ infusion into France is the attempt to create Islamic identity in local institutional, societal, and cultural structures. Muslims in reacting to this exclusion became more devout in practicing their religion in a culturally alien environment. The question is how to preserve Muslims’ culture and values that require women to wear the hijab, while integrating them into the French culture.

In this paper, we will set out the features of Islamic culture (II); followed by presenting the main features of French culture (III); analyzing the consequences of such interaction between both set of cultures (IV); and finally, concluding some remarks for proposed methods to remove such tension between both cultures (V).

II. ISLAMIC CULTURAL PERSPECTIVE

Islam is a decentralized culture. There is no one single Muslim culture that corresponds to Muslims in France. Muslims should not reject globalization effects but to move into a protective mode to preserve their Islamic culture which may be weaning away from its religious roots. Muslims may be accustomed to formulating their traditions and thoughts within the fabric of foreign cultures, otherwise, their values will become foreign to Islam. One of the basic cultural manifestation of Islam is the hijab. Hijab is an Arabic word meaning “covering up”. It’s translated in English to ‘veil’ and in French to ‘voile’. It may be used to describe “a screen, covering, partition, division, mantle, curtain, drape or divider.” It is stated in the Wholly Qur’an that “Tell the faithful women to lower their gaze and guard their private parts and not display their beauty except what is apparent of it, and to extend their scarf to cover their bosom.” It is a symbol of both religion and womanhood. However, it should be mentioned that Muslim religious practices do not enjoy the cultural pervasiveness of Christian or Jewish practices.

Furthermore, a distinction should be drawn between “Islamic culture” and “Muslim culture”, while the first adheres to the tenets of Islamic principles, the latter is the way adherents to the Islamic faith practice the religion. Wearing the hijab is a reflection of Islamic culture of treating women. As religious and cultural traditions vary across the Muslim world, it is subject to Muslim woman whether to wear the hijab as an expression of her Islamic identity. However, some liberal Muslims object to it and see it as outdated and imposed by oppressive men.

III. FRENCH CULTURAL PERSPECTIVE

As William Ernest Henley wrote in his poem “I am the master of my fate: I am the captain of my soul”, France is labeled as secular country. It adopts the La’cite which is defined as “symbolising the non-religious nature of the state where the state neither recognises nor subsidises a particular religion.” French have their own world to live in and view France as a center of this world. They believe that other nations have a lot to learn before they
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get things right and others are not able to conform completely to their standards. Their historical achievements convince them that their mission is to civilize Europe. To the French, religious distinctions are relegated to the private sphere and immigrants are only seen as becoming “French” which poses some problems for Muslim immigrants who view Islam as a way of life that permeates the mundane through, among other things, the dress style and this is shocking for Muslims when they immigrate to France.

In communication, French may appear offensive due to their directness. They are logic and rhetoric, and if their logic stands undefeated, they do not compromise. They seek to win the argument rather than discover the truth. They view all traditional opinions to be cast aside from the outset as they are untrustworthy. This is their problem of viewing Islamic hijab as a traditional and outdated way of dressing which should be disposed of.

France is characterized as a high-power distance culture. They have high regard for authority and obedience. Where the society is a high-power distance one it means that “inequalities are expected and desired.” You should find your “rank” in the society and behave accordingly. This presupposes a range of strata between the powerful and the powerless. Unlike Muslim culture, that is inclined to be, in my view, mid-power distance where equality in society should be maximized.

The hijab issue views Muslims as a second rank in the French society.

From a cross-cultural context, France supports complete assimilation into its culture and rejects expressions of multi-culturalism. The hijab is seen as a symbol of foreign people with foreign religion who do not want to integrate into French culture. Religious traditions are consigned to the private domain in the French Society. They try to promote the spirit uniform citizenship by removing the ethnic differences in the public field. Therefore, anything that emphasizes cultural differences, such as the hijab, opposes the French Model of cultural homogeneity. Therefore, France appears to be individualist society in terms of consistency where variations across different groups are less accepted.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF CULTURAL INTERACTION

As a result of such interaction between the secular culture and Islamic culture, and the unwillingness of Muslims to integrate into the French culture, France decided to ban the hijab officially in public spheres. Such ban is incompatible with the due respect for cultural diversities. This perspective affected Muslims in a way that they should not certainly wear the hijab.

The question is how Muslims should react to this assimilation? They may simply accept it, which may perceive them as accepting superiority of French culture over Islamic culture; they may withdraw from French society which may reflect the incompatibility of Islamic culture with French culture; or they may combine an identity of a Muslim-French, which may be perceived as contradictory or an attempt to infiltrate French culture. Although both cultures appear as pursuing different goals and will therefore always remain in conflict with one another, they should be interacting to create a constructive relationship.

However, such a ban was presented as to “liberate and emancipate individual Muslim girls constrained by family and cultural pressures to cover-up”, and facilitate assimilation of Muslim girls into French culture.
and make them more outwardly “French”. Nevertheless, the ban would increase feelings of alienation among Muslims, discourage integration, and make French Muslims feel marginalized and oppressed.

France’s focus on complete assimilation reflects its inability to fully accept Muslims in its society which is no longer culturally homogeneous. Further, it demonstrates a level of intolerance towards multiculturalism. Ignoring cultural differences is a form of oppression to minority cultural groups. Pursuant to the Attribution theory, Muslims’ behavior is rational and logical from their perspectives and even if French view the hijab as a sort of inequality or women oppression, they should make isomorphic attribution to the situation i.e., to put themselves in Muslims’ shoes, which would help them positively understand their behavior.

One explanation of the controversy over this issue is its cross-cultural dimensions. Muslims and French disparate on various cultural levels. First, while the eyes are supposed to meet in public under the French culture, the eyes of men and women are not supposed to meet in Islam except by accident. Second, “Le regard” i.e., the look exchanged by two people, is an integral part of the French literature which is developed in the love poetry, as a stare in public is not a sign of rudeness, otherwise, is a warm courtesy. Third, the old French tradition of gallantry presupposes the visibility of the feminine and being visible while Muslim women can’t show all of this. Further, the hijab is seen as interrupting the circulation of coquetry which is a French tradition in encouraging the mixture of both sexes in social situations. One of the cultural dimensions between Islam and France is the language. Although language and religion are one of the cultural dimensions that immigrants should accommodate, the French government insists that Muslim imams receive training in French law and society.

Additionally, the cultural differences between Muslims and French appear in the body language. For example, while French salute each other by hugging, Muslim girls normally do not hug guys. Individualism vs. collectivism is another basic cultural difference between Muslims and French. Collectivism is defined as “social pattern consisting of closely linked individuals who see themselves as parts of one or more collective family; are primarily motivated by the norms of, and duties imposed by these collectives; are willing to give priority to the goals of these collectives over their own personal goals.” On the other hand, Individualism is defined as “social pattern that consists of loosely linked individuals who view themselves as independent of collectives; are primarily motivated by their own preferences, needs, rights, and the contracts they have established with others; give priority to their personal goals over the goals of others.” While French are extremist individualists by which they care about themselves only, Muslims are on the opposite; they are collectivists by which they care about their family and preserve their traditions.

Muslim women experience a loss of face when they are treated in such a way that their identity claims are ignored. A loss of social face is a “smudge in the makeup” that leads to an impasse in interaction and creates conflict between Muslims and French. They both tend to be high-context pattern of communication i.e., communication is done not by person’s words or acts, but by the context in which the words or acts are communicated, and high-context communication is indirect and requires considerable familiarity with cultural meaning conveyed by various contexts. Unlike low context cultures, high-context culture view the problem and the person as interrelated. Here is the problem as both Muslims and French view the problem interrelated to each.
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other not separately.

However, French appear as “Ethnocentric” due to their individual attitude of superiority over Muslim groups that may lead to negative behavior. Muslims feel shame, embarrassed, inferior and less powerful. Simply, it may be considered a social humiliation. Even if they are criticized, criticism, in Muslim culture, is taken completely personally and met with childish reactions.

As long as Muslims do not have the same secularism ideas as the French, this cultural changes in France is more likely to raise conflicts rather than a climate of mutual respect. It forecloses the opportunity of experiencing multiculturalism, by the justification of combating Islamic threat to the France cultural identity. The conflict arises when Muslim women are asked to remove their veils although they feel that it is an obligation imposed by Allah. Such feeling contradicts with the identity of France as a secular country and creates an atmosphere of tension between Muslims and French. In addition, Muslim families devote significant time in rebutting what their children hear at school. Requiring children to renounce explicitly their faith will burden their fundamentalist religious principles. Ironically, this approach pushed some Muslim women to learn more about Islam and wore the hijab as a manifestation of their religious dedication. It helps women live in both worlds of identities between religion and nationality.

Such a ban is truly viewed as a “subtle exercise in the long tradition of Western attempts to achieve cultural dominance in the guise of fostering liberty.”

The hijab issue is used to measure how liberated and progressive are Muslim women and whether they still insist on clinging to Arab and Islamic cultures. Muslims feel that they are not welcomed which may force them to establish their own schools for their children. In reacting to such a ban, and in finding a reconciliation way between the two conflicting cultures, a Muslim schoolgirl removed her veil to reveal a head shaved bald in protest. She said “I will respect both French law and Muslim law by taking off what I have on my head and not showing my hair."

Hijab is not a matter of inconvenience for Muslim woman but it is a tenet of her faith. Oppressing Muslim women to take off their hijab equals oppressing them to wear it, which would have tremendous impacts on their lives whether psychologically, spiritually, or emotionally.

V. CONCLUSION

Is banning the hijab the right solution to the problem? The answer is certainly not. Such a ban is more likely to inflame tensions within Muslim communities in France. Hijab helps Muslim girls to transfer their culture into
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secular cultures and this will benefit the multiculturalism which in turn promote the tolerance for differences.\textsuperscript{1} Muslim women wearing the \textit{hijab} function as mediators who bear their traditions from the private into the public behavior in which they satisfy their personal ambitions by fulfilling their religious duties and receive recognition by their conservative families.\textsuperscript{2}

Muslim women choose to wear the \textit{hijab} as a sign of devotion and empowerment rather than oppression. Even if some women are forced to wear the \textit{hijab}, the ban is not the best solution. Instead, they would be excluded from society as their oppressors force them to remain at home. Integrating Muslims into the French society in a culturally respectful way would enhance women’s integrity and dignity. Rather than encouraging tolerance, pluralism and respect, this ban promotes isolation of Muslims from the French society in terms of education, social life, and employment which would increase the rates of intolerance, or even violence, towards women wearing the \textit{hijab}.

Due to the complexities of these cross-cultural patterns between Muslims and French, France should utilize other methods of avoiding further alienation through integrating the multiculturalism concept into its policies in order to accept others’ cultures and respect their values, including Muslims.\textsuperscript{3} It should seek adequate measures to accommodate a diverse range of religious and cultural expressions. Rather than feeling uncomfortable because of veiled women in public places, French should feel proud as their society is tolerant and open-minded enough for a diverse range of cultural and religious beliefs and practices, including the \textit{hijab}.

France should be inclined to a collective national identity in general with individual identities in regard to values and beliefs. This should not threat the French national identity. Otherwise, the more alienation results from such a ban has greater threat over its national identity.

To conclude, there are significant differences between French and Muslim cultures and the \textit{hijab} is one manifestation of such cultural differences. Both parties should transfer from distributive bargaining into integrative problem-solving to maximize both parties’ interests. France should view the \textit{hijab} not only from its own lens but from the lens of Muslims as well. It should establish a community dialogue with Muslims to negotiate the issue with them in order to be aware of their perspectives and take the right decision without any adversary consequences. Otherwise, the loser would be the French society.
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