

# A Unique Common Fixed Point Theorem under $\psi$ – $\varphi$ Contractive Condition in Partial Metric Spaces Using Rational Expressions

K.P.R.Rao

Department of Applied Mathematics, AcharyaNagarjunaUnivertsity-Dr.M.R.Appa Row Campus,  
Nuzvid- 521 201, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh, India  
E-mail address: kprao2004@yahoo.com

G.n.V. Kishore

Department of Mathematics, Swarnandhra Institute of Engineering and Technology,Seetharampuram,  
Narspur- 534 280 , West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh, India  
E-mail address: kishore.apr2@gmail.com

## Abstract

In this paper, we obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for two self maps satisfying  $\psi$  -  $\varphi$  contractive condition in partial metric spaces by using rational expressions.

**Keywords:** partial metric, weakly compatible maps, complete space.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of partial metric space was introduced by S.G.Matthews [3] as a part of the study of denotational semantics of data flow networks. In fact, it is widely recognized that partial metric spaces play an important role in constructing models in the theory of computation ([2, 5, 7 -12], etc).

S.G.Matthews [3], Sandra Oltra and Oscar Valero[4] and Salvador Romaguera [6]and I.Altun, Ferhan Sola, HakanSimsek [1] prove fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces for a single map.

In this paper, we obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for two self mappings satisfying a generalized  $\psi$  - $\varphi$  contractive condition in partial metric spaces by using rational expression.

First we recall some definitions and lemmas of partial metric spaces.

## 2. Basic Facts and Definitions

*Definition 2.1.* [3].A partial metric on a nonempty set  $X$  is a function  $p :X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$(p_1) \quad x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),$$

$$(p_2) \quad p(x, x) \leq p(x, y), \quad p(y, y) \leq p(x, y),$$

$$(p_3) \quad p(x, y) = p(y, x),$$

$$(p_4) \quad p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z).$$

$(X, p)$  is called a partial metric space.

It is clear that  $|p(x, y) - p(y, z)| \leq p(x, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Also clear that  $p(x, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$  from  $(p_1)$  and  $(p_2)$ .

But if  $x = y$ ,  $p(x, y)$  may not be zero. A basic example of a partial metric space is the pair  $(\mathbb{R}^+, p)$ , where  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Each partial metric  $p$  on  $X$  generates  $\tau_0$  topology  $\tau_p$  on  $X$  which has a base the family of open  $p$ -balls  $\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) / x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X / p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

If  $p$  is a partial metric on  $X$ , then the function  $p^s : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  given by

$$P^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y) \quad (2.1)$$

is a metric on  $X$ .

*Definition 2.2. [3].* Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space.

(i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, p)$  is said to converge to a point  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$ .

(ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, p)$  is said to be Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_n)$  exists and is finite.

(iii)  $(X, p)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges, w.r.to  $\tau_p$ , to a point

$x \in X$  such that  $p(x, x) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_n)$ .

*Lemma 2.3. [3].* Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space.

(a)  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p)$  if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space  $(X, p^s)$ .

(b)  $(X, p)$  is complete if and only if the metric space  $(X, p^s)$  is complete. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(x_n, x) = 0 \text{ if and only if } p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_n).$$

### 3. Main Result

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and let  $T, f : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings such that

(i)  $\psi(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y))$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X$ ,

where  $M(x, y) = \max \left\{ p(fy, Ty) \frac{1 + p(fx, Tx)}{1 + p(fx, fy)}, p(fx, fy) \right\}$  and  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous,

non-decreasing with  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  and  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is lower semi continuous with

$\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ,

(ii)  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and  $f(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$  and

(iii) the pair  $(f, T)$  is weakly compatible.

Then  $T, f$  have a unique common fixed point of the form  $\alpha$  in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$ . From (ii), there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$y_n = fx_{n+1} = Tx_n, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Case(a): Suppose  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  for some  $n$ .

Then  $fx = Sz$ , where  $z = x_{n+1}$ . Denote  $fx = Tz = \alpha$ .

$$\psi(p(T\alpha, \alpha)) = \psi(p(T\alpha, Tz))$$

$$\leq \psi(M(\alpha, z)) - \varphi(M(\alpha, z)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(\alpha, z) &= \max \left\{ p(fz, Tz) \frac{1+p(f\alpha, T\alpha)}{1+p(f\alpha, fz)}, p(f\alpha, fz) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ p(\alpha, \alpha) \frac{1+p(T\alpha, T\alpha)}{1+p(T\alpha, \alpha)}, p(T\alpha, \alpha) \right\} \\ &= p(T\alpha, \alpha), \quad \text{from } (p_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(T\alpha, \alpha)) &\leq \psi(p(T\alpha, \alpha)) - \varphi(p(T\alpha, \alpha)) \\ &< \psi(p(T\alpha, \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction.

Hence  $f\alpha = T\alpha = \alpha$ .

Hence  $\alpha$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $T$ .

Let  $\beta$  be another common fixed point of  $f$  and  $T$  such that  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(\alpha, \beta)) &= \psi(p(T\alpha, T\beta)) \\ &\leq \psi \left( \max \left\{ p(\beta, \beta) \frac{1+p(\alpha, \alpha)}{1+p(\alpha, \beta)}, p(\alpha, \beta) \right\} \right) - \varphi \left( \max \left\{ p(\beta, \beta) \frac{1+p(\alpha, \alpha)}{1+p(\alpha, \beta)}, p(\alpha, \beta) \right\} \right) \\ &= \psi(p(\alpha, \beta)) - \varphi(p(\alpha, \beta)), \quad \text{from } (p_2) \\ &< \psi(p(\alpha, \beta)). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ .

Thus  $\alpha$  is the unique common fixed point of  $T$  and  $f$ .

Case(b): Suppose  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})) &= \psi(p(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(M(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \varphi(M(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(\max\{p(y_n, y_{n+1}), p(y_n, y_{n-1})\}) - \varphi(\max\{p(y_n, y_{n+1}), p(y_n, y_{n-1})\}). \end{aligned}$$

If  $p(y_n, y_{n+1})$  is maximum, then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})) - \varphi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})) \\ &< \psi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction.

Hence  $p(y_n, y_{n-1})$  is maximum.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(y_n, y_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(p(y_n, y_{n-1})) - \varphi(p(y_n, y_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \psi(p(y_n, y_{n-1})). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Since  $\psi$  is non-decreasing, we have  $p(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq p(y_n, y_{n-1})$

Similarly  $p(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}) \leq p(y_n, y_{n+1})$ .

Thus  $p(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq p(y_n, y_{n-1})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Thus  $\{p(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative real numbers and must converge to a real number, say,  $L \geq 0$ .

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.1), we get

$$\psi(L) \leq \psi(L) - \varphi(L) \text{ so that } \varphi(L) \leq 0. \text{ Hence } L = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence from (p<sub>2</sub>),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_n) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

By definition of p<sup>s</sup>, we have p<sup>s</sup>(y<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n+1</sub>) ≤ 2p(y<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n+1</sub>).

From (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Now we prove that {y<sub>n</sub>} is Cauchy sequence in metric space (X, p<sup>s</sup>). On contrary suppose that {y<sub>n</sub>} is not Cauchy. Then there exists an ε > 0 for which we can find two subsequences {y<sub>m(k)</sub>}, {y<sub>n(k)</sub>} of {y<sub>n</sub>} such that n(k) is the smallest index for which n(k) > m(k) > k,

$$p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) \geq \varepsilon \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) < \varepsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.5) and (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) + p^s(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) \\ &< \varepsilon + p^s(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

Letting k → ∞ and using (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon. \quad (3.7)$$

From (p<sub>2</sub>), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Letting k → ∞ and using (3.2) and (3.7) in the inequality

$$|p^s(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) - p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)})| \leq p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)-1})$$

we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p^s(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

From (p<sub>2</sub>), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3.10)$$

Letting k → ∞ and using (3.2) and (3.7) in the inequality

$$|p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}) - p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)})| \leq p^s(y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)+1})$$

we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p^s(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}) = \varepsilon. \quad (3.11)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1})) &= \psi(p(Tx_{m(k)}, Tx_{n(k)+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})) - \varphi(M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})) \\ &= \psi\left(\max\left\{p(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)+1}) \frac{1 + p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)})}{1 + p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)})}, p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)})\right\}\right) \\ &\quad - \left(\varphi\left(\max\left\{p(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)+1}) \frac{1 + p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)})}{1 + p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)})}, p(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)})\right\}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using (3.12), (3.2), (3.10) and (3.8), we get

$$\psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \leq \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$

It is a contradiction.

Hence  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p^s)$ .

Thus  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(y_n, y_m) = 0$ .

By definition of  $p^s$  and from (3.2), we get

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(y_n, y_m) = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Suppose  $f(X)$  is complete.

Since  $\{y_n\} \subseteq f(X)$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space  $(f(X), p^s)$ , it follows that  $\{y_n\}$  converges in  $(f(X), p^s)$ .

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(y_n, \alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha \in f(X)$ .

There exists  $w \in X$  such that  $\alpha = fw$ .

Since  $\{y_{n+1}\}$  is Cauchy in  $X$  and  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow \alpha$ , it follows that  $\{y_{n+1}\} \rightarrow \alpha$ .

From Lemma 2.3(b) and (3.13), we have

$$p(\alpha, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, \alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_{n+1}, \alpha) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_m) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(Tw, \alpha) &\leq p(Tw, Tx_{n+1}) + p(Tx_{n+1}, \alpha) - p(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq p(Tw, Tx_{n+1}) + p(Tx_{n+1}, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$p(Tw, \alpha) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(Tw, Tx_{n+1}).$$

Since  $\psi$  is continuous and non-decreasing, we have

$$\psi(p(Tw, \alpha)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(p(Tw, Tx_{n+1}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left( \max \left\{ p(y_n, y_{n+1}) \frac{1 + p(\alpha, Tw)}{1 + p(\alpha, y_n)}, p(\alpha, y_n) \right\} \right) \\
 &\quad - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left( \max \left\{ p(y_n, y_{n+1}) \frac{1 + p(\alpha, Tw)}{1 + p(\alpha, y_n)}, p(\alpha, y_n) \right\} \right) \\
 &= \psi(0) - \varphi(0) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $Tw = \alpha$ . Thus  $Tw = \alpha = fw$ .

Since the pair  $(f, T)$  is weakly compatible, we have  $fa = Ta$ .

As in Case(a), it follows that  $\alpha$  is the unique common fixed point of  $T$  and  $f$ .

*Example 3.2.* Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $T, f : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f(x) = x/3$  and  $T(x) = x^2/6$ ,  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\psi(t) = t$  and  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\varphi(t) = t/2$ . Then the conditions (ii) and (iii) are satisfied and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(p(Tx, Ty)) &= p(Tx, Ty) \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{x^2}{6}, \frac{y^2}{6} \right\} \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{x}{6}, \frac{y}{6} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} p(fx, fy) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ p(fy, Ty) \frac{1 + p(fx, Tx)}{1 + p(fx, fy)}, p(fx, fy) \right\} \\
 &= \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(M(x, y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $M(x, y) = \max \left\{ p(fy, Ty) \frac{1 + p(fx, Tx)}{1 + p(fx, fy)}, p(fx, fy) \right\}$

Thus (i) is holds.

Hence all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and 0 is the unique common fixed point of  $T$  and  $f$ .

### References

- Altun, I Sola.F and Simsek H, (2010), "Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces", Topology and its Applications.157, 6, 2778 - 2785.
- Heckmann. R, (1999), "Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces", Appl. Categ.Structures. No.1-2, 7, 71 - 83.
- Matthews.S.G, (1994), Partial metric topology, in: Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, in: Ann. New York Acad. Sci. Vol. 728, 1994, pp. 183 - 197.
- Oltra. S, Valero. O,(2004) "Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces", Rend.Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste. Vol XXXVI, 17 - 26.
- O'Neill.S.J, (1996)"Partial metrics, valuations and domain theory, Proc. 11th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications", Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Vol806, pp. 304 - 315.

Romaguera. S, (2010) “A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces”,  
Fixed Point

Theory. Vol.2010, Article ID 493298, 6 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/493298.

Romaguera.S, Schellekens.M, (2005), “Partial metric monoids and semi valuation spaces”, Topology and Applications. 153, no.5-6, 948 - 962.

Romaguera.S, Valero.O, (2009), ‘A quantitative computational modal for complete partial metric space via formal balls’ , Mathematical Structures in Computer Sciences. Vol.19, no.3, 541 - 563.

Schellekens. M,(1995), “The Smyth completion: a common foundation for denotational semantics and complexity analysis “, Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science. Vol.1, 535 - 556.

Schellekens.M, (2003), “A characterization of partial metrizebility: domains are quantifiable”, Theoretical Computer Sciences. Vol 305,no.1-3, 409 - 432.

Waszkiewicz.P,(2003), “Quantitative continuous domains”, Applied Categorical Structures. Vol 11, no. 1, 41 - 67.

Waszkiewicz.P, (2006), Partial metrizebility of continuous posets, Mathematical Structures in Computer Sciences. Vol 16, no. 2, 2006, 359 - 372.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:**

<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

### **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

