

Fixed point theorems through rational expression in Altering distance functions

Renu Praveen Pathak , Rashmi Tiwari*, RamakantBhardwaj**

Department of Mathematics Late G.N. Sapkal College of Engineering, Anjaneri Hills, Nasik (M.H.)
Research Sch. Of JJT University junjunu, Rajasthan, India

*Department of Mathematics Govt. Narmada Mahavidyalay, Hoshangabad (M.P)

** Department of Mathematics, Truba Institute

rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we proves a generalised results of J.R. Morales , E.M.Rojas , B.K.Dasand, S.Gupta .Also the results given by B.Samet and H.Yazid using altering distance functions and property P for the contraction mappings.

Keywords:Fixed point, Altering distance functions, Complete metric space.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 45H10, 54H25.

Introduction and Preliminaries

The fixed point theorems in metric spaces are playing a major role to solve many problems in a mathematical analysis. So the attraction of metric spaces to a large numbers of mathematicians is understandable. Some generalizations of the notion of a metric space have been proposed by some authors.

Altering distance function for self-mapping on a metric space established by M.S. Khan in 1984 and it can be expanded by M. Swalesh, S. Sessa that they introduced a control function which they called as altering distance function in the research of fixed point theory. The author Mier- Keeler type (ϵ, δ) - contractive condition to study of fixed point by using a control function with extended contractive conditions.

Definition 1 A function $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty)$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied.

(φ_1) $\psi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$.

(φ_2) ψ is monotonically non decreasing.

(φ_3) ψ is continuous.

By Ψ we denote the set of all altering distance function.

Using those control functions the author extend the Banach contraction principle by taking $\psi = \text{Id}$, (the identity mapping), in the inequality contraction (1.1) of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, let $\psi \in \Psi$ and let $Q : M \rightarrow M$

be a mapping which satisfies the following inequality

$$\psi[d(Q_x, Q_y)] \leq a\psi[d(x, y)] \quad [1.1]$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and for some $0 < a < 1$. Then, Q has a unique fixed point $v_0 \in M$

and moreover for each $x \in M$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^n x = v_0$.

Fixed point theorems involving the notion of altering distance functions has been widely studied, On the other hand, in 1975, B.K. Das and S. Gupta [3] proves the following result.

Theorem 1.2 Let (M, d) be a metric space and let $Q: M \rightarrow M$ be a given mapping

such that,

$$(i) \ d(Qx, Qy) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta m(x, y) \quad [1.2]$$

for all $x, y \in M, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ where

$$m(x, y) = \left[\frac{d^2(x, Qx) + d(x, Qy) d(y, Qx) + d^2(y, Qy)}{1 + d(x, Qx) d(y, Qy)} \right] [1.3]$$

for all $x, y \in M$.

(ii) for some $x_0 \in M$, the sequence of iterates $(Q^n x_0)$ has a subsequence $(Q^{n_k} x_0)$

With $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q^{n_k} x_0 = v_0$. Then v_0 is the unique fixed point of Q .

Definition 1.2. Let (M, d) be a metric space for a self-mapping Q with a nonempty fixed point set $E(Q)$. Then Q is said to satisfy the property P if $E(Q) = E(Q^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1.3. Let (M, d) be a metric space. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in M such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0 \quad [1.4]$$

If $\{y_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in M , then there exist an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and sequence of integers positive $(m(k))$ and $(n(k))$ with

$(m(k)) > (n(k)) > k$, such that,

$$d(y_{(m(k))}, y_{(n(k))}) \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad d(y_{(m(k))-1}, y_{(n(k))}) < \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{and}$$

- i. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{(m(k))-1}, y_{(n(k))+1}) = \varepsilon_0$
- ii. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{(m(k))}, y_{(n(k))}) = \varepsilon_0$
- iii. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{(m(k))-1}, y_{(n(k))}) = \varepsilon_0$

Remark 1.4. From Lemma 1.3 is easy to get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{(m(k))+1}, y_{(n(k))+1}) = \varepsilon_0$$

In this paper we will study the property introduced by G.S. Jeong and B.E. Rhoades in [5] which they called the property P in metric spaces

Main Result

Theorem 2.1 Let a complete metric space (M, d) , we have $\psi \in \Psi$. Let $Q : M \rightarrow M$ be a mapping which satisfies the condition:

$$\psi[d(Qx, Qy)] \leq \alpha \psi[d(x, y)] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x, Qx) + d(x, Qy) d(y, Qx) + d^2(y, Qy)}{1 + d(x, Qx) d(y, Qy)} \right] \quad [2.1]$$

for all $x, y \in M, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + 2\beta < 1$ and $m(x, y)$ is given by [1.2]. Then Q has a unique fixed point $v_0 \in M$, and for each $x \in M$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^n x = v_0$.

Proof: Let $x \in M$ be an arbitrary point and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence defined as:

$$x_{n+1} = Qx_n = Q^{n+1}x$$

For all $n \geq 1$, Now

$$\psi[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] = \psi[d(Qx_{n-1}, Qx_n)] \quad [2.2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha \psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{n-1}, Qx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, Qx_n) d(x_n, Qx_{n-1}) + d^2(x_n, Qx_n)}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, Qx_{n-1})d(x_n, Qx_n)} \right] \\ &\psi[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \leq \alpha \psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{n-1}, Qx_{n-1})}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, Qx_{n-1})d(x_n, Qx_n)} \right] \\ &+ \beta \psi \left[\frac{+d(x_{n-1}, Qx_n) d(x_n, Qx_{n-1})}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, Qx_{n-1})d(x_n, Qx_n)} \right] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_n, Qx_n)}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, Qx_{n-1})d(x_n, Qx_n)} \right] \\ &\leq \alpha \psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{n-1}, x_n)}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] \\ &+ \beta \psi \left[\frac{+d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) d(x_n, x_n)}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_n, x_{n+1})}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] \\ &\leq \alpha \psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \beta \psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\ &\psi[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \leq (\alpha + \beta)\psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \beta \psi d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\psi[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \leq (\alpha + \beta)\psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ &\psi[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \beta)} \psi[d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\ &\leq \left[\frac{(\alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \beta)} \right]^2 \psi[d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})] \leq \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \leq \left[\frac{(\alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \beta)} \right]^n \psi[d(x_0, x_1)] \quad [2.3]$$

since $\frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \in (0, 1)$ from (3), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] = 0$$

From the result given that $\psi \in \Psi$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0 \quad [2.4]$$

Now, we will show that (x_n) is Cauchy sequence in M . Suppose that (x_n) is not a Cauchy sequence, which means that there is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each positive integer k , there exist a positive integer $m(k)$ and $n(k)$ with $m(k) > n(k) > k$ such that

$$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon_0, \quad d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) < \epsilon_0$$

From lemma 1.3 and remark 1.4 we have,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon_0 \quad [2.5]$$

$$\text{And } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon_0 \quad [2.6]$$

For $x = x_{m(k)}$ and $y = x_{n(k)}$ from [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) &= \psi[d(Qx_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})] \\ &\leq \alpha \psi[d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + d^2(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using [4], [5] and [6] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\epsilon) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta \psi [d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})] \leq \beta \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi [d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})] \\ &\leq \beta \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi [d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})] \\ &\leq \alpha \psi(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we get a contradiction. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space M , Thus there exist $v_0 \in M$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = v_0$$

Setting $x = x_n$ and $y = v_0$ in [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi [d(x_{n+1}, Qv_0)] &= \psi [d(Qx_n, Tv_0)] \\ &\leq \alpha \psi [d(x_n, v_0)] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_n, Qx_n) + d(x_n, Qv_0) d(v_0, Qx_n) + d^2(v_0, Qv_0)}{1 + d(x_n, Qx_n) + d(v_0, Qv_0)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi [d(x_{n+1}, Qv_0)] \leq \beta \psi d(v_0, Qv_0)$

$$i. e. \quad \psi d(v_0, Qv_0) \leq \beta \psi d(v_0, Qv_0)$$

since $\beta \in (0,1)$, then $\psi d(v_0, Qv_0) = 0$, which implies that $d(v_0, Qv_0) = 0$

thus $v_0 = Qv_0$.

Now we are going to establish the uniqueness of the fixed point, Let y_0, v_0 be two fixed point of Q such that $y_0 \neq v_0$, putting $x = y_0$ and $y = v_0$ in [1], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Qv_0, Qy_0) &\leq \alpha \psi [d(v_0, y_0)] \\ &+ \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(v_0, Qv_0) + d(v_0, Qy_0) d(y_0, Qv_0) + d^2(y_0, Qy_0)}{1 + d(v_0, Qv_0) + d(y_0, Qy_0)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$i. e. \quad \psi d(Qv_0, Qy_0) \leq \alpha \psi [d(v_0, y_0)]$$

which implies that $\psi [d(v_0, y_0)] = 0$, so $d(v_0, y_0) = 0$

Thus $v_0 = y_0$.

Corollary 2.2 Let (M, d) be a complete metric space and let $Q : M \rightarrow M$ be a mapping. We assume that for each $x, y \in M$,

$$\int_0^{d(Qx, Qy)} \psi(u) du \leq \alpha \int_0^{d(x, y)} \psi(u) du + \beta \int_0^{\psi \left[\frac{d^2(v_0, Qv_0) + d(v_0, Qy_0) d(y_0, Qv_0) + d^2(y_0, Qy_0)}{1 + d(v_0, Qv_0) + d(y_0, Qy_0)} \right]} \psi(u) du \quad [2.7]$$

Where $0 < \alpha + \beta < 1$ and $\psi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty)$, non negative and such that

$$\int_0^\epsilon \psi(u) du > 0, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then Q has a unique fixed point $v_0 \in M$ such that for each $x \in M$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^n x = v_0$.

Proof: Let $\psi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ be a mapping as we define $\psi_0(u) = \int_0^u \psi(u) du, u \in R_+$. It is clear that $\psi_0(0) = 0$. ψ_0 is monotonically non decreasing and by hypothesis ψ_0 is absolutely continuous. Hence ψ_0 is continuous. Therefore, $\psi_0 \in \Psi$, so by (2.1) becomes

$$\psi_0(d(Qx, Qy)) \leq \alpha \psi_0(d(x, y)) + \beta \psi_0 \left[\frac{d^2(v_0, Qv_0) + d(v_0, Qy_0) d(y_0, Qv_0) + d^2(y_0, Qy_0)}{1 + d(v_0, Qv_0) + d(y_0, Qy_0)} \right]$$

Hence from theorem 2.1 there exists a unique fixed point $v_0 \in M$ such that for each

$$x \in M, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^n x = v_0.$$

Remarks 2.3.

- i. If we take $\beta = 0$, then (2.1) reduces to (1.2), thus the Theorem 1.1 is a corollary of theorem 2.1.
- ii. If we take $\psi = I\rho$ in (2.1), then we obtain (1.2). Therefore the Theorem 2.1 is a generalisation of Theorem 1.2.

3 The property P.

In this section we are going to prove that the mappings satisfying the contractive conditions [1.1], [1.2], [2.1] and [2.7] fulfil the property P.

Theorem 3.1 Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, we have $\psi \in \Psi$. Let $Q : M \rightarrow M$ be a mapping which satisfies the condition:

$$\psi[d(Qx, Qy)] \leq \alpha \psi[d(x, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in M$, and for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $E_Q \neq \emptyset$ and Q has a property P.

Proof: From Theorem [1.1], Q has a fixed point therefore $E_{Q^n} \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Fix $n > 1$ and we assume that $v \in E_{Q^n}$ we have to prove that $v \in E_Q$. Assume that

$v \neq Qv$, from [1.1]

$$\psi[d(v, Qv)] = \psi[d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v)] \leq \alpha \psi[d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v)] \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n \psi[d(v, Qv)].$$

Since $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^n \psi[d(v, Qv)] = 0$. From the fact that, $\psi \in \Psi$ we get $v = Qv$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $v \in E_Q$ i.e. Q has a property P.

Theorem 3.2 Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, and Let $Q : M \rightarrow M$ be a mapping which satisfies the contractive condition:

$$\psi[d(Qx, Qy)] \leq \alpha [d(x, y)] + \beta m(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in M, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ where

$$m(x, y) = \left[\frac{d^2(x, Qx) + d(x, Qy) d(y, Qx) + d^2(y, Qy)}{1 + d(x, Qx) d(y, Qy)} \right]$$

Then $E_Q \neq \emptyset$ and Q has a property P.

Proof: From Theorem [1.2], $E_Q \neq \emptyset$, therefore $E_{Q^n} \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Fix $n > 1$ and we assume that $v \in E_{Q^n}$ we have to prove that $v \in E_Q$. Assume that

$v \neq Qv$

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, Qv) &= d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v) \\ &\leq \alpha d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) \\ &+ b \left[\frac{d^2(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) + d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^{n+1} v) d(Q^n v, Q^n v) + d^2(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v)}{1 + d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) + d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v)} \right] \\ &= \alpha d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) + b d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d(v, Qv) = d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-b} d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) \leq \dots \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-b}\right)^n d(v, Qv)$

Which is a contradiction. Consequently $v \in E_Q$ and Q has the property P.

Theorem 3.3 Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, let $\psi \in \Psi$ and Let $Q : M \rightarrow M$ be a mapping which satisfies the contractive condition:

$$\psi[d(Qx, Qy)] \leq \alpha \psi[d(x, y)] + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x, Qx) + d(x, Qy) d(y, Qx) + d^2(y, Qy)}{1 + d(x, Qx)d(y, Qy)} \right]$$

Then $E_Q \neq \emptyset$ and Q has a property P .

Proof: From Theorem [1.1], Q has a fixed point therefore $E_{Q^n} \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Fix $n > 1$ and we assume that $v \in E_{Q^n}$ we have to prove that $v \in E_Q$. Assume that

$v \neq Qv$, from [2.1]

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[d(v, Qv)] &= \psi[d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v)] \\ &\leq \alpha \psi[d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v)] \\ &\quad + \beta \psi \left[\frac{d^2(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) + d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^{n+1} v) d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v) + d^2(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v)}{1 + d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) + d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v)} \right] \\ &= \alpha \psi[d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v)] + \beta \psi[d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v)] \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi d(v, Qv) = \psi d(Q^n v, Q^{n+1} v) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \psi d(Q^{n-1} v, Q^n v) \leq \dots \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}\right)^n \psi d(v, Qv)$

$$\psi d(v, Qv) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}\right)^n \psi d(v, Qv)$$

Which is a contradiction, therefore $\psi d(v, Qv) = 0$, since $\psi \in \Psi$

We conclude that $d(v, Qv) = 0$, thus $v \in E_Q$ and Q has the property P .

References

1. B.K. Das and S. Gupta, "An extension of Banach contractive principle through rational expression", Indian Jour. Pure and Applied Math., 6 (1975) 1455-1458.
2. G.U.R. Babu and P.P. Sailaja, "A fixed point theorem of generalized weakly contractive maps in orbitally complete metric space", Thai. Jour. Of Math. 9 1 (2011) 1-10.
3. P.N. Dutta and B.S. Choudhury, "A Generalisation of contractive principle in Metric spaces", "Fixed point theory and its applications", Vol. 2008, Article ID 406368, 8 pages, 2008. doi: 10.1155/2008/406368.
4. R. Chugh, T. Kadian, A Rani and B.E. Rhoades, "Property P in G-Metric spaces", "Fixed point theory and its applications", Vol. 2010, Article ID 401684, 12 pages, 2010, doi: 10.1155/2010/401684.
5. G.S. Jeong and B.E. Rhoades, "Maps for which $F(T) = F(T^n)$ ", "Fixed point Theory and Applications", 6 (2005) 87-131.
6. J.R. Morales and E.M. Rojas, Altering distance functions and Fixed point theorems through rational expression", arXiv:1201.5189v[math.FA] 25 [2012].
7. M.S. Khan, M. Swalech and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points", Bull. Austral Math. Soc., 30 (1984) 1-9.
8. B.E. Rhoades and M. Abbas, "Maps satisfying generalized contractive conditions of integral type for which $F(T) = F(T^n)$ ", Int. Jour. of pure and Applied Math. 45 2 (2008) 225-231.
9. G.S. Jeong and B.E. Rhoades, "More Maps for which $F(T) = F(T^n)$ " Demonstratio Math., 40 (2007) 671-680.
10. B. Samet and H. Yazidi, "An extension of Banach fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of integral type", J. Nonlinear sci. Appl., accepted.(2011).
11. S.V.R. Naidu, "Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances", Czechoslovak Math. Jour. 53 1 (2003) 205-212.
12. V. Popa and M. Mocanu, "Altering distance and common fixed points under implicit relations", Hacettepe Jour. Math. and Stat., 38 3 (2009) 329-337.

The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open-Access hosting service and academic event management. The aim of the firm is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the firm can be found on the homepage:
<http://www.iiste.org>

CALL FOR JOURNAL PAPERS

There are more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals hosted under the hosting platform.

Prospective authors of journals can find the submission instruction on the following page: <http://www.iiste.org/journals/> All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Paper version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

MORE RESOURCES

Book publication information: <http://www.iiste.org/book/>

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

