About Testing the Hypothesis of Equality of Two Bernoulli Regression Curves

Petre Babilua (Corresponding author)
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
2 University St., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia
Tel: +995599408383 E-mail: petre.babilua@tsu.ge

Elizbar Nadaraya
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
2 University St., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia
Tel: +995599570555 E-mail: elizabar.nadaraya@tsu.ge

Grigol Sokhadze
I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
2 University St., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia
Tel: +995591313197 E-mail: grigol.sokhadze@tsu.ge

Abstract
The limiting distribution of an integral square deviation between two kernel type estimators of Bernoulli regression functions is established in the case of two independent samples. The criterion of testing is constructed for both simple and composite hypotheses of equality of two Bernoulli regression functions. The question of consistency is studied. The asymptotics of behavior of the power of test is investigated for some close alternatives.
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1. Introduction

Let random variables $Y^{(i)}$, $i=1,2$, take two values 1 and 0 with probabilities $p_i$ (success) and $1-p_i$, $i=1,2$ (failure), respectively. Assume that the probability of success $p_i$ is the function of an independent variable $x \in [0,1]$, i.e. $p_i = p_i(x) = \mathbb{P}\{Y^{(i)} = 1 \mid x\}$ ($i=1,2$) (see [1]-[3]). Let $t_j$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, be the division points of the interval $[0,1]$:

$$t_j = \frac{2j-1}{2n}, \quad j=1,\ldots,n.$$ 

Let further $Y^{(i)}_j$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, be mutually independent random Bernoulli variables with

$$\mathbb{P}\{Y^{(i)}_j = 1 \mid t_j\} = p_k(t_j), \quad \mathbb{P}\{Y^{(i)}_j = 0 \mid t_j\} = 1 - p_k(t_j), \quad i=1,\ldots,n, \quad k=1,2.$$ 

Using the samples $Y^{(i)}_1,\ldots,Y^{(i)}_n$ we want to check the hypothesis

$$H_0 : p_1(x) = p_2(x) = p(x), \quad x \in [0,1],$$

against the sequence of “close” alternatives of the form

$$H_{in} : p_k(x) = p(x) + \alpha_n u_k(x) + o(\alpha_n), \quad k=1,2.$$
where $\alpha_n \to 0$ relevantly, $u_i(x) \neq u_2(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$ and $o(\alpha_n)$ uniformly in $x \in [0,1]$.

The problem of comparing two Bernoulli regression functions arises in some applications, for example in quantal biossays in pharmacology. There $x$ denotes the dose of a drug and $p(x)$ the probability of response to the dose $x$.

We consider the criterion of testing the hypothesis $H_0$ based on the statistic

$$T_n = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \left( \tilde{p}_m(x) - \tilde{p}_2(x) \right)^2 dx \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \left( p_m(x) - p_2(x) \right)^2 dx \right],$$

where

$$\tilde{p}_m(x) = p_m(x) p_n(x), \quad p_m(x) = \frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K \left( \frac{x-t_j}{b_n} \right)^{j}, \quad \frac{n}{i}, \quad i = 1, 2,$n$$

$$p_n(x) = \frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K \left( \frac{x-t_j}{b_n} \right),$$

$K(x)$ is some distribution density and $b_n \to 0$ is a sequence of positive numbers, $\hat{p}_n(x)$ is the kernel estimator of the regression function (see [4], [5]).

2. Assumptions and Notation

We assume that a kernel $K(x) \geq 0$ is chosen so that it is a function of bounded variation and satisfies the conditions: $K(x) = K(-x)$, $K(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq \tau > 0$, $\int K(x) dx = 1$. The class of such functions is denoted by $H(\tau)$.

We also introduce the notation:

$$T^{(1)}_n = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \left( \tilde{p}_m(x) - \tilde{p}_2(x) \right)^2 dx \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \left( p_m(x) - Ep_m(x) \right)^2 dx \right], \quad \frac{n}{i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

$$Q_i = \psi_i(t_i), \quad \psi_i(u,v) = \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} K \left( \frac{x-u}{b_n} \right) K \left( \frac{x-v}{b_n} \right) dx,$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{(nb_n)^2} \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_i d_j Q_i^2, \quad d_i = d(t_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_i(t_k) (1 - p_i(t_k)).$$

3. Auxiliary Assertions

**Lemma 1 ([6]).** Let $K(x) \in H(\tau)$ and $p(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$, be a function of bounded variation. If $nb_n \to \infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K^{\nu_i} \left( \frac{x-t_i}{b_n} \right) K^{\nu_i} \left( \frac{y-t_i}{b_n} \right) p^{\nu_i}(t_i) = \frac{1}{b_n} \left[ \int K^{\nu_i} \left( \frac{x-u}{b_n} \right) K^{\nu_i} \left( \frac{y-u}{b_n} \right) p^{\nu_i}(u) du \right] + O \left( \frac{1}{nb_n} \right)$$

uniformly in $x,y \in [0,1]$, where $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, i = 1,2,3$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $K(x) \in H(\tau)$, $p(x) \in C^1[0,1]$ and $u_1(x)$, $u_2(x)$ be continuous functions on $[0,1]$. If
\[ nb_n^2 \to \infty \text{ and } \alpha_n b_n^{-1/2} \to 0, \text{ then for the hypothesis } H_1 \]

\[ b_n^{-1} \sigma_n^2 \to \sigma^2(p) = 2 \int_0^1 p^2(x)(1 - p(x))^2 dx \int_{|h| < 2} K_n^2(x) dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and

\[ b_n^{-1/2}(\Delta_n - \Delta(p)) = O\left(b_n^{1/2}\right) + O\left(\alpha_n b_n^{-1/2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n^{3/2}}\right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

\[ \Delta_n = ET_n^{(1)}, \Delta(p) = \int_0^1 p(x)(1 - p(x)) dx \int_{|h| < 2} K^2(u) du, \]

\[ K_n = K * K, * \text{ is the convolution operator.} \]

**Proof.** We have

\[ \sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{(nb_n)^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n d_i d_j \Omega_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \Omega_i^2 \right) = A_1(n) + A_2(n) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

\[ d_i = d(t_i) = p_i(t_i)(1 - p_i(t_i)) + p_c(t_i)(1 - p_c(t_i)), \hspace{0.5cm} k = 1, \ldots, n, \]

\[ d_i = 2p(t_i)(1 - p(t_i)) + O(\alpha_n), \hspace{0.5cm} k = 1, 2, \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

uniformly in \( t_k \in [0, 1] \).

It can be easily established that

\[ b_n^{-1} A_2(n) \leq \frac{1}{2} n^{-2} b_n^3 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \left( \int_{\alpha_n(t)} K^2 \left( \frac{x-t_i}{b_n} \right) dx \right)^2 \leq c_1 \frac{1}{nb_n} + c_2 \frac{\alpha_n}{nb_n}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

From the definition of \( Q_{\alpha_n} \) and (4) follows

\[ A_1(n) = \frac{1}{2} (nb_n)^{-2} \int_{\alpha_n(t)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 2p(t_i)(1 - p(t_i)) + O(\alpha_n) \right) K \left( \frac{x-t_i}{\alpha_n} \right) K \left( \frac{y-t_i}{\alpha_n} \right) dx dy, \]

\[ \overline{\Omega}_n(t) = \Omega_n(t) \times \Omega_n(t). \]

Further, using Lemma 1 and also taking into account that \( p(x) \in C[0, 1] \) and \( \frac{x-1}{b_n} \frac{x}{b_n} \geq [-\tau, \tau] \) for all \( x \in \overline{\Omega}_n(t) \), it is easy to show that

\[ b_n^{-1} A_1(n) = 2 \int_{\alpha_n(t)} p^2(x)(1 - p(x))^2 \int_{x-\tau}^{x+\tau} K_n^2 \left( \frac{x-y}{b_n} \right) dx dy + O(b_n) + O\left(\alpha_n b_n^{-1/2}\right)^2 + O(\alpha_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n^{3/2}}\right). \]

Thus
\begin{equation}
\beta_n^{-1}A_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} p^2(x)(1 - p(x))^2 \, dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_n^2(x) \, dx.
\end{equation}

From (5) and (6) follows statement (1).

Further, using the above-mentioned method, we can write

\begin{align*}
\Delta_n &= ET_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} (nb_n)^{-1} \int_{\Omega_n(t)} \sum_{l=1}^n K^2 \left( \frac{x_l - f_l}{b_n} \right) d(t) \, dx = \\
&= \int_{\Omega_n(t)} \left[ \frac{1}{b_n^2} \int K^2(u) \, du \right] dx + O\left( \frac{1}{nb_n} \right) + O(\alpha_n) = \\
&= \int_0^{1/2} (1 - p(x)) \, dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K^2(x) \, dx + O(b_n) + O(\alpha_n) + O\left( \frac{1}{nb_n} \right).
\end{align*}

Thus

\begin{equation}
\beta_n^{-1/2} (\Delta_n - \Delta(p)) = O(b_n^{1/2}) + O(\alpha_n b_n^{-1/2}) + O\left( \frac{1}{nb_n^{1/2}} \right).
\end{equation}

The lemma is proved.

**Asymptotical Normality of the Statistic $T_n$**

We have the following assertion.

**Theorem 1.** Let $K(x) \in H_\tau$ and $p(x), u_1(x), u_2(x) \in C^1[0,1]$.

If $nb_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha_n b_n^{-1/2} \rightarrow 0$ and $nb_n^{1/2} \alpha_n^2 \rightarrow c_0$, $0 < c_0 < \infty$, then for the hypothesis $H_{1n}$

\begin{equation}
\beta_n^{-1/2} (T_n - \Delta(p)) \sigma^{-1}(p) \xrightarrow{d} N(a,1),
\end{equation}

where $\Delta(p)$ and $\sigma^2(p)$ are defined in Lemma 2 and $\xrightarrow{d}$ denotes convergence in distribution and $N(a,1)$ is a random variable having the standard normal distribution with parameters $(a,1)$.

\begin{equation}
a = -\frac{c_0}{2\sigma(p)} \int_0^{1/2} (u_1(x) - u_2(x))^2 \, dx.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** We have

\begin{equation}
T_n = T_n^{(1)} + T_n^{(2)} + T_n^{(3)},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{align*}
T_n^{(1)} &= nb_n \int_{\Omega_n(t)} \left[ \hat{p}_{1n}(x) - \hat{p}_{2n}(x) \right] \left[ 2p_{1n}(x) - p_{2n}(x) \right] \, dx, \\
T_n^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} nb_n \int_{\Omega_n(t)} \left[ 2Ep_{1n}(x) - Ep_{2n}(x) \right]^2 \, dx.
\end{align*}

By the Lemma 1, it is clear
\[
b_n^{-1/2}I_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} nb_n^{1/2} a_n^2 \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \left[ \frac{1}{b_n} K \left( \frac{x-t}{b_n} \right) \left[ u_i(t) - u_j(t) \right] dt + O \left( \frac{1}{nb_n} \right) \right]^2 dx.
\] (7)

Since \( \left[ \frac{x-1}{b_n} , \frac{x}{b_n} \right] \supseteq [-\tau, \tau] \) for all \( x \in \Omega_n(\tau) \), then from (7) we find

\[
b_n^{-1/2}I_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} nb_n^{1/2} a_n^2 \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \left[ \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} K(t) \left( u_i(x - b_n t) - u_j(x - b_n t) \right) dt + O \left( \frac{1}{nb_n} \right) \right]^2 dx.
\] (8)

Further, since \( u_i(x), u_j(x) \in C^4[0,1] \), then from (8) we have

\[
b_n^{-1/2}I_n^{(2)} \longrightarrow \frac{c_1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( u_i(t) - u_j(t) \right)^2 dt.
\] (9)

Now, we show that \( b_n^{-1/2}I_n^{(1)} \longrightarrow 0 \). We have

\[
b_n^{-1/2}I_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} nb_n^{1/2} \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \tilde{p}_{nb_n}(x)(Ep_{1n}(x) - Ep_{2n}(x)) dx - \frac{1}{2} nb_n^{1/2} \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \tilde{p}_{nb_n}(x)(Ep_{1n}(x) - Ep_{2n}(x)) dx = I_n^{(1)} + I_n^{(2)}.
\] (10)

It is clear that

\[
E\left[ I_n^{(1)} \right] \leq \left( E\left( I_n^{(1)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} nb_n^{1/2} \left[ E \left( \int_{\Omega_n(\tau)} \tilde{p}_{nb_n}(x)(Ep_{1n}(x) - Ep_{2n}(x)) dx \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} nb_n^{1/2} \left[ \text{cov}\left( p_{nb_n}(x_1), p_{nb_n}(x_2) \right)(Ep_{1n}(x_1) - Ep_{2n}(x_1))(Ep_{1n}(x_2) - Ep_{2n}(x_2)) dx_1 dx_2 \right]^{1/2},
\]

\( \Omega_n(\tau) = \Omega_n(t) \times \Omega_n(t) \).

Easily verify, that

\[
\text{cov}\left( p_{nb_n}(x_1), p_{nb_n}(x_2) \right) = \frac{1}{(nb_n)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K \left( \frac{x_i - t}{b_n} \right) K \left( \frac{x_i - t}{b_n} \right) p_i(t)(1 - p_i(t))
\]

and by Lemma 2 we can now write

\[
\text{cov}\left( p_{nb_n}(x_1), p_{nb_n}(x_2) \right) = n^{-1} b_n^{-2} \times \frac{1}{0} K \left( \frac{x_1 - u}{b_n} \right) K \left( \frac{x_2 - u}{b_n} \right) p_i(u)(1 - p_i(u)) du + O \left( \frac{1}{(nb_n)^2} \right).
\]

Thus
\[ E \left[ I_n^{(0)} \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}\frac{n b_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n} \int_{\Delta_n(x)} \frac{1}{n b_n^{1/2}} \left[ K \left( \frac{X_i - u}{b_n} \right)^2 K \left( \frac{X_j - u}{b_n} \right)^2 p_i(u)(1 - p_i(u)) \right] \frac{du}{b_n} \times \]
\[ \times \left( E p_{i,n}(x_i) - E p_{j,n}(x_j) \right) \left( E p_{j,n}(x_j) - E p_{k,n}(x_k) \right) dx_i, dx_j, dx_k \right)^{1/2} \leq c_1 \sqrt{n} b_n^{1/2} \alpha_n = c_1 \frac{\sqrt{n} b_n^{1/2} \alpha_n^2}{\sqrt{n} \alpha_n} \to 0, \]

since, by condition, \( nb_n^{1/2} \alpha_n^2 \to c_0, \quad 0 < c_0 < \infty \) and
\[ \sqrt{n} \alpha_n = \frac{\sqrt{n} b_n^{1/2} \alpha_n^2}{\sqrt{n} \alpha_n} \to \infty. \]

So, \( I_n^{(1)} \to P \to 0 \). Analogously we can show that \( I_n^{(2)} \to P \to 0 \).

Hence
\[ I_n^{(1)} \to P \to 0. \quad (11) \]

Further, to prove the theorem it remains to show
\[ \frac{T_n - \Delta_n}{\sigma_n} \to N(0, 1). \quad (12) \]

Since the proof of (12) is similar to that of Theorem 1 from [7], we omit it.

Using the representation \( T_n = T_n^{(1)} + T_n^{(1)} + T_n^{(2)} \), Lemma 2, (9), (11) and (12), we find that
\[ b_n^{-1/2} \left( T_n - \Delta(p) \right) \to N \left( \frac{c_n}{2 \sigma(p)} \left( u_i(x) - u_j(x) \right)^2, 1 \right). \]

The theorem is proved.

The conditions of Theorem 1 for \( b_n \) and \( \alpha_n \) are fulfilled if we assume \( b_n = b_0 n^{-\delta} \) and \( \alpha_n = \alpha_0 n^{-1/2 + \delta/4} \) for \( 0 < \delta < 1/2 \).

**Corollary.** Let \( K(u) \in H(\pi) \) and \( p(x) \in C^1[0, 1] \). If \( nb_n^2 \to \infty \), then for the hypothesis \( H_0 \)
\[ b_n^{-1/2} \left( T_n - \Delta(p) \right) \sigma^{-1}(p) \to N(0, 1). \quad (13) \]

**4. Application of the Statistic \( T_n \) for the Hypothesis Testing**

As an important application of the result of the corollary let us construct the criterion of testing the simple hypothesis \( H_0 : p_1(x) = p_2(x) = p(x) \) (this is the case with given \( p(x) \)); the critical domain is defined by the inequality
\[ T_n \geq d_n(\alpha) = \Delta(p) + b_n^{1/2} \sigma(p) \lambda_n, \]
And from Theorem 1 we establish that the local behavior of the power $P_{n_{in}} \left( T_n \geq d_n (\alpha) \right)$ is as follows

$$P_{n_{in}} \left( T_n \geq d_n (\alpha) \right) \longrightarrow 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{\lambda_n}{\sigma(p)} - \frac{A(u)}{\sigma(p)} \right),$$

where

$$A(u) = \int_0^1 \left( u_1(x) - u_2(x) \right)^2 dx, \quad u = (u_1, u_2).$$

$$\Phi(\lambda_n) = 1 - \alpha, \quad \Phi(\lambda)$$

is a standard normal distribution.

Note that in (13) the statistic function $T_n$ is normalized by the values $\Delta(p)$ and $\sigma^2(p)$ which depend on $p(x)$. If $p(x)$ is not defined by a hypothesis, then the parameters $\Delta(p)$ and $\sigma^2(p)$ should be replaced respectively by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n = \int_{\Omega_{\alpha(r)}} \lambda_n(x) dx \int K_1(x) dx,$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = 2 \int_{\Omega_{\alpha(r)}} \lambda_n^2(x) dx \int K_2^2(x) dx,$$

$$\lambda_n(x) = p_{1n}(x)(p_n(x) - p_{1n}(x)) + p_{2n}(x)(p_n(x) - p_{2n}(x))$$

and we show that

$$b_n^{1/2}(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \Delta(p)) \leadsto 0, \quad \tilde{\sigma}_n^2 \leadsto \sigma^2(p). \quad (14)$$

Let us prove (14). Since $p_{1i}(x) = 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{n^{b_{1i}}} \right)$ uniformly in $x \in \Omega_{\alpha}(\tau)$ and $p_{1i}(x) \leq c_1$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $i = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$b_n^{-1/2}E \left| \tilde{\lambda}_n - \Delta(p) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq c_2 b_n^{-1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{\alpha(r)}} \left( E(p_{1n}(x) - Ep_{1n}(x)) \right)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} + \int_{\Omega_{\alpha(r)}} \left( E(p_{2n}(x) - Ep_{2n}(x)) \right)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} +$$

$$+ b_n^{-1/2} \int_{\Omega_{\alpha(r)}} \left| Ep_{1n}(x) - p(x) \right| dx + b_n^{-1/2} \int_{\Omega_{\alpha(r)}} \left| Ep_{2n}(x) - p(x) \right| dx.$$

Further, using Lemma 1 and also taking into account that $p(x) \in C^1[0, 1]$ and $\left[ \frac{x-1}{b_n}, \frac{x}{b_n} \right] = [-\tau, \tau]$ for all $x \in \Omega_{\alpha}(\tau)$, it is easy to see that

$$b_n^{-1/2}E \left| \tilde{\lambda}_n - \Delta(p) \right| = O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n b_n}} \right) + O(b_n^{1/2}) + O \left( \frac{1}{n b_n^{1/2}} \right).$$
Hence \( b_n^{-1/2} (\hat{\Delta}_n - \Delta(p)) \rightarrow^p 0 \). Analogously, it can be shown that \( \hat{\sigma}_n^2 \rightarrow^p \sigma^2(p) \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( K(x) \in H(\tau) \) and

\[
p_1(x) = p_2(x) \in C^1[0,1].
\]

If \( nb_n^2 \rightarrow \infty \), then for \( n \rightarrow \infty \)

\[
b_n^{-1/2} (T_n - \hat{\Delta}_n) \hat{\sigma}_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1).
\]

**Proof.** Follows from (13) and (14).

Theorem 2 enables us to construct an asymptotical criterion of testing the composite hypothesis \( H_0: p_1(x) = p_2(x), \ x \in [0,1] \). The critical domain for testing this hypothesis is defined by the inequality

\[
T_n \geq \bar{d}_n(\alpha) = \hat{\Delta}_n + b_n^{-1/2} \hat{\sigma}_n \hat{\lambda}_n, \quad \Phi(\lambda_n) = 1 - \alpha.
\]

(15)

**Theorem 3.** Let \( K(x) \in H(\tau) \), \( p_1(x), p_2(x) \in C^1[0,1] \). If \( nb_n^2 \rightarrow \infty \), then for \( n \rightarrow \infty \)

\[
P_{H_1} \left( T_n \geq \bar{d}_n(\alpha) \right) \rightarrow 1
\]

Here the alternative hypothesis \( H_1 \) is any pair \( \{p_1(x), p_2(x)\} \), \( p_i(x), p_j(x) \in C^1[0,1], \ 0 \leq p(x) \leq 1, \)

\( i = 1, 2 \), such that \( p_i(x) \neq p_j(x) \) on the set of positive measure.

**Proof.** Is similar to the proof of Theorem 3 from [7].

**Remark.** Let \( t_i \) be the division points of the interval \([0,1]\), which are chosen so that

\[
H(t_j) = \frac{2j-1}{2n}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

where \( H(x) = \int_0^x h(u) du \), \( h(u) \) is some known continuous distribution density on \([0,1]\). In this case, by a similar reasoning to the above one we can be generalize the results obtained in this paper.
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