

Coincidence Points for Mappings under Generalized Contraction

Ajay Gairola* and Mahesh C.Joshi

Department of Mathematics, D.S.B. Campus, Kumaun University, Nainital

*Email of the corresponding author: ajjumath@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we establish some results on the existence of coincidence and fixed points for multi-valued and single valued mappings extending the result of *Feng and Liu [2]* and *Liu et.al [5]*. It is also proved with counter example that our results generalize and extend some well known results.

Key Words: common fixed point, Coincidence point, multi-valued mappings.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Generalizing Banach Contraction Principle, *Nadler [3]* introduced the concept of multivalued contraction mapping. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Following *Nadler [3]* and *Liu et.al [5]* we follow following notations throughout this paper.

$CB(X)$ (resp. $CL(X)$) denote the family of all closed and bounded (resp. closed) subsets of X . $C(X)$ represents set of all compact subsets of X . The Hausdorff distance for two subsets A, B of X is defined as:

$$H(A, B) = \max \{ \sup d(a, B) : a \in A \}, \{ \sup d(A, b) : b \in B \}$$

where $d(a, B) = \inf \{ d(a, b) : b \in B \}$,

It is well known that $CB(X)$ (resp. $CL(X)$) is a metric space with Hausdorff distance function.

Let $T: X \rightarrow CL(X)$. Using the concept of Hausdorff distance, *Nadler [3]* defined multivalued contraction as following,

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \alpha < 1.$$

Nadler proved that for a multivalued contraction in a complete metric space there exists a fixed point. Recently *Feng and Liu [2]* and *Liu et.al [5]* generalized the *Nadler's* result. *Feng and Liu [2]* gave an example to establish that if the mapping T does not satisfy the above contractive condition even then it has a fixed point. *Feng and Liu [2]* generalized the above contractive condition by considering the point $y \in T(x)$ for any $x \in X$ in place of $x, y \in X$ and proved the following result.

Theorem 1 [4]. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let T be a multivalued mapping from X to $CL(X)$. If there exist constant $b, c \in (0, 1)$, $c < b$, such that for any $x \in X$ there is $y \in T(x)$ satisfying,

$$bd(x, y) \leq f(x), \quad f(y) \leq cd(x, y) \tag{1.1}$$

then T has a fixed point in X provided the function $f(x) = d(x, T(x))$, $x \in X$ is lower semi continuous.

Generalizing above result and the result of *Ciric [1]*, *Liu et.al [5]* relax the contractive condition by taking $\alpha(f(x))$ and $\beta(f(x))$ in place of constant b and c , where

$$\alpha: B \rightarrow [0, 1], \beta: B \rightarrow [0, 1) \text{ and } B = \begin{cases} [0, \sup f(x)], & \text{if } \sup f(x) < +\infty \\ [0, +\infty), & \text{if } \sup f(x) = +\infty \end{cases} \dots(A)$$

In this paper we extend the result of [2] and [5] for the existence of coincidence points.

2. Main Result

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T: X \rightarrow CL(X)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$. An orbit of the multivalued map T at a point x_0 in X is a

sequence $\{x_n: x_n \in Tx_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, 3 \dots\}$. The space X is T -orbitally complete if every Cauchy sequence of the form $\{x_{n_i}: x_{n_i} \in Tx_{n_i-1}\}$ converges in X . If for a point x_0 in X , there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $fx_{n+1} \in Tx_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $O_f(x_0) = \{fx_n: n = 1, 2 \dots\}$ is an orbit of (T, f) at x_0 . A space X is (T, f) -orbitally complete if every Cauchy sequence of the form $\{fx_{n_i}: fx_{n_i} \in Tx_{n_i-1}\}$ converges in X . A function $\Phi: X \rightarrow R$ such that $\Phi(x) = d(fx, Tx)$ is called (T, f) -orbitally lower semi continuous if for any point $z \in X \exists$ an orbit $\{fx_n\}$ of (T, f) with $\lim fx_n = fz$ implying that $\Phi(z) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x_n)$.

Theorem 2.1

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $T: X \rightarrow CL(X)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is (T, f) -orbitally complete. If for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in X$ such that $f(y) \in T(x)$ and

$$\alpha(\Phi(x))d(fx, fy) \leq \Phi(x) \text{ and } \Phi(y) \leq \beta(\Phi(x))d(fx, fy)$$

where α and β are defined as (A) satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha(r) > 0, \lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\sup \beta(r)}{\alpha(r)} < 1 \quad \forall t \in [0, \sup \Phi(x)] \tag{2.1}$$

and the function Φ is (T, f) -orbitally lower semi continuous at z . Then there exist a coincidence point z of f and T .

Proof: Let $\gamma(t) = \frac{\beta(t)}{\alpha(t)}, \forall t \in [0, \sup \Phi(x)]$ (2.2)

Let $x_0 \in X$, since $T(x) \subseteq f(X)$ we choose $x_1 \in X$ so that $fx_1 \in Tx_0$,

$$\alpha(\Phi(x_0))d(fx_0, fx_1) \leq \Phi(x_0) = d(fx_0, Tx_0),$$

$$\Phi(x_1) = d(fx_1, Tx_1) \leq \beta(\Phi(x_0))d(fx_0, fx_1)$$

implies

$$\Phi(x_1) \leq \beta(\Phi(x_0)) \frac{\Phi(x_0)}{\alpha(\Phi(x_0))}.$$

Using (2.2) we get

$$\Phi(x_1) \leq \beta(\Phi(x_0)) \frac{\Phi(x_0)}{\alpha(\Phi(x_0))} = \gamma(\Phi(x_0)) \Phi(x_0)$$

continuing the process we get an orbit $\{fx_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of T satisfying

$$\alpha(\Phi(x_n))d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \Phi(x_n) = d(fx_n, Tx_n) \text{ and}$$

$$\Phi(x_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \leq \beta(\Phi(x_n))d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}), \quad \forall n \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Using (2.2) we get

$$\Phi(x_{n+1}) \leq \beta(\Phi(x_n)) \frac{\Phi(x_n)}{\alpha(\Phi(x_n))} = \gamma(\Phi(x_n)) \Phi(x_n). \tag{2.4}$$

Since $0 \leq \gamma(t) < 1$ and by (2.4) it is clear that $\{\Phi(x_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a nonnegative and decreasing sequence. Hence $\{\Phi(x_n)\}$ is convergent.

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x_n) = a$ (2.5)

where $a \geq 0$, suppose $a > 0$, taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.4) and by (2.1), (2.2) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \vartheta(x_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma(\vartheta(x_n)) \vartheta(x_n)] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \gamma(\vartheta(x_n)) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \vartheta(x_n) \\ &= a \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \gamma(\vartheta(x_n)) < a \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction hence $a = 0$

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta(x_n) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

To prove that $\{fx_n\}$, $n \geq 0$ is a Cauchy sequence.

$$\text{Let } b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \gamma(\vartheta(x_n)), \quad c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \alpha(\vartheta(x_n)) \quad (2.7)$$

Then from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.7)

$$0 \leq b < 1, \quad c > 0.$$

Let $p \in (0, c)$, $q \in (b, 1)$ then from (2.7)

$$\gamma(\vartheta(x_n)) < q, \quad \alpha(\vartheta(x_n)) > p, \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

which together with (2.3) and (2.4) gives

$$\vartheta(x_{n+1}) \leq q\vartheta(x_n), \quad d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\vartheta(x_n)}{p}$$

Calculating similar calculation we get

$$\vartheta(x_{n+1}) \leq q^{n+1-n_0} \vartheta(x_{n_0}), \quad d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\vartheta(x_{n_0})}{p} q^{n-n_0}.$$

which gives

$$d(fx_n, fx_m) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d(fx_k, fx_{k+1}) \leq \frac{\vartheta(x_{n_0})}{p} \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} q^{k-n_0} \leq \frac{\vartheta(x_{n_0})}{p(1-q)} q^{n+1-n_0} \quad (2.8)$$

Since $q < 1$ therefore (2.8) implies that $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. And since $f(X)$ is (T, f) -orbitally complete

$\exists z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = fz.$$

Now we will prove that z is coincidence point of f and T .

Since ϑ is (T, f) -orbitally lower semi continuous therefore

$$0 \leq d(fz, Tz) = \vartheta(z) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta(x_n) = 0 \quad (\text{by 2.6})$$

$$\Rightarrow \vartheta(z) = 0,$$

$$\Rightarrow d(fz, Tz) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow f(z) \in T(z)$ i.e. f and T have a coincidence point .

In Theorem 2.1, taking constants α and β in place of $\alpha(\varphi(x))$ and $\beta(\varphi(x))$ respectively we get following result as a corollary. The following corollary is also serves as a generalization of Singh and Kulsrestha[4].

Corollary 2.1.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $T: X \rightarrow CL(X)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ are mappings such that $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and $f(X)$ is (T, f) -orbitally complete. If for any $x \in X$ there is $y \in X$ such that $f(y) \in T(x)$ satisfying

$$\beta d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(x) \text{ And } \varphi(y) \leq \alpha d(fx, fy)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha < \beta$ and the function φ is lower semi continuous. Then T and f has a coincidence point in X .

Proof

Let $x_0 \in X$, since $T(x) \subseteq f(X)$ we choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_1 \in Tx_0$.

By the given contractive condition

$$\beta d(fx_0, fx_1) \leq \varphi(x_0) = d(fx_0, Tx_0) \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi(x_1) = d(fx_1, Tx_1) \leq \alpha d(fx_0, fx_1).$$

In similar way we choose $x_{n+1} \in X$ such that $f(x_{n+1}) \in T(x_n)$ and

$$d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \leq \alpha d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}), \beta d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(x_n) = d(fx_n, Tx_n)$$

which implies

$$d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} d(fx_n, Tx_n)$$

$$\text{or } d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow d(fx_n, Tx_n) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^n d(fx_0, Tx_0)$$

$$\text{Or } d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^n d(fx_0, fx_1). \tag{2.9}$$

Using (2.9) for $m, n \in N, m > n$,

$$d(fx_m, fx_n) \leq d(fx_m, fx_{m-1}) + d(fx_{m-1}, fx_{m-2}) + \dots + d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{m-1} d(fx_0, fx_1) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{m-2} d(fx_0, fx_1) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{m-3} d(fx_0, fx_1) + \dots + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^n d(fx_0, fx_1)$$

$$\leq \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^n}{1 - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^n} d(fx_0, fx_1) \quad (2.10)$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^n \rightarrow 0$

hence $\{fx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $f(X)$ is (T, f) -orbitally complete there exists $z \in X$ such that

$\{fx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to fx .

Now using the condition of (T, f) -orbitally lower semi continuity of ϕ we can be easily prove that z is coincidence point of f and T .

In theorem 2.1 taking $C(X)$ in place of $CL(X)$ we get we get following result as corollary.

Corollary 2.2

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $T: X \rightarrow C(X)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that T and f satisfy all conditions as in theorem 2.1 then there exist a coincidence point z of f and T .

Proof.

Proof is same as of theorem 2.1.

In corollary 2.1 taking $C(X)$ in place of $CL(X)$ we get we get following result as corollary.

Corollary 2.3

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $T: X \rightarrow C(X)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ are mappings such that all conditions as in corollary 2.1 are satisfied then T and f has a coincidence point in X .

Proof

Proof is same as of corollary 2.1.

Example

Let $X = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^n}, \dots \right\} \cup \{0, 1\}$, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, for $x, y \in X$; then X is complete metric space. Define mapping $T:$

$X \rightarrow CL(X)$ as

$$T(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2n+2}}, 1 \right\}, x = \frac{1}{2^n}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right\}, x = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

and $f(x) = x^2$, $x \in X$.

Obviously, T and f does not satisfy hybrid contraction condition [4].

$$H\left(T\left(\frac{1}{2^{2n}}\right), T(0)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = \left| \frac{1}{2^{2n}} - 0 \right| = d\left(\frac{1}{2^{2n}}, 0\right), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi(x) = d(fx, T(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{2n+2}}, x = \frac{1}{2^n}, n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, x = 0, 1 \end{cases}$$

It shows that φ is continuous,

Further, there exists $y \in X$ for any $x \in X$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(x)$$

$$d(fy, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2^2} d(fx, fy).$$

Then from corollary 2.1 there exist a coincidence point of f and T .

References

1. Ciric, L.B. (2009), "multivalued nonlinear contraction mappings", *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory method and application*, vol.57, no. 7-8, 2716-2723.
2. Feng, Y. and Liu, S. (2006), "Fixed Points theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued caristi type mappings" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **317**, 103-112.
3. Nadler, S.B. Jr. (1969), "Multi-valued Contraction mappings", *Pacific J. Math.* **30**, 475-488.
4. Singh, S.L., Kulsresrtha, C., (1983), "Coincidence Theorems", *Indian J. Phy. Natur. Sci.* **3B**, 5-10.
5. Liu, Z., Sun Wei, Kang Shin Min, Ume, Jeong Sheok, (2010), "On Fixed Point theorem for multivalued Contraction", *Fixed Point theory and applications*.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:**

<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

