

Commutativity in Prime Gamma Rings with Jordan Left Derivations

A.C. Paul

Department of Mathematics, Rajshahi University

Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

email: aCPaulrubd_math@yahoo.com

Md. Mizanor Rahman (Corresponding Author)

Department of Mathematics, Jagannath University

Dhaka, Bangladesh.

email: mizanorrahman@gmail.com

Abstract

Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring and let $d: M \rightarrow M$ be a Jordan left derivation. In this article, we show that under a suitable condition every nonzero Jordan left derivation on M induces the commutativity of M and accordingly d is a left derivation of M .

Key words: Jordan left derivation, prime Γ -ring, left derivation, Γ -ring.

1. Introduction

N. Nobusawa [7] introduced the notion of a Γ -ring as a generalization of classical ring. Barnes [2] generalized the concept of Nobusawa's Γ -ring. Now a days, a Γ -ring due to Barnes is known as a Γ -ring and the Γ -ring due to Nobusawa is known as ΓN -ring. A number of important properties of Γ -rings were introduced by them as well as by Kyuno [5], Luh [6] and others. We begin with the following definition :

Let M and Γ be additive Abelian groups. If there is a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ sending $(x, \alpha, y) \rightarrow x\alpha y$ such that

$$(a)(x+y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z, \quad x(\alpha+\beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z, \quad x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$$

$$(b) (x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then M is called a Γ -ring.

For example, let R be a ring with 1 and let $M = M_{m,n}(R)$ and $\Gamma = M_{n,m}(R)$ then M is a Γ -ring with respect to the matrix addition and matrix multiplication.

Note that every ring is a Γ -ring if we take $\Gamma = M$.

The notions of a prime Γ -ring and a completely prime Γ -ring were initiated by Luh [6] and some analogous results corresponding to the prime rings were obtained by him and Kyuno [5], whereas the concept of a strongly completely prime Γ -ring was used and developed by Sapanie and Nakajima in [8].

A Γ -ring M is called a prime Γ -ring if for all $a, b \in M$, $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$.

And, M is called a completely prime if $a\Gamma b = 0$ (with $a, b \in M$) implies $a=0$ or $b=0$.

It is noted that every completely prime Γ -ring is a prime Γ -ring.

A Γ -ring M is 2-torsion free if $2a=0$ implies $a=0$ for all $a \in M$. And, a Γ -ring M is said to be commutative if

$$a\gamma b = b\gamma a \quad \text{holds for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The notions of derivation and Jordan derivation of a Γ -ring have been introduced by Sapanie and Nakajima [8].

Afterwards, Jun and Kim [4] obtained some significant results due to Jordan left derivation of a classical ring. Y.Ceven [3] worked on left derivations of completely prime Γ -rings and obtained some extensive results of left derivation and Jordan left derivation of a Γ -ring. M. Soyturk [9] investigated the commutativity of prime Γ -rings with the left and

right derivations. He obtained some results on the commutativity of prime Γ -rings of characteristic not equal to 2 and 3.

Some commutativity results of prime Γ -rings with left derivations were obtained by Asci and Ceren [1].

In this paper, we obtain the commutativity results of 2-torsion free prime Γ -rings with left Jordan derivations and consequently we prove that every Jordan left derivation is a left derivation.

Let M be a Γ -ring. An additive mapping $d:M \rightarrow M$ is called a **derivation** if

$$d(a\alpha b) = d(a)\alpha b + a\alpha d(b), \text{ for all } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

An additive mapping $d:M \rightarrow M$ is called a **left derivation** if

$$d(a\alpha b) = a\alpha d(b) + b\alpha d(a), \text{ for every } a, b \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma$$

An additive mapping $d:M \rightarrow M$ is called a **Jordan derivation** if

$$d(a\alpha a) = d(a)\alpha a + a\alpha d(a), \text{ for every } a \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

An additive mapping $d:M \rightarrow M$ is called a Jordan **left derivation** if $d(a\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(a)$, for every $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Y. Ceven [3] proved that every Jordan left derivation on a Γ -ring M is a left derivation if M is 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring.

Now we define $[a,b]_\alpha = aab - baa$. $[a,b]_\alpha$ is called the commutator of a and b with respect to α . We use the condition

$aab\beta c = a\beta bac$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and will represent it by $(*)$. An example of a left derivation and a Jordan left derivation are given in [3].

2. Main Results

The following Lemma is due to Y.Ceven [3, Lemma 2.1]

2.1 Lemma Let M be a two torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition $(*)$ and d be a Jordan left derivation on M . Then for all $a, b \in M$ and for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$:

$$(i) \quad d(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(b) + 2b\alpha d(a).$$

$$(ii) \quad d(a\alpha b\beta a) = a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 3a\alpha b\beta d(a) - b\alpha a\beta d(a)$$

$$(iii) \quad d(a\alpha b\beta c + c\alpha b\beta a) = a\beta c\alpha d(b) + c\beta a\alpha d(b) + 3a\alpha b\beta d(c) + 3c\alpha b\beta d(a) - b\alpha c\beta d(a) - b\alpha a\beta d(c).$$

2.2 Lemma Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (\star) and let d be a Jordan left derivation on M .

Then

$$(i) [a,b]_\alpha \beta a\alpha d(a) = a\alpha [a,b]_\alpha \beta d(a)$$

$$(ii) [a,b]_\alpha \beta (d(a\alpha b) - a\alpha d(b) - b\alpha d(a)) = 0$$

$$(iii) [a,b]_\alpha \beta d([a,b]_\alpha) = 0$$

$$(iv) d(a\alpha a\beta b) = a\beta a\alpha d(b) + (a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha d(a) + a\alpha d([a,b]_\beta)$$

Proof (i) By Lemma 2.1(iii)

$$d(a\alpha b\beta c + c\alpha b\beta a) = a\beta c\alpha d(b) + c\beta a\alpha d(b) + 3a\alpha b\beta d(c) + 3c\alpha b\beta d(a) - b\alpha a\beta d(c) - b\alpha c\beta d(a).$$

Replacing $a\alpha b$ for c , we get $d((a\alpha b)\beta (a\alpha b) + (a\alpha b)\alpha b\beta a) = a\beta(a\alpha b)\alpha d(b) + (a\alpha b)\beta a\alpha d(b) + 3a\alpha b\beta d(a\alpha b) + 3(a\alpha b)\alpha b\beta d(a) - b\alpha a\beta d(a\alpha b) - b\alpha(a\alpha b)\beta d(a)$.

This gives

$$2(a\alpha b)\beta d(a\alpha b) + d(a\alpha(b\alpha b)\beta a)$$

$$= a\beta a\alpha b\alpha d(b) + a\alpha b\beta a\alpha d(b) + 3a\alpha b\beta d(a\alpha b) + 3(a\alpha b)\alpha b\beta d(a) - b\alpha a\beta d(a\alpha b) - b\alpha(a\alpha b)\beta d(a).$$

By using Lemma 2.1(ii)

$$-a\alpha b\beta d(a\alpha b) + b\alpha a\beta d(a\alpha b) + a\beta a\alpha d(b\alpha b) + 3a\alpha b\alpha b\beta d(a) - b\alpha b\alpha a\beta d(a)$$

$$= a\beta a\alpha b\alpha d(b) + a\alpha b\beta a\alpha d(b) + 3a\alpha b\alpha b\beta d(a) - b\alpha a\alpha b\beta d(a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a\alpha b) &= a\beta a\alpha b\alpha d(b) - a\alpha b\beta a\alpha d(b) - b\alpha b\alpha a\beta d(a) + b\alpha a\alpha b\beta d(a) \\ &= a\alpha a\alpha b\beta d(b) - a\alpha b\alpha a\beta d(b) - b\alpha b\alpha a\beta d(a) + b\alpha a\alpha b\beta d(a) = a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(b) + b\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) \dots (A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Replacing } a+b \text{ for } b \quad (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta(2a\alpha d(a) + d(a\alpha b)) = a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a+b) + (a+b)a(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(b) + b\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) &= 2a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) + a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(b) + b\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) - 2(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta a\alpha d(a), \\ &\quad \text{By using (A)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta a\alpha d(a) = a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a), \quad \text{since } M \text{ is 2-torsion free}$$

$$\text{Hence } [a, b]_\alpha \beta a\alpha d(a) = a\alpha [a, b]_\alpha \beta d(a).$$

(ii) Replacing $a+b$ for a in Lemma 2.2 (i)

$$\begin{aligned} ((a+b)\alpha b - b\alpha (a+b))\beta (a+b)\alpha d(a+b) &= (a+b)a((a+b)\alpha b - b\alpha (a+b))\beta d(a+b) \\ (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta(a\alpha d(a) + b\alpha d(a) + a\alpha d(b) + b\alpha d(b)) &= a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta(d(a) + d(b)) + b\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta(d(a) + d(b)) \\ (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta a\alpha d(a) + (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta b\alpha d(a) + (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta a\alpha d(b) + (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta b\alpha d(b) &= a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) + a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(b) + b\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) + b\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(b) \end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 2.2(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) + (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta b\alpha d(a) + (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta a\alpha d(b) - b\alpha(b\alpha a - a\alpha b)\beta d(b) &= a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) + a\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(b) + b\alpha(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a) - b\alpha(b\alpha a - a\alpha b)\beta d(b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta(b\alpha d(a) + a\alpha d(b)) = (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a\alpha b), \quad \text{By using (A)}$$

$$\text{Therefore } (a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta(d(a\alpha b) - a\alpha d(b) - b\alpha d(a)) = 0$$

$$\text{This gives } [a, b]_\alpha \beta(d(a\alpha b) - a\alpha d(b) - b\alpha d(a)) = 0.$$

(iii) Using Lemma 2.1(i) in 2.2(ii), we get

$$(aab - baa)\beta (-d(baa) + 2 aad(b) + 2bad(a) - aad(b) - bad(a)) = 0$$

Taking 2.2(ii)–(B) $(a\alpha b - b\alpha a)\beta d(a\alpha b) - d(b\alpha a) = 0$. Therefore $[a,b]_\alpha \beta d([a,b]_\alpha) = 0$.

(iv) From Lemma 2.1(i) , we have $d(aab+b\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(b)+2b\alpha d(a)$

Replacing $b\beta a$ for b , we get $d(a\alpha b\beta a + b\beta a\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(b\beta a) + 2b\beta a\alpha d(a)$(C)

Again replacing $a\beta b$ for b in Lemma 2.1(i) $d(a\alpha a\beta b + a\beta b\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(a\beta b) + 2a\beta b \alpha d(a)$(D)

Taking $(D) - (C)$ and using (\star) , we get

$$d(a\alpha a\beta b + a\beta b\alpha a - a\alpha b\beta a - b\beta a\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(a\beta b - b\beta a) + 2(a\beta b - b\beta a)\alpha d(a).$$

$$\text{Thus } d(a\alpha a\beta b - b\beta a\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d(a\beta b - b\beta a) + 2(a\beta b - b\beta a)\alpha d(a) \dots\dots\dots(E)$$

Now replacing $a\beta\alpha$ for a in Lemma 2.1(i) and using (\star)

$$d(a\beta a\alpha b + b\alpha a\beta a) = 2a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 2b\alpha d(a\beta a) = 2a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 4b\alpha a\beta d(a) = 2a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 4b\beta a\alpha d(a) \dots (F)$$

$$\text{Taking (E)+(F)} \quad d(2a\alpha a\beta b) = 2a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 2a\alpha d(a\beta b - b\beta a) + 2(a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha d(a)$$

Since M is 2-torsion free, we have $d(a\alpha a\beta b) = a\beta a\alpha d(b) + (a\beta b + b\beta a)\alpha d(a) + \alpha d([a, b]_\beta)$.

2.3 Theorem Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the assumption (\star) . If there exists a nonzero Jordan left derivation $d: M \rightarrow M$, then M is commutative.

Proof: Let us assume that M is non-commutative. Lemma 2.2(i) can be written as

$$(x\alpha(x\gamma y - y\gamma x) - (x\gamma y - y\gamma x)\alpha)\beta \cdot d(x) = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in M \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F.$$

This gives $(xaxay - 2xayax + yaxax)\beta d(x) = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in M \text{ and } \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Replacing $[a,b]_y$ for x , we have

$$[a,b]_\gamma a[a,b]_\gamma \alpha\beta d([a,b]_\gamma) - 2[a,b]_\gamma \alpha a [a,b]_\gamma \beta d([a,b]_\gamma) + y a [a,b]_\gamma a[a,b]_\gamma \beta d([a,b]_\gamma) = 0.$$

But by Lemma 2.2(iii), we get $[a, b]_\gamma \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$

For the primeness of M, we have $[a, b] \alpha [a, b] = 0$ or $d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$

Suppose that $[a, b] \alpha [a, b] = 0$, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ (1)

Using Lemma 2.1 (i) , 2.1(ii), 2.2(iii) and (1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &= d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta [a, b]_\gamma \beta x) \\
 &= 2[a, b] \beta x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma) + 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) \\
 &= 2[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(y) + 6[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) \\
 &\quad - 2[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) + 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) \\
 &= 6[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) - 2[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) + 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) \\
 &= 6[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) + 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x)(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $W = d([a, b]_\gamma \beta (x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y) \alpha [a, b]_\gamma)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [a, b]_\gamma \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y) + 3[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) - x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) \\
 &= 3[a, b] \beta x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma)(3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, subtracting (3) from (2), and using (\star) , we get

$$3[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) = 0(4)$$

Also we have $V = d([a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma + x \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta [a, b]_\gamma) = d([a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [a, b]_\gamma \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x) + 3[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) - x \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 3[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma)(5)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} V &= d([a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma + x \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta [a, b]_\gamma) = 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) + 2x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma) \\ &= 2[a, b] \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]) \dots \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (5) and (6) we obtain $3[a, b] \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) \dots \quad (7)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma + [a, b]_\gamma \beta x) &= [a, b]_\gamma \alpha (2x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + 2[a, b]_\gamma \beta d(x)) \\ &= 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta d(x) = 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) \dots \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } 3[a, b]_\gamma \alpha (d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) + d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x)) &= 6[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 2.3[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) \\ &= 2.2[a, b] \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]) = 4[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) \quad \text{by using (7)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $3[a, b]_\gamma \alpha (d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) + 3[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x)) = 4[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma)$.

$$\text{This gives } 3[a, b] \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) = [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) \dots \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By (9) we have } [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d((x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \beta x) &= 3[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha (d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) \\ &= 4[a, b] \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) \dots \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We also obtain that } [a, b]_\gamma \alpha d(x \beta [a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \beta x = [a, b]_\gamma \alpha 2x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha 2[a, b]_\gamma \beta d(x)$$

$$= 2[a, b] \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) \dots \quad (11)$$

$$\text{From (10) and (11), we obtain } 4[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) - 2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$$

Since M is 2-torsion free, we have $2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x) = [a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma \beta x)$ (12)

From (4) and (12) $3[a, b]_\gamma \beta x \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$ (13)

Replacing $y\alpha[a, b]_\gamma \beta y$ for x in (12), $2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta y \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta y) = [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma)$

$$2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha 2[a, b]_\gamma \beta y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta y) = [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma)$$

$$4[a, b]_\gamma \alpha [a, b]_\gamma \beta y \alpha d([a, b]_\gamma \beta y) = [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma)$$

Therefore $[a, b] \alpha y \beta [a, b] \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$ (14)

Now replacing y by $x+y$, $[a, b]_\gamma \alpha (x+y) \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha (x+y) \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$

$$[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma)$$

$$+ [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0.$$

This gives $[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$.

Therefore, $[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) + [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$ (15)

Subtracting (15) from (13), we obtain $2[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$.

Since M is 2-torsion free, we have $[a, b]_\gamma \alpha x \beta [a, b]_\gamma \alpha y \beta d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$, for every $y \in M$.

Since M is prime and non commutative, we have $d([a, b]_\gamma) = 0$, $\forall a, b \in M$ and $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$.

This implies that $d(a\gamma b - b\gamma a) = 0$. Therefore $d(a\gamma b) = d(b\gamma a)$, $\forall a, b \in M$ and $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ (16)

$d((b\alpha a)\beta a + a\beta(b\alpha a)) = d((b\alpha a)\beta a) + d(a\beta(b\alpha a)) = d((b\alpha a)\beta a) + d((b\alpha a)\beta a) = 2d((b\alpha a)\beta a)$ by using (16)

Thus $2d((b\alpha a)\beta a) = d((b\alpha a)\beta a + a\beta(b\alpha a)) = d((b\alpha a)\beta a) + d(a\beta(b\alpha a))$

This implies $d(b\alpha a\beta a) = a\alpha a\beta d(b) + 3a\beta b\alpha d(a) - b\beta a\alpha d(a) \dots (17)$, by using Lemma 2.1(ii).

On the other hand $d(a\alpha(b\beta a) + (b\beta a)\alpha a) = 2(a\alpha d(b\beta a) + b\beta a\alpha d(a)) \dots (18)$

and $d(a\alpha(a\beta b) + (a\beta b)\alpha a) = 2(a\alpha d(a\beta b) + (a\beta b)\alpha d(a)) \dots (19)$

Taking (19) – (18), $d(a\alpha a\beta b - b\beta a\alpha a) = 2a\alpha d([a,b]_\beta) + 2[a,b]_\beta \alpha d(a), \forall a, b \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \dots (20)$

Now putting $a\beta a$ for a in Lemma 2.1(i), we have

$$d(a\beta a\alpha b + b\alpha a\beta a) = 2(a\beta a\alpha d(b) + b\alpha d(a\beta a)) = 2(a\beta a\alpha d(b) + b\alpha 2a\beta d(a)).$$

Using (*) above equation reduces to $d(a\alpha a\beta b + b\beta a\alpha a) = 2(a\alpha a\beta d(b) + 2b\beta a\alpha d(a)) \dots (21)$

Subtracting (20) from (21) and using (*), we get

$$d(2b\alpha a\beta a) = 2a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 4b\alpha a\beta d(a) - 2a\alpha d([a,b]_\beta) - 2[a,b]_\beta \alpha d(a))$$

$$d(b\alpha a\beta a) = a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 2b\alpha a\beta d(a) - a\alpha d([a,b]_\beta) - a\beta b\alpha d(a) + b\beta a\alpha d(a), M \text{ is 2-torsion free}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= a\beta a\alpha d(b) + 3b\alpha a\beta d(a) - a\alpha d([a,b]_\beta) - a\beta b\alpha d(a), \text{ by using } (*) \\ &= a\alpha a\beta d(b) + 3b\beta a\alpha d(a) - a\beta b\alpha d(a). \dots (22) \end{aligned}$$

From (22) and (17), $a\alpha a\beta d(b) + 3a\beta b\alpha d(a) - b\beta a\alpha d(a) = a\alpha a\beta d(b) + 3b\beta a\alpha d(a) - a\beta b\alpha d(a)$.

This gives $-3(b\beta a - a\beta b)\alpha d(a) - (b\beta a - a\beta b)\alpha d(a) = 0$

Then we have $-3[b,a]_\beta \alpha d(a) - [b,a]_\beta \alpha d(a) = 0$.

Since M is 2-torsion free, so $[b,a]_\beta \alpha d(a) = 0$(23)

Now putting $b \circ x$ for b in (23), we get $[b \circ x, a]_\beta \alpha d(a) = 0$

$$([b,a]_\beta - \gamma x + a[\gamma,\beta]_a x + b\gamma [x,a]_\beta) \alpha d(a) = 0$$

Since $a[\gamma, \beta]_a x = a(\gamma a \beta - \beta a \gamma)x = a\gamma a \beta x - a\beta a \gamma x = 0$, by using $(*)$.

$$\text{Thus } ([b,a]_\beta - \gamma x + b\gamma [x,a]_\beta) \alpha d(a) = 0$$

$$[b,a]_\beta - \gamma x \alpha d(a) + b\gamma [x,a]_\beta \alpha d(a) = 0$$

$$[b,a]_\beta \circ x \alpha d(a) = 0, \quad \forall a,b \in M \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$$

Since M is prime, thus $d(a)=0$. Hence we conclude that if $d\neq 0$, then M is commutative.

2.3 Theorem If M is a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the assumption (\star) then every Jordan left derivation on M is a left derivation on M .

Proof: Since M is commutative. Thus $aqb = bqa$, for all $a, b \in M$ and $q \in \Gamma$.

By Lemma 2.1(i), we have $2d(aqb) = 2ad(a) + 2bd(b)$.

Since M is 2-torsion free, we get $d(agh) \equiv agd(h) + bgd(a)$

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] M. Ascı and S. Ceran., The commutativity in prime gamma rings with left derivation, *Internat. Math. Forum*, 2(3), (2007), 103–108.
- [2] W.E. Barnes, On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa, *Pacific J. Math.* 18, (1966), 411–422.
- [3] Y.Ceven, *Jordan left derivations on completely prime Γ -ring*, C.U. Fen–Edebiyat Fakultesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, 23(2002), 39–43.,
- [4] K.W. Jun and B.D. Kim, A note on Jordan left derivations, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 33(1996), 221–228.
- [5] S. Kyuno, On prime gamma rings, *Pacific J. Math.* 75(1978), 185–190.
- [6] J. Luh, On the theory of simple Γ -rings, *Michigan Math. J.* 16(1969), 65–75.
- [7] N. Nobusawa , On the generalization of the ring theory, *Osaka J. Math. I*, (1964), 81–89.
- [8] M. Soyturk, The Commulativity in prime gamma rings with derivation, *Turk. J. Math.* 18, (1994), 149–155.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library , NewJour, Google Scholar

