

Fully Stable Banach Algebra Module

MUNA JASIM MOHAMMED ALI, MANAL ALI

University of Baghdad - College of Science for women - Department of
 Mathematics - Iraq -Baghdad

Abstract

The object of this paper is to introduce a class of module which is a fully stable Banach Algebra module.

Introduction

Given a Banachspace E is a Banach left A -module if E is a left A -module, and $\|a \cdot x\| \leq \|a\| \|x\| (a \in A, x \in E)$ [1]. Recall that a submodule N of an R -module M is said to be stable, if $f(N) \subseteq N$ for each R -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$. In case each submodule of it is stable, M is called a fully stable module [2], throughout this paper we introduce the concept of full stability for modules. A Banach algebra module M is called fully stable Banach A -module if for every submodule N of M and for each multiplier $\theta: N \rightarrow M$ such that $\theta(N) \subseteq N$. Structure of fully stable Banach A -module in term of their elements is considered see (2.5) Studying Baer criterion gives another characterization of fully stable Banach A -module in proposition (2. 8)

1. Preliminaries

In this section the fundamental basic concepts and primitive results are given.

Definition (1.1) [2]

A submodule N of an R -module M is said to be stable, if $f(N) \subseteq N$ for each R -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$, In case each submodule of it is stable, M is called a fully stable module.

Examples (1.2) [2]

a) The Z -module Z of all integers is not fully stable. For, define

$$\theta: 2Z \rightarrow Z \text{ by } \theta(2n) = 3n \text{ for each } n \in Z$$

Clearly, θ is a Z -homomorphism. But $\theta(2Z) \not\subseteq 2Z$

b) Let M be an R -module. For any ideal I of R ,

$$\text{ann}_M(I) = \{m \in M \mid Im = (0)\} \text{ . Is a stable submodule of } M$$

$$\text{In fact, for any } R\text{-homomorphism } f: \text{ann}_M(I) \rightarrow M$$

$$\text{And each } m \in \text{ann}_M(I). Im = (0), If(m) = f(Im) = f((0)) = (0)$$

Hence $f(m) \in \text{ann}_M(I)$. Thus $\text{ann}_M(I)$ is stable submodule.

Recall that, a submodule N of an R -module M is said to be annihilator if $N = \text{ann}_M(I)$ for some ideal I of R . As in (b) by the above an R -module, in which all its submodules are annihilators is fully stable.

Following remark show us, it is sufficient to consider stability over a very restricted class of submodules.

[2] Remark (1.3)

Let M be an R -module. If every cyclic submodule of M is stable then M is fully stable module.

Proposition (1.4) [2]

An R -module M is fully stable if and only if for each $x, y \in M$, $y \notin \langle x \rangle$ implies $\text{ann}_R(x) \not\subseteq \text{ann}_R(y)$.

Corollary (1.5) [2]

Let M be a fully stable R -module. Then for each $x, y \in M$, $\text{ann}_R(y) = \text{ann}_R(x)$ implies $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$.

Definition (1.6) [2]

Let M be an R -module, and N be any submodule of M . We say that N satisfies Baer criterion if for every R -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$, there exists an element $r \in R$ such that $f(n) = rn$ for each $n \in N$. An R -module M is said to satisfy Baer criterion if each submodule of M satisfies Baer criterion, that is for every submodule N of M and R -homomorphism $f: N \rightarrow M$, there exists an element r in R such that $f(n) = rn$ for each $n \in N$.

Note :- From above definition notice that every module which satisfies Baer criterion is fully stable.

In the following proposition and its corollary obtain another characterization of fully stable modules.

Proposition (1.7) [2]

Let M be an R -module. Then Baer criterion holds for cyclic submodules of M if and only if $\text{ann}_M(\text{ann}_R(x)) = \langle x \rangle$ for each $x \in M$.

Corollary (1.8) [2]

An R -module M is fully stable if and only if $\text{ann}_M(\text{ann}_R(x)) = \langle x \rangle$ for each x in M .

2. Main results

We see that we need first to define follows

Definition (2.1) [3]

A map from a left Banach A -module X into a left Banach A -module Y (A is not necessarily commutative) is said a multiplier if it satisfies

$$T(a \cdot x) = a \cdot Tx \text{ for all } a \in A, x \in X.$$

Definition (2.2) [4]

For a nonempty subset M in a left Banach A -module X , the annihilator $\text{ann}_A(M)$ of M is $\text{ann}_A(M) = \{a \in A; a \cdot x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}$.

Definition (2.3) [5]

A left Banach A -module X is called n -generated for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if there exists $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that each $x \in X$ can be represented as $x = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cdot x_k$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. A cyclic module is just a 1-generated one.

Now, we start by introducing the concept of stability for Banach A -module.

Definition (2.4)

Let X be Banach A -module, X is called fully stable Banach A -module if for every submodule N of X and for each multiplier $\theta: N \rightarrow X$ such that $\theta(N) \subseteq N$.

In the following proposition we discuss another characterization of fully stable modules .

Notations:-

Let X a Banach A –module

$$1) N_x = \{n_x | n \in N, x \in X\}$$

$$K_y = \{k_y | k \in K, y \in X\}$$

$$2) \text{ann}_A N_x = \{a \in A, a.n_x = 0, \forall n_x \in N_x\}$$

$$\text{ann}_A K_y = \{a \in A, a.k_y = 0, \forall k_y \in K_y\}$$

Proposition (2.5)

X is fully stable Banach A –module if and only if for each $x, y \in X$

And N_x, K_y subset of $X, y \notin N_x$ implies $\text{ann}_A(N_x) \not\subseteq \text{ann}_A(K_y)$.

Proof :-

Suppose that X is fully stable Banach A –module there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $y \notin N_x$ and $\text{ann}_A(N_x) \subseteq \text{ann}_A(K_y)$

Define $\theta: \langle N_x \rangle \rightarrow X$ by $\theta(a.n_x) = a.k_y$, for all $a \in A$

if $a.n_x = 0$ then $a \in \text{ann}_A(N_x) \subseteq \text{ann}_A(K_y)$

This implies that $a.k_y = 0$, hence θ is well define , clear θ

is a multiplier ,because X is fully stable , there exists an element $t \in A$ such that $\theta(m_x) = tm_x$ for each $m_x \in N_x$

In particular, $k_y = \theta(n_x) = tn_x \in N_x$

Which is a contradiction

Conversely, assume that there is a subset N_x of X and a multiplier $\theta: \langle N_x \rangle \rightarrow X$ such that $\theta(N_x) \not\subseteq N_x$ then there exists an element $m_x \in N_x$ such that $\theta(m_x) \notin N_x$. Let $s \in \text{ann}_A(N_x)$ therefor $sn_x = 0, s\theta(m_x) = \theta(sm_x) = \theta(stn_x) = \theta(tsn_x) = \theta(0) = 0$.

Hence $\text{ann}_A(N_x) \subseteq \text{ann}_A(\theta(m_x))$, which is a contradiction. ■

Corollary (2. 6)

Let X be a fully stable Banach A –module .Then for each x, y in $X, \text{ann}_A(K_y) = \text{ann}_A(N_x)$ implies $N_x = K_y$

Proof:-

Assume that there are two elements x, y in X such that $\text{ann}_A(N_x) = \text{ann}_A(K_y)$ and $N_x \neq K_y$

Then without loss of generality there is an element z_x in N_x not in K_y .By proposition (2.5) we have $\text{ann}_A(K_y) \not\subseteq \text{ann}_A(Z_x)$ but $\text{ann}_A(N_x) \subseteq \text{ann}_A(Z_x)$, hence, $\text{ann}_A(K_y) \not\subseteq \text{ann}_A(N_x)$ which is a contradiction ■

Definition (2.7)

A Banach A –module X is said to satisfy Baer criterion if each submodule of X satisfies Baer criterion ,that is for every submodule N of X and A – multiplier $\theta: N \rightarrow X$,there exists an element a in A such that $\theta(n) = an$ for all $n \in N$.

In the following proposition and its corollary another characterization of fully stable Banach A –module is given.

Proposition (2.8)

Let X be a Banach A –module .Then Baer criterion holds for cyclic submodules of X if and only if $ann_X(ann_A(N_x)) = N_x$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof :-

Assume that Baer criterion holds. Let $y \in ann_X(ann_A(N_x))$

Define $\theta: \langle N_x \rangle \rightarrow X$ by $\theta(a.n_x) = a.k_y$,for all $a \in A$

Let $a_1.n_x = a_2.n_x$

$$(a_1 - a_2)n_x = 0 , \quad a_1 - a_2 \in ann_A(N_x)$$

$$(a_1 - a_2) \in ann_A(K_y) \rightarrow (a_1 - a_2)k_y = 0$$

$$a_1k_y = a_2k_y$$

hence , θ is well define.

It is clear that θ is an A – multiplier. By the assumption, there exists an element $t \in A$ such that

$$\theta(m_x) = tm_x \quad \text{for each } m_x \in N_x$$

In particular, $k_y = \theta(n_x) = tn_x \in N_x ann_X(ann_A(N_x)) \subseteq N_x$; hence $ann_X(ann_A(N_x)) = N_x$. This implies that

Conversely, assume that $ann_X(ann_A(N_x)) = N_x$

For each $N_x \subseteq X$.Then for each A – multiplier $\theta: N_x \rightarrow X$

And $s \in ann_A(N_x)$,we have $s\theta(n_x) = \theta(sn_x) = 0$

Thus $\theta(n_x) \in ann_X(ann_A(N_x)) = N_x$, then $\theta(n_x) = t n_x$ for some $t \in A$,thus Baer criterion is holds.

Corollary (2.9)

X is fully stable Banach A –module if and only if

$$ann_X(ann_A(N_x)) = N_x \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

References

[1] Matthew David Peter Daws , Banach algebras of operators
 The University of Leeds, School of Mathematics, Department of Pure Mathematics , (2004).
 [2]M.S.ABBAS,On Fully Stable Modules , Ph. D ,Thesis , University of Baghdad, Iraq,(1990).
 [3]JANKO BRANCIĆ,Simple Multipliers on Banach Modules .University of Ljubljana,Slovenia,Glasgow Mathematical Journal Trust ,(2003).
 [4]J. BRANCIĆ ,Local Operators on Banach Modules, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia,Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy,(2004).
 [5]Antonio M.Cegarra, Projective Covers of Finitely Generated Banach Modules and the Structure of Some Banach Algebras, O.Yu.Aristov,Russia,(2006).