

P, P-L. compact topological ring

Afraa R. sadek

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

In this paper, we introduced some new definitions on P-compact topological ring and PL-compact topological ring for the compactification in topological space and rings, we obtain some results related to P-compact and P-L compact topological ring.

Keywords: rings, ideals, topological ring, D-cover groups, isomorphism, direct product, D-compact group.

Introduction

A topological ring is a ring R which is also a topological space such that both the addition and the multiplication are continuous as maps

$R \times R \rightarrow R$ where $R \times R$ carries the product topology. A topological ring $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is said to be compact, if a topological space (R, τ) is compact space, for details see [1]. In [2] D.G. Salih gave the concept of D-cover groups and D-compact groups. In this paper, we shall generalize this concept to topological rings so we investigated the P-compact and the P-L. compact topological rings, in particular case we deal with the proper ideals of a topological rings, so we introduce the PI-compact and PI-L. compact topological rings, we obtain some good results related these concepts above. We mean throughout this paper a topological rings is just ring as a set with topology.

2. Definitions and examples .

Definition 1

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ be a topological ring and I be an index set, we say that

1. The family $\{R_i \in \tau; (R_i, *, \cdot) \text{ is a proper subrings of } (R, *, \cdot), \forall i \in I\}$ is a P-cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ if $R = \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$

Definition 2

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ be a topological ring we say that;

- 1- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is weakly P–compact topological ring if there is a finite P– cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.
- 2- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P–compact topological ring if for any P–cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, there is a finite sub P–cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.
- 3- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is weakly P–L. compact topological ring if there exists a countable P–cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.
- 4- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P–L. compact topological ring if for any P–cover topological ring of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, there is a countable sub P–cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.

Definition 3

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ be a topological ring and $(H, *, \cdot)$ be a subring of $(R, *, \cdot)$. The topological subring $(H, *, \cdot, \tau_H)$ [$\tau_H = \tau \cap H$] is said to be:

P–compact topological subring (weakly P–compact topological subring, P–L. compact topological subring, weakly P.L. compact topological subring) if $(H, *, \cdot, \tau_H)$ is P–compact (weakly P–compact, P–L. compact and weakly P–L. compact) topological ring respectively.

Definition 4

- 1- Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ and $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be two topological rings then,
 - (i) $f: (R, *, \cdot, \tau) \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is a homomorphism topological rings if $f: (R, \tau) \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{\tau})$ is continuous such that $f(x * y) = f(x) \bar{*} f(y)$ and $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \bar{\cdot} f(y)$ for each pair of elements $x, y \in R$
 - (ii) $f: (R, *, \cdot, \tau) \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is a topological isomorphism if it is topological homeomorphism and ring isomorphism.
- 2- Suppose that Λ is a non-empty set and $(R_\lambda, *_{\lambda}, \cdot_{\lambda}, \tau_\lambda)$ is a topological rings for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, their product is $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$ equipped with the usual product

topology $\tau_{\pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda}$ and with multiplication given by $(x \otimes y) = x_\lambda \otimes y_\lambda$ for each $x_\lambda, y_\lambda \in R_\lambda$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

3- If $R_\lambda = R$ and $\tau_\lambda = \tau, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ then we denoted that

$$R^\wedge = \pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda \text{ and } \tau^\wedge = \pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tau_\lambda$$

Example 1.

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $P(X)$ the power set of X i.e.

$$P(X) =$$

$$\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$$

One can show easily that $R = (P(X), \Delta, \cap)$ where $(A \Delta B = A \cup B - A \cap B)$, is a ring

Let τ be the discrete topology defined on $P(X)$ and let $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq 12$ be the following sets :

$$P_1 = \{\emptyset, X\}$$

$$P_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$$

$$P_3 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$$

$$P_4 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$$

$$P_5 = \{\emptyset, \{d\}\}$$

$$P_6 = \{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{a\}, \{a, d\}\}$$

$$P_7 = \{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{d, c\}, \{a, d, c\}\}$$

$$P_8 = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$$

$$P_9 = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$$

$$P_{10} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$$

$$P_{11} = \{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$$

$$P_{12} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}\}$$

It is clear that the family $\{P_i \in \tau; (P_i, \Delta, \cap)\}$ is a P-cover topological rings of $(P(X), \Delta)$, which has a finite sub P-cover $\{p_8, p_9, p_{10}, p_{11}, p_{12}\}$ so $(P(X), \Delta, \cap)$ is weakly P-compact in fact it is P-compact .

Recall that see [3] , a sub ring I of the ring R is said to be a two side ideal of R if and only if $r \in R$ and $a \in I$ imply both $ra \in I$ and $ar \in I$.

For rings with identity 1, it is clear that if $1 \in I$ then $I \equiv R$, so we give the following modification for the preceding definitions.

Definition 5.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ be a topological rings and let Λ be an index we say that the family $\{I_i \in \tau; (I_i, *, \cdot)\}$ is a proper ideal of $\{(R, *, \cdot), \forall i \in \Lambda\} \cup \{1\}$ is PI-cover topological ideals of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, if $R = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \cup \{1\}$

Definition 6.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ be a topological ring, we say that

- 1- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is weakly PI – compact topological ring if there exists a finite PI – cover topological ideals of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$
- 2- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is PI–compact topological ring if for any PI–cover topological ideals of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, there is a finite sub PI-cover topological ideals of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$
- 3- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is weakly PI–L. compact topological ring if ther exists a countable PI – cover topological of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$
- 4- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is PI–L. compact topological ring if for any PI- cover topological ideals of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, there is a countable sub PI- cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.

Example2.

Let R be the ring $(Z, +, \cdot, \tau)$ where τ is the discrete topology defined on Z .

Note that the following:

$$2Z = \{0, \mp 2, \mp 4, \dots\}$$

$$3Z = \{0, \mp 3, \mp 6, \dots\}$$

$$4Z = \{0, \overline{4}, \overline{6}, \dots\}$$

$$5Z = \{0, \overline{5}, \overline{10}, \dots\}$$

$$6Z = \{0, \overline{6}, \overline{12}, \dots\} \dots\dots\dots\text{etc.}$$

are all proper ideals of $(Z, +, \cdot, \tau)$. Now it is easy to show that $I = \{kZ / k \in Z^+\} \cup \{1\}$ is a countable PI – cover topological ideals of $(Z, +, \cdot, \tau)$, that's mean $Z = \bigcup_{k \in Z^+} I_k$

Which has been a countable sub PI-cover since for example $4Z \subseteq 2Z$ and $6Z \subseteq 3Z, \dots$ etc. Hence $(Z, +, \cdot, \tau)$ is PI–L. compact which is not PI-compact because the prime numbers are infinite see [4].

Main results .

It is easy to prove direct from definitions the following Lemmas

Lemma 1.

1. Any P –compact topological ring is weakly P–compact.
2. Any P – compact topological ring is P–L. compact .

Lemma 2.

1. Any PI – compact topological ring is weakly PI–compact .
2. Any PI – compact topological ring is PI–L. compact .

Also we can prove directly by Lemma (1), the following theorem

Theorem 1.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ be a topological ring such that R is finite set, then the following are equivalents :

1. $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P–compact topological ring .
2. $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P–L. compact topological ring .

If we replace P–(P–L.) compact topological with PI–(PI–L.) compact topological ring respectively, the result is true .

Example 3.

Let $R = Z_6 = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}\}$ and

$$\tau = \{ \{\bar{0}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}, \{\bar{1}, \bar{5}\}, \{\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, \bar{5}\}, \emptyset, R \}$$

Although $(R, +, \cdot, \tau)$ is finite topological ring but it is not P-compact and not PI-compact since $\{\bar{0}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}, \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$ are the only proper sub rings which are not cover $(R, +, \cdot, \tau)$.

Recall that a ring $(R, *, \cdot)$ is said to be a field provided that the set $R/\{0\}$ is commutative group under the multiplication of R . It is known that if R is a field then R has no non trivial ideals see [3], so we have the following theorem .

Theorem 2.

Any infinite ring (not field) can be PI-compact.

Proof.

Suppose first $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is a ring without identity . Let I be a set (finite or infinite) defined $\tau = \{A_i \subseteq R, A_i^c \text{ is finite set}, (A_i, *, \cdot) \text{ proper ideal } \forall_i \in I \text{ and } A_{i_1} \subseteq A_{i_2} \text{ for } i_1 \leq i_2\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

Now since any arbitrary set $\{na / a \in R, n \in Z^+\}$ is ideal see [3] hence $Z \in \emptyset$. Clear that $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is topological ring since .

- (1) $\emptyset \in \tau$ and $R^c = \emptyset$ is finite i.e. $R \in \tau$.
- (2) Let $A_1, A_2 \in \tau$, so A_1^c, A_2^c are finite but $(A_1 \cap A_2)^c = A_1^c \cup A_2^c$ implies $(A_1 \cap A_2)^c$ is finite and since $(A_1 \cap A_2, *, \cdot)$ is ideal see[3] hence $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau$.
- (3) Let Λ be any index and let $A_s \in \tau$, $\forall_s \in \Lambda$, hence A_s^c is finite for each $s \in \Lambda$ which leads to $\bigcap_{s \in \Lambda} A_s^c$ is finite . Now since $(\bigcup_{s \in \Lambda} A_s)^c = \bigcap_{s \in \Lambda} A_s^c$, but $\bigcup_{s \in \Lambda} A_s = A_t$, for each $s \in \Lambda$. So $(\bigcup_{s \in \Lambda} A_s, *, \cdot)$ is an ideal , hence $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is topological ring.

Now let $\{A_\lambda \in \tau, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be any PI-cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, that is $R = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$.

Let $A_0 \in \{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ implies $(A_0, *, \cdot)$ is an ideal and A_0^c is finite set. Suppose that $A_0^c = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ where $a_j \in R$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, but $\{A_\lambda \in \tau, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is

PI–cover of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ so there is $A_{\lambda_j} \in \{A_\lambda \in \tau, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that $a_j \in A_{\lambda_j}$ for each j implies $A_0^c \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_{\lambda_j}$.

Thus $R \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_{\lambda_j} \cup A_0$ (of course $R = A_0 \cup A_0^c$) that means there is a finite sub PI – cover topological rings $\{A_0, A_{\lambda_1}, \dots, A_{\lambda_n}\}$ each of which is ideal, therefore $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is PI–compact topological ring. If $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is a ring with identity we take $\hat{\tau} = \tau \cup \{1\}$ and the prove is similar

Corollary 1 .

Any infinite ring (not field) can be a weakly PI–compact .

For product P – compact rings we have the following two theorems .

Theorem 3.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ and $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be two topological rings if $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is a P–compact topological ring . Then $(R \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot, \tau \times \bar{\tau})$ is P–compact topological ring.

Proof.

Let $\{(R \times \bar{R}_i, \otimes, \odot); \bar{R}_i \in \bar{\tau} \text{ and } (\bar{R}_i, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}) \text{ rings } \forall i \in I\}$ be any P–cover topological rings of $R \times \bar{R}$, i.e. $R \times \bar{R} = \bigcup_{i \in I} (R \times \bar{R}_i) = R \times (\bigcup_{i \in I} \bar{R}_i)$ implies $\bar{R} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \bar{R}_i$, but $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is P–compact topological ring so there is finite subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $\bar{R} = \bigcup_{j \in J} \bar{R}_j \implies R \times \bar{R} = R \times (\bigcup_{j \in J} \bar{R}_j) = \bigcup_{j \in J} (R \times \bar{R}_j)$, where $(R \times \bar{R}_j, \otimes, \odot)$ is a ring for each $j \in J$. Therefore $(R \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot, \tau \times \bar{\tau})$ is P–compact topological ring.

Theorem 4.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ and $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be two P–compact topological rings then $(R \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot, \tau \times \bar{\tau})$ is P–compact topological ring.

Proof.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ and $(\bar{R}, \bar{\otimes}, \bar{\odot}, \bar{\tau})$ be any two P–compact topological rings. Then there exists a P–cover topological rings $\{R_a\}_{a \in A}$ and $\{\bar{R}_b\}_{b \in B}$ of R and \bar{R} respectively (A, B any index), that’s mean

$R \times \bar{R} = (\bigcup_{a \in A} R_a) \times (\bigcup_{b \in B} \bar{R}_b) = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} (R_a \times \bar{R}_b)$ implies $\{R_a \times \bar{R}_b\}_{a \in A, b \in B}$ is a P-cover topological rings of $(R \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot, \tau \times \bar{\tau})$.

Let $\{W_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be any P – cover topological rings of $(R \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot, \tau \times \bar{\tau})$ then $R \times \bar{R} = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} W_i$ such that $W_i = U_i \times V_i$, where $U_i \in \tau$ and $V_i \in \bar{\tau}$ for each $i \in \Lambda$. But $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P–compact ring, so there is a finite sub set $J \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $R = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ and $(U_j, *, \cdot)$ is a ring for each $j \in J$. Let $U_{j_1} \in \{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ implies $\{U_{j_1} \times V_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a P–cover topological rings of $(U_{j_1} \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot)$

hence $U_{j_1} \times \bar{R} = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} (U_{j_1} \times V_i)$, but $(U_{j_1} \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot)$ is

P–compact topological ring since $(U_{j_1}, *, \cdot)$ is a ring and $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot})$ is P–compact topological ring (theorem 3) so there is a finite set $S \subset \Lambda$ such that $\{U_{j_1} \times V_s\}_{s \in S}$ is a ring, $\forall s \in S$. Now $U_{j_1} \times \bar{R} = \bigcup_{s \in S} (U_{j_1} \times V_s)$ hence $U_{j_1} \times \bar{R} = U_{j_1} \times (\bigcup_{s \in S} V_s)$ [see5] and hence

$R \times \bar{R} = (\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j) \times (\bigcup_{s \in S} V_s) = \bigcup_{j \in J, s \in S} (U_j \times V_s)$, where

$(U_j \times V_s, \otimes, \odot)$ are rings for each $j \in J, s \in S$. Therefore

$(R \times \bar{R}, \otimes, \odot, \tau \times \bar{\tau})$ is P–compact topological rings.

If we replace P–compact topological ring with PI–compact in theorems 3,4 the result is true since the product of ideals is also ideal (for instance see [3]).

Theorem 5 . [1]

Let $\{R_i, i \in I\}$ be a family of topological rings. Then the direct product $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$, equipped with the product topology is topological rings.

From theorem 4 and theorem 5, respectively, and by induction we can prove the following theorem

Theorem 6

The product of any finite collection of P–compact topological rings is P–compact topological ring.

If we replace P–compact topological ring with P–L. compact topological ring , the result is true .

Corollary 2.

If $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is a P – compact topological ring . Then $(R^n, \otimes, \odot, \tau^n)$ is P – compact topological ring , where

$$R^n = \frac{R \times R \times \dots \times R}{n\text{-time}} \text{ and } \tau^n = \frac{\tau \times \tau \times \dots \times \tau}{n\text{-time}}$$

Theorem 7 .

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ and $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be two topological rings , and let $f : (R, *, \cdot, \tau) \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be a homomorphism. Then

1. If S is a P–compact topological subring in $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, then $f(S)$ is P–compact topological subring in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$.
2. If T is a P – compact topological subring in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ and f is an isomorphism then $f^{-1}(T)$ is P–compact topological subring in $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.

Proof .

Let $\{\bar{R}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be any P– cover topological rings of $f(S)$ in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ that is $f(S) = \cup_{i \in I} \bar{R}_i$. Now since $S \subseteq f^{-1}(f(S))$ see [6] , implies $S \subseteq f^{-1}(\cup_{i \in I} \bar{R}_i)$ but $f^{-1}(\cup_{i \in I} \bar{R}_i) = \cup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(\bar{R}_i)$, see also [6], hence $S \subseteq \cup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(\bar{R}_i)$ on the other hand $f^{-1}(R_i)$ for each $i \in I$ is a sub ring in R for enstance see [3, p. 186], and since S is P-compact and f continuous hence there exists a finite set $J \subset I$, such that

$$S = \cup_{j \in J} f^{-1}(R_j) = f^{-1}(\cup_{j \in J} R_j) \text{ implies } f(S) = f\left(f^{-1}(\cup_{j \in J} R_j)\right). \text{ But } f\left(f^{-1}(\cup_{j \in J} R_j)\right) \subseteq \cup_{j \in J} R_j \text{ see [6] , i.e. } f(S) \subseteq \cup_{j \in J} R_j. \text{ Thus } f(S) \text{ is P-compact topological sub ring in } (\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$$

2- Let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be any P-cover topological rings of $f^{-1}(T)$ in $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ that is $f^{-1}(T) = \cup_{i \in I} R_i, R_i \in \tau, \forall i \in I$ implies $T = f(\cup_{i \in I} R_i) = f(\cup_{i \in I} R_i)$. It is clear that $f(R_i) \in \bar{\tau}, \forall i \in I$ since f is isomorphism (definition 4), but T is

a P-compact topological subring of $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$, so there is a finite subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $T = \cup_{j \in J} f(R_j)$ where $(f(R_j), \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot})$ is a ring, $\forall j \in J$ see [3, p. 186]. Thus $T = f(\cup_{j \in J} R_j)$ hence $f^{-1}(T) = \cup_{j \in J} R_j$ and hence $f^{-1}(T)$ is P-compact topological subring of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.

For PI-compact ring we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau) \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be an isomorphism, then

1. If S is a PI-compact topological ideal in $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, then f(S) is PI-compact topological ideal in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$.
2. If T is a PI-compact topological ideal in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$, then $f^{-1}(T)$ is PI-compact topological ideal in $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$.

Proof.

Let $\{I_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be any PI-cover topological ideal of f(S) in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$, that is $f(S) = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \cup \{1\}$, hence $S = f^{-1}(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i) \cup \{1\}$, of course $f^{-1}(I_i), \forall i$ are ideals see [3].

Also $f^{-1}(I_i) \in \tau, \forall i$ since f is isomorphism. Now S is PI compact ideal in $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, hence there exists a finite set $J \subseteq \Lambda$ such that

$S = \cup_{j \in J} f^{-1}(I_j) \cup \{1\}$ implies $f(S) = f(f^{-1} \cup_{j \in J} I_j) \cup f\{1\}$, hence $f(S) = \cup_{j \in J} I_j \cup \{1\}$, that means f(S) is PI- compact ideal in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$.

2. Let $\{I_i\}_{i \in \Lambda} \cup \{1\}$ be any PI-cover topological ideal of $f^{-1}(T)$ that is

$f^{-1}(T) = (\cup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i) \cup \{1\}, \{I_i \in \tau, \forall i \in \Lambda\}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} T &= f(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i) \cup \{1\} \\ &= \cup_{i \in \Lambda} (f(I_i)) \cup \{1\} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $f(I_i) \in \bar{\tau}, \forall i \in \Lambda$ since f is an isomorphism (definition 4), but T is PI-compact topological ideal in $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$, so there is a finite subset $J \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $T = \cup_{j \in J} f(I_j) \cup \{1\}$ where $(f(I_j), \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot})$ are ideals for each $j \in J$ see

[3, p.198] . Now $T = f(\cup_{j \in J} I_j) \cup \{1\}$, hence $f^{-1}(T) = \cup_{j \in J} I_j \cup \{1\}$ means $f^{-1}(T)$ is PI-compact topological ideal and we have done.

The following theorem show that the P-compact is topological property.

Theorem 9.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ and $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be two topological rings and $f: (R, *, \cdot, \tau) \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be an isomorphism, then the following are equivalents:

- 1- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P-compact topological ring.
- 2- $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is P-compact topological ring.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow) suppose that $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P-compact topological ring, let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be any P-cover topological rings of $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$, that is $\bar{R} = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} \bar{R}_i$ gives

$R = f^{-1}(\bar{R}) = f^{-1}(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} \bar{R}_i) = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(\bar{R}_i)$. But $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P-compact topological ring, so there is a finite subset $J \in \Lambda$, such that $R = \cup_{j \in J} f^{-1}(\bar{R}_j)$. Clear that $(f^{-1}(\bar{R}_j), *, \cdot)$ is subring $\forall j \in J$, hence $R = f^{-1}(\cup_{j \in J} \bar{R}_j)$ implies $\bar{R} = f(R) = f\left(f^{-1}(\cup_{j \in J} \bar{R}_j)\right) = \cup_{j \in J} \bar{R}_j$

therefore $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is P-compact topological ring.

(\Leftarrow) suppose that $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is a P-compact topological ring, let $\{R_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be any P-cover topological rings of $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$, i.e. $R = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} R_i$.

Clear that $\bar{R} = f(R) = f(\cup_{i \in \Lambda} R_i) = \cup_{i \in \Lambda} f(R_i)$ where $(f(R_i), \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot})$ is a ring $\forall i \in \Lambda$ see [3, p. 198], and since f is isomorphism (definition 4) implies $f(R_i) \in \bar{\tau}, \forall i \in \Lambda$. But $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is P-compact so there is a finite subset $J \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $\bar{R} = \cup_{j \in J} f(R_j)$.

Now

$$R = f^{-1}(\bar{R}) = f^{-1}\left(\cup_{j \in J} f(R_j)\right) = f^{-1}\left(f\left(\cup_{j \in J} R_j\right)\right) = \cup_{j \in J} R_j .$$

Thus $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is P-compact which complete the proof.

We can prove by the similar way the following theorem.

Theorem 10.

Let $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ and $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be two topological rings and $f : (R, *, \cdot, \tau) \rightarrow (\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ be an isomorphism. Then the following are equivalent

- 1- $(R, *, \cdot, \tau)$ is PI-compact topological ring.
- 2- $(\bar{R}, \bar{*}, \bar{\cdot}, \bar{\tau})$ is PI-compact topological ring.

References

- [1] Warner, S. **1993**. Topological rings, department of Mathematics , Duke university, science publisher Amsterdam North-HOLLAND.
- [2] Salih, D.G., X., **2012**. On D-compact groups, American journal of scientific research, 60, pp;5-14.
- [3] Burton, D.M., **1972**. "Abstract and linear algebra", Addison-Wesley publishing company, Inc.
- [4] Burton, D.m., **1980**. "Elementary number theory", Allyn and Bacon, Inc. Boston. London. Sydney. Toronto.
- [5] Schapira, P. **2010-2011**. General topology, course at Paris university (V.3), p.8, p.43.
- [6] Wilder R.L., **1965**. "The Foundation of Mathematics", John Wiley sons, INC. New York. London. Sydney.