A Study On (H, 1)(E, q) Product Summability Of Fourier Series And Its Conjugate Series

Sheela Verma, Kalpana Saxena[*]

Research Scholar Department of Mathematics, Govt. M.V.M. Bhopal

[*]Department of Mathematics, Govt. M.V.M. Bhopal

Email:*kalpanasaxena01@gmail.com* , *sheelaverma029@gmail.com*

Abstract: *In this paper, introduce the concept of (H,1) (E,q) product operators and establishes two new theorems on (H,1)(E,q) product Summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series. The results obtained in the paper further extend several known result on linear operators.*

Keyword: *(E,q) Summability, (H,1) Summability, (H,1) (E,q) Summability.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In this field of Summability of Fourier series & its allied series, the product Summability (E,q)(X),(X)(E,q) or |E,q| have be studied by a number of researchers like, Mohanty,R. and Mohapatra, S.(1968), Kwee, B.(19722), [2]chandra, P.(1977), [1]chandra, P. and Dikshit, G.D.(1981), sachan,M.P.(1983), Bhagwat, Purnima(1987), Nigam, H.K. and Sharama, Ajay(2006), lal, S. Singh,H.P. Tiwari, [8]Sandeep kumar, and Bariwal, Chandrashekhar (2010), [3]Dhakal, Binod Prasad (2011), Rathore, H.L. and Shrivasstava, U.K. (2012), Nigam, H.K. and Sharma, K.(2012,2013), Sinha, Santosh Kumar and Shrivastava, U.K.(2014), Mishara,V.N. Sonavane, Vaishali(2015) and many more, under various type of criteria and conditions. After this, so many results established on double factorable Summability of double Fourier series, But nothing seems to have been done so far to study (H,1) (E,q) product Summability of Fourier series and its conjugates series. Therefore, in this paper, two theorems on (H,1) (E,q) Summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series have been proved under a general condition.

2. DEFINATION AND NOTATION

Let f(x) be a 2π- periodic function and Lebesgue integrable over (-π,π). The Fourier series of f(x) is given by

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(x) \tag{2.1}$$

The conjugate series of Fourier series is given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(\mathbf{x}) \tag{2.2}$$

We shall use the following notations:

$$\Phi(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2S$$

$$\psi(t) = f(x+t) - f(x-t)$$

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(K+1)(1+q)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right]$$

$$\widetilde{K_n}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi \log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{(K+1)(1+q)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\cos\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right]$$

And $\tau = \left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$, where τ denotes the greatest integer not greater than $\frac{1}{t}$

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ be a given infinite series with sequence of its nth partial some sum of $\{S_n\}$. The (H,1) transform is defined as the nth partial sum of (H,1) Summability and is given by

$$H_n^1 = t_k(n) = \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{S_{n-k}}{K+1} \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{2.3}$$

then the infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ is summable to the definite number s by (E,q) method.

If,

$$(E,q) = E_k^q = \frac{1}{(1+q)^k} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} s_v \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{2.4}$$

then the infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ is summable to the definite no. s by (H,1)(E,q) Summability method.

If,

$$H_n^1 E_k^q = \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{K+1} E_k^q \to S, \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{2.5}$$

3. MAIN THEOREMS

We prove the following theorems,

3.1 Theorem. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive, monotonic, non-increasing sequence of real constants such that

$$p_n = \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_v \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

if,

$$\emptyset(t) = \int_0^t |\emptyset(u)| du = o\left[\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), p_t}\right], \text{ as } t \to +0 \tag{3.1}$$

Where, $\alpha(t)$ is positive, monotonic and non-increasing function of t and

$$\log n = O[\{\alpha(n)\}. p_n], \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{3.2}$$

Then the Fourier series (2.1) is summable (H,1)(E,q) to f(x).

3.2 Theorem. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive, monotonic, non-increasing sequence of real constants such that

$$p_n = \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_v \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

If,

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^t |\psi(u)| du = o\left[\frac{t}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), p_t}\right], \text{ as } t \to +0 \tag{3.3}$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is a positive, monotonic and non-increasing function of t, then the conjugate Fourier series (2.2) is summable to (H,1)(E,q) to

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{-1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(t) \cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt$$

at any point where this point exists.

4. LEMMAS

Lemma 1. $|k_n(t)| = O(n)$,   for $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{n}$; $\sin nt \le n \sin t$; $|\cos nt \le 1|$

Proof: $|k_n(t)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\frac{\sin\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}}\right]\right|$

$$\le \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\frac{(2v+1)\sin\frac{t}{2}}{\sin\frac{t}{2}}\right]\right|$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}(2k+1)\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\right]\right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{k+1}(2k+1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}(2n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{k+1}$$

$$= \frac{2n+1}{2\pi.\log n}$$

$$= O(n)$$

Lemma 2 $|k_n(t)| = o\left(\frac{1}{tn}\right)$, for $\frac{1}{n} \le t \le \pi$; $\sin(t/2) \ge t/2$ and $\sin nt \le 1$

$$|k_n(t)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\frac{\sin\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}}\right]\right|$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}.\frac{1}{t/2}\right]\right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\right)\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{tn}\right)$$

Lemma 3. $\widetilde{k_n}(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{tn}\right)$, for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}$; $\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{2}$; $|\cos nt| \leq 1$

$$|\widetilde{k_n}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\frac{\cos\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}}\right]\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\left|\frac{\cos\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}}\right|\right]$$

$$=$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{tn}\right)$$

Lemmas 4. $\left|\widetilde{k_n}(t)\right| = O\left(\frac{1}{tn}\right)$, for $\frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \pi$, $\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{2}$

Proof:- $\left|\widetilde{k_n}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}.\frac{\cos\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}}\right]\right|$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}Re\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}e^{i\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}\right\}\right]\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}Re\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}e^{ivt}\right\}\right]\right|\left|e^{it/2}\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}Re\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}e^{ivt}\right\}\right]\right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}Re\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}e^{ivt}\right\}\right]\right| +$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi t.\log n}\left|\sum_{k=\tau}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}Re\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}e^{ivt}\right\}\right]\right|$$

$$= k_1 + k_2$$

Now,

$$|k_1| \leq \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} \left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k} \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} \left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \right] \right| |e^{ivt}|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q^k)} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} \sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n}$$

$$= 0 \left(\frac{1}{tn} \right)$$

And

$$|k_2| \leq \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} \left| \sum_{k=\tau}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k} \mathrm{Re} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} e^{ivt} \right\} \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} \sum_{k=\tau}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k} \binom{n}{k} \max_{0 \leq m \leq k} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} e^{ivt} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} (1+q)^{\tau} \sum_{k=\tau}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t. \log n} \sum_{k=\tau}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+1)}$$

$$= 0 \left(\frac{1}{tn} \right)$$

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

5.1 Proof of theorem

Following Titchmash [8] and using Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, $S_n(f; x)$ of the series (2.1) is given by

$$S_n(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \emptyset(t) \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} dt$$

Therefore using (2.1), the (E,q), transform E_n^q of $S_n(f; x)$ is given by

$$E_n^q - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1 + q)^k} \int_0^\pi \emptyset(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt$$

Now denoting (H,1)(E,q) transform of $S_n(f; x)$ by $H_n^1 E_n^q$ we write

$$H_n^1 E_n^q - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi . \log n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k} \int_0^\pi \emptyset(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^k \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \right]$$

$$= \int_0^\pi \emptyset(t) k_n(t) dt \qquad (5.1)$$

we have to show that, under the hypothesis of theorem

$$\int_0^\pi \emptyset(t) k_n(t) dt = o(1), \text{as } n \to \infty$$

For $0 < \delta < \pi$, We have

$$\int_0^\pi \emptyset(t) k_n(t) dt = \left[\int_0^{1/n} \emptyset(t) + \int_{1/n}^\delta \emptyset(t) + \int_\delta^\pi \emptyset(t) \right] k_n(t) dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \text{ (say)} \qquad (5.2)$$

We consider,

$$|I_1| \leq \int_0^{1/n} |\emptyset(t)||k_n(t)| dt$$

$$= O(n) \left[\int_0^{1/n} |\emptyset(t)| dt \right] \text{ by lemma 1}$$

$$= O(n) \left[o\left\{ \frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n} \right\} \right] \text{ by } (3.1)$$

$$= o\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n} \right\}$$

$$= o\left\{ \frac{1}{\log n} \right\} \text{ using } (3.2)$$

$$= o(1), \text{as } n \to \infty \qquad (5.3)$$

Now,

$$|I_2| \leq \int_{1/n}^\delta |\emptyset(t)||k_n(t)| dt$$

$$= O\left[\int_{1/n}^{\delta} |\emptyset(t)| \left(\frac{1}{tn}\right) dt\right] \text{ by lemma 2}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left[\left\{\frac{1}{t}\emptyset(t)\right\}_{1/n}^{\delta} + \int_{1/n}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t^2}\emptyset(t)\, dt\right]$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left[o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).p_t}\right\}_{1/n}^{\delta} + \int_{1/n}^{\delta} o\left(\frac{1}{t\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).p_t}\right) dt\right] \text{ by (3.1)}$$

Putting $\frac{1}{t} = u$ in second term,

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left[o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} + \int_{1/\delta}^{n} o\left(\frac{1}{u\alpha(u).p_u}\right) du\right]$$

$$= o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} + o\left\{\frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n}\right\}\int_{1/\delta}^{n} 1.\, du$$

$$= o\left\{\frac{1}{\log n}\right\} + o\left\{\frac{1}{\log n}\right\} \text{ by (3.2)}$$

Using second mean value theorem for the integral in the second term as $\alpha(n)$ is monotonic

$$= o(1) + o(1) \text{ as}, n \to \infty$$

$$= o(1), \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{5.4}$$

By Rieman-Lebesgue theorem and by regularity condition of the method of Summabilty,

$$|I_3| \leq \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |\emptyset(t)||k_n(t)|dt$$

$$= o(1), \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{5.5}$$

Combining (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5) we have

$$H_n^1 E_n^q - f(x) = o(1), \text{as } n \to \infty$$

This completes the proof of theorem 1.

5.2 Proof of Theorem. Let $\widetilde{s_n}(f; x)$ denotes the partial sum of series (2.2).

Then following Lal[4] and using Riemann-Lebesgue Theorem , $\widetilde{s_n}(f; x)$ of series (2.2) is given by

$$\widetilde{s_n}(f; x) - \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi} \emptyset(t) \frac{\cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} dt$$

Therefore using (2.2), the (E,q) transform E_n^q of $\widetilde{s_n}(f; x)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{E_n^q} - \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^k}\int_0^{\pi} \psi(t)\left\{\sum_{v=0}^{k}\binom{k}{v}q^{k-v}\frac{\cos\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}}\right\} dt$$

Now denoting $\overline{(H, 1)(E, q)}$ transform of $\tilde{s}_n(f; x)$ by $\overline{H_n^1 E_n^q}$ we write

$$\overline{H_n^1 E_n^q} - \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi. \log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{(k+1)(1+q)^k} \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{k} \binom{k}{v} q^{k-v} \frac{\cos\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \right]$$

$$= \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \widetilde{k_n}(t) dt \tag{5.6}$$

In order to prove the Theorem, we have to show that , under the hypothesis of theorem

$$\int_0^\pi \psi(t) \widetilde{k_n}(t) dt = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

For $0 < \delta < \pi$, we have

$$\int_0^\pi \psi(t) \widetilde{k_n}(t) dt = \left[\int_0^{1/n} \psi(t) + \int_{1/n}^\delta \psi(t) + \int_\delta^\pi \psi(t) \right] \widetilde{k_n}(t) dt$$

$$= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 \quad (\text{Say}) \tag{5.7}$$

We consider,

$$|J_1 \leq| \int_0^{1/n} |\psi(t)| \left| \widetilde{k_n(t)} \right| dt$$

$$= O\left[\int_0^{1/n} \frac{1}{tn} |\psi(t)| dt \right] \quad \text{by lemma 3}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[\int_0^{1/n} \frac{1}{t} |\psi(t)| \, dt \right]$$

$$= O(n) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[o\left\{ \frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n} \right\} \right] \text{ by 2.1}$$

$$= o\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n} \right\}$$

$$= o\left\{ \frac{1}{\log n} \right\} \quad \text{using 2.2}$$

$$= o(1), \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{5.8}$$

Now,
$$|J_2| \leq \int_{1/n}^\delta |\psi(t)| \left| \widetilde{k_n}(t) \right| dt$$

$$= O\left[\int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{1}{tn} |\psi(t)| dt \right] \text{ by lemma 4}$$

$$= O\frac{(1)}{n} \left[\int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{1}{t} |\psi(t)| dt \right]$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{t} \psi(t) \right\}_{1/n}^\delta + \int_{1/n}^\delta \frac{1}{t^2} \psi(t) dt \right]$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left[o\left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)p_t} \right\}_{1/n}^\delta + \int_{1/n}^\delta o\left(\frac{1}{t\alpha\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).p_t} \right) dt \right] \text{ by (3.3)}$$

Putting $\frac{1}{t} = u$, in second term,

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left[o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} + \int_{1/\delta}^{n} o\left(\frac{1}{u\alpha(u).p_t}\right) du\right]$$

$$= o\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha(n).p_n}\right\} + o\left\{\frac{1}{n\alpha(n).p_n}\right\}\int_{1/\delta}^{n} 1. du$$

$$= o\left\{\frac{1}{\log n}\right\} + o\left\{\frac{1}{\log n}\right\} \text{ by (3.2)}$$

Using second -mean value theorem for the integral in the second term as $\alpha(n)$ is monotonic

$$= o(1) + o(1) \text{ , as } n \to \infty$$

$$= o(1) \text{ , as } n \to \infty \tag{5.9}$$

By Riemann – Lebesgue theorem and by regularity condition of the method of Summability

$$|J_3| \le \int_{\delta}^{\pi}|\psi(t)| \left|\widetilde{k_n}(t)\right| dt$$

$$= o(1) \text{ , as } n \to \infty \tag{5.10}$$

Combining (5.8) , (5.9) and (5.10) we have,

$$\overline{H_n^1 E_n^q} - \widetilde{f}(x) = o(1) \text{ , as } n \to \infty$$

This completes the proof of theorem 2.

CONCLUSION

In the field of Summability theory, various results pertaining (H,1) and (E,q), (H,1)X and X(H,1) Summability of Fourier series as well as its allied series have been reviewed.In future, the present work can be extended to establish new results under certain conditions.

REFERENCES

1] Chandra, p. "On the |E, q| summability of a Fourier series and its conjugate series" Riv, Mat, Univ. Parma (4),3, 65-78(1977).

2] Titchmarsh, E.C. "The Theory of functions", Oxford (1952).

3] Chandra, p. and Dikshit, G.D. "On the |B| and |E, q| summability of a Fourier series, its conjugate series and their derived series", Indian J. pure applications math.,12(11) 1350 – 1360, (1981).

4] Hare Krishna Nigam, Kusum Sharma, "On (E,1) (C,1) Summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series", Deemed university, 365 – 375, 2013

5] H.K. Nigam , "On (C,2) (E,1) product means of Fourier series and its conjugate series",1(2) , 334-344, 2013.

6] G.H. Hardy , Divergent series , first edition , oxford university (1949).

7] Prasad Kanhaiya, on the (N,Pn) C1 Summability of a sequence of Fourier series coefficient, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 12(7) 874-881, (1981).

8] Nigam, H.K. and Sharma, Ajay , on (N,P,q) (E,1) Summability of Fourier series, IJMMS, vol. 2009 , (2009).

9] G.H. HARDY and J.E. LITTLEWOOD, some new convergence criteria for fourier series, J. Londan Math. SOC. 7(1932), 252-256.

10] Tiwari, Sandeep kumar and Bariwal chandrashekhar, degree of approximation of function belonging to the IJMA 1(1), 2-4 , (2010).

11] E.C. Titchmarsh, the theory of functions, oxford university press (1939), 402- 403.

12] A. ZYGMUND, "Trigonometrical series," Dover, New York, 1955.

13] Zygmund, A. "Trigonometrical series", vol. I and II, waesaw (1935).

BIOGRAPHY

Dr. Kalpana Saxena received Ph.D. in Mathematics from University of APS Rewa 1999. Dr. Kalpana Saxena is presently posted as a professor at GOVT. M.V.M. College Bhopal. Her main interests are Product Summability of Fourier series and sequence.

Sheela Verma perusing Ph.D. in Mathematics from Barkatullah University under the guidance of Dr. Kalpana Saxena. I completed Msc. In mathematics From Sarojini naidu GOVT. Girl's P.G. College Shivaji Nagar Bhopal.