

SOME RESULTS ON OPERATORS CONSISTENT IN INVERTIBILITY

Luketero Stephen and Kikete Wabuya*

School of Mathematics, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197 – 00100 Nairobi, Kenya.

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the conditions under which some classes of operators in a complex Hilbert space H are said to be consistent in invertibility.

AMS subject classification: 47B47, 47A30, 47B20

Keywords and phrases: Quasi-invertibility, Consistent in Invertibility, Quasinormal, Projection.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, Hilbert spaces or subspaces will be denoted by capital letters, H and K respectively and T, S, A, B etc. denotes bounded linear operators where an operator means a bounded linear transformation, (H) will denote the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on H . $B(H, K)$ denotes the set of bounded linear transformations from H to K , which is equipped with the (induced uniform) norm. If $T \in B(H)$, then T^* denotes the adjoint while $\text{Ker}(T)$ denotes the kernel of T . For an operator T , we also denote by $\sigma(T)$ the spectrum of T .

An operator $T \in (H)$ is said to be:

- *Invertible* if it has zero kernel
- *Quasi-invertible* if it is injective and has a dense range
- *Positive* if $T \geq 0$
- *Projection* if $T^2 = T$
- *Normal* if $T^*T = TT^*$
- *Quasinormal* if $T^*TT = TT^*T$

- *Consistent in invertibility (C.I)* if both TS and ST are either invertible or non-invertible together.

2. **RESULTS**

Theorem 2.1

Let $T \in B(H)$. If $\text{Ker}T = 0 = \text{Ker}T^*$, then T is a C.I operator.

Proof

If $\text{Ker}T = 0$, we have that T is invertible, it follows that T^* is also invertible.

Since TT^* is a product of invertible operators it has to be invertible too. We also have that $(TT^*)^*$ is invertible.

But $(TT^*)^* = T^*T$. Thus both TT^* and T^*T are invertible together. Hence T is a C.I operator.

Corollary 2.2

Let $T \in B(H)$ be quasi-invertible. Then T is a C.I operator.

Proof

If T is quasi-invertible, it follows that it is injective and has a dense range. As a consequence of being injective, we have that $\text{Ker}T = 0$ therefore T is a C.I operator.

Corollary 2.2

Let $T^* \in B(H)$ be such that $0 \notin W(T^*)$. Then T^* is a C.I. operator.

Proof

Recall that $\sigma(T^*) \subseteq W(T^*)$

Therefore $0 \notin W(T^*) \Rightarrow 0 \notin \sigma(T^*) \Rightarrow 0$ is not an eigenvalue of $T^* \Rightarrow T^*$ is invertible $\Rightarrow T^*$ is a C.I. operator.

Theorem 2.3

Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be normal operators and $AB^* = B^*A$, then $A+iB$ is a C.I. operator.

Proof

$AB^* = B^*A \Rightarrow (AB^*)^* = (B^*A)^*$ i.e. $BA^* = A^*B$. It is enough to show that $A+iB$ is normal.

$$(A+iB)^* = A^* - iB^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A + iB)^*(A + iB) &= (A^* - iB^*)(A + iB) \\
 &= A^*A + iA^*B - iB^*A + B^*B \\
 &= (A^*A + B^*B) + i(A^*B - B^*A) \\
 &= (A^*A + B^*B) + i(BA^* - AB^*) \dots \dots \dots (i)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A + iB)(A + iB)^* &= (A + iB)(A^* - iB^*) \\
 &= AA^* - iAB^* + iBA^* + BB^* \\
 &= (AA^* + BB^*) + i(BA^* - AB^*) \dots \dots \dots (ii)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (i) and (ii) above it follows that $A + iB$ is normal, hence a C.I. operator.

Theorem 2.4

Let $A, B, X \in B(H)$ satisfy the operator equation $AXB = X$ where X is a quasi-invertible operator. Further, let A and B be quasinormal operators, then A and B^* are C.I. operators.

Proof

Since A is quasinormal, we have $A^*AA - AA^*A = 0$. By the hypothesis that $AXB = X$ it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 AA^*AXB &= AA^*X \\
 A^*AAXB &= AA^*X \\
 A^*AX &= AA^*X \quad \text{since } AXB = X \\
 A^*A &= AA^* \quad \text{since } X \text{ has a dense range}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A is a normal operator, hence consistent in invertibility.

It can similarly be shown that B^* is consistent in invertibility.

REFERENCES

1. J. B. Conway, *A course in Functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985
2. M. Hladnik and M. Ombialic, *Spectrum of the product of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 102 (1988), 300 – 302
3. P. Y. Wu, *Product of normal operators*, Canad. J. Math. 40 (1988), 1322 – 1330
4. W. Gong and D. Han, *Spectrum of the product of operators and compact perturbations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120 (1994), 755 – 760.