

Extension of Banach Contraction Principle for Cone Metric space

Rajesh Shrivastava & Swati Khare*

Professor and Head Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science & Commerce College,
Benazeer, Bhopal, India

*Department of Mathematics, SIRT Bhopal

Email: swatishrivastava1403@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper an extension of Banach contraction principle is introduced for the rational contraction in cone metric space.

Keywords: Fixed point, Common Fixed point, Continuous mapping, Complete Metric space, cone metric space

Mathematical Subject Classification: 47H09, 54H25

2. Introduction and Preliminaries: The famous result in the field of fixed point theory is given by Banach which is known as Banach contraction principle. It says that if X is a complete metric space then every contraction has a fixed point. Many authors worked to extend this principle. *The cone metric space introduced for common fixed point theorem in weakly compatible maps with implicit relations* by A. Aliouche V. Popa [8] and further M.S. Khan and Imdad M[14] proves the Fixed and coincidence points in Banach and 2-Banach spaces.

Let Q be a subset of X and X is a real Banach space, then Q is called a cone if Q satisfies the following axioms:

(i) Q is closed, nonempty and $Q \neq \{0\}$

(ii) $ax + by \in Q$ for all $x, y \in Q$ and non negative real number a, b

(iii) $Q \cap (-Q) = \{0\}$

Here we define a partial ordering \leq on X with respect to Q by $y - x \in Q$, given a cone $Q \subset X$

If $y - x \in \text{int}Q$, i.e. $x \ll y$, denoted by $\| \cdot \|$ the norm on X , the cone Q is called normal

If there is a number $k > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$0 \leq x \leq y$ implies that $\|x\| \leq r\|y\|$ [1]

Therefore the least number r satisfying the particular equation [1] is called the normal constant of Q .

Hence in this the author proves that there is no normal cone with normal constant $M < 1$ and for each $r > 1$, there are cone with normal constant $M > r$.

The cone Q is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded above is convergent, that is if $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence such that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq y$ for some $y \in X$,

Then there is $x \in X$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$. The cone Q is regular iff every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent.

Definition:2. 1 Let X be a non empty set and X is a real Banach space, T is a mapping from X into itself such that, T satisfying following conditions,

- (i) $T(x,y) \geq 0$, for all $x, y \in X$
- (ii) $T(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- (iii) $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$
- (iv) $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z) + T(z, y)$

Then T is called a cone metric on X and (X, T) is called cone metric space.

Definition: 2.2 Let E and M be two mapping of a cone metric space (X, T) then it is said to be compatible if, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(EMx_n, MEx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ex_n = v \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Mx_n = v \text{ for some } v \in X.$$

Let E and M be two self mapping of a cone metric space (X, T) then it is said to be weakly compatible, If they commute at coincidence point, that is $Ex = Mx$ implies that

$$EMx = MEx \text{ for } x \in X.$$

Altering distance function for self-mapping on a metric space established by M.S. Khan in 1984 and it can be expanded by M. Swalesh, S. Sessa that they introduced a control function which they called as altering distance function in the research of fixed point theory. The author Mier- Keeler type (ϵ, δ) - contractive condition to study of fixed point by using a control function with extended contractive conditions.

Definition 2.3 A function $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty)$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied.

$$(\varphi_1) \psi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0.$$

$$(\varphi_2) \psi \text{ is monotonically non decreasing.}$$

$$(\varphi_3) \psi \text{ is continuous.}$$

By ψ we denote the set of all altering distance function.

Using those control functions the author extend the Banach contraction principle by taking $\psi = \text{Id}$, (the identity mapping), in the inequality contraction [2.4.1] of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, let $\psi \in \Psi$ and let $Q : M \rightarrow M$ be a mapping which satisfies the following inequality

$$\psi[d(Qx, Qy)] \leq \alpha\psi[d(x, y)] \quad [2.4.1]$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then, Q has a unique fixed point $v_0 \in M$ and moreover for each $x \in M$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q^n x = v_0$.

Fixed point theorems involving the notion of altering distance functions has been widely studied, On the other hand, in 1975, B.K. Das and S. Gupta [1] proves the following result.

Theorem 2.5 Let (M, d) be a metric space and let $Q : M \rightarrow M$ be a given mapping such that,

$$(i) \quad d(Qx, Qy) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta m(x, y) \quad [2.5.1]$$

for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ where

$$m(x, y) = \left[\frac{d^2(x, Qx) + d(x, Qy) d(y, Qx) + d^2(y, Qy)}{1 + d(x, Qx) d(y, Qy)} \right] \quad [2.5.2]$$

for all $x, y \in M$.

(ii) for some $x_0 \in M$, the sequence of iterates $(Q^n x_0)$ has a subsequence $(Q^{n_k} x_0)$

with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q^{n_k} x_0 = v_0$. Then v_0 is the unique fixed point of Q .

Definition 2.7 Let (M, d) be a metric space for a self-mapping Q with a nonempty fixed point set $E(Q)$. Then Q is said to satisfy the property P if $E(Q) = E(Q^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.8. Let (M, d) be a metric space. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in M such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0 \quad 2.8.1$$

If $\{y_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in M , then there exist an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and sequence of integers positive $(m(k))$ and $(n(k))$ with

$(m(k)) > (n(k)) > k$, such that,

$$d\left(y_{(m(k))}, y_{(n(k))}\right) \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad d\left(y_{(m(k))-1}, y_{(n(k))}\right) < \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{and}$$

- i. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{(m(k))-1}, y_{(n(k))+1}\right) = \varepsilon_0$
- ii. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{(m(k))}, y_{(n(k))}\right) = \varepsilon_0$
- iii. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{(m(k))-1}, y_{(n(k))}\right) = \varepsilon_0$

Remark 2.9. From Lemma 2.8 is easy to get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{(m(k))+1}, y_{(n(k))+1}\right) = \varepsilon_0$$

3. Main Result

Theorem:3.1 Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and Q a normal cone with normal constant r . $\psi \in \Psi$. Suppose that the mapping S from X into itself satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sx, Sy) \leq & a \psi d(x, y) + b \psi [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy)] + c \psi [d(x, Sy) + d(y, Sx)] \\ & + e \psi \left[\frac{[d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy)]}{1 + d(x, Sx)d(y, Sx)} \right] + f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x, Sx) + d(x, Sy)d(y, Sx) + d^2(y, Sy)}{1 + d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $a, b, c, f \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq a + b + e + c + f < 1$. Then S has unique fixed point in X .

Proof: for any arbitrary x_0 in X , we have to choose $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that

$$Sx_0 = x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad Sx_1 = x_2$$

Also, in general we can define a sequence of elements in X such that

$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1}$$

$$\text{Now, } \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = \psi d(Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})$$

From(1)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}) \leq & a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + b \psi [d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1})] \\ & + c \psi [d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})] \\ & + e \psi \left[\frac{[d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1})]}{1 + d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1})} \right] \\ & + f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + b \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + c \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + e \psi \left[\frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})} \right] \\ &\quad + f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (b + e) \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + c \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})] + f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})} \right] \\ &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (b + e) \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + \psi c [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})] + f \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (b + e) \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] + b [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + c \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})] + f \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] + f \psi [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) &< (a + (b + e) + f) \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + ((b + e) + c + f) \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - (b + e) - c - f) \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq (a + (b + e) + f) \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{(a + (b + e) + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Similarly we can show that

$$\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{(a + (b + e) + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \psi d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

In general we can write,

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \left[\frac{(a + (b + e) + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right]^{2n+1} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

On taking $\left[\frac{(a + (b + e) + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right] = K$

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K^{2n+1} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

For $n \leq m$, we have

$$\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \leq \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots + \psi d(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m})$$

$$\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \leq (K^n + K^{n+1} + K^{n+2} + \dots \dots \dots K^m) \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \leq \frac{K^n}{1-K} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\psi \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2m})\| \leq \frac{K^n}{1-K} r \psi \|d(x_0, x_1)\| \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2m})\| \rightarrow 0$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges to v in X .

Hence (X, d) is a complete cone metric space. Then $x_n \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $Sx_{2n} \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore v is a fixed point of S in X .

Uniqueness:- Let us suppose that there is another fixed point of S , i.e. w in X which is distinct from v , then

$$Sw = w \text{ and } Sv = v$$

$$\psi d(v, w) = \psi d(Sv, Sw)$$

From (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sv, Sw) &\leq a \psi d(v, w) + b \psi [d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)] + c \psi [d(v, Sw) + d(w, Sv)] \\ &+ e \psi \left[\frac{[d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)]}{1 + d(v, Sw) d(w, Sv)} \right] + f \psi \left[\frac{[d^2(v, Sv) + d(v, Sw) d(w, Sv) + d^2(w, Sw)]}{1 + d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi d(Sv, Sw) \leq (a + 2c + f) \psi d(v, w)$$

This is a contradiction. Thus v is a unique fixed point of S in X .

Theorem: 3.2 Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and Q a normal cone with normal constant r . $\psi \in \Psi$. Suppose that the mapping S and P be the mapping from X into itself satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sx, Py) &\leq a \psi d(x, y) + b \psi [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Py)] + c \psi [d(x, Py) + d(y, Sx)] \\ &+ e \psi \left[\frac{d(x, Sx) + d(y, Py)}{1 + dd(x, Py)d(y, Sx)} \right] \\ &+ f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x, Sx) + d(x, Py)d(y, Sx) + d^2(y, Py)}{1 + d(x, Sx) + d(y, Py)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $a, b, c, f \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq a + b + c + e + f < 1$. Then S and P has unique fixed point in X .

Proof: for any $x_0 \in X$ we have

$$Sx_0 = x_1 \text{ and } Px_1 = x_2$$

In general we can define a sequence of elements of X , such that

$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} \text{ and } x_{2n+2} = Px_{2n+1}$$

Now, $\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = \psi d(Sx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1})$

From (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + b \psi [d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + \psi d(x_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})] \\ &+ c \psi [d(x_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})] + e \psi \left[\frac{d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})} \right] \\ &+ f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (b + e) \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &+ c \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &+ f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (b + e) \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &+ c \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})] + f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})} \right] \\ &\leq a d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (b + e) b [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &+ c \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})] + \psi f [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\leq a \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + ((b + e) + f) \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &+ c \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] + f \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\leq (a + (b + e) + c + f) \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + ((b + e) + c + f) \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ (1 - (b + e) - c - f) \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &\leq (a + (b + e) + c + f) \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by using triangle inequality, we get

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \left| \frac{(a + (b + e) + c + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right| \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Similarly we can show that

$$\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \left| \frac{(a + (b + e) + c + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right| \psi d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

In general we can write

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \left| \frac{(a + (b + e) + c + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right|^{2n+1} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

On taking $\left| \frac{(a+(b+e)+c+f)}{(1-(b+e)-c-f)} \right| = \phi$

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K^{2n+1} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

For $n \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) &\leq \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots + \psi d(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}) \\ \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) &\leq (K^n + K^{n+1} + K^{n+2} + \dots + K^m) \psi d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \leq \frac{K^n}{1 - K} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\psi \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2m})\| \leq \frac{K^n}{1 - K} \psi \|d(x_0, x_1)\|$$

$$\text{As } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2m})\| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{In this way } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \rightarrow 0$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges to $v \in X$.

Hence (X, d) is a complete cone metric space.

Thus $x_n \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$Sx_{2n} \rightarrow v$ and $Px_{2n+1} \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then v is a fixed point of S and P in X , since $SP = PS$ this gives

$$v = Pv = PSv = SPv = Sv = v$$

Uniqueness: Now let w be another fixed point of S and P in X which is distinct from v , then

$Pv = v$ and $Pw = w$ also $Sv = v$ and $Sw = w$

$$w \psi d(v, w) = \psi d(Sv, Pw)$$

From (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sv, Pw) &\leq a \psi d(v, w) + b\psi [d(v, Sv) + d(w, Pw)] + c\psi [d(v, Pw) + d(w, Sv)] \\ &\quad + e\psi \left[\frac{d(v, Sv) + d(w, Pw)}{1 + d(v, Pw), d(w, Sv)} \right] \\ &\quad + f\psi \left[\frac{d^2(v, Sv) + d(v, Pw), d(w, Sv) + d^2(w, Pw)}{1 + d(v, Sv) + d(w, Pw)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi d(Sv, Pw) \leq (a + 2c) \psi d(v, w) + f d(v, w)$$

$$\psi d(Sv, Pw) \leq (a + 2c + f) \psi d(v, w)$$

This gives contradiction

Hence v is unique fixed point of S and P in X .

Theorem: 3.3 Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and Q a normal cone with normal constant r . $\psi \in \Psi$. Suppose that the mapping S, P and T be the mapping from X into itself satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(SP_x, TP_y) &\leq a \psi d(x, y) + b\psi [d(x, SP_x) + d(y, TP_y)] + c\psi [d(x, TP_y) + d(y, SP_x)] \\ &\quad + e\psi \left[\frac{d(x, SP_x) + d(y, TP_y)}{1 + d(x, TP_y), d(y, SP_x)} \right] \\ &\quad + f\psi \left[\frac{d^2(x, SP_x) + d(x, TP_y), d(y, SP_x) + d^2(y, TP_y)}{1 + d(x, SP_x) + d(y, TP_y)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $a, b, c, f \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq a + b + c + e + f < 1$. Then S, P and T has unique fixed point in X . furthermore either $SP = PS$ or $TP = PT$ then it have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Here we choose $x_1, x_2 \in X$, for any arbitrary element x_0 in X such that

$$SPx_0 = x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad TPx_1 = x_2$$

In general we can define a sequence of elements of X , such that

$$x_{2n+1} = SPx_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2n+2} = TPx_{2n+1}$$

$$\text{Now, } \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = \psi d(SPx_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1})$$

$$\text{From (3) } \psi d(SPx_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1}) \leq a \psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ b\psi [d(x_{2n}, SPx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, TPx_{2n+1})] \\ &+ c\psi [d(x_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, SPx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ e\psi \left[\frac{(x_{2n}, SPx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, TPx_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, SPx_{2n})} \right]$$

$$+ f\psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, SPx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1}), d(x_{2n+1}, SPx_{2n}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, TPx_{2n+1})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, SPx_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, TPx_{2n+1})} \right]$$

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq a\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] + b\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]$$

$$+ c\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})]$$

$$+ e\psi \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})}$$

$$+ f\psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})} \right]$$

$$\leq a\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] + (b + e)\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]$$

$$+ c\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})] + f\psi \left[\frac{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d^2(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})}{1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})} \right]$$

$$\leq a\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] + (b + e)\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]$$

$$+ c\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] + f\psi [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]$$

$$\leq (a + (b + e) + c + f)\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + ((b + e) + c + f)\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$$

$$(1 - (b + e) - c - f)\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq (a + (b + e) + c + f)\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

By using triangle inequality we get,

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{(a + (b + e) + c + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

As similarly we can show that,

$$\psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{(a + b + c + f)}{(1 - b - c - f)} \psi d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

In general we can write,

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \left[\frac{(a + (b + e) + c + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right]^{2n+1} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

On taking $\left[\frac{(a + (b + e) + c + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right] = K$,

$$\psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K^{2n+1} \psi d(x_0, x_1)$$

For $n \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) &\leq \psi d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots + \psi d(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}) \\ &\leq (K^n + K^{n+1} + K^{n+2} + \dots + K^m) \psi d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{K^n}{1-K} \psi d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2m})\| \leq \frac{K^n}{1-K} r\psi \|d(x_0, x_1)\|$$

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2m})\| \rightarrow 0$

In this way $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \rightarrow 0$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges to $v \in X$.

Therefore (X, d) is complete cone metric space Thus $x_n \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$SPx_{2n} \rightarrow v$ and $TPx_{2n+1} \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\therefore v$ is fixed point of S and T in X , Since $ST = TS$ this gives,

$$v = Tv = TSv = STv = Sv = v$$

v is common fixed point of S and T .

Uniqueness : Let w be another fixed point of S and T in X distinct from v , Then we have,

$$Tv = v \text{ and } Tw = w \text{ also } Sv = v \text{ and } Sw = w$$

$$\psi d(v, w) = \psi d(Sv, Tw)$$

From (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sv, Tw) &\leq a \psi d(v, w) + b\psi [d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)] + c\psi [d(v, Tw) + d(w, Sv)] \\ &\quad + e\psi \left[\frac{d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)}{1 + d(v, Tw) + d(w, Sv)} \right] \\ &\quad + f\psi \left[\frac{d^2(v, Sv) + d(v, Tw), d(w, Sv) + d^2(w, Sw)}{1 + d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi d(Sv, Tw) &\leq a \psi d(v, w) + (b + e)\psi [d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)] + c\psi [d(v, Tw) + d(w, Sv)] \\ &\quad + f\psi \left[\frac{d^2(v, Sv) + d(v, Tw), d(w, Sv) + d^2(w, Sw)}{1 + d(v, Sv) + d(w, Sw)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi d(Sv, Tw) \leq (a + 2c + f) \psi d(v, w)$$

This is a contradiction. So v is unique common fixed point of S and T in X .

Theorem: 3.4 Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and Q a normal cone with normal constant r . $\psi \in \Psi$. Suppose that the mapping E, F, S and P be the mapping from X into itself satisfies the condition

- (i) $E(X) \subseteq P(X), F(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (ii) $[E, S]$ and $[F, P]$ are weakly compatible.
- (iii) S or P is continuous
- (iv) $\psi d(Ex, Fy) \leq a \psi d(Sx, Py) + b \psi [d(Sx, Ex) + d(Py, Fy)]$
 $+ c \psi [d(Sx, Fy) + d(Py, Ex)] +$
 $+ e \psi \left[\frac{d(Sx, Ex) + d(Py, Fy)}{1 + d(Sx, Fy) d(Py, Ex)} \right]$
 $+ f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(Sx, Ex) + d(Sx, Fy) d(Py, Ex) + d^2(Py, Fy)}{1 + d(Sx, Ex) + d(Py, Fy)} \right]$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $a, b, c, f \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq a + b + c + e + f < 1$. Then E, F, S and P have unique fixed point in X .

Proof: Let us define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X , such that
 $Ex_{2n} = Px_{2n+1} = y_{2n}$ and $Fx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1} \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now, $\psi d(y_n, y_{2n+1}) = \psi d(Ex_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1})$

From (iv) $\psi d(Ex_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}) \leq a \psi d(Sx_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + b \psi [d(Sx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}) + d(Px_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1})]$
 $+ c \psi [d(Sx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}) + d(Px_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n})] + e \psi \left[\frac{d(Sx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}) + d(Px_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}) d(Px_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n})} \right]$
 $+ f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(Sx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}) + d(Sx_{2n}, Fx_{2n+1}) d(Px_{2n+1}, Ex_{2n}) + d^2(Px_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_{2n}, Ex_{2n}) + d(Px_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1})} \right]$

$$\psi d(y_n, y_{2n+1}) \leq a \psi d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + b \psi [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$+ c \psi [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})] + e \psi \left[\frac{d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})}{1 + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})} \right]$$

$$+ f \psi \left[\frac{d^2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n}) + d^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})}{1 + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \right]$$

$$\psi d(y_n, y_{2n+1}) \leq (a + b + e) \psi d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + (b + e) \psi [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$+ c \psi [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$+ f \psi [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$\leq (a + (b + e) + f) \psi d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + ((b + e) + c + f) \psi [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]$$

$$(1 - (b + e) - c - f) \psi [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \leq (a + (b + e) + f) \psi d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$$

$$\Psi[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \leq \left[\frac{(a + (b + e) + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right] \Psi d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$$

Similarly, in general we have

$$\Psi[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] \leq \left[\frac{(a + (b + e) + f)}{(1 - (b + e) - c - f)} \right]^{2n+1} \Psi d(y_0, y_1)$$

On taking $\left[\frac{(a+(b+e)+f)}{(1-(b+e)-c-f)} \right] = K$ and $n \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] &\leq (K^n + K^{n+1} + \phi^{n+2} + \dots + K^m) \Psi d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \frac{K^n}{1 - K} \Psi d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi \|d(y_{2n}, y_{2m})\| \leq \frac{K^n}{1 - K} r \Psi \|d(y_0, y_1)\|$$

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi \|d(y_{2n}, y_{2m})\| \rightarrow 0$

Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges to $v \in X$, by the continuity of S and P. Also the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is also convergent sequence which converges to $v \in X$, Hence (X, d) is complete cone metric space and v is a fixed point of E, F, S and P.

Since $\{E, S\}$ and $\{F, P\}$ are weakly compatible implies that v is common fixed point of E, F, S and P.

Uniqueness: Let us assume that, w is another fixed point of E, F, S and P in X distinct from v , then

$$Ev = v \text{ and } Ew = w \text{ also } Fv = v \text{ and } Fw = w$$

$$\Psi d(v, w) = \Psi d(Ev, Fw)$$

From (4) $\Psi d(Ev, Fw) \leq a\Psi d(Sv, Pw) + b\Psi [d(Sv, Ev) + d(Pw, Fw)]$

$$+ c\Psi [d(Sv, Fw) + d(Pw, Ev)]$$

$$+ e\Psi \left[\frac{d(Sv, Ev) + d(Pw, Fw)}{1 + d(Sv, Fw) + d(Pw, Ev)} \right]$$

$$+ f\Psi \left[\frac{d^2(Sv, Ev) + d(Sv, Fw) + d(Pw, Ev) + d^2(Pw, Fw)}{1 + d(Sv, Ev) + d(Pw, Fw)} \right]$$

$\Psi d(Ev, Fw) \leq (a + 2c)\Psi d(v, w)$, this is a contraction.

Hence v is unique point of E, F, S and P in X.

REFERENCES:

- 1] B.K. Das and S. Gupta, “An extension of Banach contractive principle through rational expression”, Indian Jour. Pure and Applied Math., 6 (1975) 1455-1458.
- 2] Banach, S. “Sur les operation dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations integrals” Fund. Math. 3(1922) 133-181.
- 3] Bhardwaj, R.K. , S.S. Rajput and R.N. Yadava, “Application of fixed point theory in metric spaces” Thai. Journal of Mathematics 5 (2007) 253-259.
- 4] D. Turkoglu, O. Ozer, B. Fisher, “Fixed point theorem for T- Orbitally complete metric space,” Mathematics Nr. 9 (1999) 211-218.
- 5] D. Gohde, “Zum Prinzip der Kontraktiven Abbildung” Math. Nachr 30 (1965) 251-258.
- 6] R. Kannan, “Some results on fixed point II” Amer. Math. Mon. 76 (1969) 405-406 MR41*.2487.
- 7] M. Aamri and D. El. Moutawakil, New common fixed point theorems under strict contractive condition” J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002) 181-188.
- 8] A. Aliouche and V. Popa, “Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mapping via implicit relations” Filomat, 22 [2] (2008), 99-107.
- 9] L.B. Ćirić, “A generalization of Banach contraction principle” Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974) 267-273.
- 10] G.V.R. Babu and G.N. Alemayehu, “Point of coincidence and common fixed points of a pair of generalized weakly contractive maps” Journal of Advanced Research in pure mathematics 2 (2010) 89-106.
- 11] S. Gähler, “2-Metrische Räume und topologische Strukturen” Math. Nachr. 26 (1963-64) 115-148.
- 12] Ya. I. Alber and G. G. Delabrière, “Principles of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert space”. In: 1, Gohberg, Yu. Lyubich (Eds), “New results in operator theory” in Advance and Appli. 98, 1997, 7-22.
- 13] O.P. Gupta and V.N. Badshah, “Fixed point theorems in Banach and 2- Banach spaces” Jnanabha 35 (2005).
- 14] M.S. Khan and Imdad M, “Fixed and coincidence points in Banach and 2- Banach spaces” Mathematical Seminar Notes, Vol 10 (1982).
- 15] M.S. Khan, M. Swalech and S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*”, Bull. Austral Math. Soc., 30 (1984) 1-9.