

A Generalized Higher Reverse Left (respectively Right) Centralizer on Prime Γ -Rings

Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah Salah Mehdi Salih

Department of Mathematics , College of Education , Al-Mustansirya University , Iraq

Abstract:

This study introduces the concepts of generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer , Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer and Jordan triple generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of Γ -rings. In this paper we prove the following main results . Every Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring M into itself is generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M and every Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a 2-torsion free Γ -ring M , into itself , such that $x \alpha y \beta x = x \beta y \alpha x$ is a Jordan triple generalized higher reverse left(respectively right) centralizer of M , for all $x , y \in M$ and $\alpha , \beta \in \Gamma$

It is noteworthy that $T_0(x) = x$ and $T_0(x \alpha y) = y \alpha x$, for all $a , b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Key Words : prime Γ -ring , left centralizer , right centralizer , Jordan centralizer

Mathematic Subject classification : 16N60 , 16W25 , 16Y99

DOI: 10.7176/MTM/9-10-06

Publication date: October 31st 2019

1-INTRODUCTION:

Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups. Suppose that there is a mapping from $M \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$ (the image of (x, α, y) denoted by $x \alpha y$, where $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) satisfying the following properties for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

- (i) $(x + y) \alpha z = x \alpha z + y \alpha z$
 $x (\alpha + \beta) z = x \alpha z + x \beta z$
 $x \alpha (y + c) = x \alpha y + x \alpha z$
- (ii) $(x \alpha y) \beta z = x \alpha (y \beta z)$.

Then M is called a Γ -ring. [1] .

M is called a prime if $x \Gamma M \Gamma y = (0)$ implies that $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, where $x, y \in M$ [5] .

M is called a semiprime if $x \Gamma M \Gamma x = (0)$ implies that $x = 0$, where $x \in M$ [5] .

M is called a 2-torsion free if $2x = 0$ implies that $x = 0$, for all $x \in M$ [5] .

If M is a Γ -ring , then $[x, y]_\alpha = x \alpha y - y \alpha x$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, is known as a commutator . [5]

An additive mapping $d : M \longrightarrow M$ is called a derivation if the following holds :

$$d(x \alpha y) = d(x) \alpha y + x \alpha d(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ [2]}$$

Additionally, d is called a Jordan derivation if the following property holds :

$$d(x \alpha x) = d(x) \alpha x + x \alpha d(x), \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. [2]$$

An additive mapping $F : M \longrightarrow M$ is called a generalized derivation associated with the derivation $d : M \longrightarrow M$ if the following equation holds :

$$F(x \alpha y) = F(x) \alpha y + x \alpha d(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma [2]$$

In addition, F is called a Jordan generalized derivation associated with the Jordan derivation $d : M \longrightarrow M$ if the following property is satisfied :

$$F(x \alpha x) = F(x) \alpha x + x \alpha d(x), \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma [2]$$

A left (respectively right) centralizer of a Γ -ring M , is an additive mapping, $T : M \longrightarrow M$ which satisfies the following equation $T(x \alpha y) = T(x) \alpha y$ (respectively $T(x \alpha y) = x \alpha T(y)$), for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. T is called a centralizer of M if it is both a left and right centralizer [4]. A left (respectively right) Jordan centralizer of a Γ -ring M , is an additive mapping, $T : M \longrightarrow M$ which satisfies the following equation $T(x \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha x$ (respectively $T(x \alpha x) = x \alpha T(x)$), for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. T is called a Jordan centralizer of M if it is both a left and right Jordan centralizer [4].

An additive mapping $F : M \longrightarrow M$ is called a generalized centralizer of M associated with the centralizer $T : M \longrightarrow M$ if the following equation holds :

$$F(x \alpha y + y \beta x) = F(x) \alpha y + y \beta T(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma [3].$$

F is called a Jordan generalized centralizer of M associated with the Jordan centralizer $T : M \longrightarrow M$ if the following equation holds :

$$F(x \alpha x + x \alpha x) = F(x) \alpha x + x \alpha T(x), \text{ for all } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma [3].$$

Let $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R , into itself. Then T is called a higher left centralizer, we have that

$$t_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x) t_{i-1}(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} [6].$$

In addition, T is called a Jordan higher left centralizer if the following equation holds :

$$t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x) t_{i-1}(x), \text{ for all } x \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} [6].$$

Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R , into itself. Then F is called a generalized higher left centralizer associated with the higher left centralizer $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R , if the following equation is satisfied :

$$f_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) t_{i-1}(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} [6]$$

Moreover, F is called a Jordan generalized higher left centralizer associated with the Jordan higher left centralizer $T = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R , we have that

$$f_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) t_{i-1}(x), \text{ for all } x \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} [6].$$

Jarullah and Salih introduced the concepts of higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer and Jordan higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer a Γ -ring as follows :

Let $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into itself. Then t is called a higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M , we have that

$$t_n(x \alpha y) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $t_n(x \alpha y) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_i(x)$), for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [7].

Let $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into itself. Then t is called a Jordan higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M if the following equation holds :

$$t_n(x \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $t_n(x \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_i(x)$), for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [7].

Jarullah and Salih introduced the concepts of higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer, Jordan higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer and generalization on Rings as follows :

Let $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself. Then t is called a higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of R , we have that

$$t_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(y) t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $t_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) t_i(x)$), for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [8].

Let $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself. Then t is called a Jordan higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of R , if the following equation holds :

$$t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i(x) t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $t_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) t_i(x)$), for all $x \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [8].

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself. Then T is called a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a ring R into itself associated with the higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R , such that

$$T_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $T_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) T_i(x)$), for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [9].

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a ring R into itself. Then T is called a generalized Jordan higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a ring R into itself associated with Jordan higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R , such that

$$T_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $T_n(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) T_i(x)$), for all $x \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [9].

2- Generalized Higher Reverse Left (Respectively Right) Centralizer on Prime Γ -Rings

Definition (2.1)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into itself. Then T is called a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of the Γ -ring M , associated with the higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the Γ -ring M , into itself if, for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$T_n(x \alpha y) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $T_n(x \alpha y) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x)$)

Example (2.2)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a ring R , into itself associated with the higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R such that, for all $x, y \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$T_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) t_{i-1}(x)$$

(respectively $T_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) T_i(x)$)

Let $M = M_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Then M is a Γ -ring.

Let $F = (F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings from a Γ -ring M into itself, such that for all $(x \ y) \in M$
 $F_n((x \ y)) = (T_n(x) \ T_n(y))$.

Then, there exists a higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer $f = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a Γ -ring M into itself, such that for all $(x \ y) \in M$, the following equation holds:

$$f_n((x \ y)) = (t_n(x) \ t_n(y)).$$

Therefore, F_n is a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M .

Definition (2.3)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into itself. Then T is called a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a Γ -ring M associated with the Jordan higher

reverse left (respectively right) centralizer $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the Γ -ring M into itself, if for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following equation holds :

$$T(x \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)$$

$$\text{(respectively } T_n(x \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \alpha T_i(x) \text{)}.$$

Definition (2.4)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of additive mappings of a Γ -ring M into itself .Then T is called a Jordan triple generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a Γ -ring M , associated with the Jordan triple higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer $t = (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the Γ -ring M into itself if , for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following equation holds :

$$T_n(x \alpha y \beta x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)$$

$$\text{(respectively resp. } T_n(x \alpha y \beta x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x) \text{)}.$$

Lemma (2.5)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a Γ -ring M into itself .Then for all $x, y, z \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following equation holds :

$$\text{(i) } T_n(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y)$$

$$\text{(respectively } T_n(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \alpha T_i(y) \text{)}$$

$$\text{(ii) } T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x)$$

$$\text{(respectively } T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \beta T_i(x) \text{)}$$

$$\text{(iii) } T_n(x \alpha y \beta z + z \alpha y \beta x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(z)$$

$$\text{(respectively } T_n(x \alpha y \beta z + z \alpha y \beta x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(z) \text{)}$$

(iv) In particular , if M is a 2-torsion free commutative Γ -ring , then

$$T_n(x \alpha y \beta z) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)$$

$$\text{(respectively } T_n(x \alpha y \beta z) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x) \text{)}$$

$$\text{(v) } T_n(x \alpha y \alpha z + z \alpha y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(z)$$

$$\text{(respectively } T_n(x \alpha y \alpha z + z \alpha y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(z) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(z) \text{)}$$

Proof:

- (i) Since T is Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer ,
- (ii) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n((x+y) \alpha (x+y)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x+y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x+y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

Meanwhile , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n((x+y) \alpha (x+y)) &= T_n(x \alpha x + x \alpha y + y \alpha x + y \alpha y) \\ &= T_n(x \alpha x) + T_n(y \alpha y) + T_n(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) + T_n(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) \quad \dots \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following equation by Comparing equations (1) and (2)

$$T_n(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y)$$

- (ii) By substituting that , we have i (Lemma (2.5) in bfor) $a \beta b + b \beta a$ (

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \\ &T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \dots(1) \end{aligned}$$

In addition , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &T_n(x \alpha (x \beta y + y \beta x) + (x \beta y + y \beta x) \alpha x) \\ &= T_n(x \alpha x \beta y + x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x + y \beta x \alpha x) \\ &= T_n(y \beta x \alpha x) + T_n(x \alpha x \beta y) + T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) + T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x) \quad \dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

We get the following equation by Comparing equations (1) and (2)

$$T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x)$$

- (iii) By substituting $(a + c)$ for a in Definition (2.4) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(z) + \\ &T_i(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(z) \dots(1) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} T_n((x+z) \alpha y \beta (x+z)) &= T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \alpha y \beta z + z \alpha y \beta x + z \alpha y \beta z) \\ &= T_n(x \alpha y \beta x) + T_n(z \alpha y \beta z) + T_n(x \alpha y \beta z + z \alpha y \beta x) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(z) T_n(x \alpha y \beta z + z \alpha y \beta x) \dots \quad (2)$$

The following equation is obtained by comparing equations (1) and (2)

$$T_n(x \alpha y \beta z + z \alpha y \beta x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(z)$$

(iv) Using Lemma (2.5) (iii) and the fact that M is a commutative Γ -ring, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x \alpha y \beta z + x \alpha y \beta z) &= 2 T_n(x \alpha y \beta z) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the required result, by utilizing the fact that M is a 2-torsion free.

(v) The substitution β for α in Lemma (2.5) (iii), gives that

$$T_n(x \alpha y \alpha z + z \alpha y \alpha x) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(z)$$

Definition (2.6)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a Γ -ring M ring into itself. Then for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha &= T_n(x \alpha y) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) \\ (\text{respectively } \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha &= T_n(x \alpha y) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_{i-1}(y) \alpha T_i(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (2.7)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a Γ -ring M ring into itself. Then for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that the following equations hold :

- (i) $\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha = -\delta_n(y, x)_\alpha$
- (ii) $\delta_n(x + y, z)_\alpha = \delta_n(x, z)_\alpha + \delta_n(y, z)_\alpha$
- (iii) $\delta_n(x, y + z)_\alpha = \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha + \delta_n(x, z)_\alpha$
- (iv) $\delta_n(x, y)_{\alpha+\beta} = \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha + \delta_n(x, y)_\beta$

Proof:

(i) By applying Lemma (2.5) (i), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x \alpha y + y \alpha x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \\ T_n(x \alpha y) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) &= -(T_n(y \alpha x) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha = -\delta_n(y, x)_\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad \delta_n(x+y, z)_\alpha &= T_n((x+y)\alpha z) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z)\alpha t_{i-1}(x+y) \\
 &= T_n(x\alpha z + y\alpha z) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z)\alpha t_{i-1}(y) \\
 &= T_n(x\alpha z) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_n(y\alpha z) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z)\alpha t_{i-1}(y) \\
 &= \delta_n(x, z)_\alpha + \delta_n(y, z)_\alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii)} \quad \delta_n(x, y+z)_\alpha &= T_n(x\alpha(y+z)) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y+z)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) \\
 &= T_n(x\alpha y + x\alpha z) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) \\
 &= T_n(x\alpha y) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_n(x\alpha z) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(z)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) \\
 &= \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha + \delta_n(x, z)_\alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv)} \quad \delta_n(x, y)_{\alpha+\beta} &= T_n(x(\alpha+\beta)y) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)(\alpha+\beta)t_{i-1}(x) \\
 &= T_n(x\alpha y) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)\alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_n(x\beta y) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)\beta t_{i-1}(x) \\
 &= \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha + \delta_n(x, y)_\beta
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark (2.8)

It is noteworthy that $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a Γ -ring M , into itself if and only if $\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma (2.9)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a prime Γ -ring M , into itself. Then for all $x, y, z \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following equations hold :

- (i) $\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta [t_{n-1}(z), t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\beta = 0$
- (ii) $\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \alpha [t_{n-1}(z), t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha = 0$
- (iii) $\delta_n(x, y)_\beta \alpha [t_{n-1}(z), t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\beta = 0$

Proof:

(i) The Proof is utilizing induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$

If $n = 1$,

let $w = x\alpha y\beta z\beta y\alpha x + y\alpha x\beta z\beta x\alpha y$

Then, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(w) &= T(x\alpha(y\beta z\beta y)\alpha x + y\alpha(x\beta z\beta x)\alpha y) \\
 &= T(x)\alpha y\beta z\beta y\alpha x + t(y)\alpha x\beta z\beta x\alpha y \quad \dots(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(w) &= T((x\alpha y)\beta z\beta(y\alpha x) + (y\alpha x)\beta z\beta(x\alpha y)) \\
 &= T(y\alpha x)\beta z\beta y\alpha x + t(x\alpha y)\beta z\beta x\alpha y \quad \dots (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

The Comparison of equations (1) and (2) yields that

$$0 = (T(y \alpha x) - t(x) \alpha y) \beta z \beta y \alpha x + (T(x \alpha y) - t(y) \alpha x) \beta z \beta x \alpha y$$

$$0 = \delta(y, x) \alpha \beta z \beta y \alpha x + \delta(x, y) \alpha \beta z \beta x \alpha y$$

$$0 = - \delta(x, y) \alpha \beta z \beta y \alpha x + \delta(x, y) \alpha \beta z \beta x \alpha y$$

$$0 = \delta(x, y) \alpha \beta z \beta (x \alpha y - y \alpha x)$$

Thus, $\delta(x, y) \alpha \beta z \beta [x, y] \alpha = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Now, we can assume the following :

$$\delta_s(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{s-1}(z) \beta [t_{s-1}(x), t_{s-1}(y)] \alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M, \text{ and}$$

s

$$, n \in N, s < n.$$

$$T_n(w) = T_n(x \alpha (y \beta z \beta y) \alpha x + y \alpha (x \beta z \beta x) \alpha y)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y \beta z \beta y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x \beta z \beta x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) +$$

$$t \alpha (y) i T \sum_{i=1}^n$$

$$t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y)$$

$$= (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y)) \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(y) \alpha t_{n-1}(x) + t \alpha (x) i T \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}$$

$$(y) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) +$$

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)) \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(x) \alpha t_{n-1}(y) + \alpha (y) i T \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}$$

$$t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \dots \quad (3)$$

Thus,

$$T_n(w) = T_n((x \alpha y) \beta z \beta (y \alpha x) + (y \alpha x) \beta z \beta (x \alpha y))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y \alpha x) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(x \alpha y) + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x \alpha y) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y \alpha x)$$

$$= T_n(y \alpha x) \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(y) \alpha t_{n-1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i(y \alpha x) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(y)$$

$$\alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_n(x \alpha y) \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(x) \alpha t_{n-1}(y)$$

+

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_i(x \alpha y) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \dots \quad (4)$$

By comparing equations (3) and (4), we have that

$$0 = (T_n(y \alpha x) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y)) \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(y) \alpha t_{n-1}(x) +$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_n(x \alpha y) - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)) \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(x) \alpha t_{n-1}(y) + \\ & + (x_{i-1} t \alpha (y)_{i-1} t \beta (z)_{i-1} t \beta) y)_{(i-1} t \alpha (x)_{i-1} T -) x \alpha y (i T (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (T_i(x \alpha y) - T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)) \beta t_{i-1}(z) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$0 = \delta_n(y, x) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(y) \alpha t_{n-1}(x) +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(x) \alpha t_{n-1}(y)$$

$$0 = + \quad \quad \quad x)_{(i-1} t \alpha (y)_{i-1} t \beta (z)_{i-1} t \beta \alpha (x, y)_{i-1} \delta -$$

$$\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta t_{n-1}(x) \alpha t_{n-1}(y)$$

$$0 = \delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta (t_{n-1}(x) \alpha t_{n-1}(y) - t_{n-1}(y) \alpha t_{n-1}(x))$$

Thus, $\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in M$,

$\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(ii) By substituting β for α in Lemma (2.9) (i) and applying similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma (2.9) (i), we obtain Lemma (2.9) (ii).

(iii) We get Lemma (2.9) (iii), by Interchanging α and β in Lemma (2.9) (i).

Lemma (2.10)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a prime Γ -ring M into itself. Then for all $x, y, z, u, v \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(i) $\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)] \alpha = 0$

(ii) $\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)] \alpha = 0$

(iii) $\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)] \beta = 0$

Proof:

(i) By substituting $(a + c)$ for a in Lemma (2.9) (i), we have that

$$\delta_n(x + u, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x + u), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha = 0. \text{ Thus}$$

$$\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(u, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(u, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha = 0$$

By applying Lemma (2.9) (i), we obtain that

$$\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(u, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha = 0$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta \delta_n(x, y) \alpha$$

$$\beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha = 0$$

This implies that

$$0 = - \delta_n(x, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)] \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta \delta_n(u, y) \alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta$$

$$1 \quad [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha$$

Since M is a prime Γ -ring, we have that

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots(1)$$

The substitution of $(y + v)$ for y in Lemma (2.9) (i), gives that

$$\delta_n(x, y + v)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y + v)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, v)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, v)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0$$

By utilizing Lemma (2.9) (i), we obtain that

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha = 0$$

Consequently, we have

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha$$

$$\beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0$$

This implies that

$$0 = \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta \delta_n(x, v)_\alpha$$

$$\beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha$$

The fact that M is a prime number yields that

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots (2)$$

$$\text{Now, } \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x + u), t_{n-1}(y + v)]_\alpha = 0$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(x), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(y)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0$$

By employing equations (1), (2) and Lemma (2.9) (i), we get

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \beta t_{n-1}(z) \beta [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0$$

(ii) We can obtain Lemma (2.10) (ii) by substituting β in Lemma (2.10) (i).

(iii) By substituting $\alpha + \beta$ for α in Lemma (2.10) (ii), we have

$$\delta_n(x, y)_{\alpha+\beta} \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_{\alpha+\beta} = 0$$

This implies that

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\beta +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\beta \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_{\alpha+\beta} = 0$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\beta \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\beta = 0$$

By applying equations (i) and (ii), we get that

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\beta +$$

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\beta \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\beta \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \\ \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\beta = 0$$

This yields that

$$0 = - \delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\beta \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha \\ \delta_n(x, y)_\beta \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha$$

The fact that M is a prime Γ -ring gives that

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha \alpha t_{n-1}(z) \alpha [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\beta = 0$$

Theorem (2.11)

Every Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring M into itself is a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M .

Proof:

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a prime Γ -ring M into itself. Since M is a prime Γ -ring, then by employing Lemma (2.10) (i), we have that either

$$\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha = 0 \text{ or } [t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, u, v \in M, \\ \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $[t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha \neq 0$, for all $u, v \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$, then $\delta_n(x, y)_\alpha = 0$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, using Remark (2.8), we obtain that

T is a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M .

If $[t_{n-1}(u), t_{n-1}(v)]_\alpha = 0$, for all $u, v \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then M is commutative.

By utilizing Lemma (2.5) (i), we have that

$$T_n(x \alpha y + x \alpha y) = T_n(2x \alpha y) \\ = 2T_n(x \alpha y) \\ = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x)$$

Since M is a 2-torsion free Γ -ring, we get that

$$T_n(x \alpha y) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x).$$

Then T is a generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M .

Proposition (2.11)

Let $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of a 2-torsion free Γ -ring M into itself, such that $x \alpha y \beta x = x \beta y \alpha x$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then T is a Jordan triple generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M .

Proof:

The substitution of b for $(x \alpha y + y \alpha x)$ in Lemma (2.5) (i), we have that

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \dots (1)$$

Moreover, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & T_n(x \alpha (x \beta y + y \beta x) + (x \beta y + y \beta x) \alpha x) \\ &= t_n(x \alpha x \beta y + x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x + y \beta x \alpha x) \\ &= T_n(y \beta x \alpha x) + T_n(x \alpha x \beta y) + T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) \beta t_{i-1}(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) + T_i(x) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) + T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \beta y \alpha x) \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing equations (1), (2) and the fact that $x \alpha y \beta x = x \beta y \alpha x$,

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & T_n(x \alpha y \beta x + x \alpha y \beta x) = 2T_n(x \alpha y \beta x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \beta t_{i-1}(y) \alpha t_{i-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since M is a 2-torsion free Γ -ring, we obtain that F is a Jordan triple generalized higher reverse left (respectively right) centralizer of M .

References:

[1] W. E. Barnes, "On The Γ -Rings of Nobusawa", *Pac. J. Math*, .18, (3), 411-422, 1966.
 [2] Y. Ceven and M. A. Ozturk, "On Jordan Generalized Derivations in Gamma Rings", *Hacet. J. Math Stat.*, 33, 11-14, 2004.
 [3] B.M Hamad, "(U,M) derivations on Γ -Rings", M.Sc.Thesis, Department of mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriya University, 2012
 [4] M.F Hoque and A.C Paul, "On Centralizers of Semiprime Gamma Rings", *International Mathematical Forum*, 6(13), 627- 638, 2011.
 [5] S. Kyuno, "On prime gamma Rings", *Pac. J. Math*, 75(1), 185 - 190, 1978.
 [6] S.M Salih, "generalized higher left Centralizer of prime Rings", *Int.J. curr.Res.*, 8(11), 40966 - 40975, 2016.
 [7] F.R Jarullah and S.M Salih, "Higher Reverse Left (respectively Right) Centralizer of Prime Γ -Rings", to appear.
 [8] F.R Jarullah and S.M Salih, "Jordan Higher Reverse Left (respectively Right) Centralizer of Prime Rings", to appear.
 [9] F.R Jarullah and S.M Salih, "Jordan Generalized Higher Reverse Left (respectively Right) Centralizer of Prime Rings", to appear.