

# **Common Fixed Point Theorems with Continuously Subcompatible Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces**

KAMAL WADHWA<sup>1</sup>, FARHAN BEG<sup>2</sup>

1 Govt. Narmada Mahavidyalaya, Hoshangabad (M.P.) 2 Truba College of Science and Technology,Bhopal (M.P.) India E-mail: beg\_farhan26@yahoo.com

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10,54H25

#### Abstract

In this article the new concept of continuously subcompatible maps has been introduced and is used to prove common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces.

Keywords: Subcompatible maps, Continuously subcompatible maps, Subsequential continuity.

#### 1.Introduction:

Zadeh [19] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965. Following the concept of fuzzy sets, fuzzy metric spaces have been introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [12] and George and Veeramani [6] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norm, which shows a new way for further development of analysis in such spaces. Consequently in due course of time some metric fixed points results were generalized to fuzzy metric spaces by various authors. Sessa [16] improved cmmutativity condition in fixed point theorem by introducing the notion of weakly commuting maps in metric space. Vasuki [18] proved fixed point theorems for R-weakly commuting mapping Pant [15,14,13] introduced the new concept of reciprocally continuous mappings and established some common fixed point theorems. The concept of compatible maps by [12] and weakly compatible maps by [11] in fuzzy metric space is generalized by A.Al Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [3] by introducing the concept of occasionally weakly compatible mappings. Recent results on fixed point in fuzzy metric space can be viewed in [1, 2,].

In this article ,we introduce the new concepts of continuously subcompatible maps which are weaker condition than subcompatibility and subsequential continuity.

We start with some preliminaries:

### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [17] - A binary operation  $*:[0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is a continuous t-norms if \* satisfying conditions:

- i. \* is commutative and associative;
- ii. \* is continuous;
- iii. a \* 1=a for all  $a \in [0,1]$ ;
- iv.  $a*b \le c*d$  whenever  $a \le c$  and  $b \le d$ , and  $a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$ .

**Definition 2.2**[6] - A 3-tuple (X,M,\*) is said to be a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, \* is a continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions ,  $\forall x,y,z\in X,s,t>0$ ,

- (f1) M(x, y, t) > 0;
- (f2) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y.
- (f3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);
- $(f4) M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s)$
- (f5)  $M(x, y, .):(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is continuous.

Then M is called a fuzzy metric on X. Then M(x,y,t) denotes the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t.

**Example 2.3** (Induced fuzzy metric[6]) – Let (X,d) be a metric space. Denote a \* b =ab for all  $a,b \in [0,1]$  and let  $M_d$  be fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times (0,\infty)$  defined as follows:



$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$$

Then  $(X, M_d, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric induced by a metric d as the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

**Definition 2.4** [9]: Two self mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are called compatible if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgx_n, gfx_n, t) = 1$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = x$  for some  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.5[1]**: Let (X, M, \*) be fuzzy metric space. If there exists  $q \in (0,1)$  such that  $M(x, y, qt) \ge M(x, y, t)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0, then x = y.

**Definition 2.6** [10]: A pair of maps S and T is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

The concept of occasionally weakly compatible is introduced by A. Al- Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [3].It is stated as follows.

**Definition 2.7:** Two self maps f and g of a set X are called occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point  $x \in X$  which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

**Example 2.8** [3] :Let R be the usual metric space. Define  $S,T:R\to R$  by Sx=2x and  $Tx=x^2$  for all  $x\in R$ . Then Sx=Tx for x=0,2, but ST0=TS0, and  $ST2\neq TS2$ . S and T are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

**Definition 2.9** Self mappings A & S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are said to be subcompatible if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}Ax_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}Sx_n=z, z\in X \text{ and satisfy } \lim_{n\to\infty}M(ASx_n,SAx_n,t)=1$$

**Definition 3.0** Self mappings A & S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are said to be subsequentially continuous if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}Ax_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}Sx_n=z, z\in X \ \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty}ASx_n=Az \ \& \lim_{n\to\infty}SAx_n=Sz$$

**Definition 3.1** Self mappings A & S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) are said to be continuously subcompatible if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}Ax_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}Sx_n=z, z\in X \ \text{ and Az=Sz.}$$

**Example 3.2** Let X=R endowed with usual metric d and we define  $M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$  for all x,y in X,

t>0.Let \* be any continuous t- norm. Then (X, M, \*) is FM-space. Let the Self mappings A & S of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) such that A, S: R  $\to$  R by Ax = 2x and S x =  $x^2$  for all x  $\in$ R if  $x_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$  then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = 0$  &  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = 0$  and A0=S0=0. A and S is continuously subcompatible.

## 4.Main Results

**Theorem 4.1:** Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X. Let the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  be continuously subcompatible. If there exist  $q \in (0,1)$  s.t.



 $M(Ax, By, qt) \ge$ 

$$\min \begin{cases} M(Sx, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, t), \\ M(Ax, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t), \\ \frac{aM(Ax, Ty, t) + bM(Sx, Ty, t)}{aM(Sx, Ax, t) + b}, \\ \frac{cM(Sx, Ty, t) + dM(By, Ax, t))}{c + dM(By, Ty, t)} \\ \frac{eM(Sx, Ax, t) + fM(By, Ty, t)}{e + f} \end{cases} \dots (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , t > 0 and  $a, b, c, d, e, f \ge 0$  with a & b (c & d, e & f) cannot be simultaneously 0, then there exist a unique point  $z \in X$  such that Az = Sz = Tz = Bz = z.

**Proof:** Let the pairs  $\{A,S\}$  and  $\{B,T\}$  be continuously subcompatible. so there are sequences  $x_n \& y_n$  in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = z$  and Az = Sz. Again  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} By_n = w$  and Tw = Bw. First we shall show that z = w. For which put  $x = x_n \& y = y_n$ .

$$M(Ax_n, By_n, qt) \ge$$

$$\min \begin{cases} M(Sx_{n}, Ty_{n}, t), M(By_{n}, Sx_{n}, t), \\ M(Ax_{n}, Ty_{n}, t), M(Sx_{n}, Ax_{n}, t), \\ \frac{aM(Ax_{n}, Ty_{n}, t) + bM(Sx_{n}, Ty_{n}, t)}{aM(Sx_{n}, Ax_{n}, t) + b}, \\ \frac{cM(Sx_{n}, Ty_{n}, t) + dM(By_{n}, Ax_{n}, t))}{c + dM(By_{n}, Ty_{n}, t)} \\ \frac{eM(Sx_{n}, Ax_{n}, t) + fM(By_{n}, Ty_{n}, t)}{e + f} \end{cases}$$

Taking limit  $n \to \infty$  we get,



$$M(z, w, qt) \geq \left( \begin{array}{c} M(z, w, t), M(w, z, t), \\ M(z, w, t), M(z, z, t), \\ M(z, w, t), M(z, z, t), \\ aM(z, w, t) + bM(z, w, t) \\ \hline cM(z, w, t) + dM(w, z, t)) \\ \hline c + dM(w, w, t) \\ \hline eM(z, z, t) + fM(w, w, t) \\ \hline e + f \\ \end{array} \right)$$

$$M(z, w, qt) \geq \left( \begin{array}{c} M(z, w, t), M(w, z, t), \\ M(z, w, t), 1, \\ aM(z, w, t) + bM(z, w, t) \\ \hline a + b \\ \hline cM(z, w, t) + dM(w, z, t)) \\ \hline c + d \\ \hline e + f \\ \end{array} \right)$$

$$M(z, w, qt) \geq$$

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} M(z, w, t), M(w, z, t), \\ M(z, w, t), M(w, z, t), \\ M(z, w, t), 1, M(w, z, t), M(w, z, t), 1 \\ M(z, w, qt) \geq M(z, w, t) \text{ so that } z = w \\ \text{Now we shall show that } Az = z \text{ . So put } x = z \text{ & } y = y_n \\ M(Az, By_n, qt) \geq \end{array} \right.$$

$$\min \begin{cases} M(Sz, Ty_{n}, t), M(By_{n}, Sz, t), \\ M(Az, Ty_{n}, t), M(Sz, Az, t), \\ \frac{aM(Az, Ty_{n}, t) + bM(Sz, Ty_{n}, t)}{aM(Sz, Az, t) + b}, \\ \frac{cM(Sz, Ty_{n}, t) + dM(By_{n}, Az, t))}{c + dM(By_{n}, Ty_{n}, t)} \\ \frac{eM(Sz, Az, t) + fM(By_{n}, Ty_{n}, t)}{e + f} \end{cases}$$



Taking limit 
$$n \to \infty$$
 we get,  
 $M(Az, z, qt) \ge$ 

$$\min \begin{cases} M(Az,z,t), M(z,Az,t), \\ M(Az,z,t), M(Az,Az,t), \\ \frac{aM(Az,z,t) + bM(Az,z,t)}{aM(Az,Az,t) + b}, \\ \frac{cM(Az,z,t) + dM(z,Az,t))}{c + dM(z,z,t)} \\ \frac{eM(Az,Az,t) + fM(z,z,t)}{e + f} \end{cases}$$

$$M(Az, z, qt) \ge$$

$$\min \begin{cases} M(Az, z, t), M(z, Az, t), \\ M(Az, z, t), 1, \\ \frac{aM(Az, z, t) + bM(Az, z, t)}{a + b}, \\ \frac{cM(Az, z, t) + dM(z, Az, t))}{c + d} \\ \frac{e + f}{e + f} \end{cases}$$

$$M(Az, z, qt) \ge$$

$$\min \begin{cases} M(Az, z, t), M(z, Az, t), \\ M(Az, z, t), 1, M(Az, z, t), M(Az, z, t), 1 \end{cases}$$

$$M(Az, z, qt) \ge M(Az, z, t) \text{ so that } Az = z$$

Now we shall prove Bw = w.(using fact that z = w)



$$M(Ax_n, Bw, qt) \ge$$

$$\begin{cases}
M(Sx_n, Tw, t), M(Bw, Sx_n, t), \\
M(Ax_n, Tw, t), M(Sx_n, Ax_n, t), \\
\frac{aM(Ax_n, Tw, t) + bM(Sx_n, Tw, t)}{aM(Sx_n, Ax_n, t) + b}, \\
\frac{cM(Sx_n, Tw, t) + dM(Bw, Ax_n, t))}{c + dM(Bw, Tw, t)} \\
\frac{eM(Sx_n, Ax_n, t) + fM(Bw, Tw, t)}{e + f}
\end{cases}$$

$$M(w, Bw, qt) \ge$$

$$\begin{cases}
M(w, Bw, t), M(Bw, w, t), \\
M(w, Bw, t), M(w, w, t), \\
\frac{aM(w, Bw, t) + bM(w, Bw, t)}{aM(w, w, t) + b}, \\
\frac{cM(w, Bw, t) + dM(Bw, w, t)}{c + dM(Bw, Bw, t)}, \\
\frac{eM(w, Bw, t) + dM(Bw, w, t)}{e + f}
\end{cases}$$

$$M(w, Bw, qt) \ge$$

$$min \begin{cases}
M(w, Bw, t), M(Bw, w, t), \\
M(w, Bw, t), 1, M(Bw, w, t), M(Bw, w, t), 1
\end{cases}$$

$$M(w, Bw, qt) \ge M(w, Bw, t), so that Bw = w. which implies  $Az = Sz = Bz = Tz = Z$$$

**Theorem 4.2:** Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self mappings on X. Let the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  be continuously subcompatible and if for all  $x, y \in X$ , t > 0 and  $a, b, c, d, e, f \ge 0$  with a & b (c & d, e & f) cannot be simultaneously 0 ,  $\phi: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is continuous such that  $\phi(t) > t$  for all 0 < t < 1, If there exist  $q \in (0,1)$  s.t.



...(2)

$$M(Ax, By, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi \min \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t), M(By, Sx, t),}{M(Ax, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t),} \\ \frac{aM(Ax, Ty, t), M(Sx, Ax, t),}{aM(Sx, Ax, t) + bM(Sx, Ty, t)} \\ \frac{cM(Sx, Ty, t) + dM(By, Ax, t)}{c + dM(By, Ty, t)} \\ \frac{eM(Sx, Ax, t) + fM(By, Ty, t)}{e + f} \right\}$$

then there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

**Proof**: The Proof follows from theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.3:** Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X. Let the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  be continuously subcompatible and if for all  $x, y \in X$ , t > 0 and  $a, b, c, d, e, f \ge 0$  with a & b (c & d, e & f) cannot be simultaneously  $0, \phi : [0,1]^5 \to [0,1]$  is continuous such that  $\emptyset(1,1,t,1) > t$  for all 0 < t < 1, If there exist  $q \in (0,1)$  s.t.

$$M(Ax, By, qt) \ge \frac{M(Ax, Sx, t), M(By, Ty, t),}{aM(Sx, Ty, t) + bM(Ax, Ty, t)},$$

$$\phi \frac{cM(Sx, Ty, t) + dM(By, Ax, t)}{c + dM(By, Ty, t)},$$

$$\frac{eM(Sx, Ty, t) + fM(By, Sx, t)}{e + f}$$
(3)

then there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

**Proof:** Let the pairs  $\{A,S\}$  and  $\{B,T\}$  be continuously subcompatible. so there are sequences  $x_n \& y_n$  in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}Ax_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}Sx_n=z$  and Az=Sz. Again  $\lim_{n\to\infty}Ty_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}By_n=w$  and Tw=Bw. First we shall show that z=w. For which put  $x=x_n \& y=y_n$ .



$$M(Ax_n, By_n, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi = \frac{M(Ax_{n}, Sx_{n}, t), M(By_{n}, Ty_{n}, t),}{aM(Sx_{n}, Ty_{n}, t) + bM(Ax_{n}, Ty_{n}, t)},$$

$$\phi = \frac{cM(Sx_{n}, Ty_{n}, t) + dM(By_{n}, Ax_{n}, t)}{c + dM(By_{n}, Ty_{n}, t)},$$

$$\frac{eM(Sx_{n}, Ty_{n}, t) + fM(By_{n}, Sx_{n}, t)}{e + f}$$

$$M(z, w, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M(z,z,t), M(w,w,t), \\ \frac{aM(z,w,t) + bM(z,w,t)}{aM(z,z,t) + b}, \\ \frac{cM(z,w,t) + dM(w,z,t)}{c + dM(w,w,t)}, \\ \frac{eM(z,w,t) + fM(w,z,t)}{e + f} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(z, w, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi(1,1,M(z,w,t),M(z,w,t),1)$$

$$M(z, w, qt) \ge M(z, w, t)$$
 which implies  $z = w$ .

Now we shall shaw that Az = z. So put  $x=z & y= y_n$ 

$$M(Az, w, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} M(Az, Az, t), M(w, w, t), \\ \frac{aM(Az, w, t) + bM(Az, w, t)}{aM(Az, Az, t) + b}, \\ \frac{cM(Az, w, t) + dM(w, Az, t)}{c + dM(w, w, t)}, \\ \frac{eM(Az, Az, t) + fM(w, w, t)}{e + f} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(Az, w, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi(1,1,M(Az,w,t),M(Az,w,t),1)$$

$$M(Az, w, qt) \ge M(Az, w, t)$$
 which implies that  $Az = w = z$ 

Now we shall show that Bw = w. So put  $x = x_n & y = w$ 



$$M(Ax_n, Bw, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi \left( \frac{M(Ax_{n},Sx_{n},t),M(Bw,Tw,t),}{aM(Sx_{n},Tw,t)+bM(Ax_{n},Tw,t)}, \\ \frac{aM(Sx_{n},Tw,t)+bM(Ax_{n},Tw,t)}{aM(Sx_{n},Ax_{n},t)+b}, \\ \frac{cM(Sx_{n},Tw,t)+dM(Bw,Ax_{n},t)}{c+dM(Bw,Tw,t)}, \\ \frac{eM(Sx_{n},Tw,t)+fM(Bw,Sx_{n},t)}{e+f} \right)$$

$$M(z, Bw, qt) \ge$$

$$\phi \left( \frac{M(z,z,t), M(Bw,Bw,t),}{aM(z,Bw,t) + bM(z,Bw,t)}, \frac{aM(z,Bw,t) + bM(z,Bw,t)}{aM(z,z,t) + b}, \frac{cM(z,Bw,t) + dM(Bw,z,t)}{c + dM(Bw,Bw,t)}, \frac{eM(z,Bw,t) + fM(Bw,z,t)}{e + f} \right)$$

 $M(z, Bw, qt) \ge \phi(1, 1, M(z, Bw, t), M(z, Bw, t), 1)$ 

 $M(z, Bw, qt) \ge M(z, Bw, t)$  which implies that Az = Sz = Bz = Tz.

**Theorem 4.4:** Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X. Let the pairs  $\{A, S\}$  and  $\{B, T\}$  be continuously subcompatible. If there exist  $q \in (0,1)$  s.t.

$$M(Ax, By, qt) \ge M(Ax, Sx, t) * M(Sx, Ty, t) *$$
  
 $aM(Sx, Ty, t) + bM(Ax, Ty, t)$ 

$$\frac{aM(Sx, Iy, t) + bM(Ax, Iy, t)}{aM(Sx, Ax, t) + b}$$

$$\frac{cM(Sx,Ty,t)+dM(By,Ax,t)}{c+dM(By,Ty,t)}*$$

$$\frac{eM(Sx, Ax, t) + fM(By, Ty, t)}{e + f}$$

...(4

for all  $x, y \in X$ , t > 0 and  $a, b, c, d, e, f \ge 0$  with a & b (c & d, e & f) cannot be simultaneously 0,then there is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

**Proof**: The Proof follows from theorem 4.1.

Remark: Our result are generalization of results of C.T. Aage and J.N.Salunke [1] in following manner. If we take a=d=e=0 in our theorem then our results give the results of C.T. Aage and J.N.Salunke [1].

#### 5 References

- [1] C.T. Aage, J.N.Salunke," On fixed point theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces" Int. J. Open Problem Compt. Math., Vol. 3, No. 2, June 2010 ,pp 123-131.
- [2] C.T. Aage, J.N.Salunke, "On fixed point theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces Using A Control Function", Submitted.



- [3] A.Al -Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad, "Generalized I-Nonexpansive Selfmaps and Invariant Approximation", Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series May, 2008, Vol. 24, No. 5, pp. 867876.
- [4] P.Balasubramaniam, S. muralisankar, R.P. Pant, "Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space", J. Fuzzy Math. 10(2) (2002), 379-384.
- [5] Brog, "M.A. metric space in Fuzzy Set Theory", J. Math. And Appl. ,69, 205-230(1979)
- [6] A. George, P.Veeramani, "On some results in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Fuzzy Sets and System, 64 (1994),395-399.
- [7] M. Grabiec, "Fixed Points in Fuzzy metric Spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [8] G. Jungck," Compatible Mappings and Common Fixed Point", International Journal of Math. Sci. 9 (1986),771-779
- [9] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed Point for Set Valued functions without Continuity", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29(3), (1998), pp.771-779.
- [10] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed Point for Occasionally Weakly Compatible Mappings", Fixed Point Theory, Volume 7, No. 2,2006, 287-296.
- [11] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed Point for Occasionally Weakly Compatible Mappings", Erratum, Fixed Point Theory, Volume 9, No. 1,2008,383-384.
- [12] O.Kramosil and J.Michalek, "Fuzzy Metric and statistical metric spaces", Kybernetika, 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [13] R.P. Pant, "Common fixed point of Four Mappings", Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 90 (1998), 281-286.
- [14] R.P. Pant ,"Common fixed point Theorems for contractive Mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 226 (1998), 251-258.
- [15] R.P. Pant, "A remark on Common fixed point of Four Mappings in a fuzzy metric space", J. Fuzzy. Math. 12(2) (2004), 433-437.
- [16] S. Sessa," on a weak commutative condition in fixed point consideration ", Publ. Inst. Math(Beograd), 23(46)(1982), 146-153.
- [17] B.Schweizer ans A.Sklar, "Statistical metric spaces", Pacific J. Math. 10(1960), 313-334
- [18] R. Vasuki, "Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30(1999), 419-423.
- [19] L.A. Zadeh," Fuzzy sets", Inform and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <a href="http://www.iiste.org">http://www.iiste.org</a>

## CALL FOR PAPERS

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. There's no deadline for submission. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <a href="http://www.iiste.org/Journals/">http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</a>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a **fast** manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

## **IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners**

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

























