Vishal Gupta^{1*}, Naveen Mani²

- 1. Department Of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana, (India)
- 2. Department Of Mathematics, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana, (India)

* E-mail of the corresponding author: <u>vishal.gmn@gmail.com</u>, <u>vkgupta09@rediffmail.com</u>

Abstract

In this paper we study a unique common fixed point theorem. The existence of fixed point for two weakly compatible maps is established under new contractive condition of integral type by using another functions ϕ and ψ .

Keywords: Fixed point, Complete metric space, Weakly Compatible maps.

1. Introduction

The concept of commutativity has been used and generalized by many authors in several ways. For this Jungck (1976) proved a common fixed point theorem for commuting maps generalizing the Banach's fixed point theorem. On the other hand Sessa (1982) has introduced the concept of weakly commuting .It is further generalized by Jungck (1988), so called compatibility. It can be easily verified that when two mappings are commuting then they are compatible. Clearly commuting, weakly commuting mappings are compatible but conversely need not be true.

The study of fixed point theorems satisfying various types of contractive inequalities has been a very active field of research during the last few decades. Such condition involves rational, irrational and general type expressions. To study more about this matter we recommended going deep into the survey articles by Rhodes (1977), (1983).

In (2002) Branciari obtained a fixed point result for a single mapping satisfying an analogue of a Banach contraction principle for integral type inequality as below:

Theorem 1.1(Branciari 2002) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\beta \in [0,1), P: X \to X$ a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$,

$$\int_0^{d(Px,Py)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \beta \int_0^{d(x,y)} \varphi(t) dt$$

Where $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a "Lebesgue-integrable function" which is summable on each compact subset of R^+ , non-negative, and such that for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\int_0^{\epsilon} \varphi(t) dt > 0$. then *P* has a unique fixed point such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f^n x = z$.

After this result, there are many theorems dealing with mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type.

Vishal Gupta *et al.* (2008) prove a common fixed point theorem for R-weakly commuting fuzzy maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. Recently Vishal Gupta (2008) proves a Common Fixed Point Theorem for Compatible Mapping.

Some other is noted in (Abbas et al. 2007; Altun 2007; Branciari 2002; Rhoades 1987; Rhoades 2007; Kumar S et al. 2007; Vijayaraju et al. 2005)

2. Preliminaries

We recall the definitions of complete metric space and other results that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. Let f and g be two self maps on a set X. Maps f and g are said to be

commuting if fgx = gfx for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.2. Let f and g be two self maps on a set X. If fx = gx, for some $x \in X$ then

x is called coincidence point of f and g.

Definition 2.3. Let f and g be two selfmaps on a set X, then f and g are said to be weakly

compatiable if they commute at their coincidence points.

Lemma 2.4. Let f and g be weakly compatiable self mapping of a set X. If f and g have a unique point of coincidence ω , then ω is the unique common fixed of f and g.

Definition 2.5. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric space (X, d) is said to be convergent to a

point $x \in X$, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$

Definition 2.6. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric space (X, d) is said to be cauchy sequence

if $\lim_{t\to\infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ for all n, m > t.

Definition 2.7. A metric space (X,d) is said to be complete if every cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

3. Main Result

Theorem3.1. Let S and T be self compatible maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions

(i)
$$S(X) \subset T(X)$$
 (3.1)
(ii) $\psi \int_0^{d(Sx,Sy)} \varphi(t) dt \le \psi \int_0^{d(Tx,Ty)} \varphi(t) dt - \phi \int_0^{d(Tx,Ty)} \varphi(t) dt$ (3.2)

for each x,y ϵ X where $\psi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous and non decreasing function and $\phi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a lower semi continuous and non decreasing function such that $\psi(t) = \phi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0 also $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a "Lebesgue-integrable function" which is summable on each compact subset of R^+ , nonnegative, and such that for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\int_0^{\epsilon} \varphi(t) dt > 0$.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point of X. Since $S(X) \subset T(X)$. Choose a point x_1 in X such that $Sx_0=Tx_1$. Continuing this process, in general, choose x_{n+1} such that $y_n = Tx_{n+1} = Sx_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$.

For each integer $n \ge 1$, from (3.2)

$$\psi \int_{0}^{d(y_{n},y_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_{0}^{d(y_{n-1},y_{n})} \varphi(t) dt - \phi \int_{0}^{dd(y_{n-1},y_{n})} \varphi(t) dt$$

$$\leq \psi \int_{0}^{d(y_{n-1},y_{n})} \varphi(t) dt$$
(3.3)

Since ψ is continuous and has a monotone property, Therefore

$$\int_0^{d(y_{n,y_{n+1}})} \varphi(t)dt \le \int_0^{d(y_{n-1},y_n)} \varphi(t)dt$$

Let us take $Z_n = \int_0^{d(y_n, y_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt$, then it follows that Z_n is monotone decreasing and lower bounded sequence of numbers. Therefore there exist $k \ge 0$ such that $Z_n \to k$ as $n \to \infty$. Suppose that k > 0.

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.3) and using that ϕ is lower semi continuous, we get,

$$\psi(k) \le \psi(k) - \phi(k) < \psi(k)$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore k = 0. This implies

$$Z_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

$$\int_0^{d(y_n, y_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
(3.5)

Now we prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose it is not. Therefore there exist an $\varepsilon > 0$ and subsequence $\{y_{m(p)}\} \& \{y_{n(p)}\}$ such that for each positive integer p, n(p) is minimal in the sense that

$$d(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}) \ge \varepsilon, \ d(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)}) < \varepsilon$$

(3.6)

Now,

$$\varepsilon \le d(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}) \le d(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}) + d(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)}) < \varepsilon + d(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1})$$

Now

(3.7)

$$0 < \mathcal{L} = \int_0^{\epsilon} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)})} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\epsilon + d(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1})} \varphi(t) dt$$

Letting $p \to \infty$ and from (3.5)

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \int_0^{d(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)})} \varphi(t) dt = \mathcal{L}$$
(3.8)

Now consider the triangle inequality,

$$d(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}) \le d(y_{n(p)}, y_{n(p)-1}) + d(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}) + d(y_{m(p)-1}, y_{m(p)})$$

$$d(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1}) \le d(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{n(p)}) + d(y_{n(p)}, y_{m(p)}) + d(y_{m(p)}, y_{m(p)-1})$$

and therefore,

$$\int_{0}^{d(y_{n(p)},y_{m(p)})} \varphi(t)dt \leq \int_{0}^{d(y_{n(p)},y_{n(p)-1})+d(y_{n(p)-1},y_{m(p)-1})+d(y_{m(p)-1},y_{m(p)})} \varphi(t)dt$$
$$\int_{0}^{d(y_{n(p)-1},y_{m(p)-1})} \varphi(t)dt \leq \int_{0}^{d(y_{n(p)-1},y_{n(p)})+d(y_{n(p)},y_{m(p)})+d(y_{m(p)},y_{m(p)-1})} \varphi(t)dt$$

Taking $p \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.5) and (3.8) in above inequalities, we get

$$\int_0^{d(y_{n(p)-1},y_{m(p)-1})} \varphi(t)dt \leq \mathcal{L} \leq \int_0^{d(y_{n(p)-1},y_{m(p)-1})} \varphi(t)dt$$

This implies,

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \int_0^{d(y_{n(p)-1}, y_{m(p)-1})} \varphi(t) dt = \mathcal{L}$$
(3.9)

Now from (3.2), we have

$$\psi \int_{0}^{d(y_{n(p)},y_{m(p)})} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_{0}^{d(y_{n(p)-1},y_{m(p)-1})} \varphi(t) dt - \phi \int_{0}^{d(y_{n(p)-1},y_{m(p)-1})} \varphi(t) dt$$

Taking limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$\psi(\mathcal{L}) \leq \psi(\mathcal{L}) - \phi(\mathcal{L})$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete metric space, therefore there exist a point ν such that

$$Sx_n \rightarrow v \& Tx_n \rightarrow v as n \rightarrow \infty$$

Consequently, we can find *h* in X such that T(h) = v.

Now,

$$\psi \int_0^{d(Sx_n, Sh)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Tx_n, Th)} \varphi(t) dt - \phi \int_0^{d(Tx_n, Th)} \varphi(t) dt$$

On taking limit as $n \to \infty$ implies

$$\psi(\int_0^{d(v,Sh)}\varphi(t)dt) \leq \psi(0) - \phi(0)$$

And so $\psi(\int_0^{d(\nu,Sh)} \varphi(t) dt) = 0$ implies that $S(h) = \nu$. Hence ν is the point of coincidence of *S* and *T*.

Now we prove that ν is the unique point of coincidence of *S* and *T*. Suppose not, therefore there exist τ ($\tau \neq \nu$) and there exist α in X such that $T(\alpha) = S(\alpha) = \tau$.

Using (3.2) we have

$$\begin{split} \psi \int_0^{d(T\nu,T\alpha)} \varphi(t) dt &= \psi \int_0^{d(S\nu,S\alpha)} \varphi(t) dt \le \psi \int_0^{d(T\nu,T\alpha)} \varphi(t) dt - \\ \phi \int_0^{d(T\nu,T\alpha)} \varphi(t) dt &\qquad \psi \int_0^{d(T\nu,T\alpha)} \varphi(t) dt < \psi \int_0^{d(T\nu,T\alpha)} \varphi(t) dt \end{split}$$

This is a contradiction which implies $\tau = \nu$. This proves uniqueness of point of coincidence of *S* and *T*. Therefore by using lemma (2.4), the result is proved.

References

Abbas M. and Rhoades B.E. (2007), Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying generalized contractive condition of integral type, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2007, article ID 54101, 9 pages

Altun.I, Turkoglu.D, Rhoades B.E. (2007), Fixed points of weakly compatible maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Volume 2007, Article ID 17301, 9 pages

Branciari A. (2002), A fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 29:9 (2002) 531-536.

Gairola U.C. and Rawat A.S. (2008), A fixed point theorem for integral type inequality, *International Journal of Math. Analysis*, Volume 2, 2008, no. 15,709 – 712.

Gupta Vishal et.al (2008), Common fixed point theorem for R-weakly commuting fuzzy maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International journal of Mathematical Science Engg. Appls*, Vol. 2 no.II (2008) pp.193-203.

Gupta Vishal et.al (2008), A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Compatible Mapping Accepted to publish in *Innovative Systems Design and engineering (U.S.A)*, ISSN-2222, 1727(Paper), ISSN-2222-2871(Online)

Jungck G. (1976), Commuting mappings and fixed point, Amer. Math. Monthly, (1976), 261-263

Jungck G. (1986), Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 9 (1986), 771 – 779.

Jungck G. (1988), Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 11 (1988), 285 - 288

Kumar S., Chugh R. and Kumar R. (2007), Fixed point theorem for compatible mapping satisfying a contractive condition of integral , *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 181–185, April 2007.

Pathak H.K., Tiwari R., Khan M.S. (2007), A common fixed point theorem satisfying integral type implicit relations, *Applied Mathematics E* – *Notes*, 7(2007), 222 – 228.

Rhoades.B.E. (1977), A comparison of various definitions of contractive mapping, *Trans.Amer.Math.Soc*.226 (1977), 257 – 290.

Rhoades.B.E. (1983), Contractive definitions revisited, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Contemp. Math., Vol. 21, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1983, pp. 189 – 203.

Rhoades.B.E. (1987), Contractive definitions, *Nonlinear Analysis*, World Science Publishing, Singapore, 1987, pp. 513 – 526.

Rhoades.B.E. (2003), Two fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2003:63, 4007 – 4013.

Sessa S. (1982), On a weak commutativity conditions of mappings in fixed point consideration, Publ. Math. Beograd, 32:46(1982), 146-153.

Vijayaraju P., Rhoades B.E. and Mohanraj R. (2005), A fixed point theorem for a pairs of maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2005:15, 2359 - 2364.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage: <u>http://www.iiste.org</u>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:** <u>http://www.iiste.org/Journals/</u>

The IISTE editorial team promises to the review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digtial Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

