

Common Fixed Point of Weakly Compatible Mappings Under a New Property In Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Rajinder Sharma

Department of General Requirements, College of Applied Sciences-Sohar, PO box 135, Oman

E-mail : rajind.math@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings under a new property in fuzzy metric spaces. We prove a new result under (S-B) property defined by Sharma and Bamboria [22].

Keywords: Fixed point, Fuzzy metric space, (S-B) property.

1. Introduction

The foundation of fuzzy mathematics was laid down by Zadeh [25] with the evolution of the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965. The proven result becomes an asset for an applied mathematician due to its enormous applications in various branches of mathematics which includes differential equations, integral equation etc. and other areas of science involving mathematics especially in logic programming and electronic engineering. It was developed extensively by many authors and used in various fields. Especially, Deng [7], Erceg [8], and Kramosil and Michalek [17] have introduced the concepts of fuzzy metric spaces in different ways. To use this concept in topology and analysis, several researchers have studied fixed point theory in fuzzy metric spaces and fuzzy mappings [2],[3],[4],[5],[10],[14],[15] and many others. Recently, George and Veeramani [12],[13] modified the concept of fuzzy metric spaces introduced by Kramosil and Michalek and defined the Hausdoff topology of fuzzy metric spaces. They showed also that every metric induces a fuzzy metric. Grabiec [11] extended the well known fixed point theorem of Banach [1] and Edelstein [9] to fuzzy metric spaces in the sense of Kramosil and Michalek [17]. Moreover, it appears that the study of Kramosil and Michalek [17] of fuzzy metric spaces paves the way for developing a soothing machinery in the field of fixed point theorems in particular, for the study of contractive type maps.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 : [20] A binary operation $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a continuous t-norm if $([0,1], *)$ is an abelian topological monoid with the unit 1 such that $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all a, b, c, d are in $[0,1]$.

Examples of t -norm are $a * b = ab$ and $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$.

Definition 2.2 : [17] The 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a fuzzy metric space (shortly FM-space) if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all x, y, z in X and $t, s > 0$,

$$(FM - 1) \quad M(x, y, 0) = 0,$$

$$(FM - 2) \quad M(x, y, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(FM - 3) \quad M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),$$

$$(FM - 4) \quad M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s),$$

$$(FM - 5) \quad M(x, y, \cdot) : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ is left continuous.}$$

In what follows, $(X, M, *)$ will denote a fuzzy metric space. Note that $M(x, y, t)$ can be thought as the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t . We identify $x = y$ with $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $M(x, y, t) = 0$ with ∞ and we can find some topological properties and examples of fuzzy metric spaces in (George and

Veeramani [12].

Example 2.1 : [12] Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $a * b = ab$ or $a * b = \min \{a, b\}$ and for all x, y in X and $t > 0$,

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$$

Then $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric M induced by the metric d the standard fuzzy metric.

Lemma 2.1 : [11] For all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing.

Definition 2.3 : [11] Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space :

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ (denoted by $\lim x_n = x$), if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

(ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X called a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x, t) = 1, \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } p > 0.$$

(iii) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Remark 2.1 : Since $*$ is continuous, it follows from $(FM - 4)$ that the limit of the sequence in FM -space is uniquely determined.

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space with the following condition:

$(FM - 6)$ $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.2 : [6], [18] If for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and for a number $k \in (0, 1)$,

$$M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t) \text{ then } x = y.$$

Lemma 2.3 : [18] Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ with the condition $(FM - 6)$. If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$ then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Definition 2.4 : [18] Let S and T be mappings from a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself. The mappings S and T are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(STx_n, TSx_n, t) = 1,$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

Definition 2.5 : [6] Let S and T be mappings from a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ into itself. The mappings S and T are said to be compatible of type (α) if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(STx_n, TTx_n, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(TSx_n, SSx_n, t) = 1,$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

Definition 2.6 : [16] A pair of mappings S and T is called weakly compatible pair in fuzzy metric space if they commute at coincidence points; i.e., if $Tu = Su$ for some $u \in X$, then $TSu = STu$.

Definition 2.7 : Let S and T be two self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$. We say that S and T satisfy the property $(S-B)$ if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

Example 2.2 : [22] Let $X = [0, +\infty)$. Define $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Tx = x/4 \text{ and } Sx = 3x/4, \forall x \in X.$$

Consider the sequence $x_n = 1/n$, clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = 0$.

Then S and T satisfy (S-B) property.

Example 2.3 : [22] Let $X = [2, +\infty)$. Define $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Tx = x + 1 \text{ and } Sx = 2x + 1, \forall x \in X.$$

Suppose property (S - B) holds; then there exists in X a sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z - 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \frac{(z-1)}{2}.$$

Then $z = 1$, which is a contradiction since $1 \notin X$. Hence S and T do not satisfy the property (S-B).

Remark 2.2 : It is clear from the definition of Mishra et al. [18] and Sharma and Deshpande [23] that two self mappings S and T of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ will be non-compatible if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(STx_n, TSx_n, t)$ is either not equal to 1 or non-existent. Therefore two non-compatible self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ satisfy the property (S-B).

It is easy to see that if S and T are compatible, then they are weakly compatible and the converse is not true in general.

Example 2.3. Let $X = R_+$. Define S and T by :

$$Sx = x \text{ and } Tx = 2x - 1$$

$$Sx = Tx \text{ iff } x = 1,$$

As $ST(1) = S(1) = 1$, $TS(1) = T(1) = 1$

Therefore $\{S, T\}$ are weakly compatible.

Turkoglu, Kutukcu and Yildiz [24] prove the following :

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space with $t*t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and let P, S, T and Q be maps from X into itself such that

$$(2.1) \quad PT(X) \cup QS(X) \subset ST(X),$$

(2.2) there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M^2(Px, Qy, kt) * [M(Sx, Px, kt)M(Ty, Qy, kt)] * M^2(Ty, Qy, kt) \\ \geq [p M(Sx, Px, t) + q M(Sx, Ty, t)] M(Sx, Qy, 2kt)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $0 < p, q < 1$ such that $p + q = 1$,

(2.3) the pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ are compatible of type (α) ,

(2.4) S and T are continuous and $ST = TS$.

Then P, S, T and Q have a unique common fixed point.

Sharma, Pathak and Tiwari [21] improved Theorem 2.1 and proved the following .

Theorem 2.2. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space with $t*t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and let P, S, T and Q be maps from X into itself such that

$$(2.5) \quad PT(X) \cup QS(X) \subset ST(X),$$

(2.6) there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M^2(Px, Qy, kt) * [M(Sx, Px, kt)M(Ty, Qy, kt)] * M^2(Ty, Qy, kt) \\ \geq [p M(Sx, Px, t) + q M(Sx, Ty, t)] M(Sx, Qy, 2kt)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, where $0 < p, q < 1$ such that $p + q = 1$, and

(2.7) the pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ are weak compatible.

Then P, S, T and Q have a unique common fixed point.

Rawal [19] proved the following :

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space with $t*t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Let A, B, S and T be mappings of X into itself such that

(2.8) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,

(2.9) there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M^{2p}(Ax, By, kt) \geq \min\{ M^{2p}(Sx, Ty, t), M^q(Sx, Ax, t). M^{q'}(Ty, By, t), \\ M^r(Sx, By, (2 - \alpha) t). M^{r'}(Ty, Ax, t), M^s(Sx, Ax, t). M^{s'}(Ty, Ax, t), \\ M^l(Sx, By, (2 - \alpha) t). M^{l'}(Ty, By, t) \},$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha \geq 0, \alpha \in (0,2), t > 0$ and $2p = q + q' = r + r' = s + s' = l + l'$.

(2.10) If the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are weakly compatible, then

A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

3. Main Results

We prove Theorem 2.3 under a new property [22] in the following way.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space with $t*t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and condition (FM – 6). Let A, B, S and T be mappings of X into itself such that

(3.1) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,

(3.2) $\{A, S\}$ or $\{B, T\}$ satisfies the property (S – B),

(3.3) there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M^{2p}(Ax, By, kt) \geq \min\{ M^{2p}(Sx, Ty, t), M^q(Sx, Ax, t). M^{q'}(Ty, By, t), \\ M^r(Sx, By, t). M^{r'}(Ty, Ax, (2 - \alpha)t), \\ M^s(Sx, Ax, t). M^{s'}(Ty, Ax, (2 - \alpha)t), \\ M^l(Sx, By, t). M^{l'}(Ty, By, t) \},$$

for all $x, y \in X, \alpha \geq 0, \alpha \in (0,2), t > 0$ and $0 < p, q, q', r, r', s, s', l, l' \leq 1$ such that

$2p = q + q' = r + r' = s + s' = l + l'$.

(3.4) if the pairs $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are weakly compatible,

(3.5) one of $A(X), B(X), S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is closed subset of X , then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof : Suppose that (B, T) satisfies the property (S-B). Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Since $BX \subset SX$, there exists in X a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that $Bx_n = Sy_n$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = z$. Let us show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = z$. Indeed, in view of (3.3) for $\alpha = 1 - a, a \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$M^{2p}(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt) \geq \min\{ M^{2p}(Sy_n, Tx_n, t), M^q(Sy_n, Ay_n, t). M^{q'}(Tx_n, Bx_n, t), \\ M^r(Sy_n, Bx_n, t). M^{r'}(Tx_n, Ay_n, (2 - \alpha) t), \\ M^s(Sy_n, Ay_n, t). M^{s'}(Tx_n, Ay_n, (2 - \alpha)t), \\ M^l(Sy_n, Bx_n, t). M^{l'}(Tx_n, Bx_n, t) \}, \\ M^{2p}(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt) \geq \min\{ M^{2p}(Sy_n, Tx_n, t), M^q(Sy_n, Ay_n, t). M^{q'}(Tx_n, Bx_n, t), \\ M^r(Sy_n, Bx_n, t). M^{r'}(Tx_n, Ay_n, (1 + a)t), \\ M^s(Sy_n, Ay_n, t). M^{s'}(Tx_n, Ay_n, (1 + a)t), \\ M^l(Sy_n, Bx_n, t). M^{l'}(Tx_n, Bx_n, t) \},$$

$$M^{2p}(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt) \geq \min \{ M^{2p}(Bx_n, Tx_n, t), \\
 M^q(Bx_n, Ay_n, t). M^{q'}(Tx_n, Bx_n, t), \\
 M^r(Bx_n, Bx_n, t). M^{r'}(Ay_n, Bx_n, t) * M^{r'}(Bx_n, Tx_n, at), \\
 M^s(Bx_n, Ay_n, t). M^{s'}(Ay_n, Bx_n, t) * M^{s'}(Bx_n, Tx_n, at), \\
 M^l(Bx_n, Bx_n, t). M^l(Tx_n, Bx_n, t) \}.$$

Thus it follows that $M^{2p}(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt) \geq M^s(Bx_n, Ay_n, t). M^{s'}(Ay_n, Bx_n, t) * M^{s'}(Bx_n, Tx_n, at)$,

Since the t-norm $*$ is continuous and $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is continuous, letting $a \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$M^{2p}(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt) \geq M^{s+s'}(Bx_n, Ay_n, t).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Ay_n, Bx_n, kt) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Bx_n, Ay_n, t),$$

and we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = z$.

Suppose $S(X)$ is a closed subset of X . Then $z = Su$ for some $u \in X$. Subsequently, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = Su.$$

By (3.3) with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$M^{2p}(Au, Bx_n, kt) \geq \min \{ M^{2p}(Su, Tx_n, t), M^q(Su, Au, t). M^{q'}(Tx_n, Bx_n, t), \\
 M^r(Su, Bx_n, t). M^{r'}(Tx_n, Au, t), \\
 M^s(Su, Au, t). M^{s'}(Tx_n, Au, t), \\
 M^l(Su, Bx_n, t). M^l(Tx_n, Bx_n, t) \}.$$

Taking the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, we have

$$M^{2p}(Au, Su, kt) \geq \min \{ M^{2p}(Su, Su, t), M^q(Su, Au, t). M^{q'}(Su, Su, t), \\
 M^r(Su, Su, t). M^{r'}(Su, Au, t), \\
 M^s(Su, Au, t). M^{s'}(Su, Au, t), \\
 M^l(Su, Su, t). M^l(Su, Su, t) \}.$$

Thus $M^{2p}(Au, Su, kt) \geq M^{s+s'}(Su, Au, t)$.

This gives $M(Au, Su, kt) \geq M(Su, Au, t)$.

Therefore by Lemma 2.2, we have $Au = Su$. The weak compatibility of A and S implies that $ASu = SAu$ and then $AAu = ASu = SAu = SSu$. On the other hand, since $A(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $Au = Tv$. We claim that $Tv = Bv$ using (3.3) with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$M^{2p}(Au, Bv, kt) \geq \min \{ M^{2p}(Su, Tv, t), M^q(Su, Au, t). M^{q'}(Tv, Bv, t), \\
 M^r(Su, Bv, t). M^{r'}(Tv, Au, t), \\
 M^s(Su, Au, t). M^{s'}(Tv, Au, t), \\
 M^l(Su, Bv, t). M^l(Tv, Bv, t) \},$$

$$M^{2p}(Au, Bv, kt) \geq \min \{ M^{2p}(Au, Au, t), M^q(Au, Au, t). M^{q'}(Au, Bv, t), \\
 M^r(Au, Bv, t). M^{r'}(Au, Au, t), \\
 M^s(Au, Au, t). M^{s'}(Au, Au, t), \\
 M^l(Au, Bv, t). M^l(Au, Bv, t) \}.$$

Thus

$$M^{2p}(Au, Bv, kt) \geq M^{l+l'}(Au, Bv, t).$$

It follows that

$$M(Au, Bv, kt) \geq M(Au, Bv, t).$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.2, we have $Au = Bv$.

Thus $Au = Su = Tv = Bv$. The weak compatibility of B and T implies that $BTv = TBv$ and $TTv = TBv = BTv = BBv$. Let us show that Au is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . In view of (3.3) with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^{2p}(AAu, Bv, kt) &\geq \min \{ M^{2p}(SAu, Tv, t), M^q(SAu, AAu, t). M^{q'}(Tv, Bv, t), \\ &\quad M^r(SAu, Bv, t). M^{r'}(Tv, AAu, t), \\ &\quad M^s(SAu, AAu, t). M^{s'}(Tv, AAu, t), \\ &\quad M^l(SAu, Bv, t). M^l(Tv, Bv, t) \}, \\ M^{2p}(AAu, Au, kt) &\geq \min \{ M^{2p}(AAu, Au, t), M^q(AAu, AAu, t). M^{q'}(Au, Au, t), \\ &\quad M^r(AAu, Au, t). M^{r'}(Au, AAu, t), \\ &\quad M^s(AAu, AAu, t). M^{s'}(Au, AAu, t), \\ &\quad M^l(AAu, Au, t). M^l(Au, Au, t) \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$M^{2p}(AAu, Au, kt) \geq M^{2p}(AAu, Au, t).$$

This gives $M(AAu, Au, kt) \geq M(AAu, Au, t)$.

Therefore by Lemma 2.2, we have $Au = AAu = SAu$ and Au is a common fixed point of A and S .

Similarly, we can prove that Bv is a common fixed point of B and T . Since $Au = Bv$, we conclude that Au is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

If $Au = Bu = Su = Tu = u$ and $Av = Bv = Sv = Tv = v$, then by (3.3) with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^{2p}(Au, Bv, kt) &\geq \min \{ M^{2p}(Su, Tv, t), M^q(Su, Au, t). M^{q'}(Tv, Bv, t), \\ &\quad M^r(Su, Bv, t). M^{r'}(Tv, Au, t), \\ &\quad M^s(Su, Au, t). M^{s'}(Tv, Au, t), \\ &\quad M^l(Su, Bv, t). M^l(Tv, Bv, t) \}, \\ M^{2p}(u, v, kt) &\geq \min \{ M^{2p}(u, v, t), M^q(u, u, t). M^{q'}(v, v, t), \\ &\quad M^r(u, v, t). M^{r'}(v, u, t), \\ &\quad M^s(u, u, t). M^{s'}(v, u, t), \\ &\quad M^l(u, v, t). M^l(v, v, t) \}, \end{aligned}$$

This gives $M^{2p}(u, v, kt) \geq M^{2p}(u, v, t)$.

Thus $M(u, v, kt) \geq M(u, v, t)$.

By Lemma 2.2, we have $u = v$ and the common fixed point is a unique. This completes the proof of the theorem.

If we take $S = T$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following.

Corollary 3.1. Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space with $t*t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and condition $(FM - 6)$. Let A, B and S be mappings of X into itself such that

$$(3.6) \quad A(X) \subset S(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subset S(X),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \{A, S\} \text{ or } \{B, S\} \text{ satisfies the property } (S - B),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \text{there exists a constant } k \in (0,1) \text{ such that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^{2p}(Ax, By, kt) &\geq \min \{ M^{2p}(Sx, Ax, t), M^r(Sx, By, t). M^{r'}(Sx, Ax, t), \\ &\quad M^s(Sx, Ax, t). M^{s'}(Sx, By, t) \}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and $0 < p, r, r', s, s' \leq 1$, such that $2p = r + r' = s + s'$.

$$(3.9) \quad \text{if the pairs } \{A, S\} \text{ and } \{B, S\} \text{ are weakly compatible,}$$

(3.10) one of $A(X), B(X)$ or $S(X)$ is closed subset of X , then A, B and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

References

- [1] Banach, S. (1922). Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales. *Fund. Math.*, 3, 133-181.
- [2] Bose, B. K. and Sahani, D. (1987). Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 21,53-58.
- [3] Butnariu, D. (1982). Fixed points for fuzzy mappings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 7,191-207.
- [4] Chang, S. S. (1985). Fixed point theorem for fuzzy mappings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*,17, 181-187.
- [5] Chang, S.S., Cho, Y.J., Lee, B.S. and Lee, G.M. (1997). Fixed degree and fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*,87(3), 325-334.
- [6] Cho, Y. J. (1997). Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 5(4), 949- 962.
- [7] Deng, Z. K. (1982). Fuzzy pseudo metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 86, 74-95.
- [8] Erceg, M. A. (1979). Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 69, 205-230.
- [9] Edelstein, M. (1962). On fixed point and periodic points under contractive mappings, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 37, 74-79.
- [10] Frigon, M. and O' Regan, D. (2002). Fuzzy contractive maps and fuzzy fixed points, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 129,39-45.
- [11] Grabiec, M. (1988). Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 27, 385-389.
- [12] George, A. and Veeramani, P. (1994). On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64, 395-399.
- [13] George, A. and Veeramani, P. (1997). On some results of analysis for fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 90, 365-368.
- [14] Gregori, V. and Romaguera, S. (2000). Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings in quasi-metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 115, 477-483.
- [15] Heilpern, S. (1981). Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*,83,566-569.
- [16] Jungck G., and Rhoades, B. E. (1998). Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Maths.*, 29(3), 227-238.
- [17] Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J. (1975). Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11, 336-344.
- [18] Mishra, S. N., Sharma, N. and Singh, S. L. (1994). Common fixed points of maps in fuzzy metric spaces. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 17, 253-258.
- [19] Rawal, M. (2009). On common fixed point theorems in metric spaces and other spaces, *Ph.D. thesis, Vikram Univ.*, 5,72-84.
- [20] Schweizer, B. and Sklar, A. (1960). Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific. J. Math.*, 10, 313-334.
- [21] Sharma, Sushil, Pathak, A. and Tiwari, R. (2007). Common fixed point of weakly compatible maps without continuity in fuzzy metric space, *Internat. J. Appl. Math.*, 20, No.4, 495-506.
- [22] Sharma, Sushil and Bamburger, D. (2006). Some new common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space under strict contractive conditions, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 14, No.2,1-11.
- [23] Sharma, Sushil and Deshpande, B. (2008). Common fixed point theorems for finite number of mappings without continuity and compatibility on fuzzy metric spaces, *Mathematica Moravica*, 12, 1-19.
- [24] Turkoglu, D., Kutukcu, S. and Yildiz, C. (2005). Common fixed point of compatible maps of type (α) on fuzzy metric spaces, *Internat. J. Appl. Math.*, 18(2), 189-202.
- [25] Zadeh, L.A. (1965). Fuzzy Sets, *Inform Contr.*, 8, 338-353.